## ANCIENT MONUMENTS LABORATORY GEOPHYSICS SECTION

## REPORT ON MAGNETOMETER SURVEY

SURVEY:

DEAN BOTTOM, MARLBOROUGH

DATE:

1-2 September, 1977

Report no. 28/77

SITE 1.

OS grid reference: SU 148741

Field no. -

Location:

On S side of valley below Wooton Basset - Marlborough road 4 miles

NE of Marlborough.

Geology:

chalk

Archaeological evidence: A rectangular Bronze Age enclosure which has been

levelled by ploughing was under excavation at the time of the survey.

SURVEY

Object:

To test for any magnetic response from the enclosure and if possible

to locate any internal features.

(a) Magnetic survey

Type of survey:

traverses plotted with chart recorder

Magnetometer:

Plessey fluxgate

Range: 0-100

Initial chart recorder settings - Y: 16 y/cm (reduced to 32 gamma/cm on plan)
X: 1:200scale " " 1:400 " "

Logged for computing: xes/no

(b) Other tests

(i) Magnetic susceptibility:

topsoli: 13.5

subsoil: -

fili:

x10<sup>-6</sup> emu/am (ac bridge readings)

(ii)

Page warring excavation bareline. Survey coincides Survey grid measured to: with site page at centre of grid at ma not connected for sleps. Errors are less than 3 or at extreme Y and 3 of survey.

## Plans/charts enclosed:

1. Plot of me metaboler from a with interpretation chapting location of survey in rountles to site 'recline and executation trench.

## 3. RESULTS

An area 90m square centered on the assumed position of the enclosure was surveyed with traverses at 1 m separation. The enclosure measures some 40m x 60m and a trench was cut through the 2 side of the earthwork at the time of the survey.

The response from the site was weak and the plotted traces show no strong or distinct magnetic anomalies and only a few which are distinguishable from the background noise. A number of anomalies which are either comparatively well-defined or which affect two neighbouring traverses are circled on the chart. They may not all be significant but some of the stronger ones could represent pits or other occupation features. Alternatively the weaker archaeological anomalies might merge with the noise so that the interpretation is not exhaustive. The anomalies numbered 1-4 were marked on the ground by pegs during the survey.

Other still weaker anomalies which fall below the noise level but happen to align with each other are outlined on the chart by rows of dashes 50m apart. This is an attempt to impose preconceptions on the possibly random plot and any suggestion that the anomalies represent the enclosure ditch, or sections of a double ditch, would not be justified unless checked by excavation. Weak anomalies corresponding to features which lie parallel to the traverses cannot normally be identified in a survey plot and none are marked here.

The lack of response from the site means either that the features are severely eroded, or that they are magnetically identical to the subsoil. The topsoil gave a measurable susceptibility reading which means that any surviving feature out into the chalk with a clitted earth fill should in principle be detectable. The fill of any substantial feature which may occur must therefore contain chalk in a similar proportion to the subsoil. A lynchet may partly everlap the site and the response would be weakened wherever the depth of everburden is increased by a later accumulation of soil.

The anomalies circled on the plot do not suggest the presence of remains of occupation substantial enough to cause magnetic enhancement of the soil of the kind which usually occurs around past metalements.

Surveyed and reported by:

i. Tartiett

with: O. Harker

For: C. Gingell, Levience To and

Date of report: 10th Oct, 1979

Ancient Monuments Laboratory Geophysics Section
Department of the Environment
Fortress House
23 Savile Row
London W1X2HE 01-734 6010 ext

A N



