ANCIENT MONUMENTS LABORATORY GEOPHYSICS SECTION REPORT ON MAGNETOMETER SURVEY

KENCHESTER (3) 19-23.9.77 SURVEY: DATE:

Report no. G 31/77

SITE

7735 OS grid reference: SO 449421 (general) Field no. 1414 3535/4437

Lecation: flat valley bottom to the SE of Magnis Roman town.

Goology: alluvium - gravel

Archaeological evidence: proximity to the Roman town and to known archaeological

activity to the S of the Roman road in field no. 7254. Favourable valley-floor location. Some weak crop-marks?

SURVEY

Object: to locate any buried archaeology in the area proposed for an extension of the present gravel workings.

(a) Magnetic survey

Type of survey: automatic

Magnetemeter: 100 fluxgate Range:

initial chart recorder settings - Y: 10 X: 1:200 scale

Legged for computing: ves/no

(b) Other tests

(I) Magnetic susceptibility: see list, following.

topsoil:

subsoll:

fiil:

x10⁻⁶ emu/gm (ac bridge readings)

(11)

Survey grid measured to: National Grid, and field boundaries.

1 - Location plan Plans/charts enclosed:

2 - magnetic susceptibility survey

3 - magnetoreter traces

3. RESULTS

The area proposed for extension of the gravel pit, to the south and west of the present workings, is so large that geophysical prospecting had to be limited to a coarse sampling for soil susceptibility measurement, along with magnetometer scanning and the detailed plotting of selected areas.

Magnetic susceptibility:

Soil samples were taken from 30-40 cms depth with a 1" hand coring auger at 34 sampling stations based on the National Grid hectare squares (see plan 1). Values of magnetic susceptibility (in electromagnetic units x 10^{-6}) are shown on plan 2 as circles of directly proportional diameter. The units and NG references for each sample are listed below:

| Sample | no. N | G Ref. | Value (emu x 10^{-6}) | Mean = 14.7 |
|--------|----------------|--------|--------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | | 0 4230 | 20.4 | |
| 2 | | 0 4230 | 17.0 | |
| 3 | | 5 4225 | 24.0 | |
| 4 | | 5 4225 | 17.6 | |
| 5 | | 5 4225 | 14.2 | |
| 5 6 | | 5 4225 | 14.4 | |
| 7 | | 0 4220 | 19.1 | |
| 8 | | 0 4220 | 24.5 | |
| 9 | | 0 4220 | 14.5 | |
| 10 | | 0 4220 | 12.5 | |
| 11 | _ | 0 4200 | 9•3 | |
| 12 | SO 452 | 0 4200 | 10.6 | |
| 13 | | 0 4200 | 11.9 | |
| 14 | SO 454 | 0 4200 | 12.9 | |
| 15 | SO 447 | 5 4215 | 21.3 | |
| 16 | SO 448 | 5 4215 | 20.4 | |
| 17 | SO 1+4+9 | 5 4215 | 14.4 | |
| 18 | SO 450 | 5 4215 | 11.6 | |
| 19 | SO 451 | 5 4215 | 10.9 | |
| 20 | SO 452 | 5 4215 | 14.5 | |
| 21 | SO 448 | 0 4210 | 21.3 | |
| 22 | SO 449 | 0 4210 | 14.6 | |
| 23 | SO 450 | 0 4210 | 20.5 | |
| 24 | S 0 451 | 0 4210 | 8.6 | |
| 25 | SO 452 | 0 4210 | 14.7 | |
| 26 | SO 448 | 5 4205 | 15.2 | |
| 27 | S 0 449 | 5 4205 | 10.0 | |
| 28 | - | 5 4205 | 9•7 | |
| 29 | | 5 4205 | 10.6 | |
| 30 | | 5 4235 | 12.0 | |
| 31 | | 0 4230 | 15.9 | |
| 32 | | 0 4230 | 10.9 | |
| 33 | · | 5 4225 | 13.3 | |
| 34 | SO 454 | 5 4225 | 9.7 | |

Susceptibility measurements not only give useful information as to the feasibility of magnetic detection methods on the site, but can also in themselves suggest the location of areas of localized occupation activity. The enhancement of magnetic susceptibility of soil depends principally on:

a) the iron oxide content of the soil, and hence the geological base from which

the soil is derived, and
b) the conversion of these oxides to more strongly ferrimagnetic forms by processes

principally of burning, and to a lesser extent decomposition - resultant on activities so often associated with human occupation. The intensity and duration of such occupation will in turn vary the susceptibility accordingly. Values vary from very nearly nil on some Chalk soils up to about 1000 on Jurassic timestone and certain sand deposits. The soils at Kenchester lie on relatively uniform alluvial gravel probably of multiple derivation, but with a generally low magnetic strength. The mean value for toosoil over the area investigated in 15.7 x 10⁻⁶ emu/gm which immediately suggests that as atrongly detectable magnetic anomalies.

Examination of plan 2 shows a notable bias toward higher values to the west in field no. 7735, and this coincides broadly with an area of increased magneto activity noted during the magnetometer survey. This area is also not far distant from known and suspected Roman and Iron Age activity due west of the gravel workings. With the exception of the reading at point no. 23 (significantly coinciding approximately with scanned anomaly F), most of the remaining measurements are at a fairly constant level.

Magnetometer survey:

The entire area was scanned with the magnetometer with traverses running N-S at 15 metre intervals. In accordance with the susceptibility values, the magnetic response was found to be very low, and much of the entire area apparently devoid of magnetic activity. The principal exception to this general impression was in field no. 7755 where a concentration of anomalies was detected and examined in detail. Three 30 m. squares (A, B and C on plan 1) were covered by 30 m. traverses at 1 m. intervals and plotted on the automatic recording system. The magnetometer traces are shown on plan 3 where possible archaeological anomalies are outlined in red, and those located by scanning market as G-P (other scanned anomalies are D-F on plan 1).

The anomalies in A, B and C indicate discrete features circular or oval in shape, most probably rescenting pits or perhaps hearths. No ditches were detected.

. CONCLUSIONS

Throughout the larger part of the area covered by the survey there appears to be little or no magnetic evidence of archaeological significance. Due to low background magnetic susceptibility strengths and the generally variable response of gravel sites to magnetometer surveys, the negative evidence must be considered as tentative only. The magnetometer evidence for the substantial Roman site examined in 1977 due west of the gravel pits in field no. 7245 was relatively slight (report no. G 6/77), and it must be assumed that less distinct buried features could escape undetected. Scanning, for instance, showed no evidence for the suggested Roman road leading southwards from this site. Similar evidence, particularly relating to baildings or minor ditch systems can escape datection, especially where a survey has necessarily been so coarse.

Despite these reservations, however, a considerable scatter of pits possibly relating to occupation has been located and these coincide well with relatively higher local susceptibility values. The general lack of anomalies elsewhere may well reflect a genuine absence of archaeology. Should further investigation, such as excavation, become necessary then the priority areas can at least be suggested from these results.

Scanned anomalies - approximate sizes and magnetic strengths:

| $D - 1.0 \times 1.5 m$. | 15 | gamma |
|------------------------------|-----|-------|
| $E - 2.0 \times 1.0 m$. | 8 | 11 |
| $F - 1.0 \times 3.0 m$. | 15 | 11 |
| $G - 1.0 \times 1.0 m.$ | .,6 | 11 |
| $H - 1.0 \times 1.0 m$. | 14 | 11 |
| $I - 3.0 \times 1.0 m$. | 8 | 11 |
| $J - 1.0 \times 1.0 m$. | 8 | 11 |
| $K - 3.0 \times 2.0 m$. | 14 | 11 |
| $L = 0.5 \times 0.5 m$. | 6 | 11 |
| $M - 1.0 \times 1.0 m$. | 6 | 11 |
| $N_{i} - 1.0 \times 1.0 m$. | 6 | 11 |
| $0 - 2.0 \times 1.0 m$. | 12 | 11 |
| $P - 1.0 \times 1.0 m.$ | 6 | 11 |

Surveyed and reported by: A. David.

with: C. Tucker.

For: P. Rahtz

J. J. West.

Date of report: 26.10.79

Ancient Monuments Laboratory Geophysics Section Department of the Environment Fortress House
23 Savite Row

London W1X2HE 01-734 6010 ext 591





