Crucibles and other metallurgical debris from Culver Street, Colchester Justine Bayley

Ancient Monuments Lab

The crucible sherds (AM822880) come from two distinct types of vessels. The first are crucibles used to melt copper alloys and are similar to those recently described from Lion Walk (AML Report No. 3817). The remains here are fragmentary but can be seen to be of the same general form. Sizes are also similar; the diameters given in table 1 are internal ones for rims but maximum external diameters for body sherds. The fabric, as in the example from Lion Walk, is pale grey in colour and quartz tempered. An extra outer layer of less refractory clay appears to be the norm. Most of the sherds bear visible signs of the metals they once contained, either red (copper coloured) patches in their vitreous layers or trapped droplets of metal, now corroded. The x-ray fluorescence (XRF) analyses of the crucible surfaces detected combinations of copper, tin, zinc and lead showing a variety of alloys were being melted though the majority seem to be bronzes (copper and tin). The two sherds from medieval or later contexts are very similar to the rest of the material and were most probably Roman residual.

The second group of sherds are quite diferent in both form and fabric; they seem to come mainly from a different area of the site and from earlier contexts than the majority of the crucibles described above. The elements detected by XRF were the same but the proportions and relative frequences were different. The fabric is somewhat variable but generally contains only a small amount of mineral temper together with considerable amounts of vegetable matter and is dark grey except near the outer surface where it is vitrified, vesicular and paler in colour. The outer, vitrified surface is never coloured red and droplets of metal are not visible. Those crucibles appear to be handmade of a single layer of clay except near the rim where a sort of two layer of structure is visible (see illustrations) but the additions are in the same fabric as the main body and may be the remains of lids added to seal the crucibles. The fabric is very porous and friable and many of the sherds show fresh breaks. Most of the pieces are small but the few larger fragments suggest a pear-shaped body with a maximum internal diameter of about 4cm and a narrow mouth at the top which was sealed before the crucible was used. The largest sherd had a projecting knob on the side of the body which could have functioned as a handle.

These vessels are a problem as they are quite unlike ordinary Roman crucibles. Indeed their fabric does not appear to be very refractory and the deep vitrification noted suggests they may not have been strong enough to support the weight of molten metal they would have contained if they were to be lifted from the fire. The strongest evidence for their use however comes from the XRF data.

The outer surfaces contained almost no detectable metals while the inner surfaces gave very high readings for zinc together with traces of copper and occasionally lead. Zinc has a high vapour pressure (i.e. when a zinc-containing alloy is melted a lot of zinc vapour is given off) so all refractories used to melt brass (copper and zinc) tend to contain considerable amounts of zinc as it diffuses into the fabric and may even get trapped in a vitreous slag phase. The levels of zinc detected here however are far higher than is found even in crucibles used to melt brass. The most likely explanation for these high zinc *cementation* levels is that the crucibles were used to make brass by the process. Tylecote (1962, 53) describes the process as follows:

"...brass was made by mixing the ore calamine $(ZnCQ_3)$ with copper under

reducing conditions (i.e. charcoal). The calamine was ground, and mixed with charcoal and granulated copper. This was heated in a crucible at about 950-1000⁰C to reduce the zinc in the calamine to zinc vapour which was absorbed by the solid copper granules. The temperature was then raised and the copper-zinc alloy melted."

This process would be most effective if carried out in a sealed crucible as the zinc vapour would then be unable to escape into the atmosphere.

No other ancient brass-making crucibles are known in this country or even in Europe (Craddock 1978,9) although a group of sherds of similar form and fabric to those described above have recently been found in Belgic contexts in Canterbury (Bayley, 1983). However, only a few of them have the diagnostic high zinc levels.

The non-crucible material (AM 822881) was also examined and a few pieces analysed. Details of these finds and the non-crucible material from AM 822880 are given in Table 3. The pieces marked with an asterisk are probably further debris from the copper alloy working industries described above. The rest of the pieces are accidentally overheated, the sort of material commonly found in

destruction layers. <u>References</u> Bayley J. (1983)

Crucibles and clay moulds from the Marlowes and Cakebread Robey sites in Canterbury, Kent. (AM Lab Report No. 3862).

Craddock P.T. (1978) The Composition of the Copper Alloys used by the Greek, Etruscan and Roman Civilizations. 3: The origins and early use of brass. J. Arch Sci 5 (1), 1-16.

Tylecote R.F. (1962) Metallurgy in Archaeology.

SITE	REFERENC	E	DATE OF CONTEXT	VESSEL DESCRIPTION	Met 	AL TRACES
						XRF DETECTED
B200	1280		Med/Post-Med	R Ø=5	 	(Cu)
B200	1280			B+ Ø=8		
B2 93	1294	\checkmark	?2nd	B+	R	(Cu Pb)
B293	1294	v^{\dagger}		+		12
B331	1448	Ó	2nd-3rd	B+	RM	Cu Zn Pb Sn
B331	1448	\bigcirc	"	B+	М	"
B331	2652	0	**	R		(Cu Pb Zn)
B362	1370	V.	late lst/2nd	R+ Ø=6	R	(Cu Zn Pb)
B405	1353	Ô	2nd/3rd	B+		(Cu)
B405	1353	Ô	л	Ba+	RM	(Cu)
B428	1441	\bigcirc	91	В+	M	Cu gn Pb (Zn)
B448	1453	Ô	"	R+ Ø=5	RM	Cu (Sn Zn)
B448	1453	Ô	ee	B+	RM	Cu Sn
B451	1444	ð	u	R+ Ø=4	R	(Cu)
B455	1440	$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$	3rd?	B+ Ø=6	м	Cu Sn Pb (Zn)
B565	1520	<u>(</u>)	2nd/3rd	B+	R	Cu
<u>8455</u>	_1440		- 3rd?	<u>B</u> + ∅=6	 ₩	- Cu - Sn Pb - (Zn)
8565 —	-1520		2nd/3rd	<u></u>	 -R	Gu
3701	1473	2	**	в+	R	Cu Pb Zn

Table 1: Copper alloy melting crucibles.

SITE	REFERENCE	DATE OF CONTEXT	VESSEL DESCRIPTION	METAL TRACES
				XRF DETECTED
в724	1475	2nd/3rd	R+	(Cu Pb)
B744	1479	✓ late lst/2nd	B+	R CuSnPb (Zn)
B744	1479	z ² n	B+	R
B744	1479	/ "	B+	R "
B748	1474	√ Mid 2nd	B+	M CuSnPb (Zn)
B2255	2463	O 2nd/3rd	B+ Ø≔10	RM

Table 1: Copper alloy melting crucibles cont'd.

1

Key to Tables 1 and 2:-Vessel descriptionsMetal tracesB = Body sherdR = red colour in vitreous later<math>Ba = BaseM = Traces of corroded copper alloy<math>R = RimCu = Copper+ = Extra outer layerPb = Lead $\phi = diameter (in cm)$ Sn = TinDrackets gave only<math>Zn = Zincweak signalls

Table 2: ?Brass-making crucibles

	SITE I	REFERENCI	2 DAI	E OF CONTEXT	METAL TRACES	
/	A402	1544	43-	.9	Zn	
	B448	1453	2nd	/3rd	Zn + Pb + Cu + Sn	
	B647	1446	> MIG	2nd		
	744	1479	> Late	1st/2nd?	Zn + Pb + Cu	
		14	>	3 9	(Zn)	
	**	13	>		Zn + Cu	
	.,	••	>	u	Zn + Cu	
	11		>	11	(Zn)	
	E4 94	2135	Rom	an	Zn + Cu	
		11			Zn + Cu	
	E750	2369	⊃ Lat	e lst-mid 2nd	Zn + Cu	
	E786	2367	>		Zn + Cu + Pb	
		¥#	>	u		
	E786	2381	5	14	(Zn)	
	E791	2368	<u>ې</u>		Zn	
	**		2		Zn	
		11	2	"	Zn	
	E1423	2560	43	-9	(Zn)	
~		**	1		Zn + Cu + Pb	
	29		Contract.		(Cu + Pb + Zn)	
	E1436	2563	and a second		Zn + Cu	
			Ser	и	Zn + Cu	
	E1436	2563	U.	11	Zn + Cu	
	E1436	2570	er"	"	Zn	
R. Martin						

Table 3: Other material

SITE REFERENCE DATE OF CONTEXT DESCRIPTION

AM 822880

A 402	1544	/ 43~9		*?Hearth lining	XRF: Zn + Pb + Cu
A402	1544	43-9		?Daub	
A461	1629	> Late	1st-mid 2nd	Over-heated sherd	
B448	1453	0 2nd/3	rd	Calcined & vitrified	flint
B448	1453	0	**	Over-heated sherd	
C367	1549	2 later	lst	Molten glass & burnt	clay on sherd
C367	1549	2		Sherd with fluxed au	rface

AM 822881

A 9	F4	Med/Post-Med	*Dribble of molten Cu alloy
A15	F3	0	*Corroded lump of Cu alloy
A20	F8		*Corroded lump of Cu alloy
B345	F122	c.150~300	*Cu rich hearth lining?
B1128	£250	> Mid ist-mid 2nd	Fragment of over-heated ceramic
			(?tile)
B1232	1.2.96	> "	*Metal-rich fuelash slag.
			XRF: Cu+Pb+Sn
B1417	L319	> "	*Spilt metal on fixed clay.
			XRF: Cu+Pb
B1669	L400	> "	*Metal-rich fuel/ash slag.
B1848		U/S	*Dribble of molten Cu alloy.
			XRF: Cu+Zn(+Sn+Pb)

Table 3: Other material

	SIT	ER	EF	ER	EN	С	E
--	-----	----	----	----	----	---	---

......

ی به ت

RENCE DATE OF CONTEXT VESSEL DESCRIPTION METAL TRACE

2881	cont	d

B2403	L678		49~60/1	3 lumps of dark brown fired clay
C376	L57	n'n	Mid 1st-mid 2nd	*Fuelash slag with traces of Cu
C417	L66	N.	49-60/1	*?Corroded metal lumps
E302	F216		Med/Post-Med	Fuel/ash slag. Blue colour due to
				traces of iron
E421	Ll		Saxon - 18th	Over-heated, vesicular ceramic with
				traces of copper corrosion
				products. Not a crucible.

MORE CRUCIBLES AND SCRAP METAL FROM CULVER STREET, COLCHESTER (Addendum to AM Report 3872)

Justine Bayley Ancient Monuments Lab

The crucible sherds (AM 830764) can be divided into three groups. The metal melting crucibles (group A in the Table) are similar to those seen earlier; the metals include both brass and gunmetal. One crucible (B2502, \triangle d) had been used at least twice as it had two superimposed extra layers of clay on the outside. One sherd contained a few droplets of gold stuck in the vitreous layer on the inside of the crucible, showing it had been used to melt gold. This came from a post-mediaeval context but could be residual earlier material. It is not large enough for the form to be diagnostic.

There were two sherds and a number of tiny fragments of the same appearance as the ?brass-making crucibles previously described. However, these sherds lacked the high levels of zinc which were almost universally detected in the earlier brass-making crucibles.

A final sherd (C100, $\triangle 2691$) was of an oxidised fired, sandy fabric with a 3 mm thick vitreous deposit on the inside which contained corroded metal droplets. Visual examination suggested these metal droplets were silver or a silver-rich alloy which was confimed by XRF analysis which detected copper, zinc and silver. The thickness of the vitreous layer and the oxidising conditions under which the vessel had been heated indicated that it was not used for metal melting though the presence of significant amount of metals do indicate some metallurgical use. Further investigations will be carried out in an attempt to positively identify the process.

1

The scrap metal (AM 830765) was, with one exception, dribbles and flows of molten lead. These were probably all accidental spillages or metal within a building that got melted when it burnt down. The exception (B 1332, Δ 1688) was a few thick pieces of sheet tin-lead alloy. This could be considered as pewter which was commonly used for veesels in the third and fourth centuries or as a large mass of solder. The shape is not quite what is expected for either.

TABLE: CRUCIBLE SHERDS

Site Refe	Site Reference Date of context		<u>Vessel</u> description	<u>Metal traces</u>
A: METAL	MELTING			
B439	a	3rd/4th	, B	-
B548	2688	late 1st - 3rd	В	R -
в565	2689	11	Ba	M Cu Zn Su Pb
B752	2690	11	В	R Zn Cu Pb
B2502	đ	2nd	B++	RM Cu Zn Su Pb
C 27	2682	Post Med	В	Au (Cu Zn Fb)
				•
B: ?BRASS	MAKING			
E786	b	?	В	(Zn)
E784	c	?		-
<u>C: OTHER</u>				
C100	2691	late 1st - 3rd	В	Zn Cu Ag

.