## Level III report

Archive only (not for publication)

THE MAMMALIAN REMAINS FROM THE ROMAN, MEDIEVAL AND EARLY MODERN LEVELS, ST. MAGNUS, CITY OF LONDON

Philip L. Armitage

#### INTRODUCTION

A total of 6,382 bone elements were recovered from the Roman, medieval and early modern levels; of these, 5,191 (81%) are identified to species and part of skeleton, and 1,191 (19%) remain as unidentified bone fragments. Of the total 5,191 identified bone elements, only 33 ( < 1%) are from wild species, compared with 5,158 (> 99%) from domestic animals. The weight of all the bone is 47,005 g, of which 45,068 g (96%) is the weight of the identified material, and 1,937 g (4%) the unidentified.

2806

The complete collection of mammalian bone is held in store at the Department of Urban Archaeology, Museum of London, where it may be examined on request. Under the British Museum (Natural History) computer-based catalogue scheme the specimens have been assigned the following registration numbers:-

Roman	DUA	1977	R5356	to	DUA	1977	R5477
medieval	DUA	1978	R5000	to	DUA	1978	R5208
early modern	DUA	1978	R5209	to	DUA	1978	R5211

#### EXCAVATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE SKELETAL REMAINS

Excavation was mainly carried out by pickaxe, spade and trowel, all bone uncovered during this operation being collected for subsequent analysis. As part of the general sampling strategy for the collection of seeds and charcoal fragments, material was taken from several selected levels and treated by flotation. The residue collected in the 1 mm mesh sieve during this procedure included seven bone elements of a foetal dog or cat (Context 37; ER ; Saxo-Norman, <u>c</u>.1050-1100 AD) and three bones from a possible water vole (Context 301; ER ; Roman, late 2nd-4th century AD). The only other small mammal bone from the site, the tibia of a rat, was recovered during careful troweling (Context 195; ER ; late Saxon, late 9th century AD).

Objects manufactured from animal bone were found on the site (see report on small finds), but in nearly every case the actual bone used can not be identified, and all such finished artefacts have therefore been omitted from the analysis. 'Two pieces of Identified bone (Context 289;ER ; Roman, late 2nd-4th century AD & Context 195; ER ; late Saxon, late 9th century AD) that represent waste from bone working industries have, however, been included.

With the exception of the bone from Contexts 222, 150, 195 (ER ; late Saxon, late 9th/10th century AD) which may include some residual Roman material, all the skeletal remains taken for analysis were from securely dated levels; those from deposits of mixed Roman and Saxon origin being excluded.

Preservation of the skeletal material is good, and many of the bones are sufficiently intact to allow measurement. Measurements (in mm) were taken from the specimens using dial calipers (Mitutoyo No.505-635, range 300 mm, with dial graduations of 0.05 mm), the points of measurement following those described by von den Driesch (1976). For linear measurements over 300 mm, a Flower's craniometer was used, and for the horn cores of cattle, sheep and goats, a flexible tape measure was employed. All measurements for long bones were maximum dimensions taken from elements with fused epiphyses.

Copies of the complete series of tables giving summaries of the measurements for each of the species identified are available on request from the British Museum (Natural History) and the D.U.A.

All the bone recovered from the site was weighed using a Mikro-Doft balance (range 6 kg).

All the bones from the waterlogged Roman levels are stained dark brown, those from ... the late Saxon, medieval and early modern levels are either stained dark brown or a pale brown yellowish/colour. There are only two bones that show signs of having been charred/ burnt; a tibia of pig from Context 150 (ER ; late Saxon, 10th century AD) and a metatarsal bone of sheep/goat from Context 95 (ER ; medieval, early 12th century AD). entire length of the The/shaft of a complete, adult ox metatarsal bone from Context 146 (ER ; medieval, early 13th century AD) is highly polished on all four surfaces (anterior, posterior, medial & lateral). There is no other noticeable alteration made to the bone, and the significance of the smoothed shaft remains unclear.

-2-

For the purposes of the analysis, the bone material was divided into the following six groups based on stratigraphic sequence:-

(I) Roman I.1 Period 1 phases 1 & 5 amalgamated 1st to 2nd century AD I.2 Period 1 phase 6 late 2nd to 4th century AD (II)Late Saxon Period 2 phases 2 & 3 amalgamated late 9th/10th century AD (III) Saxo-Norman Period 3 phase 2 <u>c</u>.1050-1100 AD (IV)Medieval Period 3 phases 3,4,5 & 6 amalgamated early 12th to early 13th century AD (not later than c. 1250 AD) (V)Later medieval Period 4 phases 1,2 & 3 amalgamated

late 13th to mid 14th century AD (not earlier than <u>c</u>.1275 AD to not later than <u>c</u>.1350 AD)

late 16th/17th century AD

(VI) Early modern Period 4 phase 5

THE SPECIES IDENTIFIED

The domestic and wild species identified are shown in Fig.1.

Fig. 1 : St. Magnus. Mammalian bone, domestic and wild species identified

an ar ann an Airthean ann an Ai	<del></del>	DOMI	ESTIC	;				WII	TD					 	*
	horse	xo	sheep/goat	pig	dog	cat	Red deer	Fallow deer	Roe deer	hare	rat	Water vole ?			
ROMAN 1st-4th cent.AD	+	+	÷	+	+	+	÷		+	+		+		<sup>-</sup>	
LATE SAXON 9th/10th cent.AD		+	+	ł	÷		÷			+	+				
SAXO-NORMAN late 10th-11th cent.AD	÷	+	+	+	÷		+		+						
MEDIEVAL early 12th-13th cent.AD	+	+	+	+	÷	÷		÷	÷	÷					
LATER MEDIEVAL 13 TH - mid 14th cent. AD		÷	+	÷		ተ									
EARLY MODERN late 16th/17th cent.		4	+	+			V	โค <b>บ</b> เ		0000	100	11 <b>110</b> 10	.n t		

-3-

The mammalian bone from the combined Roman, medieval and early modern levels is described in systematic order under species:-

#### Domestic horse and pony

The skeletal remains of horse were recovered from the Roman, Saxo-Norman and medieval levels, but were absent from the late Saxon, later medieval and early modern levels (Fig. 1). The equid bone from the Roman levels includes a right mandibular ramus with deciduous premolars 2, 3 & 4 erupted and in wear (Context 286; ER ; Roman, early 2nd century AD). By comparison with the collection of mandibles of known age at the BM(NH), the age of the animal at death is assessed at less than one year, probably under eight months. The presence of the remains of the foal points to the possibility that in the early 2nd century AD horse breeding was being practised if not within the City then at least close by.

For each of the two complete limb bones from adult animals, the height at the withers is calculated after the method of Kiesewalter (1888):-

Context	ER No.	Date	Bone	Estimated	height	at the withe	ers (cm)
357		early 2nd cent.AD	tibia	119.	5 (<	12 hands, po	ony size)
37		<u>c</u> .1050-1100 AD	metacarpus II	I 142.	2 (appr	ox. 14 hands	;)
	( 1 hand =	= 101.6 mm)			;		

#### Domestic ox

For all the levels except those of the early 12th century AD (Contexts 55,95,101 amalgamated; ER · ) the skeletal remains of ox predominate over those of the other species. Only in the early 12th century material is the number of identified ox bones exceeded by those of sheep/goat (Fig. ).

#### horn cores

The horn cores of ox recovered from the Roman, medieval and early modern levels can be classified (after the system of Armitage & Clutton-Brock, 1976) as follows:-

-4-

ig. 2: St. Magnus. Domestic ox. Horn cores.

AGE AT SLAUGHTER:			۵ <i>۲</i>	a		
Age class	Description	Roman	No.specime Late Saxon	ns Saxo-Norman & medieval	Later medieval	Early modern
I. Juvenile (1-2 years)	spongy bone, very light in weight		<b></b>	4	-	• •
II.Sub-adult (2-4 years)	porous bone especially round the base	3	-	11	1	-
III.Adult (over 4 years)	hard, compact bone	8	3	17	6	<sup>+</sup> 1
KE	Y: a.Complete and	incomp	lete cores			
SIZE:				a		,
Length class	Length of outer curve (mm)	Roman	<u>No.specimen</u> Late Saxon	<u>s</u> Saxo-Norman & medieval	Later medieval	Early modern
small horned	under 96	1	-		-	
short horned	96 - 150	4	1	8	2	-
medium horned	150 - 200	2	-	2	-	
long horned	over 200	***	· ••		1*	-
KEY	: a.Complete * Plus one	cores broken	only specimen		·	اسم در

The important point shown by Fig. 2 is that the remains of long horned cattle were recovered only from the later medieval levels. This observation lends support to the picture already obtained from other medieval sites in Britain that large sized, long horned cattle first make their appearance in South Eastern England in the 14th century AD (Armitage, 1978, in press). These long horned cattle do not represent, as was previously believed (see Hughes, 1896), the offspring of imported stock from Holstein and the Low Countries, but instead probably arose from the local cattle population as a result of improved livestock husbandry and possibly selective breeding.

-5-

#### leight at the withers

From the length of the complete metacarpal bone from Context 222 (ER ; late Saxon, late 9th century AD) the height at the withers is estimated (after the method of Fock, 1966; length X 6.13) at 110.8 cm.

From the length of each of the complete metacarpal bones from the Saxo-Norman and medieval levels (Period 3 phases 2 to 6), the height at the withers is estimated (after the method of Fock, 1966; length X 6.13) as follows:-

No.specimens Range Mean value 18 99.1 - 121.0 110.2 • (values given in cm)

From the complete radius (Context 37; ER ; Saxo-Norman, <u>c</u>.1050-1100 AD) and complete tibia (Context 103; ER ; Saxo-Norman, <u>c</u>.1050-1100 AD) the height at the withers is calculated after the method of Matolcsi (1970), as described by von den Driesch & Boessneck (1974, Table 5, p.336):

	radius	maxir	num length X 4.30
	tibia	maxir	num length X 3.45
Context	ER No.	Bone	Estimated height at the withers (cm)
37		radius	107.7
103		tibia	102.5

#### Domestic sheep and goat

Apart from the two partially intact crania and the eight separate horn cores listed below (Fig. 3) identified as certainly goat, together with one radius (Context 37; ER

; Saxo-Norman, <u>c</u>.1050-1100 AD) and one metacarpal bone (Context 357; ER ; Roman, early 2nd century AD) also possibly from goat, all the caprine bone is ascribed to sheep. It is, however, difficult to distinguish clearly between the jaws of sheep and goat, particularly if they are broken, for this reason the collection of mandibles (Fig. 6) although classified as sheep may possibly include one or more specimens of goat.

-6-

	ig.	3:	St.	Magnus.	Goat.	Horn	cores
--	-----	----	-----	---------	-------	------	-------

~7-

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Context	ER No.	Date No.s	pecimens	Description
150		10th cent.AD	2	male or castrate, joined pair of
			1	castrate ?
195		10th cent.AD	1 .	female, with part of frontal bone still attached (hacked-off the skull)
37		<u>c</u> .1050-1100 AD	1	female ?
103		<u>c</u> .1050-1100 AD	2	female ? , joined pair of horn cores on skull
			1	male ?
			1	sex ?
			1	fragment only
192		early 12th cent.	AD 1	female, chopped through at base of the core
91		<u>c</u> .1300-1350 AD	1	male, attached to small portion of the frontal bone (chopped-off the skull)

## horned and polled (hornless) sheep

With the exception of the one four-horned animal from Context 222 (ER ; late Saxon, 10th century AD) and the one polled (hornless) individual from Context 286 (ER ; Roman, early 2nd century AD), all the sheep from the Roman and medieval levels are two-horned, with the horn cores resembling closely those seen in the modern Soay. The skull of the polled sheep from the Roman level is cleaved in half, and the surviving fragment (left side) has a very small, roughened protruberance in place of the horn core.

## four-horned sheep

Context 222 (ER ) a late 9th/early 10th century AD accumulation of refuse buried in river silt, contained a partially intact cranium of a four-horned sheep. The surviving portion with the four horn cores attached has been sliced-off the complete skull probably with a cleaver (Fig. 4). Measurements taken from this specimen are given in Table 22 at the end of this report.

The remains of four-horned sheep are known from other late Saxon sites in Britain, for example at St. Peter's, Northampton (Harman, 1977, pers.comm.). The specimen from

St. Magnus is, however, as far as I am aware, the first such find in the City of London. Further examples of these sheep in Britain have been recovered from the medieval levels, North Elmham and the City of Hereford (Noddle, 1975), as well as from the Roman fort at Vindolanda (Hodgson, 1977). In Europe, four-horned sheep have been recorded from 11th century AD Lund, Sweden (Bergquist & Lepiksaar, 1957), also from a Terp (dwelling mound) in the Province of Friesland, Netherlands, dated between 600 BC and 1000 AD, and from the 14th century AD castle of Kuinre, Province of Overijssel, Netherlands (Clason, 1977a, 1977b & 1978, pers.comm.). Among modern sheep in Britain, the rams of the following breeds exhibit the four-horned condition: Jacob, Hebridean St.Kilda and Manx Loghtan.

Multihorned lambs, bearing seven horns ranged across the head, appear frequently as illustrations of the Apocalypse (Armitage & Goodall, 1977), but these are highly stylised animals obviously drawn according to contemporary artistic and religious conventions rather than from nature. They can not, therefore, be accepted as portraying actual polycerate sheep. The one very fine depiction of a four-horned ram that is to be seen in the <u>Luttrell Psalter</u> (fo. 169), on the other hand, must have been drawn from life. This book is believed to have been written and illuminated in East Anglia in  $\underline{c}$ .1340 AD, and many of the drawings that embellish the text are thought by art historians to be the work of laymen rather than monks (Millar,1932). This clearly explains why the subjects chosen for illustration are less of a religious nature and instead reflect more contemporary English life and customs. The drawing of the ram is very likely therefore to represent an early record of a local (East Anglian) fourhorned sheep, drawn from a living animal and not copied from a foreign pattern book. The presence of the specimen from the St. Magnus site provides further evidence for the existence of a four-horned variety of sheep in South Eastern England in medieval times.

-8--

stature of the sheep

The method of Teichert (as described by von den Driesch & Boessneck, 1974) is employed in order to estimate the height at the withers:-

Factors:	radius	length	Х	4.02
	metacarpus	length	Х	4.89
	metatarsus	length	Х	4,54

Fig. 5: St. Magnus. Sheep. Height at the withers

(1)	ROMAN 1st - 2nd	century AD		
	Bone	No.specimens	Height at the w	vithers (cm)
			mean	range
	metacarpal bone	4	61.0	57.4 - 64.2
(2)	LATE SAXON 10th	century AD		
	Bone	No.specimens	Height at the	withers (cm)
	metatarsal bone	1	56.8	
(3)	SAXO-NORMAN & MEDIE	VAL 11th - 13th	century AD	
	Bone	No.specimens	Height at the	withers (cm)
		an y y na na mana an	mean	range
	radius	9		53.3 - 64.4
	metacarpal bone	20	60.0	54.2 - 64.8
	metatarsal bone	15	58.2	54.0 - 63.5

-9-

## kill-off pattern for the sheep

The kill-off pattern (i.e. the relative number of animals killed at each age) for the sheep mandibles is presented below (Fig. 6), and is based on the method of Payne (1973).

Fig. 6: St. Magnus. Sheep mandibles. Kill-off pattern

			No.sp	ecimens		
		ROMAN	LATE SAXON	SAXO-NORMAN	MEDIEVAL	LATER MEDIEVAL
		1st-4th	9th-10th	late 10th - 11th	early 12t) - 13th	n 13th - mid 14th cent.AD
Wear stage	Age range					
А	0-2 months	*	-		-	
В	2-6 "	4		-	3	-
С	6-12 "		3		9- <b>6</b> -	
D	1-2 years	-	1	2	9	***
E	2 <b>-</b> 3 <sup>* #</sup>	3	1	1	8	-
F	3-4 "	2	3	1	11	1
G	4-6 "	2	ī	3	10	1
н	6-8 "	-	-	-		1
I	8-10 "		-	-		• –
Note: Ther	e are no mano	libles fro	om the early	modern level	(Context 36	S;ER ; late 16th/ 17th century AD)

The size of each group of mandibles is too small to provide a basis for the reconstruction of the slaughtering policy of the different historic periods.

#### Domestic pig

All the bones of pig are identified as coming from the domestic animal, and there are, as far as I am able to ascertain, no elements that might be from the wild species.

It is of interest that the picture of the dominance of the skeletal remains of pig st. Magnus over those of sheep/goat observed here for the refuse from the Roman levels, (Fig. ) is the same as that recorded previously for the Roman levels, Billingsgate Building site (Armitage, 1978, in press). Furthermore, as already seen in the medieval material from the Billingsgate Buildings site, the number of identified sheep/goat bones in the medieval levels, St. Magnus exceeds that of pig (Fig. ). The significance of these observations is considered below in the discussion.

-10-

## kill-off pattern

The relative numbers of animals killed at each age (i.e. the kill-off pattern) is established using information on eruption of the teeth in the mandibles; the age at which each tooth erupts being based on data for pigs of the late 18th century AD (Silver, 1971, Table G, p.298-299):

Fig. 7: St. Magnus. Pig mandibles. Kill-off pattern

		<u> </u>	ze at s	slaugh	ter (years)
		~1	1-2	2-3	3+
ROMAN					
	1st-2nd cent.AD	2	3	8	7
	late 2nd-4th cent.AD	-		-	-
LATE SAX	CON				·
	9th/10th cent.AD			4	5
SAXO-NOI	RMAN				•
	late 10th-11th cent.AD	-	1	3	6
MEDIEVAL	a de la constante de				
	early 12th-13th cent.AD	-	3	5	1
LATER ME	DIEVAL				
	12th-mid 14th cent.AD	-		1	-
EARLY MO	DERN				
	late 16th/17th cent.AD			-	

From Fig. 7, it would appear that for all periods (Roman to later medieval) the preferred time for slaughter was when the pig was over two years of age. The size \_\_\_\_\_\_ of each sample is, however, too small to provide a basis for reconstruction of the slaughtering policy of the different historic periods represented.

Apart from the two mandibles from the Roman levels, there is a noticeable absence of specimens from sucking pig. Evidence for the eating of sucking pig in medieval times is, however, provided by the presence of one radius and one humerus from Context 101 (ER ; medieval, early 12th century AD).

## Domestic dog

Evidence for the disposal of the carcases of dogs among urban refuse is provided at St. Magnus by the following identified bone elements:-

Context	ER No.	Date	Description	
350		early 2nd cent.AD	<ul> <li>1 tooth</li> <li>1 ulna</li> </ul>	
286		early 2nd cent.AD	1 ulna 1 innominate bone 2 tibia	
341		mid 2nd cent.AD	1 scapula 1 humerus 1 radius	
288		late 2nd-4th cent.AD	1 skull 2 scapula 1 humerus 1 ulna 1 femur 1 metapodial bone	all 7 elements are from one, adult dog
150		10th cent.AD	1 scapula 1 vertebra 1 sacrum	
195		10th cent.AD	1 ulna	
164		<u>c</u> .1050-1100 AD	1 metapodial bone	
101		early 12th cent.AD	1 metapodial bone	

Fig. 8: St. Magnus. Dog. Bone elements identified

From the complete limb bones, the following heights at the shoulder are calculated (after the method of Harcourt, 1974):-

Context	ER No.	Date	Bone He	ight at the should	ler (cm)
350		early 2nd cent.AD	ulna	29.3	
286		early 2nd cent.AD	ulna tibia	51.8 49.2	
341		mid 2nd cent.AD	humerus	55•7	
288		late 2nd-4th cent.AD	humerus ulna femur	51.1 50.0 52.4 51.2 mean	one animal
195		10th cent.AD	ulna	36.7	

Fig. 9: St. Magnus. Dog. Height at the shoulder

#### Domestic cat

The domestic cat is represented by a complete humerus from Context 350 (ER ; Roman, early 2nd century AD) and a complete radius from Context 163 (ER ; later medieval,  $\underline{c} \cdot 1275 - 1350$  AD). Both of these bones have the proximal and distal epiphyses fused, and are therefore from adult animals. There is also a complete metatarsal bone from Context 101 (ER ; medieval, early 12th century AD).

## Foetal dog (or cat ?)

The following seven bones were recovered by flotation from a sample of matrix taken from Context 37 (ER ; Saxo-Norman, <u>c.1050-1100 AD</u>):-

1 tibia 1 innominate bone 5 parts skeleton 3 all bones are very little developed

These are identified as coming from a foetal dog or cat.

#### Red deer

The following three bone elements of Red deer Cervus elaphus are identified:-Fig. 10: St. Magnus. Red deer. Bone elements identified Context ER No. Description Date 350 early 2nd cent.AD 1 radius, complete specimen except for the distal epiphysis which is unfused and detached (immature animal) 195 10th cent.AD 1 antler, right, incomplete (upper beam & terminal tines broken off), from a fully grown adult 104 c.1050-1100 AD 1 phalanx 1, complete bone

-14-

The antler from Context 195 is still attached to a portion of the frontal bone, showing that the Red deer stag had been hunted and killed between July and early Spring; the times between hardening of the newly grown antler and its shedding (see Lyneborg, 1971, p. 216). The presence of a square hole drilled through the surviving section of frontal bone, with the remnants of what appears to be an iron nail clinging to the inside surface, points to the possibility that this specimen was mounted as a trophy.

## Fallow deer

Only one bone of Fallow deer <u>Dama dama</u> was found on the site, this is a radius from Context 146 (ER ; medieval, early 13th century AD).

Roe deer

Remains of Roe deer <u>Capreolus capreolus</u> were recovered from the Roman, Saxo-Norman and medieval levels (Fig. 1), and these are listed as follows:-

	Fig.	11:	St.	Magnus.	Roe deer.	Bone	elements	identifie
--	------	-----	-----	---------	-----------	------	----------	-----------

<u>Context</u>	ER No.	Date	Description
357		early 2nd cent.AD	<ol> <li>femur, piece of shaft only</li> <li>tibia, immature animal (proximal epiphysis unfused &amp; detached)</li> <li>metacarpal bone, proximal epiphysis and part of shaft</li> </ol>
350		early 2nd cent.AD	1 femur, distal epiphysis and part of shaft
286		early 2nd cent.AD	<pre>1 madibular ramus, right, incomplete 1 scapula, broken 1 innominate bone, from female 1 tibia, distal epiphysis and part of shaft 2 metatarsal bone, proximal epiphysis and <sup>2</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of shaft (distal epiphysis is broken off in both specimens)</pre>
341		mid 2nd cent.AD	1 antler, fragment only
37		<u>c</u> .1050-1100 AD	3 femur, distal epiphysis and part of shaft, one specimen chopped across distal end 1 metatarsal bone, distal epiphysis and part of shaft
192		early 12th cent.AD	1 humerus, complete except for part of the proximal epiphysis which has been partially destroyed by a dog gnawing and crunching the bone
101		early 12th cent.AD	1 antler, still attached to a piece of the frontal bone

Information on tooth eruption and degree of wear was used (after the method of Aitken, 1975) to establish the approximate age of the mandible from Context 286 (ER ; Roman, early 2nd century AD). The specimen is from an animal aged less than one year.

The antler from Context 101 (ER ; medieval, early 12th century AD) is still attached to a portion of the frontal bone, showing that the Roe buck had been hunted and killed between April and late October; the times between hardening of the newly grown antler and its shedding (see Prior, 1968, p. 107).

۰.

The absence of skeletal remains of Roe deer in the post 12th century AD levels would seem to lend support to the belief held by historians and zoologists (see Fitter, 1945, p.91) that this species of deer had by the late middle ages been hunted to extinction in the forests around London (see also Armitage, 1977, p. 121).

#### Hare

Evidence that the hare Lepus sp. was hunted and its meat used to suppliment the diet is provided by the presence of the following skeletal elements:-

Fig. 12: St. Magnus. Hare. Bone elements identified

Context	ER No.	Date	Description
357		early 2nd cent.AD	1 scapula
286		early 2nd cent.AD	1 innominate bone 2 tibia: (1) proximal epiphysis & part shaft (2) distal epiphysis & part shaft
341		mid 2nd cent.AD	1 metapodial bone
195		10th cent.AD	1 femur, proximal epiphysis & part shaft
55		early 12th cent.AD	2 tibia, left & right possibly from same animal, distal epiphysis & part shaft

#### Rat

Context 195 (ER ) an early 10th century AD accumulation of refuse buried in river silt, contained a tibia identified as that of an immature rat (proximal epiphysis unfused and detached). This specimen represents the earliest record that we have of rat in London.

The sealing of the refuse comprising Context 195, initially by river silt and then by further deposition of rubbish, makes it unlikely that the rat is intrusive. The animal could not have entered the late Saxon stratum by burrowing, and the bone is therefore certainly contemporary with the rest of the associated faunal remains.

Although it is not possible to distinguish between the post cranial bones of the Black rat <u>Rattus rattus</u> and the Brown (or common) rat <u>Rattus norvegicus</u>, the early

date of the deposit in which the tibia was found makes it likely that the specimen is of Black rat. This is because there is conclusive historical evidence to show that the Brown rat was not introduced to this country until the early 18th century AD (Pennant, 1776, Vol.I,p.116; Barret-Hamilton & Hilton, 1910-21, Vol.2,p.609; Twigg, 1975,p.22); the only species to be found in Britain before this date being <u>Rattus rattus</u>.

The presence of a probable Black rat in 10th century AD London points to the possibility that this species was introduced to this country much earlier than was originally thought. Until recently, it has been a commonly held belief that <u>Rattus rattus</u> was first brought to Britain in the 12th century AD, supposedly in the ships of the crusaders returning from the Holy Land (Barret-Hamilton & Hilton,1910-21, Vol.2,p.582; Matheson,1939; Fitter,1959,p.107; Twigg, 1975,p.20). The finding of one bone on the St. Magnus site can not, however, be taken to imply the presence of a well established population of rats in the City in late Saxon times. This particular animal might just represent an isolated, chance introduction that had been unwittingly brought into the dock area of the City in the hold of a visiting ship.

Research into the history of the Black rat in the City of London from the middle ages to the present day is continuing.

\* Footnote: It is worth pointing out that if, as is believed, the animal being described is the Black rat, the explanation that this individual is intrusive is even more unlikely, since the observed behaviour of modern Rattus rattus suggests that this species very rarely burrows (instead it prefers to live above ground).

## ? Water vole

The following parts of one skeleton were recovered from Context 301 (ER ; Roman, late 2nd-4th century AD):-

2 humerus 1 femur } epiphyses unfused & detached As the bones are from an immature individual and are very little developed it has not proved possible to identify the species with any degree of certainty, but they may be from the Water vole <u>Arvicola terrestris</u>.

-17-

#### PATHOLOGY

A total of 19 elements (0.4 % of the total number of bones identified) show evidence of disease or deformity, these are described in systematic order under species:-

#### I. Domestic ox

I.1 Congenital anomaly

(a) Absence of second lower premolar

Among the five complete and partially complete mandibular rami from Context 101 (ER ; medieval, early 12th century AD) there is one specimen of an adult ox which has two premolar teeth instead of the usual three; the second permanent premolar is lacking. There is no evidence for this tooth ever having been present, and the condition can not, therefore, be attributed to premature shedding of the tooth. Mandibles of oxen with similar 'five tooth rows' from other archaeological sites have been described by Andrews & Noddle (1975), and these authors attribute the condition to a congenital anomaly.

(b) Absence of the third cusp on the lower third molarThe following mandibular rami have a lower third molar whose third cusp is lacking (i.e. has never developed):

Context	ER No.	Date	Number of specimens
357		early 2nd cent.AD	1
222		10th cent.AD	· <b>1</b>
37		<u>c</u> .1050-1100 AD	2 (from same animal)
192		early 12th cent.AD	1

#### I.2 Traumatic injury

Two ribs from Context 101 (ER ; medieval, early 12th century AD) have been fractured. Radiographs taken of these bones show that in one specimen the healing processes were well advanced but not completed at time of death (there is a well developed callus and the fracture line has almost closed-up). In the second specimen, however, the two separated pieces of rib have failed to unite despite callus formation (i.e. there is non union of the fracture).

I.3 'Degenerative arthritis' (osteoarthrosis)

An innominate of a cow from Context 357 (ER ; Roman, early 2nd century AD) has part of the inside surface of the acetabulum smoothed and polished, showing

-18-

Reshaping of the bone as a result of repeated mechanical stress One metatarsal bone from Context 146 (ER ; medieval, early 13th century AD) has a distended (extra wide) medial condyle, giving the distal end of the bone a splayed appearance. The absence of eburnation (polishing) and grooving of the articular surface rules out the possibility that this condition is the result of osteoarthrosis ('degenerative arthritis'). Instead, the splayed epiphysis may have developed in response to repeated mechanical stress; it being widely held (see Jewell, 1963, p. 89; Mennerich, 1968, p. 132; Harcourt, 1975, pers.comm.) that metapodial bones with abnormally broad distal ends (such as the specimen described here) are from plough oxen.

-19-

#### II. Domestic sheep

I.4

II.1 Absence of second permanent premolar

One jaw bone from an animal aged approximately 3 - 4 years (Context 192;ER

; medieval, early 12th century AD) has only five teeth; the second premolar is lacking. As with the ox mandible described above (I.1) there is no evidence of this tooth ever having been present.

#### II.2 Periodontal disease

Two mandibular rami (listed below) show evidence of periodontal disease i.e. recession (erosion) of the bone below the line of the cheek teeth on the medial and lateral surfaces.

Context	ER No.	Date	No.specimens	Age class (Payne, 1973)
62	•	mid 13th cent.AD	1	G 4-6 years
99		<u>c</u> .1300-1350 AD	1	H 6-8 years

## II.3 Exostoses

Osteophytic (bony) outgrowths are present on the following elements :-

$\underline{Context}$	ER No.	Date	Bone	No.specimens	Description
85		<u>c</u> .1050-1100 AD	radius	3	bony lipping on lateral & medial rim of proximal articular surface
109		early 12th cent.AD	radius	1	bony outgrowth on lateral edge of provimal eniphysis

III. Pig

Infection introduced by traumatic injury

Metacarpal bones IV & V from one, adult pig (Context 192; ER ; medieval, early 12th century AD) show evidence of an infected lesion (abscess). Development of the condition would probably have been as follows<sup>\*</sup>: A traumatic injury to the foot such as that caused by penetration of a sharp object (e.g. iron nail, or possibly even the tusk of another pig) introduced an infection, which in turn resulted in osteomyelitis (localised inflammation) of the metacarpal bones. An abscess then formed in the junction between the bones, involving both of them in the production of new bone growth which forced the distal third of metacarpus V to bend outwards away from metacarpus IV (i.e. remodelling of the bone took place). The presence of the suppurating abscess on the foot would have resulted in lameness in the animal.

It is of interest, that in modern pigs lameness is only occasionally the result of traumatic injury, the condition being more usually associated with arthritis of an infectious nature (Roberts & Doyle, 1964,p.700). The wounds very low incidence of evidence for injury/ on foot bones of pigs from archaeological sites shows that the modern observation that lameness is only very rarely due to injury may also be true of pigs in the Roman, medieval and early modern periods.

\* The reconstruction is based on a discussion held with Dr E. Appleby, Royal Veterinary College, London.

-20-

## BONES GNAWED BY DOG

A total of 270 elements (5% of the total number of bones identified) have splintered ends and the surface pitted with perforation marks made by teeth, showing that the bones have been gnawed and crunched by dogs. These bones are listed as follows:-

Fig.13: St.Magnus. Number of bone elements gnawed by dog (excluding rib & vertebra)

	ROMAN 1st-4th	LATE SAXON 9th-10th	SAXO-NORMAN late 10th - 11th	MEDIEVAL early 12th - 13th	LATER MEDIEV 13th - mid 14th	Lcent.AD
DOMESTIC SPECIE	s:					
ox sheep/goat pig	3 4 6	25 9 11	44 25 10	34 57 33	3 - 2	
WILD SPECIES:						
Roe deer	1	-	-	2	-	

Apart from one antler of Roe deer (Context 101;ER ; medieval, early 12th century AD) and one horn core of sheep (Context 55; ER ; medieval, early 12th century AD), all the bones shown in Fig.13 are from the fore and hind limbs.

One thoracic vertebra of an ox (Context 222; ER ; late Saxon, 9th/10th century AD) has also been gnawed by dog.

There are no bones recorded with evidence of gnawing by rodent.

-21-

## BONE WORKING

A metatarsal bone of an adult ox from Context 289 (ER ; Roman, late 2nd to 4th century AD) has been sawn through at the proximal end and the shaft removed. The remaining piece, the proximal epiphysis attached to part of the shaft, represents the unwanted off-cut from a bone working industry. The long, straight shaft of the metatarsal bone with its thick sided walls make it the ideal raw material for fashioning into handles for large knives and cleavers, as well as in the production of buttons and gaming counters (see Armitage, 1977, pp. 143-147).

One other piece of bone, identified as the waste from bone working, is a scapula of ox from Context 195 (ER ; late Saxon, 10th century AD). A square section has been cut-out (sawn ?) from the flat part of the blade.

## HORN WORKING

Many of the horn cores of ox, sheep and goat have the marks made by a cleaver and/or saw on them, showing that they are the discarded waste from horn working. These specimens are listed as follows:-

Fig. 14: St. Magnus. Domestic ox. Horn cores.

Context No.	ER No.	Date No.s	pecimens	Implement used	
357		early	1 adult	cleaver	
		2nd cent.AD	1 sub-aduit	cleaver & saw	
350		87 TF	1 adult	cleaver	
286		11 11	2 ndv1*	~~. 	
200				Cleaver	
			ladult	saw	
			1 sub-adult	cleaver	
269		late 2nd-	1 adult	cleaver	
		4th cent.AD			
192		early 12th	2 adult	cleaver	
		cent.AD	1 sub-adult	cleaver & knife	
146		early 13th	5 adult	cleaver	
		cent.AD	1 adult	SAW	
			3 sub-adult	cleaver	
			1 sub-adult	alegyer & cow	
			1 Sub-autric	aloguon 8 Junito	
			1 Sub-adult	cleaver & Kuile	
			1 sub-adult	knlie -	
91		c.1300-1350	2 adult	cleaver	
-			1 juvenile	cleaver	

-22-

Fig. 14 (continued)	•		
163	<u>c</u> .1275-1350	1 adult	cleaver
	AD	1 adult	saw
100	mid 14th	1 adult	cleaver
	cent.AD	1 sub-adult	cleaver

-23-

KEY: \* Evidence of skinning

Fig. 15: St.Magnus. Sheep. Horn cores

Context No. ER	No. Date	No.specimens	Implement used
222	9th/10th cent.AD	1 (four horned	) cleaver
150	10th cent.AD	1`	sa₩
195	10th cent.AD	1	cleaver
37	<u>c</u> .1050-1100 A	D 2	cleaver
192	early 12th ce AD	nt. 3	cleaver
101	early 12th ce: AD	nt. 1	cleaver
62	mid 13th cent	.AD 1	cleaver
91	<u>c</u> .1300-1350 A	D 1	cleaver

٠.

Fig. 16: St. Magnus. Goat. Horn cores

		<b>a</b> . 1		•	<b>.</b>	****
Context No.	ER No.	Date	No.spec	cimens	Implement used	
150		10th cent.AD		1	5aw (?)	
195		10th cent.AD		1	cleaver	
192		early 12th ce	ent.AD	1	cleaver	
91		<u>c</u> .1300-1350 /	AD	1	cleaver	

The evidence shows that the majority of the horn cores of sheep and goat were chopped half way through the base and then broken off the skull.

## BUTCHERY

Many of the bones of domestic livestock (ox, sheep & pig) examined have marks made by a chopper or cleaver on them, showing evidence of butchery. Figs. 17 to 19 show in diagramatic form the positions on the skull and limb bones of the most frequently encountered cut marks. The diagrams show only those cuts associated with primary butchery (dressing down of the carcass) and secondary butchery (disjointing). The evidence for tertiary butchery (i.e. the splitting and cracking open of certain bone elements after the meat has been stripped from the bone, in order to extract the marrow) has been omitted. Had information on this group been included on the diagrams they would, in my opinion, have been overcrowded and difficult to interpret.

Various stages of butchery are recognised, these are described as follows:-

## I. Primary butchery (dressing down the carcass)

## I.1 Removal of the head

The following cervical vertebrae are chopped through, showing the position of the chop made when the head was severed from the rest of the body:-

Fig.20: St. Magnus. Domestic livestock. Vertebra.

Context No.	ER No.	Date	Species	Bone	Description
357		early 2nd cent.AD	ox	atlas	body chopped through at an oblique angle
150		10th cent.AD	ox	axis	chopped <b>through</b> obliquely downwards through anterior articular process
37		<u>c</u> .1050-1100 AD	ox	cervical	body chopped through
192		early 12th cent.AD	sheep	axis	anterior articular process chopped through
101		early 12th cent.AD	ox	atlas	chopped in half
146		early 13th cent.AD	ox	axis	chopped transversely across body
62		mid 13th cent.AD	sheep	axis	chopped through across the posterior end

-24-

I.2 Removal of horns from the skull

See section on horn working, above

1. 1900

## II. Secondary butchery (disjointing)

## II.1 Dividing the carcass into two halves

Only in a few of the vertebrae of domestic ox from the Saxo-Norman, medieval and later medieval levels is there evidence that the carcass had been split into two halves before disjointing. The carcass would have been suspended above the ground by its hind legs, this position enabling the butcher to first cut through the pubic symphysis and then continue downwards cleaving the vertebral column along the medial line.

None of the ox vertebrae from the Roman levels are split in half, instead they have their lateral edges sliced-off. This form of butchery is particularly noticeable in the thoracic vertebrae where the transverse process has been chopped off and the rib removed.

#### II.2 Cuts of meat

There are two sets of articulated bones representing the discarded remnants of joints of pig, these being:-

- (1) Context 37 (ER ; Saxo-Norman, c.1050-1100 AD).
   A right tibia, astragalus and calcaneum from the hind quarters of a pig aged approximately two years.
- (2) Context 55 (ER ; medieval, early 12th century AD). A right humerus, radius and ulna from the forequarters of a pig aged between one and three years. The humerus is chopped completely through the shaft a third of the distance from the distal end. A similar group of bone elements with the humerus chopped in the same way can be seen in a modern 'leg of pork' bought today from a butcher's shop.

#### III. Tertiary butchery

-26-

The numbers of bone fragments of domestic ox representing the debris from the smashing of 'marrow bones' are given in Fig. 21, below.

III. 1 Cracking open of marrow bones'

Fig. 21: St. Magnus. Domestic ox. Butchery, debris from marrow extraction. Main dumps of refuse only

Date of Context	Total number of ox <sup>1</sup> bones identified	Number of long bone	fragments of ox <sup>2</sup>
ROMAN (a) 1st-2nd cent.AD (b) late 2nd-4th cent.AD	1008 136	91 11	(9%) (8%)
SAXO-NORMAN <u>c</u> .1050-1100 AD	513	25	(5%)
MEDIEVAL early 12th cent.AD	246	12	(5%)
LATER MEDIEVAL <u>c</u> .1300-1350 AD	44	о	(%)

KEY: 1. Value given includes numbers of rib & vertebra

2. Debris from the smashing of 'marrow bones'. Shaft fragments mostly of humerus, femur and tibia, but with the occasional radius and metapodial bone. These broken fragments have either straight edged breaks or spiral fractures (see Bonnichsen, 1973).

Other evidence for the processing of bone for grease and marrow is provided by:-

- (1) A group of 15 ox vertebrae (lumbar ?) from Context 357 (ER ; Roman, early 2nd century AD). These have been chopped into small segments in such a way that the cancellous (spongy) internal structure of the bone is exposed.
- (2) From all levels, Roman to later medieval, there are numbers of incomplete innominate bones of ox, sheep and pig which are chopped through in at least two (sometimes three) places e.g. across the ilium just above the acetabulum and through the acetabular branch of the pubis. Similar specimens in which the ilium, ischium and pubis are chopped from the innominate, leaving the acetabular triangle intact are described from an Indian camp near Calling Lake, Alberta, Canada by Bonnichsen (1973, p. 11).

# III.2 Cutting flesh from the bone

The following elements have repeated, superficial marks on them made either by a chopper or large knife, showing evidence of the removal of the flesh from the bone:-

Fig.22: St.Magnus. Domestic livestock. Butchery, removal of flesh from the bone

Context No.	ER No.	Date	Species	<u>Bone</u> Lo	cation of chop/knife mark
288		late 2nd- 4th cent.AD	ох	3 femur	on shaft
195		10th cent.AD	ox	1 humerus	anterior surface of shaft
150		10th cent.AD	ox	1 scapula	blade
103		<u>c</u> .1050-1100 AD	sheep	1 radius	anterior surface of shaft
37		<u>c</u> .1050-1100 AD	ox	1 tibia	anterior surface of shaft
37		11 11	sheep	1 radius	anterior surface of shaft
37		18 18	pig	1 radius	anterior surface of shaft
101		early 12th cent.AD	pig	1 jawbone	lateral surface of ascending ramus

KEY: 1. It is uncertain whether the removal of the meat from the bone occurred before or after cooking

Similar marks have been recorded on the shafts of ox bones from the Roman deposits at Portchester Castle, Hampshire (Grant, 1975, p. 392 & Plate XXXIXb).

-27-

#### DISCUSSION

The bulk of the collection of skeletal remains from each of the various levels (Roman to early modern), St.Magnus, represents the discarded debris from slaughteryard and household, and can therefore provide information on diet in the different historic periods. The only elements from the site identified as industrial waste are: the horn cores of ox, sheep and goat (listed in Figs. 14, 15 & 16, pp.22 & 23); a sawn metatarsal bone and scapula of ox (p.22). There are also groups of bone from pet dogs and cats (pp. 12 & 13), as well as isolated elements from wild rodents (rat and ? Water vole, pp. 16 & 17).

An assessment of the relative contribution made by each of the meat-yielding species to the diet in the Roman and medieval periods has been made using weight of bone, but excluding rib and vertebra (Fig. 23); where weight of bone is assumed to be directly proportional to meat yield (see Uerpmann, 1973). Values for the number of identified elements (excluding rib and vertebra) are also given in Fig.23, but they provide a less accurate means of assessing the relative contribution made by each species to the diet. This is because the number recorded for a given species depends very much on the degree of fragmentation of the bones found on the site. A high value recorded for domestic ox, for instance, may not be indicative of the importance of this animal over the other classes of livestock but, instead, may simply reflect the fact that certain of the larger limb bones had been smashed into many fragments in order to extract the marrow. Because of the smaller quantities of marrow contained in the sheep and pig bones, these may not have received similar treatment and, in consequence, will be recovered as single, intact elements.

From the weights of bone given in Fig.23, it is clearly seen that the bulk of the meat in the Roman periods (Figs.23(a) & (b)) came from cattle and pig, whilst in the medieval periods (Figs.23.2, 23.3 & 23.4) the meat is mostly from cattle and sheep. This predominance of the remains of pig over those of sheep in the Roman levels probably reflects a dietary preference; the importance of bacon (and lard) in the Roman military diet has been stressed by Davies (1971), and it seems on the evidence presented here that this predilection for pig meat extended to the civilian population of London in Roman times. The decline in the proportion of pig bone and increase in the numbers of sheep seen in the medieval levels, St.Magnus (see also data from the Billingsgate

(1. see also, Wilson (1976), pp.65×66)

Buildings site, Armitage, 1978, in press) provides evidence of a change in agriculture. In the middle ages and up to the 18th century AD, pigs were for the most part maintained under free-range conditions, and were allowed to forage in woodland feeding on beech mast and acorns during the autumn and winter months. With the large scale clearances of forests and woodlands in the lowlands of Southern Britain throughout the medieval period, there occured a reduction in the numbers of domestic pigs. At the same time, the growth in the wool trade meant that more sheep were being kept than previously, and the supply of draft ewes and wethers (castrated sheep) to butchers operating in the City of London consequently increased.

In both the Roman and medieval periods, the contribution from wild species is very small; meat from game animals was apparently not an important feature in the diet, but only supplimented it.

-29-

--30-

23.1 ROMAN

(a) Period	1 phas	es 1 & 5 amalgamated		1st - 2nd	l century AD
Species	No. b	ones identified		Weight (	g) of bone
ox sheep & goat pig Red deer Roe deer hare	652 58 216 1 11 5	(69.1%) (6.2%) (22.9%) (0.1%) (1.2%) (0.5%)	•	36,788 1,002 4,379 132 264 27	(86.4%) (2.3%) (10.3%) (0.3%) (0.6%) (0.1%)

(b) Period 1 phase 6 late 2nd - 4th century AD

Species	<u>No t</u>	oones identified	Weight (	g) of bone
ox sheep & goat pig Red deer Roe deer hare	75 10 48 0 0	(56.4%) (7.5%) (36.1%) (0%) (0%) (0%)	4,787 221 679 0 0	(84.2%) (3.9%) (11.9%) (0%) (0%) (0%)
	Ũ	( ),· /	v	

<b>23.</b> 2 SAXO-NORMAN	Period 3 phase 2	<u>c</u> .1050 - 1100 AD
Species	No. bones identified	Weight (g) of bone
ox sheep & goat pig Red deer Roe deer hare	363 (56.8%) 139 (21.8%) 132 (20.7%) 1 (0.1%) 4 (0.6%) 0 (0%)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Period 3 phase 4 23.3 MEDIEVAL early 12th century AD Weight (g) of bone Species No. bones identified 133 (28.1%) 7,560 ox (59.0%) 206 (43.5%) 2,952 (23.0%) sheep & goat (27.8%) 2,236 (17.4%) 132 pig (%) (%) Red deer 0 0 (0.5%) Roe deer 1 (0.2%) 62 (0.4%) (0.1%) hare 2 9

23.4 LATER MEDIEVAL

Period 4 phase 2

<u>c</u>.1300 - 1350 AD

Species	No. bones identified	Weight (g) of bone
ox	27 (60%)	2,311 (81.8%)
sheep & goat	14 (31%)	422 (14.9%)
pig	4 (9%)	92 (3.3%)
Red deer	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Roe deer	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
hare	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

## SUMMARY OF THE DATA

Eurober and weight of bone elements identified. Main dumps of refuse only.

Fig.24: Roman
(a) 1st - 2nd century AD. Contexts 357, 350, 286, 342, 341, 340, 338, 318, 309, 290 amalgamated (ER Nos.)
(b) Late 2nd - 4th century AD. Contexts 319, 329, 288, 196, 301, 289, 269 amalgamated (ER Nos.)
Fig.25: Saxo-Norman <u>c</u>. 1050 - 1100 AD Contexts 37, 85, 103, 104, 108, 164 amalgamated (ER Nos.)
Fig.26: Medieval early 12th century AD Contexts 55, 95, 101 amalgamated (ER Nos.)

)

Fig.27: Later medieval <u>c</u>. 1300 - 1350 AD Contexts 91, 99 amalgamated (ER Nos.

-- 31-

Fig.24 St.Magnus, Table A: / Roman contexts. Number and weight of bone.

1.	Number	of bones recovered:-	1 ct . 2nd cont	Into 2nd whith cont
	T Tdo	ntified bone.		Tate Enu - +th Cente
.H		All elements excent vertabre & rib		
17-				
		horse & pony	. 3	0
		OX	652	75
		sneep/goat	ンカ	10
		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	716 216	130 48
		dog	10	. 7
		cat	1	ò
		Red deer	1	0
		Roe deer 🐭	11	.0
		hare the termologenter with		<u>_</u>
		possible wall vo a pory of one skelet	m) <b>O</b>	3
	I.2	Vertebra		
		ox	132	14
		sheep/goat	1	1
		pig	21	6
		unidentified	12	0
	I•3	Rib		
		ox size	224	47
		sheep/& pig size	141	26
		(incl.gout)		
	II. Uni	dentified bone fragments	322	64
		.9		······································
2.	Weight	(gr) of bone material:-		
			1st - 2nd cent.	Late 2nd = 4th cent.
	I.	Identified bone:		
		I.1 All elements except vertebra & rib		
		horse & pony	465	0
		ox	36788	4787
		sheep/goat	1002	221
		pig .	4379	679
		dog	156	226
		Cat	11	0
		Red deer Den deer	192	0
			264	11
		hare hare hare	264	0
		hare possible water vole (pout of one.	skeletin 27	<
		hare possible water vole (pout ofone. I.2 Vertebra	skeleton) 27	<1
		noe deer hare possible water vole (pout ofone. I.2 Vertebra	skeleton) 27 5180	
		noe deer hare possible water vole (pout of one. I.2 Vertebra ox sheep/goat	skeleton) 27 5180	<b>4</b> 47 24
		noe deer hare possible water vale (party of one. I.2 Vertebra ox sheep/goat pig	5180 7 174	447 24 85
		noe deer hare possible water vole (pout of one. I.2 Vertebra ox sheep/goat pig unidentified	5180 7 174 76	447 24 85 0
		noe deer hare possible water vale (part of one. I.2 Vertebra ox sheep/goat pig unidentified	5180 5180 7 174 76	447 24 85 0
		noe deer hare possible water vale (party of one. I.2 Vertebra ox sheep/goat pig unidentified I.3 Rib	5180 7 174 76	447 24 85 0
		noe deer hare possible water vale (part of one. I.2 Vertebra ox sheep/goat pig unidentified I.3 Rib ox size	264 27 5180 5180 7 174 76 4137	<ul> <li>447</li> <li>24</li> <li>85</li> <li>0</li> </ul>
		hare possible water vale (part of one. I.2 Vertebra ox sheep/goat pig unidentified I.3 Rib ox size sheep/& pig size	264 27 5180 7 174 76 4137 588	447 24 85 0 791 125
		hare possible water vale (part of one. I.2 Vertebra ox sheep/goat pig unidentified I.3 Rib ox size sheep/& pig size h(md.goat)	264 27 5180 7 174 76 4137 588	447 24 85 0 791 125

-32-

Fig.25: St.Magnus, Saxo-Norman dump of refuse <u>c</u>.1050 - 1100 AD. Number and weight of bone.

يدر و ا

1. Number of bones recovered:-

$\# \xrightarrow{I_{\bullet}} Ide$	entified bone:   All elements except vertebra & rib	
	horse ox sheap/goat pig dog cat Red deer Fallow deer Roe deer hare	3 363 139 132 1 0 1 0 4 0
	foetal cat or dog(parts of one skeleton)	7
1.2	? Vertebra	
	ox sheep/goat pig unidentified	64 17 13 5
I.3	5 Rib	
	ox size sheep(incl.goat) & pig size	86 77
II. Uni	dentified bone fragments	138

2. Weight (g) of bone material:-

# I. Identified bone:

	I.1	All elements except vertebra & rib				
		horse ox sheep/goat pig dog cat Red deer Fallow deer Roe deer	256 29193 3747 2896 2 0 16 0 90			
		foetal cat or dog (parts of one skeleton)	< 1			
	I.2	Vertebra				
		ox sheep/goat pig unidentified	2532 209 149 37			
	1.3	Rib				
		ox size sheep (incl.goat) & pig size	1284 317			
II.	Unio	dentified bone fragments	957			

Fig.26: St.Magnus, medieval dump of refuse, early 19th century AD. Number and weight of bone.

# 1. Number of bones recovered:-

I. Identified hone:

T.1 All elements except vertebra & rib

	horse		2	
	OX		133	
	sheen/cont		506	
	ni 7		• 132	
	dog		1	
	cat		X	l
	Red deer		0	
	Fallow deer		0	
	Roe deer		1	
	hare		2	
	nar e			
1.S	Vertebra			
	0 <b>Y</b>		29	
	ohoon/cont		26	
	Sheep/ goot		23	
	brs		- /	
I.3	Rib			
-			8 <i>L</i>	
	ox size		474	
	sneep(incl.	goac, a pig size	171	

167

# II. Unidentified bone fragments

- 2. Weight (g) of bone material:-
  - I. Identified bone:

	I.1 A	ll elements <u>except</u> vertebra & rib	
		horse ox sheep/goat pig dog cat Red deer Fallow deer Roe deer hare	70 7560 2952 2236 1 0 0 62 9
	1.2	Vertebra ox sheep/goat pig	525 251 193
	τ.3	Rib ox size sheep (incl. goat) & pig	1105 525
II.	Unide	entified bone fragments	905

Fig.27:	St. Magnus,	later medieval	dump	of refuse,	<u>c</u> .1300 -	1350 AD.
	Number and	weight of bone.	n			

-- 22 ---

1. Number of bones recovered:-

T. Identified bone:

	horse	0	
	ox	27	
	sheep/moat	14	
	pig	4	
	dog	0	
	cat	0	
	Red deer	0	
	Fallow deer	0	
	Roe deer	0	
	hare	0	
I.2	Vertebra		
	ox	6	
	sheep/goat	1	
I.3	Rib		
	ox size	11	
	sheep (incl. goat) & pig size	12	
II.Unide	ntified bone fragments	15	

- 2. Weight (g) of bone material:-
  - I. Identified bone:

II.

) i 🗄

I.1	All elements except vertebra & rib	
	horse	0
	ox	2311
	sheep/goat	422
	pig	92
	dog	0
	cat	0
	Red deer	0
	Fallow deer	0
	Roe deer	0
	hare	0
I°5	Vertebra	
	OX	149
	sheep/goat	9
I.3	Rib	
	ov siza	252
	sheep (incl.goat) & pig size	61
Unic	dentified bone fragments	180

#### **MEFERENCES**

Aitken,R.J.(1975). Cementum layers and tooth wear as criteria for ageing Roe deer (Capreolus capreolus). Journal of Zoology, London,175, 15-28.

Andrews, A.H. & Noddle, B.A. (1975). Absence of premolar teeth from ruminant mandibles found at archaeological sites. Journal of Archaeological Science, 2, 137-144.

Armitage, P.L. (1977). <u>The Mammalian Remains from the Tudor Site of Baynard's Castle</u>, London : A Biometrical and Historical Analysis. Ph.D. thesis, University of London.

Armitage, P.L. (1978, in press). The mammalian remains from the Roman and Saxo-Norman contexts, Billingsgate Buildings site 1974. Transactions of the London & Middlesex Archaeological Society.

Armitage, P.L. (1978, in press). A preliminary description of British cattle from the late 12th to the early 16th century AD. <u>Proceedings of Third International Archaeozoological Conference</u> held at Szczecin, Poland, April 1978.

Armitage, P.L. & Clutton-Brock, J. (1976). A system for classification and description of the horn cores of cattle from archaeological sites. Journal of Archaeological Science, 3, 329-348.

Armitage, P.L. & Goodall, J. (1977). Medieval horned and polled sheep : The archaeological and iconographic evidence. <u>Antiquaries Journal, 57</u>, 73-89.

Barret-Hamilton, G.E.H. & Hinton, M.A.C. (1910-21). <u>A History of British Mammals</u>, 3 vols. London: Gurney and Jackson.

Bergquist, H. & Lepiksaar, J. (1957). Animal skeletal remains from Medieval Lund. Archaeology of Lund, I.

Bonnichson,R.(1973). Some operational aspects of human and animal bone alteration. In (B.M.Gilbert, Ed.) <u>Mammalian Osteo-Archaeology</u> : North America. Columbia: Missouri Archaeological Society, 9-24.

Clason, A.T. (1977a). Jacht en Veeteelt van Prehistorie tot Middeleeuwen. Haarlem: Fibula-van Dishoeck.

Clason, A.T. (1977b). Pre- and protohistoric sheep in the Netherlands. Ethnozootechnie, 21, 87-94.

-36-
Davies, R.W. (1971). The Roman military diet. Britannia, 2, 122-142.

von den Driesch, A. (1976). <u>A Guide to the Measurement of Animal Bones from</u> <u>Archaeological Sites</u>. Peabody Museum Bulletin No.1.

von den Driesch,A. & Boessneck,J.(1974). Kritische Anmerkungen zur Widerristhöhenberechnur aus Längenmassen vor-und frühgeschichflicher Tierknochen. Säugetierkundliche Mitteilungen,22, 325-348.

Fitter, R.S.R. (1945). London's Natural History. London: Collins.

Fitter, R.S.R. (1959). The Ark in our Midst. London: Collins.

Fock, J. (1966). see von den Driesch & Boessneck (1974).

Grant.A.(1975). The animal bones. In B. Cunliffe Excavations at Portchester Castle, Vol. I: Roman. London: Society of Antiquaries, 378-408.

Harcourt, R.A. (1974). The dog in prehistoric and early historic Britain. Journal of Archaeological Science, 1, 151-175.

Hodgson, G.W.I. (1977). The Animal Remains from Excavations at Vindolanda 1970-1975. Hexham: Vindolanda Trust.

Hughes, T.M. (1896). On the more important breeds of cattle which have been recognised in the British Isles in successive periods. <u>Archaeologia, LV</u>, 125-158.

Jewell, P.A. (1963). Cattle from British archaeological sites. In (A.E.Mourant & F.E. Zeuner, Eds.) <u>Man and Cattle</u>. London: Royal Anthropological Institute, 80-91.

Kiesewalter, L. (1888). see von den Driesch & Boessneck (1974).

Lyneborg, L. (1971). Mammals in Colour. London: Blandford Press.

Matheson,C.(1939). A survey of the status of <u>Rattus rattus</u> and its subspecies in the seaport of Gt.Britain and Ireland. <u>Journal of Animal Ecology</u>, <u>8</u> (No.1), 76-93.

Matolcsi, J. (1970). see von den Driesch & Boessneck (1974).

-37-

Mennerich, G. (1968). <u>RUmerzeitliche Tierknocken aus drei Fundorten des</u> Niederrheingebietes. <u>MUnchen:dissertation</u>.

Millar, E.G. (1932). The Luttrell Psalter. London.

de Nahlik, A.J. (1974). Deer Management. London: David & Charles.

Noddle, B.A. (1975). A comparison of the animal bones from 8 Medieval sites in Southern Britain. In (A.T.Clason, Ed) <u>Archaeozoological Studies</u>. Amsterdam:North-Holland Publishing Company, 248-260.

Payne, S. (1973). Kill-off patterns in sheep and goats: The mandibles from Asvan Kale. Anatolian Studies, XXIII, 281-303.

Pennant, T. (1776). The British Zoology. London: Benjamin White.

Prior, R. (1968). The Roe Deer of Cranborne Chase: An Ecological Survey. Oxford: University Press.

Roberts, E.D. & Doyle, L.P. (1964). Paralysis and lameness. In (H.W.Dunne, Ed.) Diseases of Swine. Iowa: University Press, 700-714.

Silver, I.A. (1971). The ageing of domestic animals. In (D.Brothwell & E.Higgs, Eds.) Science in Archaeology. London: Thames & Hudson, 283-302.

Teichert, M. (1974). see von den Driesch & Boessneck (1974).

Twigg, G. (1975). The Brown Rat. London: David & Charles.

Wilson, C.A. (1976). Food and Drink in Britain from the Stone Age to Recent Times. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books.

Uerpmann, H.P. (1973).

Animal bone finds and economic archaeology: a critical study of osteo-archaeological method. World Archaeology, 4 (No.3), 307-322.

C	ST. MAGNUS. 'TABLE	5 OF MEAS	UREMENTS			
ROM	<u>AN</u>					
(a)	Period 1 Phases 1 & 5 amalg	amated, 1	st to 2nd	d century AD		
	Context Nos.: 357, 350, 286,	342, 341	, 340, 3	38, 318, 309, 29	0	
	ER Nos.:					
(b)	Period 1 Phase 6, late 2nd	to 4th c	entury Al	)		
	Context Nos.: 319, 329, 288,	196, 301	, 289, 26	69		
	ER Nos.:					
Table	1 : Horse. Tibia					
	one specimen Context	357 (ER	) еа	arly 2nd century	AD	
Leng Late Prox Min. Dist	gth (GL)       302.0         eral length (L1)       274.0         c.width (Bp)       81.5         shaft width (SD)       33.7         c.width (Bd)       63.1					-
Table	2 : Ox. Horn core					
	adult & sub-adult only	N	м	Range		·
(a)	1st - 2nd century AD					
·····	Length of outer curve Basal circumference	6 8	131.2 133.4	95.0 - 181.0 110.0 - 170.0	•	
(b)	late 2nd - 4th century AD					
	Length of outer curve Basal circumference	1 2	-	158.0 141.0 - 177.0		
Table	3: Ox. Metacarpal bone					
		N	М	Range	SD	
(a)	1st - 2nd century AD .					
	Length	-			-	
	Prox.wiath Mid shaft width	9 -	55•1 	44.7 - 02.2 -	0•1 	
	Dist.shaft width	14	49.0	44.8 - 61.2	4.7	
	Dist.epiphyseal width	14	53.8	49.2 - 66.6	5.6	
(b)	late 2nd - 4th century AD					
	Length	-	-	-	-	
	Prox.width	1	-	50.8	-	
	mia snait Width Dist.shaft width	5	- 53-1	- 50.7 - 56.5	-	
	Dist.epiphyseal width	5	57.2	54.0 - 64.2	-	

 $1^{-\alpha}$ 

-39-

ì

.

SE

2.0 -1.3 1.5

Table 4 : $Ox$ .	Phalanx 1 seven spec	(fore) imens (	Context 286	(ER	)	early 2nd centu	iry AD	
				N	М	Range		
Length of th Prox.width ( Dist.width (	e periphera Bp) Rd)	l half (Gl	lpe)	7 7 7	54.0 28.7 26.9	48.5 - 59.9 24.2 - 37.4 23.2 - 33.5		
Table 5 : Ox.	Hoof core seven spec	imens (	Context 286	(ER	· )	early 2nd <b>cen</b> tu	iry AD	
				N	М	Range		
Diagonal len Length of th Width in mid	gth of the e dorsal su dle of the	sole (DLS) rface (Ld) sole (MBS)	) )	7 7 7	73•6 52•8 23•3	6?.2 - 89.8 45.4 - 63.9 19.3 - 28.4	·	
Table 6 : Ox.	Metatarsal	bone						
				N	М	Range	SD	SE
(a) 1st - 2	nd century	AD						
Length Prox.wi Mid sha Dist.sh	dth ft width aft width			2 9 2 19	43.2 	204.2 - 214.9 37.2 - 53.3 22.1 - 22.6 40.4 - 61.2	- 5.0 4.9	- 1.7 - 1.1
Dist.ep	iphyseal wi	dth		19	51.2	44.7 - 72.7	6.6	1.5
(b) late 2n	d - 4th cen	tury AD						
Length Prox.wi Mid sha Dist.sh Dist.ep	dth ft width aft width iphyseal wi	dth		1 3 1 3 3	42.4 49.9 51.3	212.6 40.6 - 43.7 24.9 48.3 - 52.7 50.2 - 54.2	-	-
Table 7 : Shee	p. Horn co one specim	re en Cor	ntext 357	(ER	)	early 2nd century	r AD	
Sex <sup>a</sup>	TOC F	Measure C MnD	ement <sup>b</sup> MxD		Descrip	tion		
C/F?	92e 9	0 19.5	33.0		adult,	cavity extends i	nto tip	ممسع
<u>Key</u> :	a. Sex:	M male F femal C casti	Le rate					
	b. Measurem	ent: LOO BC MnI MxI	C Length Basal D Minimu D Maximu	of ou circum m diam m diam	ter curv ference eter acr eter acr	e oss the base oss the base		

.

1.

į

. .

Tab. 8 : Sheep. Meta specimen	carpal bone s from (a) Cont	texts dated	1st - 2nd	century AD	
	N	М	Ra	nge	
Longth	JE	124 0	anti h	474 7	
Prox width	н 5	20 5	117.4	- 1)1•) 	
Mid shaft width	) 4	11 8	10.5	12 5	
Dist.shaft width		23.2	21.2	- 12.) _ oh h	
Dist.epinbyseal widt	ノ h 5	23.7	21-2	- 25.4	
			50 T • 7		
Table 9   : Pig. Lower     speciment	third molar s from (a) Cont	texts dated	1st - 2nd	century AD	
	N	М	Rat	nge	
Length	4	30.7	26.4	- 33.1	
Table 10 : Pig. Metaca	rpus III				
Context ER No.	Date	Meas	$\mathtt{urement}^{\mathtt{a}}$		
		(GL)	(Bp) (B	B) (Bd)	•
318 357	mid 2nd cent. ealy 2nd cent.	AD 75.8 AD 72.6	18.2 16 16.1 13	.2 19.3 .8 16.3	
Key: a. Measurement	: GL Leng Bp Proy BB Mid Bd Dist	gth «.width shaft widt t.width	h		
see vo	on den Driesch	(1976,p.94	)		
Table 11 : Pig. Metacar           one spectrum	cpus IV ecimen Contex	ct 357 <b>(E</b> R	) ea:	rly 2nd century	AD
Length (GL). 75.9 Prox.width (Bp) 14.2 Mid shaft width	9 2				
(BB) 12.) Dist.width (Bd) 17.(	) )				
Table 12 : Dog.Parts ofparts ofContext 3	of skeleton skeleton (1 - 288 (ER )	5) of one, late 2nd	adult dog - 4th cent	ury AD	
(1) Skull					
Mea	asurement <sup>a</sup>				
I II :	III IV	X XI	XI	XII	
- 95.5	- 104e		60.4	-	
Key: a. Meas	urement: see	e Harcourt	(1974,p.153	)	
	тт	Dood with	ol motuber	anaa ta tunati	n of secol
	14	and from	ar procuber ntal boner	ance to juncti( (nacion)	m ur nasar
		and ift	THAT DOUCS	ARCOLULY	
	TV	Maximum	zvgomatic	width	

		m 14 2 m		;
~				
(2)	Scapula	(3	5) Ulna	
	Height (HS)	124.0	Length (GL)	177•5
	Length of glenoid process (GLP)	24.0 28.5	anconaeus (DPA)	22.7
(4)	Humerus	(5	) Femur	
	Longth (GL)	156.8	Length (GL)	170.9
	Prox.width (Bp)	56•6	Prox.width (Bp)	34.6
	Prox.depth (Dp)	373	Depth of the caput femoris(DC)	17.7
	Min.shaft width (SD)	12,5	Min.shaft width (SD)	12.8
	Dist.width (Bd)	29.9	Dist.width (Ed)	28.2
Prox Min. Dist	4 : Roe deer. Mandibular ramus			
	one specimen Context 286	5 (ER )	early 2nd century AD	
·	Age (years) <sup>a</sup> (1) (3) (7)	Measurement $(8)$ $(9)$ $(1)$	(2) (14) (15a) (15b) (15c)	
	less than 1 $-$		15.9 14.2	
	Key: a. Age: Based on method	l of Aitken (	1975)	
	b. Measurement: see	von den Drie	sch (1976,p.57)	
	15b. 15c.	. Height of . Height of	mandible in region of M1 mandible in region of P2	

TE SAXON

Period 2 Phases 2 & 3 amalgamated, late 9th/10th century AD

~ **1**,7~

Context Nos.: 195, 222, 150

ER Nos.:

Table 15 : Ox	. Mandi	bular ram	<u>IS</u> Context 22	22 (RE	2	lota	oth contur	av. AD
	one ol	Jectmen	OURCEAU 22	( <u>1</u> 11	. ,	Late	yon centur	y RD
(1)	(3)	(7)	(11)	(15a)	(	15b)	(15c)	
314.5	91.8	123.1	81.8	61.3	4	2.2	31.0	
<u>Key:</u>	<ol> <li>Ler</li> <li>Ler</li> <li>Ler</li> <li>Ler</li> <li>Ler</li> <li>Ler</li> <li>Ler</li> <li>14</li> <li>15a.Hei</li> <li>15b.Hei</li> <li>15c.Hei</li> </ol>	ngth: Gonic agth: Gonic agth of che agth of the alveolus .ght of the .ght of the .ght of the	on caudale ecktooth rc e diastema: e mandible e mandible e mandible	- Inf - pos w : oral in re in re in re	Tradent sterior . borde gion o gion o gion o	ale edge c or of P2 f M3 if M1 if P2	of M3 alveol alveolus -	us • aboral border of
Number	's as in	von den Dr	riesch (197	76 <b>,</b> p.5	6)			
* 3rd	cusp of	M <sub>3</sub> lacking	s (not dev	elope	d)			
Table 16 : <u>Ox</u>	. Metaca	arpal bone						
	one sj	pecimen (	Context 222	2 (ER	)	late	9th centur	ry AD
Length Prox.w Mid sh Dist.e Dist.e Table 17 : <u>O</u>	i vidth maft widt shaft wid spiphysee x. Meta	180 47 27 1th 27 1th 46 1 width 50 carpal bon	0.8 7.9 7.6 5.3 0.7 <u>e</u>				N	
			N	1	М	Ra	nge	
Length Prox.widt Mid shaft Dist.shaf	n width t width		- 4 8	5 5	0.6 0.1	45.6 -	58.1 51.6	
Dist.epipl	nyseal w	idth	ð	5.	5.6	53.2 -	58.7	
Table 18 : <u>O</u>	x. Phal fo	anx 1 (for ur specime	<u>e)</u> ns Contex	t 195	(Er	)	10th cent	ury AD
Length of Prox.widt Dist.widt	the per n (Bp) n (Bd)	ipheral ha	lf (GLpe)	N 4 4 4	м 55•3 27•9 26•3	R 53. 26. 25.	ange 5 - 58.1 8 - 28.6 5 - 27.1	
Table 19 : O:	x. Hoof	core						
Diagonal : Length of Width in P	length o the dor middle o	f the sole sal surfac f the sole	(DLS) e (Ld) (MBS)	N 5 5 5	M 70.1 53.3 22.8	R 62. 48. 20.	ange 0 – 74.1 8 – 57.6 6 – 26.1	

	-44-			
	•			
Table 20 : <u>Ox. Astragalus</u> one specimen	Context 150	(ER )	10th century AD	
Length of the lateral hal: Length of the medial half Dist.width (Bd)	f (GLl) 53.4 (GLm) 49.3 31.5	+ 3 7		
Table 21 : Ox. Metatarsal bo	one			
•	N M	Range .		
Length	<b></b>			
Prox.width	1 -	45•7		
Mid shaft width	··· ·			
Dist.shaft width	7 48.1	44.2 - 57.2	2	
Dist.epiphyseal width	7 49.7	46.2 - 53.2	2	
Table 22: Sheep. Skull with h	orn cores attac	hed	sheen Context 222 (ER	· }
late 9th centu	iry AD	our -nor neu	Sheep Johnory 222 (DR	
Size of horn cores:-				
Side Position	LOC BC	MnD	MxD	
R anterior	- 117	33.5	40.8	
R posterior	81 76	23.3	26.5	
L anterior	- 116	33.2	40-1	
L posterior	92 80	23.7	26.1	
Kev: as in Table	7			
	,			
Table 23 : Sheep. Metatarsal	<u>bone</u> 1 Context 150	) (ER )	) 10th century AD	
	405 0			
Length	125.2			
Prox. width	10.0	1		
Mid shalt width	9.4	(very sien	ider shalt)	
Dist.shait width	20.2			
Dist.epipnyseal width	21.5		O C LI LIGE (FR	)
	107		3 Context MD (EN	)
Table 24 : Goat. Horn core			1	
two specime	ens /Context 15	50 (ER )	)/ 10th century AD	
No. Sex <sup>a</sup>	leasurement		Description	
TOC	BC MnI	) MxD	Deboxipoion	
1 M/C? 2400	e 130 35.	0 53.2	straight core. adult	
2 C? -	116 32	3 48.9	H H H	
3 F 125	e 90 22	3 34.8	31 - 83	
Table 25 : Pig. Lower third	molar 🤆 🔤			
	M D			
N Length E	ה המנ סקע סורם	_ 20 x \		
mengun )	-/+ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	y• y	Ν	
			\	
			· Key: as in lable t	-

1

•

.-..

				•								
Table 26	: <u>Pig</u>	• Mano or ac	libular ne speci lult, M	ramus men C erupte	ontext 1 d and ir	195 (ER 1 wear	)	10th ce	ntury AL	)		
· (1)	(2)	(3)	(7)	, (7a)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16a)	(16b)	(16c)	3rd length	molar width
235	257	77.2	115.8	98.1	101.6	96.1	104.7	41.0	42.6	42 <b>.2</b>	28.3	14.2
<u>Key</u> :	1. L 2. L 3. L 7. L 7a. L 13. H 14. M 15. O 16a. H 16b. H	ength: ength: ength c ength c eight c iddle f ral hei eight c eight c	Gonion aboral Gonion of cheek of cheek of verti height c of mandi of mandi of mandi	caudale border caudale tooth r tooth r cal ram of the v the ver ble in ble in ble in	- Infra of the c - poste ow, M3 - ow, M3 - us: Goni ertical tical ra region c region c	adentale condyle Fior ed P1 P2 ion vent: ramus amus of M3 of M1 of P2	process ge of M3 rale - c	- Infra 3 alveol condyle	dentale us process			
Numbe	rs as	in von	den Dri	esch (1	976,p.58	3)						
Table 27 Width SI Table 28	: <u>Pig</u> acros urface : <u>Pig</u>	Ulna imn s artic . Meta two	ature ( ular podial specime	olecran N 4 bones ms Co	on unfus M 22.7 2 ntext 19	sed) Range 20.5 - 2 95 (ER	4.6 ) 1	10th cen	tury AD			
			- <b>t</b>	Metacar	nus IV	M	etatarsu	s IV				
	(GL (Bp (BB (Bd	) ) )		75.0 15.0 11.9 16.5			98.7 13.9 13.9 16.9					
<u>Key</u> : (	as in	Table	10									
Table 29 Lengtl	: <u>Dog</u> h (GL)	• Ulna one spe 129•7	cimen	Conte	xt 195	(er	) 10th	ı centur	y AD			
Table 30	: <u>Red</u> on ri	deer. ne spec: .ght, in	Antler imen ncomple	Context te (uppe	: 195 er beam (	(ER & termin	) 10 <sup>.</sup> al tines	th centu s broker	iry AD 1 off)			
Circmf Circum	erence	e of peo	licel (4)	+O) )	1 <sup>.</sup> 1'	17 71	von der	n Drieso	h (1976)	,p.36)		
Circum Circum Length Length	ferenc ferenc of br of tr	e aroun e aroun ow tine ez tine	nd burr nd lowen e	r beam	2( 1) 2) 2)	06 19 77 91	de Nahl	lik (197	<sup>74</sup> ,p.171)	)		

Ŧ

and the second sec

## SAXO-NORMAN / MEDIEVAL

Period 3 Phases 2 to 6 emalgamented, (not earlier than <u>c</u>.1050 AD to not later than <u>c</u>.1250 AD) Context Not: 164,108,103,85,37,104,192,101,55,95,109,146,62 ER Nos.:

Table 31 : Horse. Metacarpal bone III Context 37 (ER ) 1050 - 1100 AD one specimen 229.3 Length (GL) Lateral length (L) 221.8 Prox.width (Bp) 50.3 33.7 Prox.depth (Dp) Min.shaft width (SD) 33.9 Dist.width (Bd) 50.4

 Table 32 : Ox. Horn core

 adult & sub-adult only

	N	М	Range	SD	SE
Length of outer curve	10	128 <b>.</b> 4	100 <b>-</b> 166	26.6	8.4
Basal circumference	21	138 <b>.</b> 4	91 <b>-</b> 204	30.4	6.6

Context 37 (ER

Ν

4

4

4

49.4

20.8

М

51.4

25.6

24.6

)

1050 - 1100 AD

Table 33 : Ox. Radius one specimen

Length (GL)	250.4e
Prox.width (Bp)	chopped
Min shaft width (SD)	33.3
Dist.width (Bd)	62.5

Table 34 : Ox. Metacarpal bone

	N	М	Range	SD	SE
Length	18	179.8	161.6 - 197.4	9.4	2.2
Prox.width	25	50.8	45.6 - 62.0	4.8	1.0
Mid shaft width	17	27.8	24.5 - 35.0	3.2	0.8
Dist.shaft width	18	47.7	41.7 - 59.6	4.7	1.1
Dist.epiphyseal width	18	53.1	48.5 - 64.0	4.7	1.1

Table 35 : Ox. Phalanx 1 (fore)

Length	of	the	peripheral	half	(GLpe)
Prox.wi	dth	1 (B]	p)		-
Dist.wi	ldth	1 (B	i)		

Table 36 : Ox. Hoof core<br/>one specimenContext 62 (ERDiagonal length of the sole (DLS)69.7

Length of the dorsal surface (Ld) Width in middle of the sole (MBS) 11th 613th century AD ) (not later than <u>c</u>. 1250 AD)

Range

50.2 - 54.1 24.0 - 27.0

23.3 - 26.3

-46-

Table 37 : Ox. Tible     one spectrum	a ecimen	Cont	ext 103	(ER	) 1	050 - 1	1100 AD	
Length (GL) Prox.width (Bp) Min.shaft width (S Dist.width (Bd)	297.1 81.2 SD) 31.6 53.2			÷				
Table 38 : Ox. Astra	agalus							
		N	М		Range	C N	5D SE	
Length of the late Length of the med: Dist.width (Bd)	eral half (GLl) ial half (GLm)	12 12 12	59•3 53•9 37•5	54. 48. 34.	0 - 63. 2 - 57. 1 - 40.	2 2. 6 2. .8 2.	9 0.8 8 0.8 0 0.6	
Table 39 : Ox. Calca adult on	aneum ly (tuber calcis	s fus	ed)					
		N	М		Range	Å	5D SE	
Length (GL)		13	120.8	111.	1 - 131	•5 7	9 2.2	
Table 40 : Ox. Meta	tarsal bone							
		N	М		Range	ŝ	SD SE	
Length Prox.width Mid shaft width Dist.shaft width Dist.epiphyseal wi	idth	12 17 13 18 18	208.6 42.6 23.3 45.9 49.4	196 38 19 40 43	.0 - 228 .6 - 51 .8 - 27 .9 - 55 .6 - 62	3.6 10 4 3 2 1 6 4 0 5	.6 3.1 5 0.9 .8 0.5 .2 1.0 .1 1.2	
Table 41: Sheep. Ho	adult & sub-a	Idull	t only		h			
Context ER No.	Date .	Sex	Me LOC	easurem BC	ent <sup>0</sup> Mnd	D MxD	escription	
37	1050-1100 AD	C? ? ?	96 - -	79 119 92	19.0 30.8 23.0	29.2 44.0 32.6	attached to	skull "
192	early 12th cent.AD	М	-	142	43.4	54.1	resembles c core of Soa	losely horn y ram

Key: a & b as in Table 7

-47-

	two sp	ecimens	Con	text 19	92 (ER	) :	early 1	2th cent	ury AD	
Age class <sup>a</sup>			Ме	asureme	entsb					
(years)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(12)	(15a)	(15b)	(15c)
E 2-3	145,8	153.9	43.8	69.1	47.8	23,8	60.5	35.6	20.4	13.6
F 3-4	151.5e	165.4	45 <b>.</b> 3e	69.1	47.6	23.0	68.8	34.6	19.4	13.0
Key: a. Ag	ge class	: Based	on me	thod of	f Payne	(1973)				
b₊ Ma	easureme	nts: 1. 2. 3.	Leng Leng - In Leng	th from th from fradent th: pos	n the an n the co tale sterior	ngle:Go ondyle: edge o	nion cau aboral f M3 alv	dale - In border of eolus -/	nfradenta f the con Gonion	ale ndyle proce caudale
b∙ M≀	easureme:	nts: 1. 2. 3. 7. 8. 9. 12.	Leng Leng - In Leng Leng Leng Abor Heig	th from th from fradent th: pos th of th of al hei ht of	n the an tale sterior the che the mol the pres ght of	ngle:Go ondyle: edge o ektooth ar row molar r the ver dible i	nion cau aboral f M3 alv row ow tical ra	dale - In border of reolus $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	nfradenta f the con Gonion Man of	ale ndyle proce caudale
b. Ma	easureme:	nts: 1. 2. 3. 7. 8. 9. 12. 15a 15b	Leng Leng - In Leng Leng Leng Abor - Heig - Heig	th from th from fradent th: pos th of th of th of al hei ht of ht of	n the an n the co tale sterior the che the mol the pres ght of the man	ngle:Go ondyle: edge o ektooth ar row molar r the ver dible i dible i	nion cau aboral f M3 alv row rical ra n region n region	dale - In border of reolus -/ mus n of $M_3$ n of $M_1$	nfradenta f the con Gonion Man of	ale ndyle proce caudale

. .

Numbers as in von den Driesch (1976,p.57)

•

412

÷

-48-

Table 43: Sheep. Radius	S					
	N	М	Range	SD SE		
Length (GL)	9	144.3	132.6 - 160.2	10.8 3.6		
Prox.wiith (Bp)	9	29.9	26.5 - 32.2	2.2 0.7		
Min.shaft width (SD)	9	15.7	13.3 - 19.1	1.8 0.6		
Dist.width (Ed)	9	26.9	24.3 - 30.7	2.1 0.7		
Table 44: Sheep. Metaca	erpal bo	ne				
	N	М	Range ;	SD SE		
Length	20	122.6	110.9 - 132.6	6.0 1.3		
Prox.width	23	55*0	19.7 - 23.9	1.1 0.2		
Mid shaft width	22	13.6	11.7 - 15.1	0.9 0.2		
Dist.shaft width	21	24.5	21.6 - 26.5	1.2 0.3		
Dist.epiphyseal width	20	24.6	21.6 - 26.3	1.2 0.3		
Table 45: Sheep. Innomi	inate					
Context ER No. Date	e	Length	of acetabulum	Depth of me of aceta	dial rim bulum	Sex
37 <i>c</i> . 1050-	-1100 AD	ł	26 <b>.</b> 3e	9.5		м
			23.7	6.2		C?
			26.4	8.0		М
192 early	z 12th c	ent.AD	22-6	0-6		म
	, , 2 0 11 0	CHUEND	22.5	1.6		F
100	. 10th a	ant AD		2 8		<b>7</b> 0
ioy eariy	Y IZUN C	ent, AD	22.3	2.0 4.7		C
1146	. 17th a	ont 10	27.0	6 7		M
tao earr)		enc.AD	23.9	1.2		F.
			25.2	2.5		а Т
			23.6	2.1		F
Table 46 : Sheep. Metata	arsal bo	ne				
			_			
	N	М	Range	SD SE	1	
Length	15	128.1	119.0 - 139.8	8 6.3 1.6	i	
Prox.width	26	19.2	17.4 - 20.8	0.9 0.2		
Mid shaft width	15	11.8	9.9 - 13.9	1.1 0.3	i	•
Dist.shaft width	17	22.6	19.7 - 25.4	1.6 0.4		
Dist.epiphyseal width	17	23.0	20.2 - 25.6	1.6 0.4		
Table 47 : Goat. Horn co	ore		<u>an</u> lu			
adul Context ER No. Dat	te te	Sex	Measuren	nent <sup>b</sup> MnD M~D	Descript	ion
37 1050	D-1100 A	DF?	180 112	27.0 39.7	straight	core
103 1050	D-1100 A	DF?	<b>135</b> e 103	27.1 35.9	straight	core,
		M?	265e 130	35.4 50.7	straight	core
Key: al	kb as	in Tab	- 122e	32.9 44.4e	straight	core

Table 48 : Pig. Lower third molar

ļ

	N	М	Range
Length	3	28.6	27.2 - 30.7

-49-

Table 49:	Pig. Scapul	a ·						
	two spec	cimens, a	dult	Conte	xt 37 (B	GR )	1050 - 1100	D AD
No.	Measure (SLC)	ement <sup>a</sup> (GLP)	(LG)	(BG)				
1 2	23 <b>.</b> 9 21 <b>.</b> 2	35•3 34•3	30.3 31.6	24 <b>.5</b> 23 <b>.</b> 8				
	Key: a. Measu	irement:	SLC GLP LG BG	Minimu Length Length Width	m length of the of the of the g	n of nech glenoid glenoid glenoid c	process cavity avity	
	<b>S</b> 04	e von den	Dries	ch (197	6,p.75)			
Table 50 :	Pig. Ulna ir	nmature N	. (ole M	e <b>cr</b> ano Ra	n unfu nge	sed) SD	SE	
Width a surf	cross articul ace	lar 19	19.	5 16.	4 - 21.7	<b>7 1.</b> 6	0.4	•
Table 51 :	Pig. Tibia one spec	imen, ad	ult	Context	101 (EF	2)	early 12t	n century AD
Length Prox.wi Min.sha Dist.wi	dth ft width dth	165.4 40.2 18.3 27.4e						
Table 52 :	Pig. Meatad	arpus II	ī					
Context	ER No.	Date	(	GL)	(Bp)	(BB)	(Bd)	
103		1050-11	00 AD	67.8e	12 <b>.</b> 3e	11.9	15.4	
101	<u> </u>	early 1 cent.	2th AD	65.5 68.5	16.5 16.5	14.0 12.9	16.2 15.7	
	Key: GL Bp BB Ba	Leng Prox Mid Dist	th .width shaft .width Dries	width	6. p. 94	7		
Table 53 :	Pig. Metaca	arpus IV						
Context	ER No.	Date	Ĩ	GL)	(Bp)	(BB)	(Bd)	
103		1050-11	00 AD	69.6	14.3	, 11.4	15.3	
192		early 1 cent.A	2th D	68.1	13.0	defor	ned 14.9	
95		early 1 cent.	2th AD	69.1	12.1	11.0	13.2	

ł

.

Key: as in Table 10

1

-50-

Tab. 54 : Pig.	. Metatarsus II	Ī				
Context ER	No. Date		(GL)	(Bp)	(BB)	(Bd)
85	1050-1100	D AD	71.5	14.2	12.4	15.0
37	1050-1100	D AD	92 <b>.</b> 9e	16.2	15.0	19,0
101	early 12	th cent.AD	75.5	13.8	11.4	15.0
	Key: as in Table	e 10				
Table 55 : Pig.	Metatarsus IV					
Context ER	No. Date		(GL)	(Bp)	(3B)	(Bd)
37	1050-110	) AD	79•5	14.5	12.7	15.7
101	early 12	th cent.AD	77.0	14.0	12.0	15.1
	Key: as in Table	e 10				
Table 56 : Fall	ow deer. Radius	5 Context 14	6 (ER )	early	13th cent	ury AD
Prox.width	40.6					
Table 57 : Roe	deer. Humerus one specimen	Context 19	2 (ER )	early	12th cent	ury AD
Length Min.shaft wi Width of the Max.dist.wid	gn dth trochlea(BT) th (Bd)	nawed by dog 12.9 22.4 27.3		·		•
Table 58 : <u>Hare</u>	• <u>Tibia</u> two specimens, early 12th cent	possibly fr tury AD	om same an	imal Cont	ext 55 (E	R )
		1 (right)	2 (left)			

-51-

Dist.width 15.8 16.0

÷...

LATER MEDIEVAL							
Period 4 Phases 1 to 3 emalga $\underline{c}$ .1275 AD to not lat	mated, 1 er than	ate 13t1 <u>c</u> .1350	n to mid AD)	14th c	entury AD	(not earl	ier than
Context Nos.: 4, 91, 99, 163,	100						
ER Nos.:							
Table 59 : Ox. Horn core adult & sub-adult	only		•				
	м	м	Ran	g9	SD	SE	
Length of outer curve Basal circumference	3 10	173.0 146.5	108 - 94 -	270 210	38.6	- 12.2	
Table 60 : Ox. Calcaneum two specimens, ad	ult (tub	er calc:	is fused	)			
1		2					
Length (GL) 110.0	13	53•5					
Table 61 : Sheep. Mandibular ram two specimens C	us ontext 9	9 (ER	)	1300	- 1350 A	D	
Age class <sup>a</sup>	Measur	ementsb					
(years) (1) (2) (3)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(12)	(15a)	(15b)	(15c)
G 4 - 6 150.3 150.0 46.	1 62.5	43.6	18.8	58.7	32.8	21.2	13.4
н 6 – 8 ** – – 55.	8 59.2	41.5	18.7	68.6	35•3	22.3	16.8
Key: a & b as in Table 42 ** shows evidence o	f period	lontal d:	isease				
Table 62 : Goat. Horn core							
one specimen	Context	91 <b>(</b> E	R)	1300	- 1350 A	D	
Sex <sup>a</sup> Measurement LOC BC MnD	b MxD		Descrip	tion			
M - 149 38.3	66.5		straigh of fron	t core, tal bon	c attahed e. Adult	to small p	portion
Key: as in Table 7							. <b></b>
Table 63 : Cat. Radius							
one specimen, adult	Contex	t 163 (E	R )	<u>c</u> .1275	- 1350 AD	)	
Length (GL) Prox.width (Bp) Min. shaft width (SD) Dist.epiphyseal width (Bd)	8 ) 1	8.1 8.4 6.1 2.2					

-52-

5

/ ` • EARLY MODERN

Period 4 Phase 5 late 16th - 17th century AD

Context No.: 36

ER No.:

Table 64 : Pig. Radius

one specimen, adult (both epiphyses fused)

Length	121.7
Prox.width	25.7
Min.shaft width	15.5
Dist.epiphyseal width	27.9

# ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

# Pig. Mandibular ramus

Values for wear stages based on method of Grant (1975)

Period	Values recorded
ROMAN 1st - 2nd cent.AD	1,2,4,4,7,27e,28,38e,39,39e
LAFE SAXON 9th - 10th cent.AD	20,20,21,21,35,38,38
SAXO-NORMAN late 10th - 11th cent.AD	12,17,20,25,30e,30e,38e,40,45
MEDIEVAL early 12th - 13th cent.AD	9,10,13e,19,20,21e,23
LATER MEDIEVAL 12th - mid 14th cent./	ND 19
C - openiated	( value

-54-

Level III report

Archive only (not for publication)

THE BIRD BONES FROM THE ROMAN, MEDIEVAL AND EARLY MODERN LEVELS, ST. MAGNUS, CITY OF LONDON.

Gillian Carey & Philip L. Armitage

#### Introduction

A total of 284 bird bones were recovered from layers dated between the 1st and 16th centuries AD; of these, 271 (95%) were identified to species and part of skeleton, and 13 (5%) remain as unidentified specimens.

The skeletal elements of domestic chicken <u>Gallus gallus</u>, domestic goose <u>Anser anser</u> and domestic duck <u>Anas platyrhynchos</u> account for 98% of the identified group, the remaining 2% being isolated bones from raven <u>Corvus corax</u> (Context 357; ER. ; Roman, early 2nd century AD), teal <u>Anas crecca</u> (Context 192; ER. ; Medieval, early 12th century AD), woodcock <u>Scolopax rustica</u> (Context 195; ER. ; Late Saxon, 10th century AD & Context 108; ER. ; Saxo-Norman, <u>c</u>.1050 - 1100 AD) and curlew <u>Numenius arquata</u> (Context 37; ER. ; Saxo-Norman, <u>c</u>.1050 - 1100 AD).

The complete collection of bird bone is held in store at the Department of Urban Archaeology, Museum of London, where it may be examined upon request. Under the British Museum (Natural History) computer-based catalogue scheme the specimens have been assigned the following registration numbers:-

Roman	DUA	1978	R5212	to	DUA	1978	R5238
Late Saxon	DUA	1978	R5239	to	DUA	1978	R5247
Saxo-Norman	DUA	1978	R5248	to	DUA	1978	R5254
Medieval	DUA	1978	R5255	to	DUA	1978	R5273
Later							
Medieval and							
Early Modern	DUA	1978	R5274	to	DUA	1978	R5282

#### Measurement of the Bones

Preservation of the bones is good, and many are sufficiently intact to allow measurement. Measurements (in mm) were taken from the specimens using dial calipers (Mitutoyo No. 505-635, range 300mm, with dial graduation of 0.05mm), the points of

measurement following those described by von den Driesch (1976).

Copies of the complete series of tables giving summaries of the measurements for each of the species identified are available on request from the BM(NH) and the DUA.

#### The Species Represented

By far the commonest remains are those of domestic chicken <u>Gallus gallus</u> which maintain the same proportion of about 60% to 70% of bones in each dated level. Domestic goose <u>Anser anser</u> and duck <u>Anas platyrhynchos</u> comprise only 22% and 7% respectively of the 271 identified bones.

Unlike the Tudor site of Baynard's Castle where over 50 species of wild bird have been recorded (Bramwell, 1975), there is a paucity of remains of wild species from St.Magnus.

#### Skeletal elements recovered

The most frequent elements from the site are the 'meatier' limb bones (i.e. humerus, ulna, radius, femur & tibiotarsus); and in the tibiotarsus, which forms one quater of all the finds, can be recognised the familiar 'drumstick'.

It is of interest that a relatively large number of tarsometatarsi were recovered (Fig.1), a bone with hardly any flesh on it. Only a few ribs were found, whilst skulls, vertebrae and extremity bones are noticeably absent. The lack of those parts of the skeleton that are removed during preparation of the carcass for cooking points to the possibility that the collection of bird bone from St.<sup>M</sup>agnus is mainly comprised of the refuse from the table rather than the kitchen.

وراوي ومحريس الأشاط فالمنابعة فيتشرك أتستسلم وملاسي والسوي والمراجع والمتحود والمحبب بسيارات ويبرع		والمحال المحالي المتقاط عليه المستجرب والمراجع والمحاد والمحاد والمحاد والمحاد والمحاد والمحاد والمحاد	
Bone element	No. specimens	% of total.	·
Furcula	4	1.4	
Coracoid	13	4.6	
Scapula	9	3.2	-
Humerus	42	14.8	
Ulna	26	9.2	
Radius	21	7.4	
Carpometacarpus	5	1.8	
Sternum	14	4.9	
Rib	2	0.7	
Pelvis	13	4.6	
Femur	23	8.1	
Tibiotarsus	72	25.4	
Tarsometatarsus	27	9•5	
Unidentifi <b>e</b> d	13	4.6	

Fig. 1:	St.Magnus.	Bird	bone,	numbers	$\mathbf{of}$	bone	elements	identified.	Roman	and
	medieval l	evels (	combine	ed.						

Butchery

The following bones have marks made by a knife on them, showing evidence of butchery:-

Fig. 2: St. Magnus. Domestic chicken and goose. Evidence of butchery.

-4-

۰.

2.1 DOMESTIC CHICKEN

Context No.	ER No.	Date	Bone	Description
357		early 2nd cent. AD	1 tibiotarsus	knife score across distal epiphysis
286		17 H	1 ulna 1 femur 1 tibiotarsus	* chop across proximal epiphysis chop* across distal epiphysis chop* across distal epiphysis
289		late 2nd-4th cent.AD	2 tibiotarsus	knife score across distal epiphysis
150		10th cent.AD	3 tibiotarsus	knife score across distal eninkysis
			1 tarsometatarsu	s chop* across proximal epiphysis
195		10th cent.AD	2 tibiotarsus	knife score across distal epiphysis
37 .		<u>c</u> .1050-1100 AD	1 tibiotarsus	knife score across distal epiphysis
192		early 12th cent.AD	1 tibiotarsus	knife score across distal
101		early 12th cent.AD	6 tibiotarsus	knife score across distal epiphysis
109		early 12th cent.AD	1 tibiotarsus	knife score across distal epiphysis
4		early 14th cent. AD	1 tibiotarsus	chop* across distal epiphysis

# Fig. 2 (continued)

## 2.2 DOMESTIC GOOSE

Context No.	ER No.	Date		Bone	Description
288		late 2nd-4th cent.AD		1 humerus	knife marks on shaft**
289		78 88		1 tarsometatarsus	s chop marks* & knife scores across distal epiphysis
				1 tibiotarsus	superficial knife marks on shaft *
37		<u>c</u> .1050-1100 AI	)	1 humerus	chop * across distal epiphysis
192		early 12th cent.AD		1 tibiotarsus	chop* across distal epiphysis
95		19 13		1 humerus	knife score across distal epiphysis
	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		

KEY:

Bone (probably)'chopped' through <sup>V</sup> with a large knife Showing removal of the flesh from the bone

Figures 3 and 4 show in diagramatic form the position of the knife marks recorded on the bones of domestic chicken and domestic goose. One third of chicken tibiotarsi are marked at the distal end by a knife cut. Three tibiotarsi of goose also exhibit similar marks across the distal epiphysis. All these specimens show the position of removal of the lower part of the leg from the rest of the carcass.

#### Gnawing of the bone

Six goose bones have marks made by teeth on them, but in each specimen the animal responsible can not be determined, and could be dog or cat, or possibly even human.

#### Sex ratio of the domestic chicken

The sex of the complete and partially complete tarsometatarsi of domestic chicken was established by the presence (male) or absence (female) of a spur. Using this method, the numbers of males and females are assessed as follows:--

-B- - 5a-

	MALE	FEMALE	
Roman levels	3	6	
late Saxon	2	2	
Saxo-Norman	1	1	
medieval	1	1	ļ.

One bone from Context 195 (ER ; late Saxon, 10th century AD)/had a small, little developed spur, and this specimen may have come from a capon.

#### Pathology

One humerus from Context 357 (ER ; Roman, early 2nd century AD) has an irregular mass of calcified tissue (callus) around the central part of the shaft. This may be the result of a traumatic fracture (?), although there seems to be no evidence of any break in the radiograph taken of this bone.

#### REFERENCES

Bramwell,	D.	(1975).	Bird	remair	ıs	from	medie	val	London.
·			The	London	Na	tural	ist,	54,	15-20.

von den Driesch, A. (1976). <u>A Guide to the Measurement of Animal Bones from</u> <u>Archaeological Sites</u>. Peabody Museum Bulletin No.1.

			•			
Bi	rd Bone	Measurements	St. Magnus 1975,	City of London.		
RO	MAN		· · · ·			
a)	Feriod	1, phases 1 8	<pre>6 5 amalgamated, 1</pre>	st to 2nd century AD.		
	Context	Nos.: 357, 3	50, 286, 342, 341	, 338, 318, 309,	•	
	FD Mag	•				
	BR. HOS	••				
b)	Period	1, phase 6, 1	ate 2nd to 4th ce	ntury AD.		
	Context	Nos.: 329, 2	288, 301, 289, 269			
	ER. Nos	• •				
TA	BLE 1: G	allus gallus	Scapula			
a)	1st to 2	2nd cent. AD;	2 specimens; Con	texts 286, 342.	•	•
Dif	r (2)	11 0 8 12 8	}			
1			, 		-	
6)	late 2n	d <b>to</b> 4th cent	. AD; 1 specimen;	Context 269.	•	
GL Di(	65 <b>.1</b> C 11 <b>.5</b>		• •	6 C	. C .	ni. J. I. V
TAI	BLE 2: G	allus gallus	Coracoid		, 'l	tan san san san san san san san san san s
a)	1st to a	2nd cent. AD;	1 specimen; Cont	ext 357.	 	•
GL	56.4					
BF Lm	11•7 54•4			and take there is 141	- <u>611. 1</u> 1	
1. )	1.4.2.	a ha likk now	ADe 1 aposimone	Contact 280	rts Jaon -	•* •
· b)	late 2n	d to 4th cent	. AD; 1 specimen;	Context 209.		•
GL Bb	54.4 14.5					•
BF	11.1		•			
ъm	21.0					
TAI	BLE 3; G	allus gallus	Humerus	;	<b>:</b> .	
a)	1st to	2nd cent. AD:	8 specimens.			:
	λĭ	м	Pango			
GL	4	69.5	63.1 - 73.4	· · ·	•	
Bp	5	18.9	17.1 - 20.6		•	· · · ·
Bd	7	14.7	13.6 - 16.2			
SC	7	6.6	6.0 - 7.3			<u> </u>
b)	late 2n	d to 4th cent	t. AD; 5 specimens	•	· • :	
	N	М	Range	•••		
GL	5	71.4	64.4 - 74.6		•	4.
Bp 	5	19.6	$16.9 - 20.0^{\circ}$	• • • •	•	
ва SC	2 5	6.9	6.1 - 7.5			

•

4

-

-6-

		-7	**		
	TABLE 4: Gallus gallus	Radius			
	a) 1st to 2nd cent. Al	;2 specimens; contex	t 286.		
	GL       (2)       61.0 & 62.8         Bp       (2)       4.5 & 5.3         Bd       (2)       6.0 & 6.9         SC       (2)       2.9 & 3.0	; ; ;	<b>,</b>	×	
	b) late 2nd to 4th cer	t. AD; 1 specimen; c	ontext 289.		
	GL 55.4 Bp 4.7 Bd 6.0 SC 2.7		• • • •		
	TABLE 5 ; Gallus gallus	Ulna			
	a) 1st to 2nd cent. AL	; 4 specimens.	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
	N         M           GL         1         -           Bp         1         -           Bd         3         9.5           SC         4         5.9	Range 64.7 11.3 8.4 - 10.3 5.0 - 6.2	, · · · ·	•	
	b) late 2nd to 4th cen	t. AD; 2 specimens;	contexts 301, 289.	•	
•	GL 77.3 Bp 14.1 Bd 10.1 & 10.3 SC 5.1 & 5.9	ŗ	: ;	- 0: -	÷
	TABLE 6; Gallus gallus	Carpometacarpus			·····
	late 2nd to 4th centur	y AD; 1 specimen; co	1text 288.	- -	
x	GL 38.0 Bp 11.3 Bd 16.6	• • •	· · · ·	•	•
	TABLE 7' Gallus gallus	• Femur		. •	and and a second se
	1st to 2nd cent. AD: 6	- specimens.			
	N M GL 4 78.5 Bp 6 15.5 Bd 3 14.9 SC 5 6.4	Range 71.1 - 82.0 13.8 - 18.0 13.3 - 16.3 5.7 - 7.5	· · · ·		
	TABLE 8; Gallus gallus	Tibiotarsus	• •		
	a) 1st to 2nd cent. AE	; 30 specimens.			
	N         M           GL         11         108.3           Bp         12         19.8           Bd         21         10.3           SC         28         6.0	RangeSD $75.9 - 121.3$ 13. $16.8 - 23.0$ 2. $6.2 - 12.0$ 1. $4.9 - 8.8$ 0.	SE 6 4.1 4 0.7 3 0.3 8 0.2	•	

•

The second se

÷Ľ

TABLE 8: (continued) b) late 2nd to 4th cent. AD; 6 specimens. Range Ν М 96.1 GL1 .... 18.1 1 Bp Bd4 10.3 9.5 - 10.8 6 SC 5.6 5.3 - 6.0 TABLE 9 Gallus gallus Tarsometatarsus a) 1st to 2nd cent. AD; 10 specimens. Ν М Range GL6 73.2 62.6 - 81.8 8 Bp 12.7 11.5 - 14.9 Bd 6 12.2 10.9 - 13.4 SC 9 6.1 5.0 - 7.6 b) late 2nd to 4th cent. AD; 1 specimen; context 269. Bđ 12.3  $\mathbf{SC}$ 5.9 TABLE 10 ; Gallus gallus Pelvis . 1st to 2nd cent. AD; 4 specimens. ÷., М N Range LV 72.3 1 8.1 7.5 - 8.4 DiA 4 LS1 94.9 TABLE 11 Anser anser Scapula . . : a) 1st to 2nd cent. AD; 1 specimen; context 318. DiC 21.5 b) late 2nd to 4th cent. AD; 1 specimen; context 288. 19.9 DiC TABLE 12 ; Anser anser Humerus a) 1st to 2nd cent. AD; 3 specimens. N М Range Bp 2 23.0 - 24.6 SC 3 11.1 10.4 - 11.7b) late 2nd to 4th cent. AD; 3 specimens. М Range N 1 20.5 Вp •--25.1 Bd 1 SC 3 10.0 7.1 - 11.9

-8-

# TABLE 13: Anser anser Radius

1st to 2nd cent. AD; 2 specimens; context 357

GL 146.1 Bp 9.2 & 9.3 Bd 10.5 & SC 4.8 & 6.5

#### Ulna TABLE 14 'Anser anser a) 1st to 2nd cent. AD; 3 specimens. Range Ν М 155.0 GL1 -15.3 Bđ 1 8.2 7.8 - 9.0 SC 3 b) late 2nd to 4th cent. AD; 3 specimens. Range М Ν 20.6 Bp 1 ---16.3 Bd 1 8.7 7.9 - 9.6 SC 3

# TABLE 15 Anser anser Tibiotarsus

1st to 2nd cent. AD; 2 specimens; context 286.

GL 155.8 Bp 26.8 Bd 17.7 SC 9.1 & 9.8

# TABLE 16 : Anser anser Tarsometatarsus

late 2nd to 4th cent. AD; 2 specimens; context 289.

GL 90.9 & 93.9 Bp 18.6 SC 7.8 & 8.2

# TABLE 17: Anas platyrhynchos Scapula

1st to 2nd cent. AD; 1 specimen; context 286.

DiC 12.3

# TABLE 18 : Anas platyrhynchos Coracoid

1st to 2nd cent. AD; 3 specimens.

	Ν	М	Range
GL	3	52.2	47.6 - 55.8
Вb	3	20.1	20.0 - 20.2
BF	3	19•5	18.8 - 20.1
Lm	3	47.5	42.8 - 51.5

ut 1√34	
TABLE 19: Anas platyrhynchos Humerus	
a) 1st to 2nd cent. AD; 1 specimen; context 357.	• • •
GL 91.3 Bp 21.2 Bd 14.9 SC 6.9	
b) late 2nd to 4th cent. AD; 1 specimen; context	269.
Bd 10.6 SC 4.9	•
TABLE 20 : Anas platyrhynchos Radius	
a) 1st to 2nd cent. AD; 2 specimens; contexts 357	7, 350.
GL 63.9 & 73.9 Bp 5.0 & 5.6 Bd 5.6 & 6.7 SC 2.4 & 3.0	
b) late 2nd to 4th cent.AD; 1 specimen; context 2	288.
SC 3.4	
TABLE 21; Anas platyrhynchos Ulna	
1st to 2nd cent. AD; 1 specimen; context 286.	
GL 67.6	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Bp 10.0 Bd 9.0 SC 4.3	
TABLE 22; Corvus corax Ulna	
1st to 2nd cent. AD; 1 specimen; context 357.	
GL 99.9 Bp 13.7 Bd 11.7 SC 6.4	n an
*@*@*@*@*@*@*@*@*@*@*@*@*@*@*@*@*@*@*@	9+@+@+@+@+@+@+@+@+@+@+@+@+@+@+@+@+@ ,
LATE SAXON	
10th century AD.	
Context Nos.: 222, 150, 195.	
ER. Nos.:	
TABLE 23 : Gallus gallus Scapula	
10th cent. AD; 2 specimens; context 150.	
DiC 12.2 & 12.7	

· · · ·

.

#### TABLE 24; Gallus gallus Coracoid

10th cent. AD; 2 specimens; contexts 150, 195.

GL 52.5 & 55.7 Bb 15.1 BF 10.7 & 12.5 Lm 50.5 & 52.9

## TABLE 25 ; Gallus gallus Humerus

10th cent. AD; 3 specimens.

	Ν	М	Range
GL	2		66.6 & 73.4
Вр	2		18.0 & 20.1
Bd	3	14.7	13.3 & 15.8
SC	3	7.0	6.7 & 7.2

## TABLE 26; Gallus gallus Radius

10th cent. AD; 2 specimens; context 195.

GL 59.6 & 66.0 Bp 5.0 & 5.7 Bd 6.4 SC 3.3 & 3.6

## TABLE 27 : Gallus gallus Ulna

10th cent. AD; 4 specimens.

	N	м	Range
$\operatorname{GL}$	2	-	71.2 & 75.0
Bp	2	<del></del>	13.3 & 14.0
Bd	3	10.3	9.6 - 11.1
SC	4	5.8	5.4 - 6.4

## TABLE 28° Gallus gallus Femur

10th cent. AD; 1 specimen; context 150.

GL 73.4 Bp 13.6 Bd 13.3 SC 5.9

## TABLE 29; Gallus gallus Tibiotarsus

10th cent. AD; 13 specimens.

	N	М	Range	SD	SE
GL	2	-	96.1 & 119.4	-	
Вр	5	20.1	17.9 - 22.1	-	-
Bd	5	12.5	9.3 - 18.6	-	-
SC	11	6.1	5.2 - 7.0	0.6	0.2

TABLE 30: Gallus gallus Tarsometatarsus 10th cent. AD; 5 specimens. Ν Range М 66.0 - 86.7 GL4 76.0 2 11.1 & 12.9 Bp 4 12.4 11.1 - 13.5 Bđ 5.2 - 6.8 5  $\mathbf{SC}$ 5.9 TABLE 31; Gallus gallus Pelvis 10th cent. AD; 2 specimens; context 150. L٧ 77.7 DiA 7.8 TABLE 32 : Anser anser Humerus 10th cent. AD; 2 specimens; contexts 222, 150. 21.6 & 35.6 Bp TABLE 33 : Anser anser Ulna 10th cent. AD; 1 specimen; context 150. Bp 21.3 SC9.0 TABLE 34 Anser anser Carpometacarpus 10th cent. AD; 1 specimen; context 195. 95.8 GLBp 21.9 Bd 12.1 TABLE 35 : Anser anser Femur 10th cent. AD; 2 specimens; context 150. Bp 20.0 19.9 & 20.0 Bd  $\mathbf{SC}$ 18.1 & 18.5 TABLE 36; Anser anser Tibiotarsus 10th cent. AD; 3 specimens. М Range Ν 149.8 GL1 -27.0 1 Bр -2 16.6 & 18.1 Bd ----8.7 8.5 - 9.2 SÇ 3

-12-

• • • • • •

1.

· · · ·

•

TABLE 43: Gallus gallus Tarsometatarsus

c.1050 - 1100 AD; 2 specimens; Context 37.

 GL
 (2)
 82.5 & 62.4

 Bp
 (1)
 14.9

 Bd
 (2)
 13.9 & 11.0

 SC
 (2)
 7.6 & 5.3

TABLE 44 ; Gallus gallus Pelvis

c.1050 - 1100 AD; 1 specimen; Context 37. LV 67.3

TABLE 45: Anser anser Scapula

c.1050 - 1100 AD; 1 specimen; Context 103.

DiC 21.5

TABLE 46; Anser anser Humerus

c.1050 - 1100 AD; 1 specimen; Context 37.

Bd 25.0 SC 12.5

TABLE 47 : Scolopax rustica Femur

c.1050 - 1100 AD; 1 specimen; Context 108.

 GL
 46.9

 Bp
 9.1

 Bd
 8.4

 SC
 3.7

TABLE 48 ; Numenius arquata Humerus

c.1050 - 1100 AD; 1 specimen; Context 37.

GL 105.2 Bd 15.9 SC 6.9

MEDIEVAL 12th century AD

Context Nos.: 192, 55, 95, 101, 109, 146, 62

ER. Nos.:

TABLE 49 ; Gallus gallus Coracoid

12th cent. AD; 2 specimens; Contexts 55, 101.

 GL
 (2)
 54.2 & 55.4

 Bp
 (1)
 14.7

 BF
 (2)
 10.5 & 11.1

 Im
 (2)
 52.1 & 53.2

TABLE 37 Anser anser Tarsometatarsus 10th cent.AD; 1 specimen; context 150. GL92.0 Вp 19.3 20.8 Зd SC9.0 TABLE 38 Anas platyrhynchos Scapula 10th cent. AD; 1 specimen; context 150. DiC 12.8 TABLE 39 Anas platyrhynchos Humerus 10th cent. AD; 1 specimen; context 150. . 57.8 GLBp 13.9 9.4 Bd SC 4.3 TABLE 40 Scolopax rustica Radius 10th cent. AD; 1 specimen; context 195. 3.9 Bp  $\mathbf{SC}$ 2.1 SAXO-NORMAN c.1050 - 1100 AD Context Nos.: 37, 85, 103, 104, 108, 164, 184 ER. Nos.: TABLE 41; Gallus gallus 'Femur c.1050 - 1100 AD; 5 specimens. Range Ν М 67.1 - 81.6 4  $\mathbf{GL}$ 71.9 13.8 - 16.0 Bp 4 14.6 5 12.2 - 15.6 Bd 13.5 5.8 - 7.7 5 6.3  $\mathbf{SC}$ Tibiotarsus TABLE 42 ; Gallus gallus c.1050 - 1100 AD; 2 specimens; Context 37. (1)102.5 GL17.9 & 20.2 (2) Bp Bd (1)10.2 5.7 & 5.8 SC (2)

TABLE 43; Gallus gallus Tarsometatarsus

c.1050 - 1100 AD; 2 specimens; Context 37.

GL(2)82.5 & 62.4Bp(1)14.9Bd(2)13.9 & 11.0SC(2)7.6 & 5.3

## TABLE 44 ; Gallus gallus Pelvis

c.1050 - 1100 AD; 1 specimen; Context 37. LV 67.3

TABLE 45: Anser anser Scapula

c.1050 - 1100 AD; 1 specimen; Context 103.

DiC 21.5

TABLE 46: Anser anser Humerus

c.1050 - 1100 AD; 1 specimen; Context 37.

Bd 25.0 SC 12.5

TABLE 47 : Scolopax rustica Femur

c.1050 - 1100 AD; 1 specimen; Context 108.

GL 46.9 Bp 9.1 Bd 8.4 SC 3.7

TABLE 48 Numerius arquata Humerus

c.1050 - 1100 AD; 1 specimen; Context 37.

GL 105.2 Bd 15.9 SC 6.9

MEDIEVAL 12th century AD

Context Nos.: 192, 55, 95, 101, 109, 146, 62

ER. Nos.:

## TABLE 49 ; Gallus gallus Coracoid

12th cent. AD; 2 specimens; Contexts 55, 101.

GL (2) 54.2 & 55.4 Bp (1) 14.7 BF (2) 10.5 & 11.1 Im (2) 52.1 & 53.2

TABL	<u>50 :</u>	Gallus	gallus	Humerus	
12th	cent.	AD; 4	specime	ns.	
GL Bp Bd SC	N 2 4 4		M - 13.7 6.1	Range 64.0 & 69.6 17.4 & 17.8 13.2 - 14.2 6.0 - 6.3	
TABLI	<u>51;</u>	Gallus AD: 3	gallus	Radius	
12 011	N N		м	Dones	
<b>C</b> T	2		143	Range	
นม ม <sub>ี</sub> ม	2 7			JU-9 & 00-4	
вч	2			$4 \cdot 7 =$	
SC	3		2.9	2.6 - 3.1	
TABLI	<u>52;</u>	Gallus	gallus	Carpometacarpus	
12th	cent.	AD; 1	specime	n; Context 55.	
GL Bp Bd	50.9 14.9 8.4				,

# TABLE 53 ; Gallus gallus Femur

12th cent. AD; 4 specimens.

	N	М	Range
$\mathbf{GL}$	1	-	70.6
Bp	2	***	13.5 & 13.7
Bd	3	12.7	12.6 - 12.8
SC	3	6.1	5.8 - 6.6

# TABLE 54 ; Gallus gallus Tibiotarsus

12th cent. AD; 11 specimens.

	N	М	Range	SD	SE
$\mathbf{GL}$	4	109.6	95.7 - 120.3	5 -	-
Вр	6	19.7	17.5 - 21.4	t	
Bd	9	11.0	9.2 - 12.3	5 -	-
SC	11	6.1	4.9 - 7.0	0.7	0.2

TABLE 55 : Gallus gallus Tarsometatarsus

12th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 101.

GL 62.7

Bp 11.3

Bd 11.3

sc 5.4

TABLE 56 Anser anser Humerus

12th cent. AD; 2 specimens; Context 93.

Bp 32.1 Bd 23.7

TABLE 57 : Anser anser Ulna

12th cent. AD; 2 specimens; Context 101.

GL (1) 142.6 Bd (1) 15.2 SC (2) 8.1 & 8.5

TABLE 58 : Anser anser Femur

12th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 101. GL 75.6

Bp 19.2 Bd 29.9 SC 8.4

TABLE 59 : Anser anser Tibiotarsus 12th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 192. Bd 17.6 SC 19.2

TABLE 60 Anser anser Tarsometatarsus

12th cent. AD; 2 specimens; Contexts 192, 101.

Bd (1) 18.2 SC (2) 18.0 & 18.1

TABLE 61: Anas platyrhynchos Ulna

12th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 192.

Bd 10.7 SC 5.4

TABLE 62 ? Anas platyrhynchos Carpometacarpus

12th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 101.

GL 59.9 Bp 13.5 Bd 7.3
TABLE 63 : Anas crecca Ulna

12th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 192.

Bd 6.6 SC 3.3

MEDIEVAL 13th century AD

Context Nos.: 146, 62

ER. Nos.:

TABLE 64 ? Gallus gallus Humerus

13th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 62.

SC 6.5

TABLE 65 ; Gallus gallus Radius

13th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 62.

GL 60.6 Bp 4.9 Bd 6.2 SC 2.9

TABLE 66 ; Gallus gallus Ulna

13th cent. AD; 2 specimens; Context 62.

Bd (2) 8.4 & 9.3 SC (2) 5.0 & 5.2

## TABLE 67 ; Gallus gallus Femur

13th cent. AD; 2 specimens; Context 62.

GL (1) 67.8 Bp (1) 13.6 Bd (1) 13.0 SC (2) 5.7 & 6.4

TABLE 68 Gallus gallus Tibiotarsus

13th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 62.

sc 5.9

TABLE 69 : Gallus gallusTarsometatarsus13th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 146.

GL 74-3 Bp 13-5 Bd 13-7 DC 7-4 -17-

TABLE 70 ! Anser anser Humerus 13th cent. AD; 2 specimens; Contexts 146, 62.  $\operatorname{GL}$ (2)157.5 & 171.7 Bp (2)33.3 & 33 1 (1)23.3 Bd 11.2 & Sc (2) 11.5 TABLE 71 ; Anser anser Radius 13th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 146. GL154.6 9.6 Bp 11.0 Bd 4.8  $\mathbf{SC}$ TABLE 72 : Anser anser Ulna 13th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 62. 16.1 Bd SC 7.6 TABLE 73: Anser anser Tibiotarsus 13th cent. AD; 2 specimens; Context 62. 138.4 (1)GL (1)Bp 27.7 16.7 & 16.8 Bd (2)SC (2)8.0 & 8.6 LATE MEDIEVAL 14th century AD Context Nos.: 4, 91, 99, 163, 100 ER. Nos.: TABLE 74 °Gallus gallus Humerus 14th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 99. GL73.9 20.4 Bp 16.4 Bd SC 7.5 TABLE 75 Gallus gallus Tibiotarsus 14th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 4.

Bp 22.1 SC 6.8

TABLE 76 Anser anser Radius 14th cent. AD; 2 specimens; Contexts 99, 163.  $\mathbf{GL}$ (1) 142.8 (2) 9.0 & 9.2 Bp  $\operatorname{Bd}$ (1)9.9 SC (2) 5.5 & 5.9 TABLE 77: Anser anser Carpometacarpus 14th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 163. 82.7  $\operatorname{GL}$ Βp 19.7  $\operatorname{Bd}$ 10.7 TABLE 78; Anser anser Femur 14th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 163.  $\operatorname{GL}$ 77.0 Вp 19.3 19.2  $\mathbf{Bd}$  $\mathbf{SC}$ 18.3 TABLE 79 Anser anser Tibiotarsus

14th cent. AD; 1 specimen; Context 99.

GL	144.2
Вр	26.1
Bd	17.9
SC	8.4



·









17.5 Later Medieval, late 13th to mid 14 th century AD

Fig. 18: St. Magnus. Domestic sheep. Butchery, position of chop marks on skull and linb bones. 18.1 Roman, 1st to 4th century AD









Butchery, position of chop marks on skull and link bones Roman, 1st to 4th century AD. Domestic Pig. . : J.: . Fig. 19 : St. Magaus. 0











