10

A critique of Northamptonshire's NMP project

by Glenn Foard and Alison Deegan

This final chapter assesses the methodology evolved during the course implementation of the National Mapping Programme in Northamptonshire between 1994 and 2001, and considers its impact on data creation and subsequent data manipulation, interrogation and dissemination. It also compares some aspects of the NCC approach with that employed for contemporary and subsequent NMP projects in other areas, discussing the lessons that can be learned now that this large project has been completed. This analysis draws heavily upon the Management Report for the Northamptonshire NMP Project, available online from the Archaeology Data Services (http://ads. ahds.ac.uk), (ADS) provides essential background information, explains in detail the development of the methodology and documents the sources and timescale of the work.

Data creation

The direct acquisition of aerial photographic data through aerial reconnaissance, discussed in chapter 2, was integral to the NCC approach to aerial archaeology. From the start of the intensive reconnaissance programme in 1977, long before the inception of the NMP project, NCC employed a rolling programme of examination, SMR record creation, rectification (first manually and then, from the early 1980s, by computer, using Aerial software) and reporting of the results of the NCC aerial reconnaissance programme (Foard 1979a, 1980a, 1980b, 1981, 1982a, 1983; Deegan 2002, 19–20). This rolling programme was intended both to enable the results to influence further reconnaissance and also to be available for resource management purposes. Thus, the archaeological data were quickly assimilated into the SMR and, although the rectification was unable to keep up with rate of new discovery, a considerable quantity of data had already been interpreted, rectified and some data entered into the SMR for all sites when the NMP project began in 1994. As a consequence, it is not easy, nor indeed useful, to quantify the results of the reconnaissance programme and the NMP project in terms of the numbers of sites identified through NMP that were new to the SMR, a standard that is often used to measure the contribution of many other NMP projects. However, the analyses and results reported in the preceding chapters of this volume provide a more effective definition of the contribution of this project than do simple statistics based on the previous shortcomings of the SMR.

From the beginning the Northamptonshire NMP Project was conceived as a wholly digital project, drawing upon experience gained in GIS mapping of the county's historic environment since 1993, and exploiting the digital rectifications that had been retained from previous years of mapping using AERIAL. Although at that time it was NMP policy not to use or produce large-scale (1:2 500) mapping. RCHME made an exception for the Northamptonshire project because efficiency of the process demonstrated, and, using a large-format computer plotter, the project was able to generate the high-quality hard copy quartersheet output then required by the RCHME (Northamptonshire Heritage 1994, 21).

An effective methodology and efficient implementation had been achieved through the NCC IT advisor's careful choice of leading GIS software (MapInfo) and their provision of ongoing high-quality specialist support, largely by Phil Sydee. It was also a result of careful design of the data structure to facilitate the intended objectives, building upon the principles of analysis of historic environment data, initially developed in 1979, which underpinned the design of the whole SMR and its associated GIS datasets (Foard 1978). As far as practicable, this methodology was further enhanced over the lifetime of the project. The use of MapInfo

Professional (versions 2.1–6.0) as a common platform for most aspects of data creation and manipulation was critical. Most internally-derived datasets created or, if created in other software. delivered in MapInfo. This included SMR point data, the index of air photographs, AERIAL transcriptions, base map data (including both modern OS Landline and georeferenced OS First Edition 1:10 560 mapping) and supplementary datasets such as the BGS geological mapping, contour data, land use mapping, and mineral plans, all of which have been used in the analyses in the preceding chapters. Even the complex morphological data entered into the original RCHME MORPH2.2 database retrieved and linked to the relevant individual graphic objects in the MAPINFO tables. Each dataset was linked through consistent reference numbering to enable automated concordance between different datasets. This obviated many of the data migration problems that are still regularly experiences by other NMP projects where no common platform is employed.

Analysis of the timescales of past and present NMP projects suggests that the Northamptonshire approach was highly efficient. The average time spent on each quarter sheet (5km ? 5km area) in the Northamptonshire project was 11.5 days (Deegan 2002, table 9). The average times spent per sheet on a contemporary nondigital project in Lincolnshire was more than 16.5 days (Kershaw 1997). More recent digital projects with which one of the authors has been involved: Till-Tweed, Lower Wharfedale, and Thornborough Henges have taken on average 24, 21, and 24 days respectively (Deegan 2003, 2004, 2005). Direct comparison between these projects is not possible: the Northamptonshire project did not record all ridge and furrow features, and had the benefit of access to existing plots. However, we believe that the methodology and processes employed by the Northamptonshire project were far simpler to apply and, most importantly, to check, than the Auto CAD-based strategy used by most current NMP projects.

Data interrogation

One of the important principles of the Northamptonshire NMP dataset is the one-to-one relationship between the smallest recording unit, which is the MORPH2.2

site, and the map object. For example the data entry for a single ring ditch has a unique relationship with a single graphic object in the map data. Although there are some exceptions to this rule, these can be easily be accounted for within any GIS query. The result is that the data can be searched on any of the MORPH2.2 fields and the results accurately quantified, and distributions analysed. It is worth noting that GIS can automatically generate accurate grid references from mapped objects and, importantly, almost without exception will actually be positioned on part of the object, which is preferable to the practice of manual reading and input used by most current NMP projects. Detailed quantifications and distributions cannot be automatically generated from the data produced by recent and current NMP projects because the unique one-to-one relationship was abandoned along with the MORPH2.2 database in favour of the National Monuments Record standard database (currently known as AMIE). Moreover, although some morphological recording is still practised by some current NMP project, because there is no link between the record and object it is not possible to retrieve and display the map objects based on any morphological criteria. Put simply, one cannot, for example, retrieve, count and display all the ring ditches that are less than 10m in diameter, as is possible with the NCC NMP data.

Such methods of data interrogation have been fundamental to the analyses in the preceding chapters, in particular the investigations into the distribution of cropmark, soilmark and earthwork sites, and of the monuments of the Neolithic and Bronze Age. Although under the current NMP methodology similar work could perhaps be repeated on smaller projects, it is unlikely that any other county-wide projects could be tackled in this way.

Another important aspect of the Northamptonshire NMP data is the complete traceability of each mapped object back to the source photography. Mapping for each site is generated from one or more rectified plots or photographs, the information for which is stored in a separate GIS table (see Deegan 2002 for more information about the data structure). This not only allows users to easily return to the original photographs, but is also an important aspect of maintaining data standards, much like providing a full

bibliographic reference for a cited work. Other NMP projects have been slow to adopt this principle. The NMR record only documents the best illustrative photographs for any site or group of sites, but more recent NMP projects have started to record the source photograph within the tables attached to the AutoCAD drawing, although this is not yet a universal standard.

Project shortcomings

Some of the shortcomings to the Northamptonshire approach and its application have been discussed in previous chapters, but are worth re-iterating together here.

We have noted the effect that a lack of specialist knowledge has had on the NMP mapping of medieval, post-medieval and modern military archaeology (see chapters 8 and 9). The use of historic and modern oblique and vertical aerial photographs in the identification and recording of 20thcentury military remains has developed considerably since the completion of the Northamptonshire project. This development has been precipitated by a general increased awareness brought about by the work of the Defence of Britain project and associated publications, improved training for NMP interpreters and the high profile of the Suffolk Coastal NMP project, which recorded a wealth of military detail (Newsome 2003). Even recent inland NMP projects, such as those at Lower Wharfedale, Till-Tweed, Thornborough Henges and the ongoing Magnesian Limestone project, have benefited significantly from the expert guidance of English Heritage's Roger Thomas and produced records of 20thcentury military remains that reflect his specialist input.

Unfortunately this overall improvement in recognition and understanding of 20thcentury military remains is not mirrored for the medieval and post-medieval periods. As discussed in chapter 8, other for these periods problems experienced by the Northamptonshire NMP project and are not unique to this project: approaches to mapping ridge and furrow, depiction of earthwork features, and the use and integration of ground survey data. Most current NMP projects persist with recording ridge and furrow at a very simple level - an outline around the greatest visible extent with internal arrows depicting the various alignments of the furlongs. Others have attempted a more detailed approach: identifying and defining individual furlongs with a single arrow to depict the direction of ploughing (for example NMP projects for Lower Wharfedale, Till-Tweed, Thorn-borough Henges and the Magnesian Limestone in South Yorkshire). The contribution of the latter approach has yet to be tested and may never be clear from these projects alone, given the relative infrequency of surviving medieval open fields in these project areas. While recent NMP projects have reported on medieval and postmedieval themes in their relative publications, these have tended to deal with specific monument types or activities, for example salt extraction, pillow mounds and township boundaries, rather than tackle more integrated themes like the nature of settlement (Grady 1998; Harrison forthcoming; Horne forthcoming). It is important that before another NMP project is run in the heartlands of ridge and furrow survival, which includes limited areas of various counties such Northumberland and Cheshire as well as substantial areas of the Midlands (Hall 2001a), that this issue is explored in detail in consultation with specialists in medieval landscape, taking account of related ongoing research (for example Foard et al, 2005), and an effective and efficient methodology established that will produce relevant data and analysis.

Distinguishing cropmarks, soilmarks and parchmarks

Neither the MORPH2.2 database nor the NMP system of recording current distinguishes between the different types of levelled sites: cropmarks, soilmarks and soilmarks. From the beginning, the NCC process of recording distinguished cropmark from soilmarks sites, and this information was included in a field that was added to the MORPH2.2 when it was exported to the GIS. The significance of the appearance of soilmarks has been discussed in chapters 3, 6 and 8. Unfortunately this practice was not extended to soilmark sites in grass, but it can now be seen that to have done so would have significantly aided the analysis of some medieval remains (see discussion in chapter 8). It would not be an onerous task to record such distinctions in all future NMP projects, but would require a major programming change to the NMR database.

On a related note, the various analyses employed for this publication have brought to light the difficulties met when attempting to compare different sites with differing levels of cropmark or soilmark clarity. The Northamptonshire NMP data, like other projects, are intended for use without necessitating recourse to the original photographs. The MORPH2.2 database did record for the user the quality of the original image, but not the interpreter's perception of the quality of the cropmark or soilmarks. It would have been useful if there was some measure of the perceived clarity and completeness of archaeology that is visible in the photographs, although in the absence of excavation this could only ever be a subjective statement. This would also have been an interesting adjunct to the mapping of cropmark amenable ground undertaken for chapter 3.

Project implementation

There can be little doubt that despite some of the shortcomings discussed above, the Northamptonshire approach to the NMP project and aerial photography in general was balanced and well-considered. Even as the first of the digital NMP projects, its principles and methodology have not, in the opinion of the authors, been advanced upon in more recent projects. However, the results of the Northamptonshire NMP Project reflect not only the methodology, also the manner of its implementation. Unfortunately, although efficient and effective methodology and process is an essential prerequisite for a high-quality product, this also requires a high level of skill and consistency in implementation of the interpretation, rectification and mapping of individual sites. Substantial limitations in this can be seen in the Northamptonshire dataset. In part this has been identified above as a result of lack of appropriate training and guidance in specialist areas of interpretation, something that was recognised as a problem nationally and has been addressed over the past few years; in some themes, such as medieval landscapes, it has been seen that it also reflects a weakness in the NMP process, working as it does purely from aerial data, when extensive other datasets and contextual information is required to enable effective interpretation. As we have seen, such shortcomings have not as yet been addressed nationally. However, from the beginning of the formal Northamptonshire NMP project in 1994 until its review in 1999, there was also a failure in the Northamptonshire project to implement the processes with a consistently high level of care. This failing points up the need for closer monitoring of the fine detail of interpretation, not just the overall throughput of data, something that was not carried out with sufficient vigour either by NCC or by English Heritage/ RCHME. As a result, the preparation of this publication had to be preceded by extensive data tidying, which helped to resolve some of the recording issues, but there remain within the project dataset some poor graphic representations and weak interpretations; and in certain important cases information is absent.

Conclusion

Despite its limitations, the Northamptonshire NMP should be assessed above all on the degree to which it has advanced understanding and accessibility of aerial data. The effective implementation of GIS technology has made aerial data in high resolution digital map form an integral part of the historic environment record of the county since the early 1990s. This has enabled that evidence to significantly influence both practical day-to-day management of the historic environment as well as facilitating intensive analysis as part of the definition of research agenda for the county 2004; http://www.le.ac.uk/arch aeology/research/projects/eastmidsfw/index. html). A great deal has been achieved in the present volume in the analysis of both spatial pattering and the analysis of plan form, at both the landscape and the individual element level. However, wider potentials remain in the Northamptonshire NMP dataset for computer-based analysis, to fully exploit the way in which the individual graphic objects have been created and indexed in GIS.

The Northamptonshire NMP shares many of the successes and failings of the NMP process nationally. Rather like the first Ordnance Survey 1-inch mapping of the contemporary landscape in the early 19th century; 200 years on the NMP is producing the first national mapping of the pre-medieval landscapes. Like its predecessor, although the overall product is broadly consistent nationally, the work of different surveyors is being undertaken according to slightly different methods and so producing a slightly different end

product. Just as with the Ordnance Survey mapping it will be necessary to improve and enhance the datasets in future decades, not only to improve consistency, but also integrate new data and, building upon the lessons learned, to tackle issues like that of the medieval landscape not adequately dealt with in this initial programme of work.

It is often said that the NMP product is intended for use without necessitating recourse to the original photographs. This is valid, but only to a degree, for such mapping can only ever represent an initial guide to the evidence. There will often be additional non-aerial data that can be brought to bear on any individual site, which it was not practicable to exploit in NMP, while another interpreter with more time or different experience will often bring

new insights to the primary aerial data itself. The Northamptonshire project has thus, from the outset, saved all the digital data it created, including all the rectified images, to make them available not just for individual reference, but also to enable the images themselves to be integrated fully into a future enhanced mapping system. Using GIS technology, it should be possible in the near future to effectively integrate the digital images themselves within the system, thus going far beyond the system implemented in the Northamptonshire NMP. In such ways it is to be hoped that this project, for all its limitations, has shown the importance of both exploiting to the full the current potentials of information technology and attempting to identify and facilitate longerterm research opportunities.

References

- Allen, D 1967 'Iron Currency Bars in Britain'. Proc Prehist Soc 33,
- 1981 'The excavation of a Beaker burial monument at Ravenstone, Buckinghamshire, in 1978'. Archaeol J 138, 72-117
- Anderton, M J 2000 _Twentieth Century Military Recording Project -World War Two Radar Stations'. Swindon: RCHME unpublished
- Ashbee, P 1960 The Bronze Age Round Barrows in Britain. London:
- Avery, M, Sutton, J E and Banks, J W 1967 'Rainsborough, Northants, England: Excavations 1961-65'. Proc Prehist Soc 33,
- Atkins, R. Parry, S. Holmes, M and Meadows, I 2000 'Excavations of Iron Age Settlements at Sywell Aerodrome (1996) and at Ecton (1992-3) Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 29, 43-72
- Bamford, H M 1985 Briar Hill. Excavation 1974–1978. Northampton: Northamptonshire Develop Corp
- Barclay, A and Harding, J (eds) 1999 Pathways and Ceremonies: the Cursus Monuments of Britain and Ireland. Oxford: Oxbow Books and Neolithic Studies Group
- Barclay, A, Lambrick, G, Moore, J and Robinson, M 2003 Lines in the Landscape. Cursus Monuments in the Upper Thames Valley: Thames Valley Landscapes Monograph 15. Oxford: Oxford Archaeol
- Beaver, S H 1943 'Part 58. Northamptonshire', in Dudley Stamp, L (ed) The Land of Britain: the Report of the Land Utilisation Survey of Britain. London: Geographical Publications Ltd, 331-92
- Bellamy, B, Jackson, D and Johnston, G 2000 'Early Iron Smelting in the Rockingham Forest Area: A survey of the evidence'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 29, 103-28
- Bewley, R H 2001 'Understanding England's historic landscapes: an aerial perspective'. Landscapes 1, 74-84
- Boutwood, Y 1998 'Prehistoric linear boundaries in Lincolnshire and its fringes', in Bewley, R H (ed) Lincolnshire's Archaeology from the Air. Lincoln: Soc Lincolnshire Hist Archaeol, 29-46
- Bradley, R 1982 'The excavation of an oval barrow beside the Abingdon causewayed enclosure, Oxfordshire'. Proc Prehist Soc 58,
- 1993 Altering the Earth: the Origins of Monuments in Britain and Continental Europe. Edinburgh: Soc Antiq Scotland Monogr Ser 8
- Brown, A E 1991 Early Daventry. Univ Leicester: Depart Adult Educ in association with Daventry District Council
- 1996–7 'Earthworks at Pilton'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 27, 210 - 13
- 1998, 'Dick Hollowell, (1916–1998), Field Archaeologist'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 28, 1-3
- Brown, A E and Foard, G 1994 The Making of a County History: John Bridges' Northamptonshire, Leicester: Univ Leicester
- 1998 'The Saxon landscape: A regional perspective', in Williamson, T and Everson, P (eds) The Archaeology of Landscape. Manchester: Manchester Univ Press
- 2004 'The Anglo-Saxon Period' in Tingle, M E (ed) The Archaeology of Northamptonshire. Northampton: Northamptonshire Archaeol Soc, 78-101
- Brown, A G 2000 'Floodplain vegetation history: clearings as potential ritual spaces, in Fairbairn, A S (ed) Plants in Neolithic Britain and Beyond. Oxford: Oxbow Books, 49-62
- Brown, A G and Meadows, I 1998 'Environmental analysis of a Neolithic/Early Bronze Age palaeochannel of the River Nene at Turnells Mill Lane, Wellingborough, Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 27, 185-91
- Brown, T 2005 'The Environment of the Raunds Area', in Parry, S J (ed) Raunds Area Survey: an archaeological study of the landscape of Raunds. Northamptonshire 1985-94. Oxford: Oxbow Books
- Buckley, D G, Major, H and Milton, B 1986 'Excavation of a possible Neolithic long barrow or mortuary enclosure at Rivenhall, Essex, 1986'. Proc Prehist Soc 54, 77-91

- Butler, A, Clay, P and Thomas, J 2002 'A causewayed enclosure at Husbands Bosworth, Leicestershire', in Vandell, G and Topping, P (eds) Enclosure in Neolithic Europe. Oxford: Oxbow Books, 107-9
- Cadman, G E 1998-9 'Northamptonshire Bombing Decoys'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 28, 139-42
- Campbell, G and Robinson, M 2007 'Environment and land use in the valley bottom', in Harding and Healy The Raunds Area Project. A Neolithic and Bronze Age Landscape in Northamptonshire. Swindon: English Heritage, 18-34
- Case, H J 1982 'The linear ditches and southern enclosure, Stoke', in Case, H J and Whittle A W R (eds) Settlement Patterns in the Oxford Region: Excavations at the Abingdon Causewaved Enclosure and other Sites. London: Counc Brit Archaeol, 60-74
- Case, H J and Whittle, A W R (eds) 1982 Settlement Patterns in the Oxford region: Excavations at the Abingdon Causewayed Enclosure and Other Sites. London: Counc Brit Archaeol
- Catling, HW 1982 'Six ring-ditches at Standlake', in Case HJ and Whittle A W R Settlement Patterns in the Oxford region: Excavations at the Abingdon Causewayed Enclosure and Other Sites. London: CounBrit Archaeol, 88-102
- Chapman, A 1995 'Crick'. *South Midlands Archaeol* **25**, 37–9 1996–7 'The excavation of Neolithic and Medieval mounds at Tansor Crossroads, Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 27, 3-50
- 2000-1 'Excavation of an Iron Age Settlement and a Middle Saxon Cemetery at Great Houghton, Northampton'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 29, 1-42
- 2003 'Three Bronze Age burial sites in Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 31, 1-14
- Civil Aviation Authority 1991 Aeronautical Chart ICAO Scale 1:500 000 Sheet 217ICD Southern England and Wales Edition 17
- Clay, P 1999 'A first causewayed enclosure for Leicestershire'. Past **32**, 3–4
- 2002 Aspects of Settlement and Land-use from the Mesolithic to the Iron Age in Central England. Univ Leicester: School of Archaeology and Ancient History
- Cleere 1976 'Some operating parameters for Roman ironworks'. Bull Instit Archaeol London 13, 233-46
- Cocroft, W D 2001 Cold War Monuments: an assessment by the Monuments Protection Programme. English Heritage digital report
- Condron, F 1997 'Iron Production in Leicestershire, Rutland and Northamptonshire in Antiquity'. Trans Leicestershire Archaeol Hist Soc 71, 1-20
- Council for British Archaeology Group 9 2001 South Midlands Archaeology Newsletter, 31, 33-4
- Cowley, D E and Foard, G R 1979, 'Aerial Archaeology in Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 14, 91-7
- 1980 'Aerial Photography'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 15, 174-9
- Darvill, T and Thomas, J 2001 'Neolithic enclosures in Atlantic northwest Europe: some recent trends', in Darvill, T and Thomas, J (eds) Neolithic enclosures in Atlantic Northwest Europe. Oxford: Oxbow Books, 1-23
- Darvill, T and Thomas, J (eds) 2001. Neolithic Enclosures in Atlantic Northwest Europe. Neolithic Studies Group Seminar Papers. Oxford: Oxbow Books
- Dawson, M. 2000 'The Ouse Valley in the Iron Age and Roman periods: a landscape in transition', in Dawson, M (ed) Prehistoric, Roman and Post-Roman Landscapes of the Great Ouse Valley. London: Counc Brit Archaeol, 107-30
- Deegan, A 1999 'The Nottinghamshire Mapping Project'. Swindon: RCHME unpublished report
- 2003 'Till-Tweed ALSF/NMP Project, Northumberland: Summary Report'. English Heritage Aerial Survey Report Series AER/6/2003, October 2003
- 2004 '2957 NMP Acceleration: West Yorkshire. Lower Wharfedale National Mapping Programme Project. Management Overview:

- Summary of Resources and Results', English Heritage Aerial Survey Report Series Aer/5/2004, November 2003
- 2005 'Thornborough Henges Air Photo Mapping Project (ALSF 3897 Main). Management Overview: Summary of Management and Resources'. Archaeological Services WYAS, unpublished report, March 2005
- Dix, B 1986-7 'The Raunds Area Project: Second Interim Report'. Northamptonshire_Archaeol 21, 3-30
- Dix. B and Jackson. D 1989 'Some Late Iron Age Defended Enclosures in Northamptonshire', in Gibson, A (ed) Midlands Prehistory. (Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 204). London: Counc Brit Archaeol
- Dobinson, C 1996 and 2000 'Twentieth Century Fortifications in England. Volumes I to XI plus Supporting paper AA/1 Searchlight sites in WWII A Sample list'. York: Counc Brit Archaeol unpublished report
- 2000 Fields of Deception. Britain's bombing decoys of World War II. Swindon: English Heritage
- 2001_AA Command Britain's anti-aircraft defences of World War II. Swindon ad London: English Heritage and Methuen
- Dobinson, C, Lake J and Scholfield, J 1997 'Monuments of War: defining England's 20th-century defence heritage'. Antiquity 71,
- Dudley Stamp, L 1943 The Land of Britain. The Report of the Land Utilisation Survey of Britain. London: Geographical Publications Ltd
- Edis, J, Macleod, D and Bewley R 1989 'An archaeologist's guide to the classification of cropmarks and soilmarks'. Antiquity 63,
- Ehrenreich, R 1985 Trade, Technology and the Ironworking Community in the Iron Age of Southern Britain. (Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 144). London: Counc Brit Archaeol
- English Heritage nd Report on the Pilot Survey of Scheduled Ancient Monuments. English Heritage: internal report
- English Heritage 1998 Monuments of War: the evaluation, recording and management of 20th century military sites. Swindon: English Heritage
- 2000a Twentieth-Century Military Sites. Swindon: English Heritage 2000b Survey of Military Aviation Sites and Structures Summary
- Report. Thematic Listing Programme. Swindon: English Heritage
- 2002 'Scheduling of Harperley POW camp, Co Durham'. Press Release dated 18 July 2002
- Fairbairn, A S (ed) Plants in Neolithic Britain and Beyond. Oxford, Oxbow Books 5
- Foard, G 1978 The Northamptonshire Sites and Monuments Record. Northampton: Northamptonshire County Council
- 1979a, 'Aerial Archaeology in Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 14, 91
- Foard, G 1979b 'Archaeological Priorities: Proposals for Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire County Council: unpublished report
- 1980a 'Aerial Photography'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 15, 174-9
- 1980b 'Aerial Photography in Northamptonshire'. Aerial Archaeol **6**, 8–16
- 1981 'Aerial Photography'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 16, 205-7
- 1982a 'Aerial Photography'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 17, 103–11
- 1982b 'Aerial Reconnaissance in 1981: Thames Valley'. Aerial Archaeol 7, 37-8
- 1982c 'Charcoal Burning and Iron Production in Northamptonshire - Soilmark Evidence'. CBA Group 9 Newsletter **12**, 28–31
- 1983 'Aerial Photography 1982'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 18, 179 - 83
- 1988 'A framework for Saxon evidence from Northamptonshire', in Jones, R F J, Bloemers, J H F, Dyson, S L and Biddle, M (eds) First Millennium Papers. Oxford: Brit Archaeol Rep Internat
- 1995 'Report on Aerial Reconnaissance in Northamptonshire 1977-1994'. Northamptonshire SMR: unpublished report
- 2001a 'Medieval Land Use, Settlement and Industry in

- Rockingham Forest, Northamptonshire'. Medieval Archaeol 47,
- 2001b 'Anglo-Saxon Northamptonshire'. The East Midlands Archaeological Research Framework Project. http://www.le.ac.uk/archaeology/research/projects/eastmidsfw/
- 2001c 'Medieval Northamptonshire'. East Midlands Archaeological Research Framework Seminar Series
- 2001d 'The Medieval Small Towns of Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire SMR: unpublished report
- 2004 'Medieval Northamptonshire', in Tingle, M E (ed) The Archaeology of Northamptonshire. Northampton: Northamptonshire Archaeol Soc, 102-33
- Foard, G, Hall, D and Britnell, T 2004a. 'The Rockingham Forest Project'. Northamptonshire Past Present 57, 83-90
- 2004b 'The Rockingham Forest Project'. Northamptonshire SMR: unpublished report
- Foard, G, Hall, D and Partida, T 2005 'Rockingham Forest, Northamptonshire: the Evolution of a Landscape'. *Landscapes* **6**, 1–29 Foard, G and Pearson, T (1985) 'The Raunds Area Project: First
- Interim Report'. Northamptonshire_Archaeol_20, 3-11
- Ford, S 1995 'The Excavation of a Saxon settlement and a Mesolithic surface scatter at Northampton Road, Brixworth. Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 26, 79-108
- Foster, P 1988 Changes in the Landscape: An Archaeological Study of the Clay Uplands in the Brigstock Area of Northamptonshire. Sheffield: Univ Sheffield
- Foster, P J, Harper, R and Watkins, S 1977 'An Iron Age and Romano-British settlement at Hardwick Park, Wellingborough, Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 12, 55-96
- Gates, T and O'Brien, C 1988 'Cropmarks at Milfield and New Bewick and the Recognition Grubenhaüser in Northumberland'. Archaeol Aeliana 5 (XVI), 1-9
- Gibson, A M 2002 'The Later Neolithic Palisaded Enclosures of the United Kingdom', in Gibson, A M (ed) Behind Wooden Walls: Neolithic Palisaded Enclosures in Europe. Oxford: Brit Archaeol Rep
- Gibson, A M (ed) 1989 Midlands Prehistory: Some Recent and Current Researches into the Prehistory of Central England. Oxford: Brit Archaeol Rep 214
- Gibson, A N and McCormick, A 1985 'Archaeology at Grendon Quarry, Northamptonshire. Part 1: Neolithic and Bronze Age sites excavated in 1974-1975'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 20, 23-66
- Gibson, M L 1982 Aviation in Northamptonshire. Northampton: Northamptonshire Libraries
- Giggins, B L and Laughton, J 2003 'Catesby: An Interdisciplinary Study, part II'. Northamptonshire Past Present 56, 35-55
- Grady, D 1998 'Medieval and post-medieval salt extraction in North-East Lincolnshire', in Bewley, B (ed) Lincolnshire's Archaeology from the Air. The Society for Lincolnshire History and Archaeology, 81-95
- Grimes, W F 1961 'Settlements at Draughton, Northants, Colsterworth, Lincs and Heathrow, Middlesex', in Frere, S S (ed) Problems of the Iron Age in Southern Britain. London: Instit Archaeol Occas Pap 11, 21-8
- Gwilt, A 1997 'Popular practices from material culture: a case study of the Iron Age settlement at Wakerley', in Gwilt, A and Haselgrove, Č Reconstructing Iron Age Societies. Oxford: Oxbow Books, 153-66
- Haigh, J G B 1993 'A new issue of AERIAL Version 4.20'. ÄARGnews 7, 22–5
- Hains, B A and Horton A 1969 Central England. British Regional Geology. British Geological Survey, 3edn. London: Her Majesty's Stationary Office
- Hall, D N 1971 'Pre-Roman Iron Age sites at Bozeat'. Bedfordshire Archaeol J 6, 17-22
- 1972 'Modern Surveys of Medieval Fields'. Bedfordshire Archaeoll J
- 1985 'Survey Work in Eastern England', in Macready, S and Thompson, F H (eds) Archaeological Field Survey in Britain and Abroad. London: Soc Antiq Occas Pap (NS) VI, 25-43

- 1993 The Open Fields of Northamptonshire: The Case for the Preservation of Ridge and Furrow. Northampton: Northamptonshire County Council
- 1995 *The Open Fields of Northamptonshire*. Northampton: Northamptonshire Record Society
- 2001a Turning the Plough: Midland Open Fields: Landscape Character and Proposals for Management. Northampton: Northamptonshire County Council and English Heritage
- 2001b 'Ancient Woodland Project Archaeological Survey (part 4)'.
 Northamptonshire SMR: unpublished report
- 2004 'Post Medieval Northamptonshire (1500–1750)', in Tingle, M E (ed) The Archaeology of Northamptonshire. Northamptonshire Archaeol Soc, 134–48
- Hall, D and Martin, P 1979 'Brixworth, Northamptonshire: An intensive field survey'. J Brit Archaeol Assoc 132, 1–6
 Hall, D and Nickerson, N 1969 'The earthworks of Strixton'. J
- Hall, D and Nickerson, N 1969 'The earthworks of Strixton'. J Northampton Mus Art_Gallery 6, 22–34
- Harding, A F with Lee, G E 1987 Henge Monuments and Related Sites of Great Britain. Oxford: Brit Archaeol Rep 175
- Harding, J and Healy, F 2007 The Raunds Area Project. A Neolithic and Bronze Age Landscape in Northamptonshire. Swindon: English Heritage
- Harrison, B (forthcoming) 'Ouse and Derwent: medieval documents and air photographs', *in* Haselgrove, C 1984 'Comment on Hingley'. *Scottish Archaeol Rev* **3**, 27–30
- Healy, F, Harding, J and Bayliss, A 2007 'The development of the monuments' in Harding and Healy *The Raunds Area Project. A Neolithic and Bronze Age Landscape in Northamptonshire.* Swindon: English Heritage, 37–198
- Hill, J D 1992 'Can we recognise a different European past? A contrastive archaeology of later prehistoric settlements in southern England'. J Europ Archaeol 1, 57–75
- Hingley, R 1990 'Iron Age Currency Bars: The archaeological and social context'. *Archaeol J* 147, 91–117
- Hodge, C A H, Burton, R G O, Corbett, W M, Evans, R, Seale, R S 1984 Soils and their Uses in Eastern England. Harpenden: Soil Survey of England and Wales
- Hollowell, R and Brown, A E 1971 'Aerial Photography and Fieldwork in the Upper Nene Valley: A record of fifteen years' work'. *Bull Northamptonshire Federation Archaeol Soc* **6**, Leicester, University of Leicester Department of Adult Education
- Holmes, M 1995 'Rothersthorpe'. South Midlands Archaeol 25, 41 Holyoak, V 2002 'Crash Sites and the War Dead' The Archaeologist 44, 26-7
- Hope-Taylor, B 1977 Yeavering: An Anglo-British Centre of Early Northumbria. London: HMSO
- Horne, P D (forthcoming) 'An embankment cross at Wheldrake Ings, and a note on the type', *in* Hull, G 2001 'A late Bronze Age ringwork, pits and later features at Thrapston, Northamptonshire'. *Northamptonshire Archaeol* **29**, 73–91
- Hunter, R and Mynard, D 1977 'Excavations at Thorplands near Northampton 1970 and 1974'. *Northamptonshire Archaeol* **12**, 97–154
- Jackson, D A 1974 'Two new pit alignments and a hoard of currency bars from Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 10, 13–45
- 1975 'An Iron Age Site at Twywell, Northamptonshire'.
 Northamptonshire Archaeol 10, 31–93
- 1976a 'The excavation of Neolithic and Bronze Age sites at Aldwincle, Northants 1967–1971'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 11, 12–70
- 1976b 'Two Iron Age Sites North of Kettering, Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 11, 71–88
- 1978 'Neolithic and Bronze Age activity in the Harringworth area'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 13, 3–5
- 1979a 'A Middle Iron Age site at Geddington, Northamptonshire'.
 Northamptonshire Archaeol 14, 10–16
- 1979b 'Roman Iron Working at Bulwick and Gretton'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 14, 31–7
- 1980 'Roman Buildings at Ringstead, Northants'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 15, 12–34

- 1981 'Archaeology at an ironstone quarry in the Harringworth-Wakerley area 1968–1979'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 160, 14–33
- 1982 'Great Oakley and other Iron Age sites in the Corby area'.
 Northamptonshire Archaeol 17, 3–23
- 1983 'Excavation of an Iron Age Site at Brigstock, Northants'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 18, 7–32
- 1984 'The excavation of a Bronze Age barrow at Earls Barton, Northants'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 19, 3–30
- 1988-9 'An Iron Age Enclosure at Wootton Hill Farm, Northampton'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 22, 2-21
- 1993–4 'Éxcavations of the hillfort defences at Hunsbury, Northampton, in 1952 and 1988'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 25, 5–20
- 1993–4 'Archaeological Evaluations at Upton, Northampton'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 25, 69–76
- 1996–7 'Further Evaluation at Borough Hill, Daventry, Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 27, 143–64
- 1997 'Archaeology at Grendon Quarry, Northamptonshire. Part 2:
 Other prehistoric, Iron Age and later sites excavated in 1974–1975 and further observations between 1976 and 1980'.
 Northamptonshire Archaeol 26, 3–31
- 1998–9 'Roman Ironworking at Laxton'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 28, 259
- Jackson, D A and Ambrose T M 1978 'Excavations at Wakerley, Northants'. Britannia $\bf 9$, 115–242
- Jackson, D A and Dix B 1986–7 'Late Iron Age and Roman settlement at Weekley, Northants'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 21, 41–94
- Jackson, D A and Harding, D W 1969 'The Iron Age and Anglo-Saxon site at Upton, Northants'. Antiq J 49, 202–21
 Jackson, D and Tylecote R F 1988 'Two New Romano-British iron-
- Jackson, D and Tylecote R F 1988 'Two New Romano-British ironworking sites in Northamptonshire – a new type of furnace?'. Britannia 19, 275–98
- Jones, D 1998 'Long barrows and Neolithic elongated enclosures in Lincolnshire: An analysis of the air photographic evidence'. Proc Prehist Soc 64, 83–114
- Jones, R and Page, M 2003 'Characterising Rural Settlement and Landscape: Whittlewood Forest in the Middle Ages'. Medieval Archaeol 47, 53–84
- Jones, R J A and Evans, R 1975 'Soil and cropmarks in the recognition of archaeological sites by air photography', *in* Wilson, D (ed) *Aerial Reconnaissance for Archaeology.* (Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 12). London: Counc Brit Archaeol, 1–11
- Keighley, J J 1981 'The Iron Age'. *in* Faull M L and Moorhouse S A (eds) *West Yorkshire: An Archaeological Survey to A. D. 1500.* West Yorkshire Metropolitan County Council 1, 115–35
- Kershaw A 1997 'National Mapping Programme, Lincolnshire Mapping Project, Project Review'. RCHME internal document
- Kershaw, A and Horne, P (eds) (forthcoming) 'A perfect flat ...'

 Understanding the archaeology of the Vale of York. English Heritage
 Kinnes, I and Thorpe I J 1986 'Radiocarbon dating: use and abuse'.

 Antiquity 60, 227–33
- Knight, D 1986-7 'An Iron Age hillfort at Castle Yard, Farthingstone, Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 21, 31-40
- Linington, R E 1982 'Four ring-ditches at Stanton Harcourt', in Case, H J and Whittle A W R (eds) Settlement Patterns in the Oxford Region: Excavations at the Abingdon Causewayed Enclosure and other Sites. London: Counc Brit Archaeol. 81–7
- Losco-Bradley, S and Kinsley, A G 2002 *Catholme: An Anglo-Saxon settlement on the Trent Gravels in Staffordshire.* Nottingham: Depart Archaeol Univ Nottingham
- Loveday, R and Petchey M 1982 'Oblong ditches: a discussion of some new evidence'. Aerial Archaeol 8, 17–24
- Lowry, B (ed) 1996 20th Century Defences in Britain: An Introductory Guide, 2edn. York: Counc Brit Archaeol, Practical Handbooks in Archaeology 12
- Mackie, D 1993 'Prehistoric Ditch Systems in Ketton and Tixover, Rutland'. *Trans Leicestershire Archaeol Hist Soc* **67**, 1–14

- Malim, T 2000 'The ritual landscape of the Neolithic and Bronze Age along the middle and lower Ouse', in Dawson, M (ed) Prehistoric, Roman and Post-Roman Landscapes of the Great Ouse Valley. London: Counc Brit Archaeol 119, 57-88
- Martin, P and Hall, D 1980 'Brixworth, Northamptonshire: new evidence for prehistoric settlement and agriculture'. Bedfordshire Archaeol J 15, 5-14
- Martin, R A and Osborn, G 1976. An Outline of the Geology of Northamptonshire, Northampton: Northamptonshire Nat Hist Fld Clb, Geological Section
- McOmish, D 2003 'Cursus: Solving a 6000-year-old puzzle'. Brit Archaeol_69, 8-13
- Meadows, I 1995 'Wollaston'. South Midlands Archaeol 25, 41-5 2003 Dating Briar Hill.controversial Radiocarbon Results from the Neolithic Causewayed Enclosure at Briar Hill, Northamptonshire. Portsmouth: English Heritage Centre for Archaeology
- Menuge, A and Williams, A 1999 Royal Ordnance Depot, Weedon Bec, Northamptonshire. Swindon: RCHME Moore, W R G 1973 Note. Northamptonshire Archaeol **8**, 27
- 1980, 'The Development of Archaeology in Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire Local History News 48, unpaginated
- 1975 'A later Neolithic site at Ecton. Northampton'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 10, 3-30
- Moore-Colver, R 1996 'Ironstone, People and Politics, Land reclamation around Corby Northamptonshire, c 1850-1980', Landscape Hist 18, 57-70
- Moorey, P R S 1982 'A Neolithic ring-ditch and Iron Age enclosure at Newnham Murren, near Wallingford', in Case, H J and Whittle A W R (eds) Settlement Patterns in the Oxford Region: Excavations at the Abingdon Causewayed Enclosure and other Sites. London: Counc Brit Archaeol, 55-9
- Newsome, S 2003 'The Coastal Landscapes of Suffolk during the Second World War'. *Landscapes* **4**, 42–58
- Northamptonshire Archaeology 1989 'Archaeological Evaluation at Watermills, Northampton'. Northamptonshire Archaeol: unpublished report, July
- 1990 'An archaeological evaluation at Kings Heath, Northampton'. Northamptonshire Archaeol unpublished report, October
- 1993 'North-West Bypass, Northampton Stage 2: Trial Trenching'. Northamptonshire Archaeol: unpublished report,
- $199\overset{\circ}{4}a$ 'Excavations of Iron Age and Roman Sites at the DIRFT near Crick: Interim Report'. Northamptonshire Archaeol: unpublished report. December
- 1994b 'Swan Valley, Northampton. Archaeological Recording Action. Stage 2. Interim Report'. Northamptonshire Archaeol: unpublished report, December
- 1999a'An archaeological evaluation at Brackmills Link Road, Northampton'. Northamptonshire Archaeol: unpublished report,
- 1999b 'Wootton Fields. Assessment Report'. Northamptonshire Archaeol: unpublished report, January-February
- 1999c 'Pitsford Quarry. An Archaeological Evaluation'. Northamptonshire Archaeol: unpublished report, December
- 2003 'Physiographic Methodology V2'. Northamptonshire Archaeol: unpublished report, December
- Northamptonshire Heritage 1994 'Northamptonshire Air Photo Mapping Project Project Design (Outline Proposals)'. Northamptonshire SMRI: unpublished report
- Oswald, A, Dyer, C and Barber, M 2001 The Creation of Monuments. Neolithic Čausewayed Enclosures in the British Isles. Swindon: English Heritage
- Oxford Archaeological Unit 1991 'King's Heath Whitelands, Northampton, Archaeological Evaluation Report'. Oxfordt Archaeol Unit: unpublished report, June
- Page, M and Jones, R 2003 'Medieval settlements and landscapes in the Whittlewood area: interim reports 2002-4'. Medieval Set Res Group Annu Rep 18, 27-45

- Palmer, R 1976 'Interrupted ditch enclosures in Britain: the uses of aerial photography for comparative studies'. Proc Prehist Soc 42, 180-4
- 1996 'A further case for the preservation of earthwork ridge-andfurrow'. Antiquity 70, 436-40
- Parry, S J 2006 Raunds Area Survey: An archaeological study of the landscape of Raunds, Northamptonshire 1985-94. Oxford: Oxbow **Books**
- Pattison, P and Oswald A 1993-4 'An Iron Age hillfort at Guilsborough (SP673728)'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 25, 179
- Piggot, S and Piggott, C M 1939 'Stone and earth circles in Dorset' Antiquity **13** (50), 138–158
- Pollard, J 1996 'Iron Age riverside pit alignments at St Ives, Cambridgeshire'. Proc Prehist Soc 62, 93-115
- Pryor, F 1998 Etton, Excavations at a Neolithic Causewayed Enclosure. London: English Heritage
- Pryor, F, French, C, Crowther, D, Gurney, D, Simpson, G and Taylor, M. 1985 The Fenland Project No. 1: Archaeology and Environment in the Lower Welland Valley. Cambridgeshire Archaeological Committee 2
- Rackham, O 1996 Trees and Woodland in the British landscape:, the complete history of Britain's trees, woods and hedgerows. London: Phoenix
- Riley, DNR 1982 'Radley 15, a Late Beaker ring-ditch', in Case, HJ and Whittle A W R (eds) Settlement Patterns in the Oxford Region: Excavations at the Abingdon Causewayed Enclosure and other Sites. London: Counc Brit Archaeol, 76-80
- Robinson, M 1992 'Environment, archaeology and alluvium on the river gravels of the south Midlands', in Needham, S and Macklin, M G (eds) Alluvial Archaeology in Britain. Proceedings of a Conference Sponsored by the RMC Group plc 3-5 January 1991, British Museum. Oxford: Oxbow Monogr 27, 197-208
- Robinson, M 2007 'Panel 2.1 The prehistoric palaeohydrology and floodplain development of the River Nene in the Raunds area' in Harding and Healy The Raunds Area Project. A Neolithic and Bronze Age Landscape in Northamptonshire. Swindon: English Heritage, 18-34
- RCHME 1960 A Matter of Time: An Archaeological Survey of the River Gravels of England. London: HMSO
- Royal Commission on Historical Monuments 1975 An Inventory of the Archaeological Sites in North East Northamptonshire. London: **HMSO**
- 1979 An Inventory of Archaeological Sites in Central Northamptonshire. London: HMSO
- 1981 An Inventory of Archaeological Sites in North West Northamptonshire. London: HMSO
- 1982 An Inventory of Archaeological Sites in South West Northamptonshire. London: HMSO
- 1984 An Inventory of Architectural Sites in North Northamptonshire. London: HMSO
- 1985 An Inventory of Archaeological Sites in Northampton. London:
- Salter, C and Ehrenreich, R 1984 'Iron Age iron metallurgy in Central Southern Britain', in Cunliffe, M D (ed) Aspects of the Iron Age in Central Southern Britain. Oxford: Univ Oxford Comm Archaeol 2, 146-61
- Schofield, J 2004 Modern Military Matters. Studying and Managing the Twentieth-Century Defence Heritage in Britain: A Discussion Document.counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep. http://www.britarch.ac.uk/pubs/mmm/mmmindex. html
- Schrufer-Kolb, I 1999 'Roman Iron production in the East Midlands, England', in Young, S M M, Pollard, A M, Budd, P and Ixer, R A (eds.) Metals in Antiquity. (Counc Brit Archaeol Res Rep 792). Oxford: Counc Brit Archaeol, 227-33
- Scott, E 1993 A Gazetteer of Roman Villas in Britain. Univ Leicester, Sch Archaeol Stud: Leicester Archaeol Monogr 1
- Shaw, M 1993-4 'The discovery of Saxon sites below fieldwalking scatters: settlement evidence at Brixworth and Upton, Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 25, 77-92
- Shaw, M and Blinkhorn, P 1992 'Iron Age settlement evidence at

- Top Lodge, near Ringstead Grange, Northamptonshire'. *Northamptonshire Archaeol* **24**, 3–12
- Shaw, R and Foard, G 1995 Flights into the Past: A Resource Pack from Northamptonshire Heritage. Northampton: Northamptonshire County Council
- Simpson, W G 1985 'Excavations at Maxey, Bardyke Field, 1962–63', in Pryor et al (eds) The Fenland Project No.1: Archaeology and Environment in the Lower Welland Valley. Cambridgeshire Archaeological Committee 2, 251–5
- Smith, D J 1989 'Chapter 18 Bombing and gunnery ranges in the Second World War', *in* Smith, D J *Britain's Military Airfields* 1939–45. Wellingborough: Patrick Stephens
- Steedman, K 1995 'Excavation of a Saxon site at Riby Cross Roads, Lincolnshire'. Archaeol J 151, 212–306
- Stoertz, C 1997 Ancient landscape of the Yorkshire Wolds. London: RCHME
- Strachan, D 1998 Essex from the Air. Essex County Council Strachan, D and Ingle, C 1998 'The Essex Mapping Project, Fourth Annual Progress Report: April 1998'. Essex County Council Internal Report
- Strachan, D, Brown, N and Knopp, D 2000 'The Stour Valley Project. 1. A Cropmark Landscape in Three Dimensions. Assessment Report and Draft Updated Project Design'. Essex: Essex County Council: Planning: unpublished report, February
- Tate, W E 1949 'Inclosure Movements in Northamptonshire'. Northamptonshire Past Present 1, 19–33
- Taylor, A 1979 'Note in Department of Environment Summary Reports'. Proc Prehist Soc 45, 332
- Taylor, A F and Woodward, P J 1985 'A Bronze Age barrow cemetery, and associated settlement at Roxton, Bedfordshire'. Archaeol J 142, 73–149
- Taylor, C 1974. Fieldwork in Medieval Archaeology. London: Batsford
 1983 Village and Farmstead: A History or Rural Settlement in England. London: Philip
- Taylor, J 1997 'Space and Place: Some Thoughts on Iron Age and Romano-British Landscapes', *in* Gwilt, A and Haselgrove, C *Reconstruction Iron Age Societies.* Oxford: Oxbow Books, 192–204
- Taylor, S and Dix B 1985 'Iron Age and Roman Settlement at Ashley'. *Northamptonshire Archaeol* **20**, 87–112
- Thomas, A and Enright, D 2003 'Excavation of an Iron Age Settlement at Wilby Way, Great Doddington'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 31, 15–69
- Thomas, J 2003 'Prehistoric pit alignments and their significance in the archaeological landscape', *in* Humphrey, J (ed) *Re-searching the Iron Age.* Leicester: Sch Archaeol and Anc Hist **11**
- Tingle, M E 2004 *The Archaeology of Northamptonshire*. Northampton: Northamptonshire Archaeol Soc
- Upex, S 1977 'The collections of the Nene Valley Research Committee'. *Aerial Archaeol* 1, 31–2
- Upex, G S 2003 'A migration period site at Polebrook, Northamptonshire: Surveys and excavations up to 2002'. South Midlands Archaeol 33, 41–51
- Waddington, C 1997 'A review of "Pit Alignments" and a tentative interpretation of the Milfield Complex'. *Durham Archaeol J* 13, 21–34
- Wainwright, G 1989 *The Henge Monuments. Ceremony and Society in Prehistoric Britain.* London: Thames and Hudson
- Warrilow, W Owen, G and Britnell, W 1986 'Eight Ring-ditches at Four Crosses, Llandysilio, Powys 1981–1985'. Proc Prehist Soc 52, 53–87
- Williams, J 1976 'Excavations on a Roman site at Overstone, near Northampton'. *Northamptonshire Archaeol.* **11**, 100–33
- 1979 'Two Iron Age Sites in Northampton'. Northamptonshire County Council
- Williams, J H and McCarthy, M R 1974 'A double ditched enclosure at Blackthorn', *in* Williams, J H (ed) *Two Iron Age Sites in Northampton*. Northampton Development Corporation, 44–66
- Wilson, D R 1978 'Pit alignments: Distribution and Function', *in* Bowen, H C and Fowler, P J (eds) *Early Land Allotment.* (Counc

- Brit Archaeol Res Rep **48**). London: Counc Brit Archaeol, 3–5 Windell D. 1981 'Great Doddington: an Iron Age enclosure'. *Northamptonshire Archaeol* **16**, 65–70
- Windell, D. Chapman, A and Woodiwiss, J 1990. From barrows to bypass: excavations at West Cotton, Raunds, Northamptonshire 1985–1989. Northampton: Northamptonshire County Council
- Winton, H 1998 'The cropmark evidence for prehistoric and roman settlement in West Lincolnshire', *in* Bewley, R H (ed) *Lincolnshire's Archaeology from the Air*. Soci Lincolnshire Hist and Archaeol, 47–68
- Woodfield, C P T 1980 'The Egg Rings: a defended enclosure in Salcey Forest'. Northamptonshire Archaeol 15, 156–7
- Woodward, P J 1986 'Ring-ditch sites in the Great Ouse Valley: notes relating to the Bronze Age burial sites at Roxton, Radwell and Willington with specific reference to double ring-ditches'. Bedfordshire Archaeol 17, 7–9

Maps

- Centre for Ecology and Hydrology 2000 Land Cover Map 2000. Huntingdon: Centre for Ecology and Hydrology
- Field, E E and Holland, J L 1928 Land Utilization Map of the County of Northampton. Six inch to one mile. Northampton: Northamptonshire Education Committee Soil Survey of England and Wales 1983 Soils of England and Wales: Sheet 4, Eastern England. 1:250,000. Southampton: Ordnance Survey.

Digital sources

- Ballinger, J 2000 'An Archaeological Resource Assessment of Modern Northamptonshire (1750 onwards)'. East Midlands Archaeol Res Framework,
 - www.le.ac.uk/ar/east_midlands_research_framework/htm
- Chapman A 1999 An Archaeological Resource Assessment of the Neolithic and Bronze Age in Northamptonshire. East Midlands Archaeological Research Framework.
 - www.le.ac.uk/ar/east_midlands_research_framework/htm
- Deegan, A 2002 'Northamptonshire National Mapping Project: Management Report'. Northamptonshire County Council, unpublished report, October 2002. http://ads. ahds.ac.uk/catalogue/resources. html?nmp
- Gillings, M, Pollard, J, Wheatley, D and Peterson, R 1999 *Longstones Field, Beckhampton: an interim report on the 1999 excavations.* www.arch. soton.ac.uk/research/avebury
- R 2000 Longstones Field, Beckhampton: an interim report on the 2000 excavations. http://www.arch. soton.ac.uk/Research/Avebury/Longstones00/LSE_interim00.doc
- Kidd, A 1999 An Archaeological Resource Assessment of the Later Bronze and Iron Ages (the First Millennium BC) in Northamptonshire. East Midlands Archaeological Research Framework. www.le.ac.uk/ar/east_midlands_research_framework/htm
- Monuments Protection Programme 1989a Wooton Hill Style Enclosures. Monument Class Description. http://www.engh.gov.uk/mpp/mcd/whl. htm 1989b Pit Alignments. Monument Class Description — no longer available online
- Northamptonshire County Council 2002 'Chapter 3 Demography'. *Monitoring Report*, www.northamptonshire.gov.uk/council/environ/sustainabledevelop ment/landtransp/monitorinreport/Chapter3.asp
- Phillips G 1998 An Archaeological Resource Assessment of The Mesolithic in Northamptonshire. East Midlands Archaeological Research
 - www.le.ac.uk/ar/east_midlands_research_framework/htm
- Subterranea Britannica http://www.subbrit.org.uk/

MAPPING ANCIENT LANDSCAPES IN NORTHAMPTONSHIRE

Taylor, J 1999 An Archaeological Resource Assessment of Roman Northamptonshire. East Midlands Archaeological Research Framework. www.le.ac.uk/ar/east_midlands_research_ framework/htm Vince, A 2004 'An Archaeological Resource Assessment and Research Agenda for the Early and Middle Anglo-Saxon Period (*c* 400–850) in the East Midlands'. *East Midlands Archaeol Res Framework*, www.le.ac.uk/ar/east_midlands_research_framework/htm

Index

T1		DI 1.1 404 400 400 400	D 4 45 70
Figures in bold refer to	settlements 3, 125, 128–32	Blackthorn 101, 102, 108, 122	Bronze Age 45, 79
illustrations and tables.	surface scatter 125, 125–6,	Blatherwycke 113, 114 , 121,	mortuary enclosures 51–2
	127, 132	140, 140 , 140–1, 141 , 143	Redlands Farm Long Barrow
A	Wollaston 122, 127, 128, 129, 130 , 131	Blinkhorn, P 90	51
Addison, Christine 1		Blisworth 34, 92 , 93, 94 Boardman, Mike 13	secondary 58
AERIAL 156	annual reports 10	Borough Hill 82, 127	Burton Latimer 110, 121 Byfield 92 , 93, 94, 104 , 105, 110
aerial archaeology, development	Arbury Camp 82, 94 Arbury Hill 82, 94	Boughton 41 , 87	Byffeld 92 , 93, 94, 104 , 103, 110
of 3–5	archaeological investigation 3	Boughton Green 130 , 131	
aerial reconnaissance 6	archaeological resource, the 35	boundaries 82, 86, 88–9, 89–90,	C
and Anglo-Saxon studies	Archaeology Data Service	94, 94–5, 95, 99, 100, 110,	Cadman, G. E. 4
125, 135	(ADS) 1	133-4	Calceby, Lincolnshire 50
annual reports 10	archives 1, 4, 6–7	Bozeat 16, 104 , 105, 106 , 107 ,	Cambridge School, the 78
distribution of photographs	area covered 1, 2	108, 109, 127, 127	Cambridge University
7, 7–8, 8 , 9, 9 , 10	Armishaw, Adrian 154	Brackley 92 , 93, 117, 121	Committee for Aerial
earliest 7	artefact sites 71–2, 73	Brackmills Link Road 45, 74	Photography (CUCAP) 4, 6,
effectiveness 15	Ashbee 53	Bradley, Pippa 78	7, 7 , 17, 35, 136
filling in the blanks 18	Ashley 90, 121	Bradley, R 53, 69	Cambridgeshire 12, 46, 49 ,
flight paths 11 , 12, 12 , 18	Ashton 116 , 118, 118 , 121	Brafield 108, 121	54, 64, 69, 70, 73
funding 11	Aston 78	Brafield on the Green 107	cameras 13
versus ground survey 138	Autodesk 139	Brampton, Cambridgeshire 54	Campbell, G 60
hours flown 14 , 15 , 15	Avenue, the, Raunds 49, 50-1,	Briar Hill 45	Castle Ashby 107 , 108
incidental photography 17-18	66, 67, 70, 75	Anglo-Saxon burials 132	Castle Yard 90, 94, 109
and medieval studies 136-7	Aynho 127	Anglo-Saxon sites 126	Catesby 42, 92, 110, 140,
and military remains 152-4, 155		causewayed enclosure 46, 47,	141, 143
NCC 9-18, 10, 11, 12, 14,	D	54, 61, 68-9, 75, 78, 129-30	Catholme, Staffordshire 125
15 , 35, 36	В	pit alignment 94, 122	causewayed enclosures 10
by others 6–9	Bamford, H M 46, 78, 122	pits 56	Briar Hill 46, 47 , 54, 61,
photographers 13-14	Banbury Gun Defended Area 153	sunken-featured buildings	68-9, 75, 78, 129-30
pilots 13–14	Bannaventa (Whilton Lodge)	129–30	Dallington 47, 48, 54, 61,
progress reviews 10	116 , 117–18, 118	WH-style enclosure 101, 102,	68, 75, 78
restrictions 12	Barby 139	103, 103	distribution 60 , 62 , 65
spatial coverage 12	Barnack, Cambridgeshire	Brigstock 30 , 100, 101 , 104 ,	excavated or investigated 75
specialist 34–5	49 , 51, 64	105, 106 , 110, 115	Mesolithic 61, 64, 65
update, 2006 19–20	Barnwell 100, 101 , 111, 115	British Steel 34	pit alignments 122
value of data 139–42	barrows	Brixworth 125, 127, 131–2	cemeteries 70, 132–3
agriculture 30–1, 36, 39, 108–9	bowl 53	Bronze Age	Chapel Brampton 82, 86 , 88,
Air Operators Certificate requirements 13	Bronze Age 70, 73 dating 69	artefact sites 68 , 72 , 72 barrows 70, 73	90, 98 , 99, 103 , 104 Chapman, A 52, 53, 69, 72
aircraft 13, 13	excavated or investigated 73–4	burials 45, 79	charcoal industry 10–11, 16,
Alderstone Field 90	Leicestershire 78	distribution of monuments	26, 31, 33, 124
Aldwincle 45	levelled 50	66–71, 67	Charlton 134, 134
barrows 69, 73	long 48–50, 49 , 50, 51, 60 ,	environmental conditions 59–61	Charnwood 78
cropmarks 51–2	61-4, 62 , 63 , 64-5, 65 ,	findspots 59, 59	Charwelton 92 , 93
mortuary enclosure 51, 57, 74,	75-6, 78	human activity and geology 3	Cheshire 159
76, 79	pond 53	landscape 45-6	Chipping Warden 61, 75, 79,
pit alignments 122	round 52, 52 , 79	monuments 45-6	82, 117
ring ditches 53, 57, 69, 74	Rutland 78	monuments and geology 71, 72	curvilinear enclosure 47, 48
WH style enclosures 102	West Cotton 58	open settlements 81	chronology 45
Anglo-Saxon period	Beckhampton 48	ring ditches 53, 69	Church Brampton 82, 86 , 88,
and aerial photography 125, 135	Bedfordshire 46, 51, 54, 55 ,	settlements 58–9, 81	98 , 100, 104 , 105
archaeological survey 125–7	73, 77	sites 46	Clay, Patrick 78
burials 127, 132–3	Bellamy, B et al 123	Brown, A E 138	Cleere 124
cemeteries 132–3	Benefield 105, 106	Brown, A G 8, 143	climatic conditions 28
continuity of landscape from	Bewley, R. H. 3	Buckinghamshire 12, 73	Clopton 115 , 139
Roman period 133–5	Biddenham 90	Buckley 116	Cold Higham 92 , 93
excavated sites 126 , 127	Biggin 137, 137	Bugbrooke 43 , 92	Cold War 152
human activity and geology 3	Black Crounds 116 117	Bulwick 61, 75, 79, 120, 123	Condron F 121
oval enclosures 128 , 128	Black Grounds 116 , 117	curvilinear enclosure 47 , 48	Corby 34 89
pottery 126–7, 132 reuse of hillforts 127	Blacklands 116 , 117, 118, 118 , 121	burials Anglo-Saxon 127, 132–3	Corby 34, 89 Cosgrove
reade of fillion to 121	LAU, INI	. 11510 501011 121, 102-0	23061010

elongated monument 49, 51	oval enclosures 58, 77	enclosures 95-9	G
long enclosure 64, 75	ring ditches 55 , 56, 66, 76	large enclosures 102	Gartree Road 119
mortuary enclosure 49 , 51, 76	damage and destruction 144	location 103-4	Gayton 34, 92
ring ditches 69	agricultural 16, 31, 43	move to 95	Geddington 95, 133
villa 115	quarrying 34, 51	small sub-circular enclosures	geology 1–3, 12, 16 , 16, 18, 21
Cotterstock villa 42, 113, 133	war time 105	104 , 105–6, 108, 110 , 110	alluvial deposits 24, 26
Cottingham 121	Darvill, T 48	Wooton Hill (WH) style	boulder clay 24, 25 , 26, 26 ,
Cotton Henge 52, 55 , 56, 59	data sources 21	enclosures 100–2, 102 ,	29 , 30, 36, 71
Cowley, D. E. 6, 9, 13	dating	103, 103 , 110	and boundaries 95
Cranford 98 , 99, 104 , 105,	Anglo-Saxon burials 132	Enclosure Act, the 136	and cropmarks 16, 16, 18, 21
107 , 108	cropmarks 42	enclosures. see causewayed	23 , 23–4, 24 , 26
Creaton 102, 103 , 103, 104	enclosures 48	enclosures; curvilinear	and earthworks 23, 26, 28, 30
cremations 70–1	ring ditches 69	enclosures; enclosed settlements;	major formations 39
Crick 81, 86	Daventry 109, 127, 138	long enclosures; mortuary	and monuments 64, 71, 72,
Crick Covert 81	Dawson, M 90, 90–1	enclosures; oval enclosures;	71 , 72 , 73
cropmarks 3, 4, 9, 10	Deanshanger 90	Roman period; Wooton Hill	overview 38-40
Aldwincle 51–2 amenability 36, 37	deer parks 31, 33 Defence of Britain Project	(WH) style enclosures	and parchmarks 26
•	4, 150, 154, 159	English Heritage 11, 14, 16, 19–20, 46, 140–1	permeability 26, 27, 36, 39
average density 21, 23–4, 42 biases in data 36	Dix, B 100–2, 105, 122	environmental conditions,	and pit alignments 82, 86,
Boughton 41	Dobinson, Colin 150	Neolithic and Bronze Age 59–61	122-3
on boulder clay 24, 25 , 26,	Dodford 49 , 51, 64	Essex 64, 70, 153	and settlements 3, 110-11
26 , 30, 36, 71	Draughton 104 , 105, 109–10	Etton 46	and soilmarks 23, 26, 28,
and continuity of landscape	Drayton 138	Evenley 107 , 108	29 , 36
133–5	Duddington 123	Everdon 92	soils 39
dating 42	Durobrivae 113, 121	Everson, Paul 9	stratigraphic sequence 38
development conditions 36	Duston 116 , 117	excavations 3, 17, 45, 126 , 127	Giants Hill, Lincolnshire 50
distinguishing 159–60	Duston 110, 117	CACUTATIONS 0, 11, 10, 120, 127	Gibson, A N 52
distribution 22, 71, 71			Giggins, B L 141
distribution on boulder clay	E	F	Glapthorn 115 , 133
18 , 18, 19	Earls Barton 45, 54, 55 , 66,	farming. see agriculture	Glassthorpe 143, 143
Ecton 40	74, 76, 92	Farthinghoe 93	GPS 12-13
formation 40–1	earthworks 4, 9	Faxton 135, 135	Great Park, Brigstock 30
and geology 16, 16, 18, 21,	agricultural damage 31	Field, Ken 8	grapes 108
23 , 23–4, 24 , 26	distribution 22	field systems 15, 24, 35	Great Doddington 93, 102,
Grendon 51-2	and geology 23, 26, 28, 30	Iron Age 26	113, 114 , 122
number of photographs 15, 15	Kalendar 43	medieval 138, 144	Great Harrowden 40, 98 , 100,
number recorded 42	Kelmarsh 44	Neolithic 58-9	107 , 108
and pit alignments 82	medieval 136, 137, 137-8,	Roman 26	Great Houghton 93, 95, 105,
poor evidence of Anglo-Saxon	140 , 141 , 142 , 143 , 144	field walking 3, 8, 45, 59, 78,	106
features 129, 135	number recorded 44	82, 100, 121, 125, 131	Great Oakley 81, 89, 109, 123
quality 93	photographs taken 15	Findon 34	Great Weldon 121
Ravensthorpe 41	recording conventions 138-9	Finedon 102, 103 , 103, 105, 106	Greatworth 92 , 94
recording conventions 139	Roman 43	Fineshade 118	Grendon 45
ring ditches 54	survival 35	Flagstones, Dorset 69	agriculture 108
Roman period 117, 117	value of aerial data 139–42	flight logs 12, 18	cropmarks 51–2
and settlements 93, 95, 100	visibility 43–4	flight paths 11 , 12, 12 , 18	elongated monument 49 , 51
Tansor 48, 51–2	and woodland 31	flint, worked 51, 59	field systems 58–9 human remains 58
visibility 40–1	East Carlton 107	Flore	land boundaries 82
Croughton 12, 134	East Haddon 112 , 113	flint scatters 59	
Crow Hill 94, 127	Easton Maudit 106 , 109	long barrow 49 , 50, 61–2,	long enclosure 64, 66, 75
Culworth 96 , 134	Ecton 40 , 92 , 93, 94, 95, 110	63 , 75–6	mortuary enclosure 49 , 51, 76, 79
currency bars 94, 110	Ehrenreich, R 110, 124	mortuary enclosure 49 , 51,	pit alignments 94, 122
cursus monuments 51, 64, 78, 79 curvilinear enclosures 47 , 48, 56,	elongated monuments	66, 76 oval enclosure 63 , 77	ring ditches 51, 52, 53, 54, 5 8
	49 , 50–1, 60 Elton, Cambridgeshire 49		56, 57, 58, 69, 74, 76
62 , 65 , 75, 82, 99, 105–6,	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	possible palisade defined	sunken-featured buildings
106 , 107 , 108, 110 , 127	Elton Henge 52, 54, 55 , 56, 66, 76 enclosed settlements	enclosure 58, 59 Foard, G. 6, 140–1, 141	129, 129 , 130
	arrangement 95–9, 96 , 98	Forest of Arden 16	Gretton 94, 110, 121, 122, 133
D	curvilinear enclosures 105–6,	Fotheringhay 55 , 58, 77, 96 , 114	Grimes, W F 105
Dallington	106 , 107 , 108, 110	Four Crosses, Powys 79	ground survey
Anglo-Saxon burials 132	defensive 103, 105	funding 11	versus aerial data 138
causewayed enclosure 47 , 48,	distribution 95	funnel-entranced enclosures	value of data 139–42
54. 61. 68. 75. 78	ditches 95–9	89–90. 108	Guilbert, Graeme 78

double-ditched enclosures 102-4 Furnell's Manor 127, 129

Guilsborough 90, 94

henge 66

H	findspots 98	clearance 89, 108	and aerial photography 136–7
hachures, use of 138–9	hillforts 94	continuity 133-5	continuity of landscape from
Hackleton 100, 101	human activity and geology 3	discontinuity in 134–5, 135	Roman period 133–5
Hall, D 31	iron industry 109, 123–4	Iron Age 95	earthworks 136, 137, 137–8,
Hall, D N 138	land divisions and boundaries	medieval 136–7	140 , 141 , 142 , 143 , 144
Hall, D P 45, 59, 60, 61, 72, 78	94–5	Neolithic 45–6	field systems 138, 144
Harding, A F 79	landscape 95	overviews 85 , 86 , 87	ground survey 136, 138
Harding, J 68	open settlements 81, 89, 90–1,	Roman 95	human activity and geology 3
Hardingstone 49 , 51, 64, 75	91 , 92 , 93–4	Langham Road 127	lack of specialist knowledge 159
Hardwick Park 99	population 90	Laxton 116 , 118, 120, 123	landscape 136–7
Hargrave 98 , 99, 100, 111	pottery 89	Lee, G E 79	parchmarks 142 , 143 , 143–9
Harlestone 82, 86 , 88, 95, 98 ,	settlements 24, 81, 98 , 109 ,	Leicestershire 48, 50, 51, 54,	problematic nature of remains
99, 100, 107 , 108, 110,	110–11, 133	66, 75, 76, 76–7, 78, 121	145
112 , 113, 121	soilmarks 15	Lincolnshire 12, 50, 121, 125,	settlements 50, 133, 136–7,
Harpole 112 , 113, 121	surface scatter 100, 111	139, 157	138, 140–1, 142 , 143–4
Harrington 19	iron industry 11, 109 , 109–10,	Litchborough 92	sites 136
Harringworth 109, 120, 121,	123-4	lithic scatters 45	woodland 31, 33
123	Roman 109, 113, 119–21,	Little Addington 92 , 93, 114	Meole Brace, Shropshire 79
Hazeltongue Lodge,	120, 123–4	Little Harrowden 116, 117	Mesolithic, the 61
Leicestershire 50	Irthlingborough 58, 69, 79, 90,	Little Houghton 107 , 111, 112 ,	distribution of sites 61, 62
Healy, F 68	94, 102, 127	116, 117	enclosures 61
Hemington 115	Islip 98 , 99, 100	livestock, sheep 108	findspots 63
henges 52 , 66, 67 , 79		Llandysilio, Powys 56 location 1	flint scatters 63 monuments 61–6
Maxey henge 53, 54, 55 , 69	J	Loddington 105, 106	tool assemblages 61
ring ditches 53, 54, 56	Jackson, D 100–2, 105, 122;	Lodge, the 52, 81	metal detecting 100, 131
hengiforms 50, 56, 79	or D A 81, 93, 108, 111;	lodging 41	methodology 3–5, 21, 35,
Henslow Meadow 49	or Dennis 78	Long Buckby 112 , 113	156, 160
Higham Ferrars 115, 115 , 116 ,	or Definis 70	Long Dole 52, 81	data creation 156–7
117, 125, 128, 128		Long Enclosure, Raunds	data interrogation 157–8
hillforts 81–2, 84 , 89, 90, 91 ,	K	51, 69, 75	links to photographs 157–8
94, 102, 103, 109, 127	Kalendar 43	long enclosures 64–5, 66,	project implementation 160
Hindwell, Powys 79	Kelmarsh 44 , 101 , 127	67 , 70, 75	shortcomings 158–9
Hingley, R 110	Kettering 20, 34, 116 , 117, 121,	Long Melford 70	timescale 157
HLC project 21, 31	132, 133	Long Mound, West Cotton	Midlands Prehistory (Gibson) 78
Holcot 54, 55 , 66, 76	Ketton	48-9, 49 , 69, 75	Midlands, the, prehistoric
Holdenby 112 , 121	ditch boundary 88, 89	Longmans Hill 50	heritage 78–80
Hollowell, R. 3, 6, 7, 8, 9	elongated monument 49, 51	Longstones Field 48	Mileoak 133
Huggate, Yorkshire 50	landscape 88	Loveday, R 51, 78	military remains 4, 17–18, 150,
human activity, and geology 3	long enclosure 64, 70, 75	Lower Catesby 142	154-5, 159
human remains 58 Hunsbury 82, 94, 103, 109, 127	mortuary enclosure 49, 51,	Lowry, B 152	and aerial photography
Husbands Bosworth 47 , 48, 75	66, 76	Luddell Field 132	152-4, 155
Husbands Bosworth,	pit alignments 82, 95	Luftwaffe, the 9	air raid shelters 151
Leicestershire 78	ring ditches 69, 70		aircraft crash sites 151
Husbands Bosworth airfield 12	Kilsby 129	M	airfields 151 , 152
hut circles 90, 91 , 93, 105	Kings Cliffe 115, 121	M	anti-aircraft batteries 151, 152,
nat circles 50, 51 , 55, 105	Kings Heath 52, 102, 103	McCormick, M 52	153, 153 , 154–5
т	Kings Sutton 66, 76, 121	McCormick, Tony 78	anti-tank ditches 151
I	ring ditch 55, 55 , 56	Madmarston Castle 110	bombing decoys 151, 153
images examined 4		Malim, T 46	bombing ranges 151
incidental photography 17–18	т	MapInfo Geographical	number recorded 44
industrial monuments 4, 20	L	Information System 3, 4, 139,	other resources 154
Inspectorate of Ancient	Lamport 76, 96 , 97, 99, 139	156–7	pillboxes 151 , 153
Monuments 11	ring ditch 54, 55	mapping 3, 4–5	prisoner of war camps
Irchester 10, 42, 45, 107 , 108,	land division 94–5	Markham, Philip 1, 13, 13–14	151 , 152
116 , 117, 117 , 118	land use 28, 32	Marston St Laurence 72, 92 , 93	representation 35
Iron Age 89	agricultural 30–1, 39	Martin, P 45, 59	road blocks 151 , 153
agriculture 108–9	overviews 88	Maudit 105	ROC Posts 151, 152
continuity of landscape from	quarrying 34	Maxey 46	searchlights 151 , 152, 153 ,
133-5	reservoirs and water features 34	henge 53, 54, 55 , 69	154, 154
distribution of settlements 97	urban development 33-4	pit circle 58	sites 150, 151 , 152, 153 , 153
enclosed settlements.	woodland 31, 33	ring ditch 69, 73	Spigot mortars 151, 153
see enclosed settlements	Land Utilisation Survey 30	Maxwell, Gordon 13	Thor missile site, Harrington
enclosures 52	landscape 59–61	Mears Ashby 107 , 108, 115	19, 151
field systems 26	Bronze Age 45–6	medieval period	Z Batteries 153

Misterton, Leicestershire	monuments 45-6, 78	Parry, S J 11	recording conventions 138-9
54, 55 , 76	monuments and geology	Petchey, M 51	recording units 21, 157
Molesworth 12	71, 72	Peterborough Abbey 137	Redlands Farm 127
monuments 45–6. see also	ring ditches 69	photographs	Redlands Farm Long Barrow
individual monument types	settlements 58–9	coverage 34–5	48, 49 , 50, 51, 64, 76
chronology 73	sites 46	distribution of 7 , 7–8, 8 , 9 ,	Regional Research Framework
distribution 60 , 66–71, 71 ,	Nether Heyford 12, 96, 96 ,	9, 10	(RRF) 125
71–2, 73	99, 127 New Bewick 131	infrared 40 limitations 34–5	reservoirs and water features 34
and geology 64, 71, 72, 71 , 72 , 73	Newbottle 76, 81, 82, 85 , 89,	links to 157–8	results, quantifying 21 Richardson, Derek 13
Mesolithic 61–6	104 , 105	NCC 14 , 15	ridge and furrow 4, 16–17,
Neolithic 45–6, 66–71, 67 ,	Newnham Murren, Oxfordshire	number 7 , 7, 9, 14 , 15	21, 31, 35, 44 , 136, 138,
72 , 78	74	by photographer 6	144 , 145 , 159
shift in location focus 66–9, 73	non-causewayed enclosures.	stereoscopic 44	Riby Crossroads 125
sites 46	see ring ditches	value of data 139-41	ring ditches 51-2, 79
Monuments Protection	Northampton 20, 152	physiographic model 60-1, 93-4	on boulder clay 71
Programme 105	Northampton Museum 8	Pickering, Jim 6, 7–8, 8	Bronze Age 69
Moore, W. R. G. 8	Northamptonshire County	Piddington 121, 127	causewayed 56, 60 , 66, 74
MORPH classification system	Council 1	Pilton 140	dates 69
4, 157	Northamptonshire County	Pineham Barn 52	defining 52
mortuary enclosures 49, 51-2,	Council (NCC) 136, 156	pit alignments 82, 83, 84, 85,	diameter 52, 53, 53-4, 57,
57, 65–6, 66, 67 , 74, 76,	aerial reconnaissance 9-18, 11,	86, 86 , 87 , 88 , 88–9, 89,	57 , 69, 79
78-9	14 , 35, 36	90, 94, 94–5, 99, 108,	distribution 60 , 66–9, 67 ,
Moulton 87, 92, 98, 107, 111,	distribution of photographs 10	110, 122–3	68 , 69–70, 71 , 73
112 , 121	flight paths 10 , 12, 12	pit circles 58	excavated or investigated
Moulton, Broughton 82	flying programme 12–18	pit clusters 93	73–5, 76–7
mounds	hours flown 14	Pitsford 34, 49 , 62–3, 76, 82, 87 ,	intersecting other monuments
enlargement and refurbishment	photographs taken 14, 15	88, 95, 98 , 99, 100, 132	70-1
70	Northamptonshire Garden Trust	place names 125	large 54–6, 55 , 60 , 66–9, 67
Rainsborough Camp 50	17	Polebrook 47 , 61, 75, 79,	modification of 57 , 58
Tansor 45, 74	Northamptonshire Landscape	131, 132	morphology 54
Mount Pleasant 79	project 119	population, Iron Age 90	multiple 56–8, 57 , 60 , 66,
	Northamptonshire NMP project	Portable Antiquities Scheme	68 , 69, 74, 79
N	1–5 Northamptonshire School of	132 post-built houses 90	Neolithic 69 number 52 , 52
Naseby	Flying 13	Potlock 78	palisade defined 79
mortuary enclosure 49 , 51,	Northumberland 159	pottery	ring-gullied huts 90
66, 76	Nosey 88	Anglo-Saxon 126–7, 132	Ringstead 113, 121, 122
pit alignments 86	ready do	Beaker 79	Rivenhall, Essex 64
ring ditches 54, 55 , 66, 69, 76		Grooved Ware 79	Robinson, John 1
Nassington 8, 113, 115 , 130,	O	Iron Age 89	Robinson, M 59, 60, 108
130	Octagon Farm, Bedfordshire	Roman 51, 111, 113, 127	Rockingham Forest 3, 9, 11, 16
National Forest 139	51, 52, 70	project implementation 160	17 , 24, 31, 36, 82, 89, 100
National Mapping Programme	Old A 102, 103 , 104, 110	Pryor, Francis 78	109, 111, 113, 119–21, 136
(NMP) 1–5, 45, 50,	Old B 105, 106	Pytchley 98 , 100	Rollings, Alex 8
79-80, 125, 136, 137,	omissions 35		Roman period
138, 138–9, 160	Open Fields project 31		coins 131
National Monuments Record 1	Ordnance Survey 9	Q	continuity of landscape from
National Monuments Record	Orton Meadows 110	quarried land 21, 28, 32 , 34	133–5
Centre 7, 157	Oundle 9, 130 , 132	quernstones 108	continuity of settlement with
Nene, River 1, 8, 12, 24, 30,	Ouse, River 1, 12, 46	Quinton 102, 103, 103 , 104	Iron Age sites 112 , 113, 121
38, 45, 46, 59–60, 79, 82,	oval enclosures 58, 63 , 77, 91,		cropmarks 117, 117
108, 113	128, 128 , 134	D	distribution of settlements 116
Neolithic, the 53	Overstone 113, 133	R	earthworks 43
artefact sites 67 , 72, 72	Oxford Beds, the 38	Radley, Oxfordshire 74	enclosures 52, 111, 113,
distribution of monuments	Oxfordshire 12, 46, 74–5, 110	rainfall 28	115 , 121
66-71, 67		Rainsborough Camp 50, 82,	field systems 26
enclosures 48, 52	P	85 , 89, 94, 109, 127	findspots 96 , 98
environmental conditions		Raunds 98 , 99, 100, 101 ,	human activity and geology 3
59–61	palisade defined enclosures	108, 111, 125, 128	iron industry 109, 113,
field systems 58–9 findspots 59, 59 , 63	58, 59, 78, 90 Palmer, R 1, 46, 48	Raunds Area Project 3, 11, 45, 46, 48, 50, 58–9, 69, 78, 136	119–21, 120 , 123–4 landscape 95
flint scatters 65 , 72, 82	parchmarks 26, 31, 41–2, 42,	Raunds Furnells 131	limekilns 99
human activity and geology 3	42 , 117 , 141, 142 , 143 ,	Ravensthorpe 41	nucleated settlements
landscape 45–6	143-9, 159-60	Ravenstone, Buckinghamshire 73	115, 116 , 117
	,		-, -,

pottery 51, 111, 113, 127	Shaw, M 90	Sulgrave 107 , 108, 113, 115	Weekley Hall Wood 81, 89
roads 113, 116 , 118–19, 119	Shawell, Leicestershire 54, 55 ,	sunken-featured buildings (SFBs)	Weldon 119
rural settlements 111, 112 , 113 settlements 3, 24, 99–100,	66, 76–7 sheep 108	125, 128, 129, 129 , 129–31, 130	Welford 139 Welland, River 1, 12, 51–2
109 , 110, 132	sites, number of 5	Sutton, Cambridgeshire 69	Wellingborough 20, 107 , 108,
soilmarks 15	Sites and Monument Record	Sutton Bassett 49 , 50, 63, 76	133
surface scatter 100, 111	1, 3, 4, 6 , 7, 7 , 8, 10, 45,	Swan Valley 52	West Cotton 45, 52, 54, 58,
towns 116 , 117–18, 118	59, 78, 127, 138, 150, 156	Swinehead, Bedfordshire	66, 67, 70, 78, 140
villas 42, 56, 100, 113, 114 ,	Skendleby, Lincolnshire 50	54, 55 , 77	Long Mound 48–9, 49 , 69, 75
115, 115 , 116 , 117, 122, 127, 132, 133, 134	Soil Moisture Deficit (SMD) 28, 40	Sywell 93, 95 Sywell airfield 12, 13, 14	West Kennet 79 Weston 144
Roman Roads 113, 116 ,	soilmarks 4, 9, 10, 144	5ywen annerd 12, 10, 11	Whilton Lodge (Bannaventa)
118–19, 119	average density 26, 43		116 , 117–18, 118
Rothersthorpe 96	Brigstock 30	T	Whittlewood Project 3, 136
Rothwell 103, 103 , 110	Bugbrooke 43	Tansor	Whittlewood-Salcey Forest
round houses 81, 93	distinguishing 159–60	Anglo-Saxon burials 132	3, 11, 16, 36, 109, 119,
Roxton, Bedfordshire 73 Royal Air Force 4, 7, 9, 17, 136,	distribution 22 distribution and geology	cropmarks 48, 51–2 mortuary enclosure 49 , 51, 76	125, 136 Wooton Bank 95
137, 144, 153	23 , 26, 28 , 29 , 36	mounds 45, 74	Wilbarston 96 , 99, 110, 103,
Royal Commission on the	formation 42–3	ring ditch 53, 69	103
Historical Monuments of	photographs 10, 15, 15-16, 17	Tap Lodge 90	Wilby Way 81, 93, 102, 108
England (RCHME) 3, 6,	recording conventions 139	Taylor, J 10	Williams, J 8
8 , 10, 11, 12, 14, 82, 117,	visibility 43	terminology 52	Wiltshire 48
119, 136, 137, 140, 144 Royal Ordnance Depot, Weedon	and woodland 31, 33, 33 soils 24	Thenford 82, 115 Thomas, J 48	Windell, Dave 78, 140–1 Winwick 139
150, 152, 152	Sol Central 129	Thomas, Roger 159	Wittering, RAF 12
Rudston, Yorkshire 50	Southern Enclosure, Stanwick	Thorpe Achurch 98 , 99, 100	Wollaston
Rushden 92, 93, 94, 107	55 , 56, 58, 66, 77	Thorpe Mandeville 94, 96 ,	agriculture 108
Rutland 12, 78, 82, 121	Southwick 47, 48, 61, 75, 78,	99, 134	Anglo-Saxon 122, 127, 128,
Rutland Forest 16	101	Thorplands 113, 121	129, 130 , 131
	spatial coverage 12 Spratton 96 , 103, 103	Thrapsoton 81	enclosed settlement 99
S	Standlake, Oxfordshire 74	Thurning 113, 115 timber post structures	landscape 83 pit alignments 82, 89, 94,
Salcey Forest 36, 100, 101 ,	Stanion 119	129, 131–2	94–5, 110
109, 119, 136	Stanton Harcourt, Oxfordshire	Titchmarsh 59, 92, 113, 116,	Roman 112, 113, 114, 121
Salter, C 124	74–5	117, 118, 118	Woodford 100
Scaldwell 92 , 93, 112	Stanwell Spinney 101, 102	tool assemblages 61	Woodford Huxloe 116 , 117
Scheduled Monuments at Risk	Stanwick 45	Towcester 116 , 117	woodland 3, 16, 17 , 21, 28, 31,
Project 20 Scott, E 113	agriculture 108 causewayed ring ditch 56	trackways 82, 95, 99, 100 transcription 3	32 , 33, 33 , 124, 136 clearance 60, 64, 73, 108
Segmented Ditch Circle,	land boundaries 82	Twywell 90, 95, 108	Woodward, P J 69
Stanwick 66, 67, 70, 74	ring ditches 52, 55, 66, 67, 77	,	Wooton Fields 94, 121
settlements. see also enclosed	Segmented Ditch Circle	TT	Wooton Hill 100-2
settlements	66, 67, 70, 74	U	Wooton Hill Farm 103, 110,
Anglo-Saxon 3, 125, 128-32	settlement 58–9	Upex, S. 6, 8–9, 9 , 132	122
area 93 arrangement 101	Southern Enclosure 55 , 56, 58, 66, 77	Upton 50, 52, 74, 125, 129, 130 urban development 21, 28, 32 ,	Wooton Hill (WH) style enclosures 100–2, 102 ,
background 81	villa 114 , 115, 116 , 117, 127	33-4	103, 103 , 110
Bronze Age 58–9, 81	Staverton 93, 104 , 105	V	World War I 150, 151 , 152
desertion 3	Staverton A 47, 61, 75	vegetation 60	World War II 17–18, 150, 151 , 153
distribution 110–11	Staverton B 54, 55 , 66, 77	vegetation oo	
distribution of open 93–4	Staverton C 54, 55 , 66, 77	W	Y
and geology 3, 110–11 Iron Age 24, 81, 89 , 90–1,	Stenigot, Lincolnshire 50 stock pens 90, 95, 108	VV Wakerley 90, 95, 102, 109, 113,	Yardley Hastings 109, 154
91 , 92 , 93–4, 95–108, 97 ,	Stoertz, C 50	114 , 120, 121, 132, 133	Yarwell 133
98 , 109 , 110–11, 133	Stoke Albany 47, 61, 75	Walcote, Leicestershire 49 , 51,	Yeavering, Northumberland 125
linear arrangement 96, 97	Stoke Bruene 113	64, 75	Yorkshire Dales 139
medieval 50, 133, 136–7,	Stonehenge 69	Wallow Bank 63	Yorkshire Wolds 50, 125
138, 140–1, 142 , 143–4	Stour Valley 53-4, 70	Warkworth 152	
Neolithic 58–9 open 81, 89, 90–1, 91 , 92 , 93–4	Stowe-Nine-Churches 82, 86, 87 , 88	Warmington 127	
Roman 3, 24, 99–100, 109 , 110,	Strachan, D, et al 70	Warwickshire 12 Watling Street 117, 119	
111, 112 , 113, 115, 116 ,	Strixton 95, 100, 101 , 108,	Weedon 150, 152, 152	
117–18, 118 , 121–2, 132	111, 130	Weekley 95, 96 , 102, 113, 119,	
Sharnbrook 100, 101	Suffolk 159	121, 133	

Aerial reconnaissance and the National Mapping Programme project in Northamptonshire have recovered and mapped evidence of archaeological activity of widely varying character, from field systems through settlement remains to funerary monuments, and ranging in period

from the Neolithic to the 20th century.

This volume presents research and analyses of the project's results. The introduction is followed by two chapters that consider the reasons for the biases in the distribution of aerial photographic evidence in terms of reconnaissance and the impact of soils, geology and past and present landuse on the survival and visibility of earthworks, cropmarks and soilmarks. The subsequent analyses of the project's results are presented primarily by period. The monuments and landscapes of the Neolithic and Bronze Age are discussed in the context of results from archaeological excavations, in particular the Raunds Area Project, followed by a review of the wider evidence for these periods in Northamptonshire and the Midlands. For the Iron Age and Roman period there is an attempt to characterise the settlements, boundaries and com-munications across different landscape zones. The three chapters on the Anglo-Saxon, medieval and post-



medieval landscapes and on 20th century military remains review the contribution of the aerial archaeological evidence and consider whether this was maximised by the project.

The final chapter assesses the methodology that evolved during the course of the project and its impact on data creation and subsequent data manipulation, interrogation and dissemination.

Front cover: Panoramic view of the Nene Valley looking north-east. Floods reveal the extent of the Nene floodplain and in a few places show the course of now abandoned river channels. (NCC colour slide SP9776/10 22nd October 1987 NCC copyright)

Back cover: Detail of the buried stone walls of medieval buildings and structures along one street in Blatherwycke, brought into sharp contrast by the parching of the grass during a very dry summer. (NCC colour slide SP9795/23 July 1995 NCC copyright)

ISBN: 10-digit: 1 905624 42 5 13-digit: 978 1 905624 42 3 Product Code: 51253

