

The Wessex Hillforts Project

*Extensive survey of hillfort interiors
in central southern England*

Andrew Payne, Mark Corney and Barry Cunliffe



ENGLISH HERITAGE

The Wessex Hillforts Project

The Wessex Hillforts Project

*Extensive survey of hillfort interiors
in central southern England*

Andrew Payne, Mark Corney and Barry Cunliffe

with contributions by N Burton, T Cromwell, S Cross, S Crutchley, N Linford, F Small and J Vallender



ENGLISH HERITAGE

Published by English Heritage, 23 Savile Row, London W1S 2ET
www.english-heritage.org.uk
English Heritage is the Government's statutory adviser on all aspects of the historic environment.

© English Heritage 2006

All images, unless otherwise specified, are either © English Heritage or © Crown copyright. NMR. The negative numbers for English Heritage and NMR images are noted in square brackets in the captions where possible. Every effort has been made to trace copyright holders and we apologise in advance for any unintentional omissions, which we would be pleased to correct in any subsequent edition of the book.

First published 2006

ISBN 1 873592 85 X
978 1 8735292 85 4

Product Code 51072

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A CIP catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

All rights reserved

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Application for the reproduction of images should be made to the National Monuments Record. Every effort has been made to trace the copyright holders and we apologise in advance for any unintentional omissions, which we would be pleased to correct in any subsequent edition of this book.

The National Monuments Record is the public archive of English Heritage. For more information, contact NMR Enquiry and Research Services, National Monuments Record Centre, Kemble Drive, Swindon SN2 2GZ; telephone (01793) 414600.

Edited and brought to publication by David M Jones, Publishing,
English Heritage, Kemble Drive, Swindon SN2 2GZ.

Cover design and page layout by Mark Simmons
Indexed by Alan Rutter
Printed in [CPI Antony Rowe](#)

Contents

<i>Illustrations</i>	vi
<i>Summary</i>	vii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	ix
1 Hillfort studies and the Wessex Project <i>by Andrew Payne</i>	1
2 The monuments and their setting <i>by Mark Corney and Andrew Payne</i>	39
3 The regional pattern <i>by Mark Corney and Andrew Payne</i>	131
4 Understanding hillforts: have we progressed? <i>by Barry Cunliffe</i>	151
<i>Bibliography</i>	163
<i>Index</i>	168

Illustrations

- Fig 1.1 Major categories of hillfort types.
Fig 1.2 Distribution of hillforts in the southern half of Britain.
Fig 1.3 Plan of all excavated features inside Balksbury Camp.
Fig 1.4 Plan of all excavated features inside Danebury hillfort.
Fig 1.5 Aerial photograph of Yarnbury Castle.
Fig 1.6 Hillfort sites and other Iron Age enclosed settlements investigated by The Danebury Environs Project.
Fig 1.7 Main phases in the development of Danebury hillfort.
Fig 1.8 Distribution of hillforts in Sussex related to geological zones.
Fig 1.9 The hillfort of Danebury in its landscape.
Fig 1.10 Magnetometer survey of Maiden Castle.
Fig 1.11 Oblique aerial photograph of the hillfort at Old Winchester Hill.
Fig 1.12 Magnetometer survey, 1995, of Old Winchester Hill interior.
Fig 1.13 Oblique aerial view of Letcombe Castle or Segsbury Camp.
Fig 1.14 Original trial transect across Letcombe Castle/Segsbury Camp.
Fig 1.15 Oblique aerial view of Uffington Castle.
Fig 1.16 Plan of hillfort of Uffington Castle with magnetometer data.
Fig 1.17 Location of the Wessex Hillforts Survey area.
Fig 1.18 Magnetometer survey in progress using a Geoscan FM36 Fluxgate Gradiometer.
Fig 1.19 Magnetic Susceptibility survey equipment manufactured by Bartington Instruments.
Fig 1.20 GPS surveying equipment used to produce the three dimensional topographical models.
- Fig 2.1 Aerial view of Perborough Castle from east.
Fig 2.2 Plan of Perborough Castle and the adjacent field system on Cow Down.
Fig 2.3 Greyscale plot of magnetometer data from Perborough Castle.
Fig 2.4 Interpretation of magnetometer data from Perborough Castle.
Fig 2.5 Aerial view of large hill-top enclosure of Walbury Hill Camp from north-west.
Fig 2.6 Aerial photograph of field systems west and south of Walbury.
Fig 2.7 Greyscale plot of magnetometer data from Walbury.
Fig 2.8 Aerial view of Beacon Hill from east showing well preserved earthwork evidence.
Fig 2.9 Greyscale plot of magnetometer data from Beacon Hill.
Fig 2.10 Interpretation of magnetometer data from Beacon Hill.
Fig 2.11 High resolution digital terrain model of interior of Beacon Hill using Leica Geosystems 530 GPS equipment.
Fig 2.12 High resolution digital terrain model of internal area of Beacon Hill Camp with draped-on image of magnetometer survey.
Fig 2.13 Aerial photograph of Bury Hill Camp and environs.
Fig 2.14 Greyscale plots of the magnetometer data from Bury Hill (Camps I and II) and external settlement to south-east.
Fig 2.15 Interpretations of the magnetometer surveys at Bury Hill.
Fig 2.16 Aerial photograph of Danebury showing complex earthworks at eastern entrance.
Fig 2.17 Greyscale plots of magnetometer data from sample areas inside Danebury.
Fig 2.18 Simplified interpretation of magnetometer data from Danebury.
Fig 2.19 Aerial photograph of the unfinished hillfort on Ladle Hill.
Fig 2.20 Aerial photograph of extensive field system on Great Litchfield Down.
Fig 2.21 Greyscale plot of magnetometer data from Ladle Hill Camp.
Fig 2.22 Digital terrain model of Ladle Hill with draped-on image of magnetometer survey.
Fig 2.23 Aerial view of partially ploughed-out remains of Norsebury Ring.
Fig 2.24 Greyscale plot of magnetometer data from Norsebury Ring.
Fig 2.25 Interpretation of magnetometer data from Norsebury.
Fig 2.26 Magnetic susceptibility survey results from Norsebury.
Fig 2.27 Aerial photograph of St Catherine's Hill near Winchester prior to construction of M3 motorway.
Fig 2.28 Greyscale plot of magnetometer survey of sample of interior of St Catherine's Hill Camp.
- Fig 2.29 Interpretation of magnetometer data from St Catherine's Hill Camp.
Fig 2.30 Aerial photograph of Woolbury hillfort on Stockbridge Down showing ploughed interior and adjacent plough-levelled field system.
Fig 2.31 Greyscale plot of magnetometer data from Woolbury.
Fig 2.32 Interpretation of magnetometer data from Woolbury.
Fig 2.33 Aerial view of fort of Alfred's Castle.
Fig 2.34 Greyscale plot of magnetometer data from Alfred's Castle.
Fig 2.35 Interpretation of magnetometer data from Alfred's Castle.
Fig 2.36 Digital terrain model of interior of Alfred's Castle with draped image of magnetometer survey.
Fig 2.37 Aerial photograph of Segsbury Camp (or Letcombe Castle) showing excavation by Hillforts of the Ridgeway Project, 1996.
Fig 2.38 Plan of field systems and linear boundary works south of Segsbury hillfort.
Fig 2.39 Aerial photograph of field system near Segsbury Camp.
Fig 2.40 Greyscale plot of magnetometer data from Segsbury Camp (Letcombe Castle).
Fig 2.41 Interpretation of magnetometer data from Segsbury.
Fig 2.42 Aerial photograph of Barbury Castle from north-west.
Fig 2.43 Greyscale plot of magnetometer data from Barbury Castle.
Fig 2.44 RCHME and geophysical surveys of Barbury Castle combined.
Fig 2.45 Digital terrain model of internal area of Barbury Castle with draped image of magnetometer survey.
Fig 2.46 Greyscale plot of magnetometer data from Castle Ditches.
Fig 2.47 Interpretation of magnetometer data from Castle Ditches.
Fig 2.48 Magnetic susceptibility survey results from Castle Ditches.
Fig 2.49 Aerial view partially wooded hillfort of Fosbury Camp on Haydown Hill.
Fig 2.50 Greyscale plot of magnetometer data from Fosbury Camp.
Fig 2.51 Aerial view of Liddington Castle showing large, deep depressions in interior, blocked entrance and quarrying.
Fig 2.52 Aerial photograph of enclosed settlement of "Boscombe Down".
Fig 2.53 Ploughed-out remains of probable hillfort-type enclosure on lower tier of northern escarpment of Marlborough Downs above river Og at Chiseldon.
Fig 2.54 Greyscale plot of magnetometer data, Liddington Castle.
Fig 2.55 Interpretation of magnetometer data, Liddington Castle.
Fig 2.56 RCHME and geophysical surveys of Liddington Castle combined.
Fig 2.57 Aerial photograph of large hill-top enclosure of Martinsell Hill Camp.
Fig 2.58 Greyscale plot of magnetometer data from Martinsell Hill Camp.
Fig 2.59 Interpretation of magnetometer data from Martinsell Hill Camp.
Fig 2.60 Aerial photograph of Oldbury on Cherhill Down, near Avebury.
Fig 2.61 Greyscale plot of magnetometer data from Oldbury.
Fig 2.62 Interpretation of magnetometer data from Oldbury.
Fig 2.63 Aerial photograph of triangular escarpment-edge hillfort of Oliver's Camp, on Roundway Down near Devizes.
Fig 2.64 Greyscale plot of magnetometer data from Oliver's Camp.
- Fig 3.1 Surveys of Oldbury and Barbury Castle published in 1812 by Sir Richard Colt Hoare.
Fig 3.2 Ground view of the hillfort defences of Segsbury Camp.
Fig 3.3 Aerial photograph of Tidbury Ring, Bullington showing linear earthwork south of fort.
Fig 3.4 Aerial photograph of Conderton (or Dane's) Camp, Worcs.
Fig 3.5 Magnetometer and earthwork surveys of Conderton Camp showing bi-lateral division of hillfort.
Fig 3.6 Results of magnetometer survey carried out inside hillfort of Castle Hill, Little Wittenham.

Summary

The numerous earthwork forts that crown many of the hills of Southern England are among the largest and most dramatic of the prehistoric features that still survive in our modern rural landscape. These enclosures, occupied from the end of the Bronze Age to the last few centuries before the Roman conquest, have long attracted archaeological interest and debate on their function and significance remains central to the academic study of the Iron Age. The sheer scale of the enclosing earthworks at many sites indicates great expenditure of communal effort and a high degree of social organisation. Despite the attention given to these sites it remains unclear whether they were strongholds of Celtic chiefs and their retinues, communal centres of population akin to large villages or temporary refuges occupied seasonally or in times of unrest. Reliable interpretation of their role continues to be hampered by the small number that have been extensively examined archaeologically. The Wessex Hillforts Survey was designed in response to the need for more wide-ranging data on hillfort interiors, which realistically was unlikely to be obtainable by traditional more costly, time consuming and damaging intrusive excavation.

The research published in this book is the result of a three year partnership project between the former Ancient Monuments Laboratory of English Heritage and Oxford University. The project was designed to shed new light on the internal character of a wide range of hillfort sites in Central Southern England with a view to improving the future management and furthering greater public understanding of the monuments.

The Wessex Hillforts Survey was based entirely on non-invasive methodology primarily involving the use of fluxgate magnetometry or

gradiometry. This technique locates archaeological features by means of the slight magnetic variations caused by past human activity and unlike excavation does not cause any damage to in-situ archaeological remains. At a selected number of sites, the magnetometer surveys were supplemented by magnetic susceptibility survey and digital terrain modelling. The surveys of each hillfort interior were further augmented by analysis of the aerial photographic record from a two km radius around each site firmly anchoring the individual hillforts in the context of the archaeological landscapes of which they were a part.

The results of the project significantly advance our comprehension of hillfort interiors in the eastern part of the region of Wessex, which in the absence of excavation, would otherwise have remained poorly understood and characterised. The eighteen hillforts surveyed across Hampshire, Wiltshire, Oxfordshire and Berkshire produced very varied results. These range from sites largely devoid of internal features to sites containing complex patterns of round structures, pits, roadways and in some cases internal enclosures and ditched boundaries. Overall assessment of the results has enabled more elaborate distinctions to be made between different classes of hillforts than has hitherto been possible. The wealth of new data revealed illustrates the great complexity of the archaeological record preserved inside these sites and it is apparent that this complexity can vary considerably locally from one site to the next opening up many new archaeological questions to explore further. The survey has also highlighted the fact that hillforts are far from isolated features in their contemporary landscape settings.

Résumé

Les nombreuses forteresses avec terrassement qui couronnent bien des collines du sud de l'Angleterre se classent parmi les plus étendus et les plus dramatiques des vestiges préhistoriques qui survivent encore dans notre paysage rural moderne. Ces enclos occupés de la fin de l'âge du bronze jusqu'aux tous derniers siècles avant la conquête romaine, ont depuis longtemps suscité l'intérêt des archéologues et le débat sur leur fonction et leur signification demeure au centre des recherches universitaires sur l'âge du fer. Sur beaucoup de ces sites, l'énormité de l'échelle des levées de terre qui les ceignent témoigne des gigantesques efforts fournis par l'ensemble de cette société et atteste qu'elle jouissait d'un niveau élevé d'organisation. Malgré l'attention qui a été apportée à ces sites, il n'est toujours pas clair s'ils étaient des forteresses des princes celtes et de leur entourage, des centres communautaires de population apparentés à un village ou des refuges temporaires occupés en fonction des saisons ou en période d'instabilité. Le fait qu'un petit nombre seulement a fait l'objet d'une étude archéologique extensive continue à entraver toute interprétation fiable de leur rôle. L'étude des forteresses du Wessex a été conçue en réponse à ce besoin de données plus étendues sur l'intérieur des forteresses, ce qu'avec réalisme, il aurait été peu probable d'arriver à obtenir par des fouilles traditionnelles plus coûteuses, plus longues et susceptibles de causer plus de dégâts en pénétrant le site.

Les recherches publiées dans cet ouvrage sont le résultat d'un projet sur trois ans en partenariat entre l'ancien Laboratoire des Monuments Anciens d'English Heritage et l'Université d'Oxford. Le projet fut conçu pour jeter un nouveau jour sur les caractéristiques internes d'une importante gamme de sites de forteresses dans le centre de l'Angleterre du sud afin d'en améliorer la gestion dans l'avenir et de favoriser une meilleure compréhension des monuments parmi les membres du public.

L'Étude des Forteresses du Wessex reposait entièrement sur une méthodologie non-envahissante impliquant essentiellement l'usage de magnétométrie par induction ou de gradiométrie. Cette technique localise les vestiges archéologiques grâce aux légères variations magnétiques causées par des activités humaines dans le passé et contrairement aux fouilles, elle ne cause aucun dommage aux restes archéologiques in-situ. Sur un nombre de sites sélectionnés, les prospections au magnétomètre furent accompagnées d'une étude de susceptibilité magnétique et d'un modelage numérique du terrain. De plus, aux examens de l'intérieur de chaque forteresse vinrent s'ajouter une analyse des photographies aériennes répertoriées, à partir d'un rayon de deux kilomètres autour de chaque site, ancrant ainsi les forteresses individuelles dans le contexte des paysages archéologiques dont elles faisaient partie.

Les résultats de ce projet ont fait avancer de manière significative notre compréhension de l'intérieur des forteresses dans la partie est de la région du Wessex, qui autrement, en l'absence de fouilles, serait resté insuffisamment compris et caractérisé. Les dix-huit forteresses étudiées dans les comtés de Hampshire, Wiltshire, Oxfordshire et Berkshire ont produit des résultats très divers. Ceux-ci vont de sites en grande partie dépourvus de traces internes jusqu'à des sites contenant des traces complexes de structures rondes, de puits, de voies et dans certains cas d'enceintes internes et de limites avec fossés. L'évaluation d'ensemble des résultats nous a permis d'établir des distinctions plus

élaborées entre les différentes classes de forteresses qu'il n'avait été possible de le faire jusqu'alors. L'abondance de nouvelles données révélées illustre la grande complexité des traces archéologiques préservées à l'intérieur de ces sites et il est apparent que cette complexité peut varier considérablement dans un même lieu, d'un site à un autre, ce qui pose beaucoup de nouvelles questions archéologiques à explorer plus en profondeur. L'étude a également souligné le fait que les forteresses sont loin d'être des traits isolés dans le cadre de leur environnement contemporain.

Traduction: Annie Pritchard

Zusammenfassung

Die zahlreichen Erdforte, welche viele Hügel in Südengland krönen, zählen zu den größten und dramatischsten prähistorischen Merkmalen, die bis in die heutige Zeit in unserer modernen ländlichen Umgebung überleben. Diese Befestigungen wurden seit dem Bronzezeitalter bis in die letzten Jahrhunderte vor der römischen Eroberung besiedelt und haben seit langem archäologisches Interesse auf sich gezogen. Die Debatte über ihre Funktion und Bedeutung ist ein zentrales Thema der akademischen Studie des Eisenzeitalters. Der schiefe Umfang der Erdanlagen an vielen der Standorte deutet auf einen grossen Aufwand an kommunalen Anstrengungen und auf ein hohes Maß sozialer Organisation hin. Trotz der großen Aufmerksamkeit, welche diesen Standorten gewidmet wird, ist es bisher unklar, ob sie Befestigungsanlagen keltischer Häuptlinge und ihres Gefolges, kommunale Zentren ähnlich großer Dörfer oder temporäre Zuflucht für verschiedene Jahreszeiten und in Zeiten von Gefahr waren. Zuverlässige Deutungen ihrer Rolle wird durch die relativ kleine Zahl an umfangreichen archäologischen Ausgrabungen behindert. Die Wessex Hügelort Untersuchung wurde als Antwort auf den Bedarf für mehr weittragende Daten über Hügelortinnenanlagen entwickelt, welche normalerweise nicht ohne traditionell teure, zeitaufwendige und beschädigende intrusive Ausgrabungen möglich sind.

Die in diesem Buch publizierten Nachforschungen sind das Resultat eines dreijährigen Partnerschaftsprojekts zwischen dem ehemaligen Altertümlichkeitslabor von English Heritage und der Universität von Oxford. Das Projekt wurde konstruiert um neues Licht auf den internen Charakter vieler Hügelorts in Südengland zu werfen und um das zukünftige Management und öffentliche Verständnis der Monumente zu verbessern.

Die Wessex Hügelort Untersuchung basiert ausschließlich auf nicht-invasiven Methodologien, welche die primäre Nutzung von Fluxgate Magnetometern und Gradiometern beinhaltet. Diese Technik macht archäologische Merkmale durch die unter-

schiedlichen magnetischen Variationen ausfindig, welche durch vergangene menschliche Aktivitäten hervorgerufen wurden. Ungleich zu Ausgrabungen beschädigt diese Methodik keine der in-situ liegenden Überreste. An ausgesuchten Standorten wurden die Magnetometer-Untersuchungen durch magnetischen Empfindlichkeitstests und digitale Terrainmodellierung ergänzt. Die Untersuchungen der Hügelortinnenanlagen wurden durch die Analyse von Luftaufnahmen mit einem 2km Radius um jedem Standort erweitert. Damit wird jedes Hügelort fest in seinem Zusammenhang mit dem archäologischen Umfeld verankert, von welchem es ein Teil war.

Die Resultate dieses Projektes tragen wesentlich zur Förderung unseres Wissens von Hügelortinnenanlagen in der östlichen Hälfte von Wessex bei, welche in der Abwesenheit von Ausgrabungen schlecht verstanden und charakterisiert geblieben wären. Die achtzehn untersuchten Hügelorts in Hampshire, Wiltshire, Oxfordshire und Berkshire produzierten verschiedene Resultate. Diese umfangen Standorte ohne irgendetwas wesentlichen Innenanlagen und andere mit komplexen Mustern von runden Strukturen, Gruben, Straßenwegen sowie in einigen Fällen interne Einfriedungen und Grabenanlagen. Die allgemeine Beurteilung der Resultate erlaubt eine wesentlich detailliertere Unterscheidung zwischen den verschiedenen Klassen von Hügelorts, was bisher nicht möglich war. Der zum Vorschein gebrachte Reichtum an neuen Daten, illustriert die große Komplexität der archäologischen Überreste, welche in diesen Standorten erhalten sind, und verdeutlicht, daß diese Komplexität sehr unterschiedlich ist von einem Standort zum nächsten. Dieses öffnet die Möglichkeit vieler neuer archäologischer Fragen, welche weiter untersucht werden können. Die Untersuchung hebt den Fakt hervor, daß Hügelorts keine isolierten Merkmale in ihrer zeitgenössigen Landschaft waren.

Übersetzung: Norman Behrend

Acknowledgements

Numerous individuals and several organisations contributed to the work of the Wessex Hillforts Survey. The project was carried out with the financial backing of English Heritage and with the assistance and support of the Oxford University, Institute of Archaeology.

Project initiation:

Steve Trow for valuable support and advice, in particular for drafting the original project proposal and for his considerable contribution to the project in the early stages of initiation, planning and development.

Geoff Wainwright, Kate Foley, Andrew David, Barry Cunliffe, Chris Dunn, and Mark Corney all encouraged and supported the initial development of the project and also provided the resources and personal time for it to all happen.

Fieldwork:

First and foremost I would like to acknowledge the indispensable role of the two geophysical surveyors employed by the project – Emma Bray and Peter Cottrell whose contribution was vital to the successful outcome. Largely working on their own initiative Emma and Peter worked unstintingly over the course of two long summers with great energy and dedication to produce the unparalleled magnetometer data-set that underpins this publication. Without their willingness to get involved in such a major undertaking the project would never have reached fruition and they are warmly thanked for their marathon contribution. Occasional support was given to the core survey team in the field by Mark Cole and Tim Horsley who are both thanked for their assistance. Paul Linford supported the project throughout by ensuring that data processing and storage facilities were available for manipulating the large geophysical data-sets involved.

The project would not have been possible without the support of all the members of the Archaeometry Branch of the former Ancient Monuments Laboratory of English Heritage (now part of the Centre For Archaeology). Under the leadership of Andrew David the Archaeometry Branch was responsible for providing overall supervision of the project during the fieldwork stages and beyond, data processing facilities, additional field survey equipment, and additional staff for fieldwork.

Tom Cromwell, Nick Burton, Miles Hitchen and Pip Stevenson collected the GPS data in the field used to model the terrain of the hillforts at Alfred's Castle, Barbury Castle, Beacon Hill, Ladle Hill and Oldbury. Tom Cromwell subsequently processed the GPS data and produced the raw digital terrain models of the hillforts that were used to drape the magnetometer data-sets over.

Neil Linford generously gave of his time in the production of the relief-draped magnetometer survey images of Alfred's Castle, Barbury Castle, Ladle Hill and Oldbury. Sarah May and Nick Burton produced the detailed shaded relief model of the interior of Beacon Hill and Sarah May subsequently produced the relief-draped images of the magnetometer data from Beacon Hill.

Alister Bartlett carried out a series of detailed magnetic susceptibility surveys for the project at Castle Ditches, Wiltshire and Norsebury Ring, Hampshire generously dedicating considerably more time to the project than his fees should have covered.

The authors would like to express their thanks to all the landowners and tenant farmers who generously allowed access to the sites.

Support, encouragement, information and advice:

Gary Lock for commenting on sections of the text and for kindly providing information on his excavations at Alfred's Castle and Segsbury Camp. Also for helpful discussion on the project in general.

Mark Bowden for information on the earthwork surveys of Barbury and Liddington Castles and helpful discussion and comment. Dave McOmish for providing a copy of the analytical earthwork survey of Old Winchester Hill.

The English Heritage regional teams for the South of England (particularly Amanda Chadburn and Steve Trow), the County Archaeologists of Hampshire and Wiltshire (Dave Hopkins and Roy Canham) for SMR information, Anne Upson of Berkshire County Council for SMR information.

Tim Cromack and Gareth Watkins for co-ordinating the EH grant aid and Lidia Lozano at the Institute of Archaeology for providing staunch administrative support and financial management.

Material:

The National Monuments Record for providing the aerial photographic illustrative material published in the book, notably Damian Grady and Bob Bewley for giving generously of their time by helping to retrieve and make available appropriate aerial photographs of the hillforts. Simon Crutchley and Fiona Small kindly helped with the preparation of the sections on Alfred's Castle and St Catherine's Hill in Chapter 2 by providing additional material based upon aerial photography. I would also like to thank Rose Desmond at the University of Cambridge, Unit of Landscape Modelling – Air Photograph Library for permission to reproduce selected aerial images in their collection.

Publication:

John Vallender dedicated a considerable amount of time and expertise towards the production of publication quality graphics presenting the results of the 19 geophysical surveys in Chapter 3 (based on an original set of plans prepared by A Payne).

Mark Bowden kindly read and commented on the text in advance of publication.

Andy Payne would like to thank all the other long suffering people who have had to live with the Wessex Hillforts and its impact for as long as I have, particularly my close work colleagues in the Archaeometry Branch: Andrew, Louise, Neil and Paul, and my wife and children Jane, James and Jennifer.