ANCIENT MONUMENTS LABORATORY REPORT

2520

SERIES/No

COTTRACTOR

AUTHOR

Peter Murphy

May 1978

Carbonised cereals and crop weeds

TITLE

from Sudbury

Carbonised cereals and crop weeds from Sudbury (SUY 014).

Program.

Carbonised seeds were recovered from two contexts:

43, an early medieval pit, and ____, a small gully, possibly of Iron Age date. Uncarbonised seeds of Sambucus nigra were also present, in both features. 2.5 litre samples were taken from each of the three main layers in pit 43 (29, 30 and 36), and from subsidiary charcoal lenses within layer 30. Carbonised material was extracted by simple water flotation, collecting the flot in a 250 micron mesh sieve.

The cereals and weed seeds identified are listed in Table

The remains of crop plants recovered from pit 43 closely
resemble those which have been described from other early
medieval sites in the area (e.g. Ipswich, Norwich, Tasburgh:
All Murphy, forthcoming) and detailed descriptions will
therefore not be given. The wheat grains from _____ are
distorted, and poorly preserved, but they are elongate in form.

	Sample No.		1	2	3	4	5	7
	Feature No.		43				?	
	Layer No.		29	30	30	30	36	?
	% flot examined		100	5	10	100	100	100
	Cereal indet.	ca	12	3	-	37	7	5
Cereal grains	Avena sp.	ca	1	2	2	4	2	-
	Hordeum sp.	ca	3	1	3	12	-	_
	Secale cereale L.	ca	1	-	2	_	– .	-
	Triticum aestivum sl.	ca	11	2	1	34	3	_
	Triticum sp.	ca	_	_	- ,	_	-	8
Cereal chaff	Hordeum sp.	ri	-	-	1	-	-	_
	Avena sp.	$_{ m fb}$	_	1	1	_		_
	Avena sativa L.	fb	-	-	-	3(c)	_	-
	Avena sp.	af		_	1	-	1	-
	Cereal indet.	ri	· -	1	4	3		
Nut	Corylus avellana	n.fr	1	1		1		-
	Brassica/Sinapis sp.	s	_	_	11	8	3	-
	Agrostemma githago L.	s	-	1	-	3	1	-
	<u>Cerastium</u> sp.	s	-	39	14	1	-	-
	Chenopodium album L.	s	-	2		5 ,	_	_
	Malva sp.	nu	-	1	-	-	_	_
	cf. Linum sp. (b)	s	-	1	_	-	_	_
Weed Seats.	<u>Vicia</u> spp.	s	4	2	2	13	1	-
	Polygonum convolvulus L.	nu	1	_	1	1	-	-
	Rumex acetosella agg.	nu	-	_	-	1	-	_
	Rumex sp.	nu	_	_	-	1	3	-
	Polygonaceae indet.	nu	_	_	1	2	1	_
	Galium aparine L.	S	-	1	1		-	_
	Sambucus nigra L. (a)	s	4	1	1	_		1
	Anthemis cotula L.	су	.5	22	8	54	1	_
	Lapsana communis L.	cy	-	-	. 1	-	-	_
	Compositae indet.	сy	-	1	_	-	-	-
	Carex sp.	nu	_	1		_	-	-
	Bromus mollis/secalinus	ca	1	2	1	13	-	-
	Gramineae.	ca	2	2	1	22	4	1
t	Indet.	bu	_	_	1	_	_	-
	Indet.		1 1	7	8	- 10	4	<u> </u>

TABLE Fruits and seeds from St. Gregory's Street, Sudbury

Abbreviations:

awn fragment n.fr nutshell fragments af bulbil bu nutlet nu caryopsis rachis internode ca ri cypsela су s seed indet indeterminate fb floret base

Notes:

- a) uncarbonised
- b) 1.6 mm long: beak damaged
- c) one lower and two upper florets

Discussion

The fruits and seeds recovered from pit 43 are all common in samples from early medieval sites in East Anglia. The cereals are oats, rye, barley and bread/club wheat, and a typical range of arable weed seeds is also present, together with carbonised hazel-nut shells. The samples are, however, unusual in their composition, which is summarised in Table

Sample No.	1	2	3	4	5
Cereal grains	28	8	8	87	12
Main cereal	Wheat	?	?	Wheat	?
Spikelet fragments*	0	2	7	3	0
Weed seeds	18	83	51	134	18
Hazel nuts	1	1	-	1	_

^{*} excluding oat awns.

Table : Numerical composition of samples from pit 43

There are relatively large numbers of carbonised weed seeds compared to the numbers of cereal grains, particularly in samples 2 and 3.

Cereal deposits of this general type from prehistoric sites have been interpreted as the residue from grain cleaning (Dennell 1974, 279); they consist of the weed seeds from the harvested crop, together with a proportion of the smaller cereal grains, the chaff having already been removed by the initial processes of threshing and winnowing. It seems probable that these samples from Sudbury represent the waste products of similar grain cleaning activities, carbonised whilst being burnt as refuse, and disposed of in pit 43. The carbonised hazel-nut shells were presumably introduced into the deposits at some subsquent stage.

The cereals from the gully , could not, unfortunately, be identified to species, but they are possibly spelt or emmer grains. This would be consistent with an Iron Age date for the feature.

* particularly landingles 2, and 3.

Dennell, R.W. (1974) Botanical evidence for prehistoric crop processing activities.

Journal of Archaeological Science 1, 275-284.