

Archive Report - The "Extra" Bones, Carlisle, Blackfriars Street

Ancient Monuments Laboratory
Report no. 4218

Janet D. Henderson
Institute of Archaeology
and Ancient Monuments
Laboratory
March 1984

Skeleton 1

Most of the bones marked as present on the skeleton recording form were not actually in the relevant box.

Skeleton 8

Various extra bone fragments present: distal ulna, femoral head fragment, first metatarsal. Probably all from 7 or 9.

Skeleton 27

The bone marked as "from surrounding area", a radius fragment, did not belong to this individual. It was not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 30

Although there was a burial recording form present for this individual this number was not listed nor were there any bones available for study.

Skeleton 31

There was an extra left scapula present. This was not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 34

The bones from this grave were in very poor condition but preliminary examination showed at once that there were a minimum number of 2 individuals present. These were recorded as 34A and 34B. A small fragment of infant/juvenile bone was also observed but this was not included as a separate individual.

Skeletons 35 and 36

A few extra bones were found which belonged to neither 35 nor 36. These were assumed to be part of 18.

Skeleton 38

There were a minimum number of 2 individuals present. These were recorded as 38A and 38B.

Skeleton 45

There was an extra fragment of petrous temporal bone present. It was not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 47

There was an extra humerus fragment present. It was not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 50

There were a minimum number of four individuals present,

represented by three pairs of femora and one femoral shaft fragment. These were included as 50 A-D.

Skeleton 51

There were one or two bone fragments present which did not belong to this individual. These were not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 53

There were several fragments present which did not belong to this individual. These were not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 57

There were two fragments of right malar and a first left metatarsal present which did not belong to this individual. These were not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 61

There were a few extra fragments of bone present, but insufficient data to include them as a separate individual.

Skeleton 66

There were two fragments of juvenile bone present which did not belong to this individual. These were not included them as a separate individual.

Skeleton 67

There were a minimum number of three individuals present, represented by three pairs of tibiae.

Skeleton 68

Examination of the skull from this burial showed that the cranium and the mandible belonged to different individuals. On the basis of sex the mandible was attributed to 68 and the cranium was excluded from further study (nor was it included as a separate individual).

Skeleton 69

There were two right femora present among the bones from this individual. Both were excluded from further observation and only one individual was counted as present.

Skeleton 71

There were a minimum number of two individuals present. These were recorded as 71A and 71B.

Skeleton 72

There were a minimum number of two individuals present. These were recorded as 72A and 72B.

Skeleton 74

There were a minimum number of two individuals present. These were recorded as 74A and 74B.

Skeleton 77

One bag marked "77" contained bones marked "79". It was assumed that this was a post-excavation mistake and the bones were included as part of 77.

Skeleton 78

There were an extra third left metacarpal and an extra right lateral cuneiform present. These were not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 80

There were an extra patella and several metatarsals present. These were not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 82

There was an extra atlas bone present. It was not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 84

There were several extra fragments of skull present. These were not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 89

There were several extra fragments of radius and tibia present. These were not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 90

There were extra fragments of long bone shaft and calcaneus present. These were not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 97

There were a minimum number of four individuals present (the extra bones did not belong to either of the adjacent burials 18 and 89). These were included as 97 A-D.

Skeleton 100

This was a listed number; however there was no burial recording form nor were there any bones present.

Skeleton 103

On examination the bones were found to be from animals.

Skeleton 205

There were a minimum number of two individuals present; these were included as 205A and 205B.

Skeleton 211

There were a minimum number of two individuals present; these were included as 211A and 211B.

Skeleton 216

There were a minimum number of two individuals present; these were included as 216A and 216B.

Skeleton 220

There were a minimum number of two individuals present, the

second skeleton being represented by a pair of juvenile femora. These were included as 220 and 220A.

Skeleton 221

There were extra fragments of skull, vertebrae and metacarpal present. These were not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 225

There were extra fragments of ulna, metacarpals, tibiae and fibulae present. These were not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 226/227

There was some confusion of the bones from these burials. However it was established that there were a minimum number of four individuals present, represented by various bones. The skulls were included as 226, 227 and 227A. The post-cranial remains were counted as 226A-C. Evidence for the number of individuals was taken from the post-cranial material and the jaws (effectively numbers 226A-C and 227A).

Skeleton 231

There were a minimum number of three individuals present. These were included as 231 A-C.

Skeleton 233

There were a few extra fragments of bone present. These were not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 240

There was a fragment of juvenile femur present. This was not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 242

There were several extra teeth present. It was assumed that they belonged to either 240 or 241.

Skeleton 245

There were extra fragments of skull and vertebrae present. These were most probably from 246 or 247; they were not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 246

There was an extra pair of tibiae present. Since the tibiae could not be sorted both sets were excluded from the observations for this individual.

Skeleton 247

There were an extra talus, first metatarsal and first proximal foot phalanx present. These were not included as a separate individual. Examination of the skull suggested that it belonged to a much older individual therefore it was also excluded.

Skeleton 253

There were a minimum number of two individuals present,

represented by several duplicated bones. These were included as 253 A and B.

Skeleton 264

There were a minimum number of two individuals present, represented by several duplicated bones. These were included as 264 A and B.

Skeleton 268

There was an extra left trapezoid bone present. Since this number was one of a group of burials it was not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 270

There were a few extra bones present; they were not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 271

There was an extra skull present which was assumed to have belonged to one of the surrounding burials.

Skeleton 272

There were extra fragments of hands and distal tibia present. These were not included as a separate individual.

Skeleton 273

There were a minimum number of two individuals present, represented by several duplicated bones. These were included as 273 A and B.

Skeleton 285

There were a minimum number of two individuals present, represented by several duplicated bones. These were included as 285 A and B.