# TITLEA computer program for circle-fitting by a least squaresmethod

- AUTHOR D Haddon-Reece
- DATE February 1980
- ABSTRACT The well-documented procedure for linear regression by a least squares method can be extended to the fitting of circles. This report describes the mathematical theory and program developed to compare geophysical plotting methods used on a potential round barrow at Burntwood Farm, Kings Worthy, Hants, in 1977 (AMLab report no 2344)

The information in this report is Crown Copyright and is provided on the understanding that its author will be consulted at all stages if it is to be used for any further publication

# I Introduction

A frequently encountered problem in the geophysical surveying of archaeological sites is that of fitting a circle to a set of coordinates. These points could represent spot magnetometer readings around a barrow ditch, for instance, or the positions of the stone holes in a partially or totally destroyed stone circle.

The mathematical technique described here with its associated computer program was developed by the author in July 1977 to resolve a particular problem arising the comparison of plotting methods applied to a possible round barrow. Firstly, it was required to decide whether a barrow whose remaining mound was partially overlain by a dense thicket and an iron fence had been round or long. Also, the results from two magnetic plotting systems applied to the task, both under test for archaeological work, had to be compared by an objective method. As concluded in the report on the exercise - 'Burntwood Farm', AMLab No 2344, (Haddon-Reece, 1977) - the program successfully fitted statistically indistinguishable circles to the data in the two cases, confirming that both methods produced similar and acceptable results, and that the barrow had been round.

This report has been written to document another in the series of Ancient Monuments Laboratory working techniques. Since the report on Burntwood Farm, a similar procedure for fitting circles and ellipses to Megalithic stone rings (Angell and Barber, 1977) has been published, and reference should be made to that for the treatment of ellipses.

### II Mathematical basis

## II 1 Straight line fitting

Linear regression by a least squares method is a well documented procedure (see, eg, Paradine and Rivett, Chapter X). This technique will be reviewed first, as it leads directly into that for circle fitting.

To a set of n data points it is required to fit a straight line such that the sum of squares of the residual distances between it and the data is a minimum. For any data point  $(x_i, y_i, i = 1, n)$ , the residual  $r_i$  is expressed as

 $r_i = y_i - a - bx_i$ 

where a and b are the intercept and slope of the fitted line. For a best fit,

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - a - bx_i)^2$$
 is a minimum, requiring that

$$\partial s / \partial a = \partial s / \partial b = 0$$

- 1 -

Adopting the convention of representing the summation sign by square brackets, and partially differentiating w.r.t. a,

$$-2\left[(y_{i} - a - bx_{i})\right] = 0. \quad \text{Similarly, w.r.t. b,}$$
$$-2x_{i}\left[(y_{i} - a - bx_{i})\right] = 0$$

Rearranging, and putting [1] = n,

$$[y_i] = na + b[x_i]$$
(1)

$$[x_{i}y_{i}] = a[x_{i}] + b[x_{i}^{2}]$$
(2)

which are called the normal equations. Summing  $x_i^2$ ,  $x_iy_i$ ,  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  from the data leads to the standard equations for a and b:

a = intercept = 
$$\frac{[y] [x^2] - [x] [xy]}{n [x^2] - [x]^2}$$
 (3)

b = slope = 
$$\frac{n [xy] - [x]^2}{n [x^2] - [x]^2}$$
 (4)

# II 2. Circle fitting

The general equation of the circle is:

$$x^{2} + y^{2} + 2gx + 2fy + c = 0$$

Rearringing,

$$(x+g)^{2} + (y+f)^{2} = g^{2} + f^{2} - c$$

from which it can be seen that the centre is the point (-g,-f), and the radius is  $(g^2 + f^2 - c)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Solution of the normal equations obtained in a similar manner to the linear case will yield best fit values of the coefficients g, f, and c, and hence centre and radius.

The sum of squares of residuals throughout the data is

$$S = \left[ (x^2 + y^2 + 2gx + 2fy + c)^2 \right]$$

For a minimum, the partial derivatives of S w.r.t to g, f and c must be zero; that is

$$4 \left[ \mathbf{x} \cdot (\mathbf{x}^2 + \mathbf{y}^2 + 2g\mathbf{x} + 2f\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{c}) \right] = 0$$
 (5)

$$4\left[\mathbf{y}.(\mathbf{x}^{2}+\mathbf{y}^{2}+2\mathbf{g}\mathbf{x}+2\mathbf{f}\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{c})\right] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (6)$$

$$2\left[(x^{2} + y^{2} + 2gx + 2fy + c)\right] = 0.$$
 (7)

Expanding the terms under the summation sign, and consigning to to the RHS the constant terms (ie those not containing g, f or c), equations (5), (6) and (7) can be rearranged as:

$$g.2[x^{2}] + f.2[xy] + c.[x] = -([x^{3}] + [xy^{2}])$$
(8)

$$g.2[xy] + f.2[y^{2}] + c.[y] = -([y^{3}] + [x^{2}y])$$
(9)

$$g.2[x] + f.[y] + c.n = -([x^2] + [y^2])$$
 (10)

This set of simultaneous equations, linear in the unknowns, is the set of normal equations which was required. It remains to solve them for g, f and c.

Denoting the summation 
$$[x^{3}]$$
 by A  
 $[y^{3}]$  "B  
 $[x^{2}]$  "C  
 $[y^{2}]$  "D  
I x 1 "E  
 $[y]$  "F  
 $[x^{2}y]$  "G  
 $[xy^{2}]$  "H and  
 $[xy1$  "J,

the normal equations (8), (9), and (10) may be solved by Cramer's rule to obtain the solution:

 $g = \frac{-2}{DEL} \begin{vmatrix} A+H & J & E \\ B+G & D & F \\ C+D & F & n \end{vmatrix}; \qquad f = \frac{-2}{DEL} \begin{vmatrix} C & A+H & E \\ J & B+G & F \\ E & C+D & n \end{vmatrix}; \qquad (11), (12)$   $c = \frac{-4}{DEL} \begin{vmatrix} C & J & A+H \\ J & D & B+G \\ E & F & C+D \end{vmatrix}; \qquad where \quad DEL = 4 \begin{vmatrix} C & J & E \\ J & D & F \\ E & F & n \end{vmatrix}$ (13), (14)

whence, as stated above,

Radius =  $(g^2 + f^2 - c)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ; centre is at (-g, -f).

## III The computer program

Program CIRCLE, which is listed in full on pp 6-7, is written in FORTRAN IV to run interactively on the GEISCO Mk III time-sharing service. Up to thirty data points are acceptable, which may be entered either from the keyboard or from a previously set up ASCII data file. In both options, an integer denoting the number of points is read first followed by the coordinates pairs in either real or integer format.

After passing through all the points to sum  $x^3$ ,  $y^3$ ,  $x^2y$ ,  $xy^2$ , xy,  $y^2$ , x and y, the program then calculates the necessary determinant values and solves for g, f and c by Cramer's rule to obtain the radius and the central coordinates. Passing through the points again, it calculates the radius to each point from the derived centre, and its deviation. By summing the squares of the deviations, dividing by the number of readings less unity and taking the square root of the quotient, the program derives the root mean square error, and, multiplying by 0.6745, the probable error. These values are then printed.

(As Paradine and Rivett point out (p 190), when deducing unknowns by least squares reasoning, equal weights may not be attributable to each observation, so that a more complicated process has to be followed to obtain the rms error. The simpler approach will be followed for the moment, and only if unacceptably large inaccuracies become visible, in the testing stage, will steps be taken to correct it.)

Next, the program scans the deviations, indicating those which exceed 5 probable errors. This follows a rule given by Wright and Hayford (Paradine and Rivett, p 161) in which surveyors are recommended to reject any observation deviating by more than this amount, and to scrutinise in the light of the circumstances in which they were made any which exceed  $3\frac{1}{2}$  probable errors. In archaeological terms, the dubiety of the coordinates of any point may rest on several factors - precision of surveying, precision of estimating the centrally representative point of an amorphous magnetic anomaly, etc. Not only is the precision hard to quantify, but it is difficult to allocate weights. Re-entering the data with the exclusion of dubious values is therefore left at the discretion of the user; no option is put into this version of the program.

Finally, the program prints out the set of coordinates, together with their deviations from the calculated radius.

### IV Experimental results

As a test of the program's capability, circles were fitted through three sets of data, each derived separately from an identical perfect circle of radius 6 units and cartesian centre (0,0). The polar coordinates of twelve points spaced equidistantly around the circle were calculated and subjected to the following treatment.

Firstly, using the polar to rectangular conversion facility on a TI59 programmable calculator, each point was converted to rectangular coordinates referred to an origin outside the circle at (-7, -7). These twelve coordinate pairs were put into the program, as demonstrated in the printout in the upper half of p8, returning as the correct radius and centre. The input data is shown at the right.





In the second case, each of the twelve originally equal radii were adjusted by the addition of an error term. These error terms, calculated by the TI59 pseudo-random number library program, were normally distributed about zero with a standard deviation equal to 10% of the radius, ie 0.6 units. No deviation in the angular direction was included. The printout in the lower half of p8 shows the calculated results of radius (6.02 + - 0.535) and centre (7.14, 6.84). and this circle is drawn through the data points in Figure 1.

For the third test, the original, unaltered coordinates were subjected to separate error distributions in the x and y directions. Each was normally distributed about zero with a standard deviation of 0.425units, which again produces a variance of  $2 \times (0.425)^2 = 0.6$  in the radius. The calculated results taken from the printout on p 9 are plotted as the best fit circle through the original points in Fig 2.

### V Discussion of results and conclusion

In the absence of any irregularity in the source data, the program's capacity for accurately and precisely restoring a circle is demonstrated by the results of the first test.

When fitting a circle to data which deviates from a perfect circle, the program is well able to reproduce the initial radius, to within 0.3% in the second case and closer still in the third; in both cases the relocation of the centre is more than acceptably close for archaeological purposes. In the third case, since the errors imposed were distributed about zero in both x and y directions, the relocated centre should in theory coincide with the original centre. The very slight discrepancy between original and relocated centres represents rounding errors in entering the data.

In both second and third cases the calculated rms error of the radius is actually less than the source value. This reflects the previously discussed incorrectness in choice of computing formula. However, since the errors in estimating the representative position of any archaeological anomaly in a geophysical surveying record are far greater than the magnitude of those produced in this processing, there is little point in refining the method beyond realistic bounds.

The program has been found to be a practicable way to apply this circle fitting technique to archaeological data. The only modification which suggests itself is to include the option to reject data points by user direction at the keyboard, so that the program could repeat the processing with correctly altered control parameters. The only mathematical modification which might be required for especially precise work would be to incorporate the technique for finding the rms error suggested by Paradine and Rivett.

D Haddon-Reece Ancient Monuments Laboratory February 1980

### References

Angell, I O, and Barber, J, <u>An algorithm for fitting circle and ellipses</u> to Megalithic stone rings. Science and Archaeology 20,1977, 11-16.

Haddon-Reece, **D**, <u>Burntwood Farm: a comparison of plotting instruments</u>. Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report No 2344, 1977 (unpublished).

Paradine, CG, and Rivett, BHP, <u>Statistical methods for technologists</u>. English Universities Press, 1960.

# CIRCLE 03/03/80

```
120* This program fits a circle to a set of points by a least squares
140* method for up to 30 points. The coordinates of the centre, the
150* radius and the probable error are printed, followed by a listing
170% of the point coordinates and their deviations from the fitted
180* circle. The program then indicates whether all the points lie
190* within a distance of five probable errors from the fitted line.
200* Written by D Haddon-Reece, Ancient Monuments Laboratory,
210* Department of the Environment, August 1977.
240
         OPTION NOWARN
250
         INTEGER IFT(30)
260
         REAL J,K,L,M,N
270
         REAL CRD(3,30), RAD(30), DEV(30)
         FILENAME EN
280
290*
300* Insut section
310*
          PRINT, "INPUT FROM KEYBOARD(1) OR FILE(2)"
320
330
          INPUT, REPLY
          IF(REPLY,EQ.1)GOTO 400
340
         PRINT, "ENTER INPUT FILENAME"
350
360
          INPUT, FN
370
         READ(FN, 1080), NUM
         READ(FN+1080+END=390)(CRD(2+I)+CRD(3+I)+I=1+NUM)
380
      390 GO TO 440
390
      400 FRINT, "Enter number of points"
400
          INPUT, NUM
410
420
         PRINT, "Enter all pairs of coordinates"
         INPUT, (CRD(2,I), CRD(3,I), I=1, NUM)
430
      440 CONTINUE
440
450*
460* Calculation Section 1:
                            summation
470*
         N = NUM
480
         DO 610 I=1, NUM
490
500
         X = CRD(2 \cdot T)
         Y = CRD(3, I)
510
520
         E = E + X
         F=F+Y
530
540
         C = C + X * X
550
         D = D + Y * Y
         A=A+X**3
560
570
         B=B+Y**3
         G=G+X*X*Y
580
590
         H = H + X * Y * Y
300
          J=J+X*Y
      610 IFT(I)=I
610
         K≔A+H
620
         L≕B+G
630
```

```
CIRCLE
            03/03/80
640
          M=C+D
650*
660* Calculation section 2: substitution into determinant
670* for solution by Kramer's Rule
680*
690
          DEL = 4*(C*D*N - C*F*F - N*J*J + 2*E*F*J - D*E*E)
700
          GOUT = (-2/DEL)
710
         8*(K*D*N - K*F*F - J*L*N + F*J*M + E*F*L - D*E*M)
720
          FOUT = (-2/DEL)
730
         8×(C*L*N -- C*F*M -- J*K*N + E*F*K + E*J*M -- L*E*E)
740
          COUT = (-4/DEL)
750
         <u> 8×(C*D*M ~ C*F*L ~ M*L*J + E*L*L + F*L*C ~ X*G*C)</u>
760
          XCEN = -GOUT
          YCEN = -FOUT
770
          RADIUS = SQRT(GOUT*GOUT + FOUT*FOUT - COUT)
780
790
          DO 830 I=1,NUM
900
          RAD(I) = SQRT((CRD(2+I)-XCEN)**2 + (CRD(3+I)-YCEN)**2)
          DEV(I) = RADIUS - RAD(I)
810
          DEVSUM = DEVSUM + DEV(I)*DEV(I)
820
830
      830 CONTINUE
840
          SSR = SQRT(DEVSUM/(NUM-1))
          PE = 0.6745 * SSR
850
860*
870* Output section
880*
          PRINT1050, XCEN, YCEN, RADIUS, SSR
890
900
          PRINT1070+PE
910
          PE5 = PE*5
920
          DO 970 I=1,NUM
          DEVABS = ABS(DEV(I))
930
940
          IF(DEVABS.LT.PE5)GOTO 970
          PRINT, "POINT", I, "HAS DEVN. > 5 PROBABLE ERRORS"
950
          IFL = 1
960
970
      970 CONTINUE
980
          IF(IFL.EQ.1)GOTO 1010
          FRINT, *
990
           PRINT, "Data set is within 5 times probable error"
1000
1010
      1010 PRINT, *
1020
           PRINT,
                   Foint
                              ×
                                             Radius
                                                       Dev'n*
                                       9
1030
           DO 1040 I = 1,NUM
      1040 PRINT1090, IFT(I), (CRD(NN,I), NN=2,3), RAD(I), DEV(I)
1040
      1050 FORMAT(1H / "Coordinates of centre are : "F6.2," / "/
1050
                             **F6+2** +/~ **F6+3//)
          &F6.2/* Radius =
1060
      1070 FORMAT(1H , "Probable error = ", F6.3)
1070
      1080 FORMAT(V)
1080
      1090 FORMAT(1H +17+4F8.3)
1090
           CALL EXIT
1100
1110
           STOP
1120
           END
```

- -

```
- 7 -
```

```
integer IPT(30)
      real J,K,L,M,N
      real CRD(3,30), RAD(30), dev(30)
      open (1,file = 'circinp')
С
      read(1,*)NUM
      read(1, *, end = 390) (CRD(2,I), CRD(3,i), i=1, NUM)
  390 goto 440
  400 continue
  440 continue
      write(*,441)
  441 format('input complete')
С
      N = float(NUM)
      X=0
      Y=0
      E=0
      F=0
      G=0
      H=0
      J=0
      A=0
      B=0
      C=0
      D=0
      do 610 I = 1, NUM
         X = CRD(2, I)
         Y = CRD(3, I)
         E = E + X
         F = F + Y
         C = C + X * X
         D = D + Y * Y
         A = A + X * X * X
         B = B + Y * Y * Y
         G = G + X * X * Y
         H = H + X * Y * Y
         J = J + X * Y
  610 \text{ IPT}(I) = I
      K = A + H
      L = B + G
      M = C + D
С
      write(*,611)
  611 format('at 611')
      DEL = 4*(C*D*N - C*F*F - N*J*J + 2*E*F*J - D*E*E)
      FC = 2./DEL
      GOUT = FC * (K*D*N - K*F*F - J*L*N + F*J*M + E*F*L - D*E*M)
      FOUT = FC * (C*L*N - C*F*M - J*K*N + E*F*K + E*J*M - L*E*E)
      COUT = 2.*FC * (C*D*M - C*F*L - M*J*J + E*J*L + F*J*K - E*D
      XCEN = GOUT
      YCEN = FOUT
      RADIUS = sqrt (GOUT*GOUT + FOUT*FOUT + COUT)
      do 830 I = 1, NUM
         RAD(I) = sqrt ((CRD(2,I) - XCEN)**2 + (CRD(3,I) - YCEN)*
         DEV(I) = RADIUS - RAD(I)
         DEVSUM = DEVSUM + DEV(I) * DEV(I)
  830 continue
С
      SSR = sqrt (DEVSUM/(N - 1))
      PE = 0.6745 * SSR
С
С
```

| CIRCLE | 18:05 | 02/29/80 |
|--------|-------|----------|
|        |       |          |

INPUT FROM KEYBOARD(1) OR FILE(2)? 2 RING.IN ENTER INPUT FILENAME? Coordinates of centre are : 7.00 , 7.00 6.00 +/- 0.000 Radius = Probable error = 0.000Data set is within 5 times probable error Point Radius Dev'n × 9 6.000 -0.000 13.000 7.000 1 10.000 2 6.000 0.000 12,196 3 6.000 10.000 12,196 0.000 4 7.000 13.000 6.000 ---0.000 5 4.000 12.196 6.000 0.000 1.804 10.000 6.000 -0.000 6 7 1.000 7.000 6.000 0.000 1.804 4.000 6.000 --0.000 8 -0.000 9 4.000 1.804 6.000 10 6.000 0.000 7.000 1.000 6.000 10.000 1.804 -0,000 11 4.000 6.000 0.000 1212.196

| RING.IN  |
|--|
| 12<br>13,7<br>12,196,10<br>10,12,196<br>7,           |
| 13<br>4,12,196<br>1,8038,10<br>1,7                   |
| 1.803874<br>471.8038<br>771<br>1071.8038<br>12.19674 |
|  |

| じょだじにた よびすりる ワズス | 1247 | 80 |
|------------------|------|----|
|------------------|------|----|

INPUT FROM KEYBOARD(1) OR FILE(2)? 2

ENTER INPUT FILENAME? RINGINP

Coordinates of centre are : 7.14 , 6.84 Radius = 6.02 ±/- 0.535

Probable error = 0.361

Data set is within 5 times probable error

| Point | ×      | ч      | Radius | Dev'n   |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| 1     | 13.389 | 7.000  | 6.254  | -0+233  |
| 2     | 11.823 | 9.785  | 5.534  | 0.487   |
| 3     | 9,954  | 12,116 | 5.980  | 0.041   |
| 4     | 7,000  | 13,424 | 6.584  | -0+563  |
| 5     | 4+474  | 11.375 | 5,258  | 0.763   |
| 6     | 1+832  | 9,984  | 6+166  | -0.145  |
| 7     | 1.082  | 7.000  | 6.057  | -~0.036 |
| 8     | 1.606  | 3,885  | 6.271  | -0.250  |
| 9     | 3,733  | 1,341  | 6,468  | -0.447  |
| 10    | 7,000  | 1.391  | 5.452  | 0.569   |
| 11    | 9.684  | 2.351  | 5.162  | 0.859   |
| 12    | 13,064 | 3.499  | 6,804  | -0.783  |

| RINGINF       |
|---------------|
| 12            |
| 13,389,7      |
| 11.823,9.785  |
| 9,9535,12,116 |
| 7+13+424      |
| 4.474,11.375  |
| 1.832,9.984   |
| 1+082+7       |
| 1.606,3.885   |
| 3,733,1,341   |
| 7,1,391       |
| 9,684,2,351   |
| 13.064.3.499  |
|               |

CIRCLE 13:26 03/03/80 INPUT FROM KEYBOARD(1) OR FILE(2)? 2 ENTER INPUT FILENAME? RINGINP2 Coordinates of centre are : 7.10 , 6.94 Radius = 6.00 +/- 0.317 Probable error = 0.214Data set is within 5 times probable error Point Dev'n Radius × ч 1 12.439 7.086 5.344 0.655  $\mathbf{2}$ 6.146 -0.147 12.532 9.811 6.231 3 12.648 -0.233 9.601 4 6.737 12.913 5.982 0.017 5 3.945 11.866 5.847 0.152 10.135 6.177 6 1.810 -0.178

0.268 7 1.399 7.547 5,730 8 1.595 3.744 6+364 ---0+366 9 3.944 2+042 5.827 0+171 10 7+407 1.306 5.644 0+354 6.330 1.527 10.375 -0.332 11 3.355 6+268 12 12.237 -0.269

USED 6.32 UNITS

12.237

| RINGINP2 | 13:27  | 03/03/80 |
|----------|--------|----------|
| 12       |        |          |
| 12+439   | 7.086  |          |
| 12.532   | 9,811  |          |
| 9+601    | 12+648 |          |
| 6.737    | 12.913 |          |
| 3,945    | 11.866 |          |
| 1.8098   | 10+135 |          |
| 1.399    | 7.547  |          |
| 1.5948   | 3.744  |          |
| 3.944    | 2+0418 |          |
| 7.407    | 1.306  |          |
| 10.375   | 1.5268 |          |

3.355

- 9 -