## ANCIENT MONUMENTS LABORATORY

MATERIAL SOIL SAMPLES

~-		CROOPHORN								2	FARM											/ 50 A 6000	/ D. A. OPTO				
SITE	TE:	• •	•	•	٠	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	(DATE	LE.

SHEET: 1

AM No	X-Ray No	Photo No	Description and Report	Ref	No
744.216	"soil from of nit, sen structure.	I .	This is a sandy deposit, Munsel chart colour 7.5 % 2/2. Visual examination of the sample did not produce any charcoal or organic remains. This was confirmed by ignition of part of the sample. Upon ignition, there were no red flashes which typically indicate the presence of charcoal, etc. A brick red residue resulted, suggesting the presence of fairly large amounts of iron.  A few grams of the deposit were boiled in 2N sodium hydroxide. An orangey-brown solution resulted, indicating the presence of very little hamus.  There is no evidence that this sample had been ambjected to burning. The dark colour seems to be due mainly to iron staining. This can occur naturally.	SF	574
74.217	in one o	win Grossit valley, prince builts:	Later seiwing and visual examination of the same readuced tiny fragments of charcoal(too small for identification,, a few carbonised seeds, and a few of the fragments of bone.  An orange residue was obtained after ignition of part of the sample, indicating the presence of estamificantly less iron than in the former sample. Boiling in 2N sodium hydroxide produced a dark brown-black solution, indicating the presence of a large amount of alkali-soluble humus.  The large amount of humus and fairly low iron concentrations suggests that this denosit might be concentrations suggests that this denosit might be recent "too soil" (i.e. the A horizon of a soil) as was seried? I'm tonsoil. Therefore, this may rear a naturally accumulated denosit. However, the inclusion of charcoal and carbonised seeds in the amount of this in with the known fact that man was living in the immediate vicinity.		¥ደር