

Appendix D: Additional charts and tables for 'Census-based indicators of *Good Growth*' analysis

Figure D.1 Map: People with degree qualifications in 2001 in Rural Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.2 Map: People with degree qualifications in 2001 in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.3 Map: People with degree qualifications in 2001 in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.4 Map: People with degree qualifications in 2011 in Rural Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.5 Map: People with degree qualifications in 2011 in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.6 Map: People with degree qualifications in 2011 in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.7 Map: Change in the proportion of people with degree qualifications in 2001 to 2011 in Rural Conservation Aggregates




Figure D.8 Map: Change in the proportion of people with degree qualifications in 2001 to 2011 in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates




Figure D.9 Map: Change in the proportion of people with degree qualifications in 2001 to 2011 in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates




Figure D.10 Map: Change in the proportion of people with degree qualifications 2001 to 2011 in Rural Conservation Aggregates relative to matched Comparator Aggregates




Figure D.11 Map: Change in the proportion of people with degree qualifications 2001 to 2011 in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates relative to matched Comparator Aggregates

Change in proportion of individuals achieving Level 4/5 qualifications in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates relative to matched comparators: 2001 Census to 2011 Census




Figure D.12 Map: Change in the proportion of people with degree qualifications 2001 to 2011 in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates relative to matched Comparator Aggregates

Change in proportion of individuals achieving Level 4/5 qualifications in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates relative to matched comparator aggregates: 2001 Census to 2011 Census




Figure D.13 Map: People in good health in 2001 in Rural Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.14 Map: People in good health in 2001 in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.15 Map: People in good health in 2001 in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.16 Map: People in good health in 2011 in Rural Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.17 Map: People in good health in 2011 in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.18 Map: People in good health in 2011 in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.19 Map: Change in the proportion of people in good health in 2001 to 2011 in Rural Conservation Aggregates




Figure D.20 Map: Change in the proportion of people in good health in 2001 to 2011 in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates




Figure D.21 Map: Change in the proportion of people in good health in 2001 to 2011 in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates




Figure D.22 Map: Change in people in good health 2001 to 2011 in Rural Conservation Aggregates relative to matched Comparator Aggregates




Figure D.23 Map: Change in people in good health 2001 to 2011 in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates relative to matched Comparator Aggregates




Figure D.24 Map: Change in people in good health 2001 to 2011 in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates relative to matched Comparator Aggregates




Figure D.25 Map: Households lacking central heating in 2001 in Rural Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.26 Map: Households lacking central heating in 2001 in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.27 Map: Households lacking central heating in 2001 in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.28 Map: Households lacking central heating in 2011 in Rural Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.29 Map: Households lacking central heating in 2011 in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.30 Map: Households lacking central heating in 2011 in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.31 Map: Change in the proportion of households lacking central heating in 2001 to 2011 in Rural Conservation Aggregates




Figure D.32 Map: Change in the proportion of households lacking central heating in 2001 to 2011 in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates




Figure D.33 Map: Change in the proportion of households lacking central heating in 2001 to 2011 in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates




Figure D.34 Map: Change in the proportion of households lacking central heating 2001 to 2011 in Rural Conservation Aggregates relative to matched Comparator Aggregates




Figure D.35 Map: Change in the proportion of households lacking central heating 2001 to 2011 in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates relative to matched Comparator Aggregates




Figure D.36 Map: Change in the proportion of households lacking central heating 2001 to 2011 in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates relative to matched Comparator Aggregates




Figure D.37 Map: People working 50+ hours per week in 2001 in Rural Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.38 Map: People working 50+ hours per week in 2001 in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.39 Map: People working 50+ hours per week in 2001 in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.40 Map: People working 50+ hours per week in 2011 in Rural Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.41 Map: People working 50+ hours per week in 2011 in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.42 Map: People working 50+ hours per week in 2011 in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates (National Quintiles)




Figure D.43 Map: Change in the proportion of people working 50+ hours per week in 2001 to 2011 in Rural Conservation Aggregates




Figure D.44 Map: Change in the proportion of people working 50+ hours per week in 2001 to 2011 in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates




Figure D.45 Map: Change in the proportion of people working 50+ hours per week in 2001 to 2011 in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates




Figure D.46 Map: Change in people working 50+ hours per week 2001 to 2011 in Rural Conservation Aggregates relative to matched Comparator Aggregates




Figure D.47 Map: Change in people working 50+ hours per week 2001 to 2011 in Urban Residential Conservation Aggregates relative to matched Comparator Aggregates




Figure D.48 Map: Change in people working 50+ hours per week 2001 to 2011 in Town Centre Conservation Aggregates relative to matched Comparator Aggregates

