Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report No. 4750

Examination of Technological Material from Tiddington, Warwickshire

Paul Wilthew Ancient Monuments Laboratory

January 1986

Several samples (AM840974-93) of possible metalworking waste from Tiddington Roman Settlement were examined. Where appropriate qualitative elemental analyis was carried out using energy dispersive x-ray fluorescence (X.R.F.) and the compounds present in some samples were identified using powder x-ray diffraction (X.R.D.). The analytical results and identifications of each sample, which are discussed below, are given in the appendix.

The bulk of the material consisted of dribbles and lumps of copper alloy with various compositions. The dribbles of metal were almost certainly waste from casting copper alloys. The remaining pieces may also have been waste from copper alloy working but this could not be confirmed from their appearance. The analytical results (see appendix) suggested that most of the metal fragments were bronze (copper-tin alloy) although some may have been copper or gunmetal (copper-tin-zinc alloy). Lead was present in some cases, and it is quite probable that some of the samples were deliberately leaded.

One sample (AM840976) was almost certainly used in non-ferrous metal working, probably silver refining. This would be consistent with the high levels of lead and traces of silver detected in the sample which was shown to include substantial amounts of cerussite (lead carbonate) by $X_{\bullet}R_{\bullet}D_{\bullet}$.

A few small pices of iron slag were also present. These were almost certainly iron smithing slag but such small quantities are not significant.

Several samples of a mineral which contained copper and arsenic were found. They were analysed using X.R.D. and were found to consist largely of cuprite (Cu_2O) and malachite ($\text{CuCO}_3\text{.Cu}(\text{OH})_2$). This material would have been suitable for use as copper smelting ore but there was no direct evidence that it was intended for that use. The presence of this material is probably not significant, particularly as only one of the samples came from a properly stratified context.

Although the material probably does represent waste from various metalworking activities, including casting of copper alloys, non-ferrous metal refining and blacksmithing, the amount of material was small and most of the samples were not from well stratified contexts. Therefore no further conclusions can be drawn from this material.

Appendix - Analytical Results and Identifications

AM No.	S.F. No.	Elements* Detected	Identification**
840974 840975 840976	126 236 499	Cu, Pb Cu, (Pb, As) Cu, Pb, Ag, Sn	Corroded copper Dribble of copper Material associated with non ferrous metal working (possibly silver refining). The major mineral present was cerrusite.
840977 840978 840979 840980 840981 840982 840983	718 722 783 881 879 887 925	Cu, Sn (Pb) Cu, Sn, (Pb) Cu, (Pb) Cu, (Pb, Ag) Cu, Sn, (Pb) Cu, Pb, Sn, (Zn) Cu, Pb, Sn Cu, Pb, Zn, Sn Cu, (Pb) Cu, (Pb) Cu, (Pb)	Corroded bronze Dribble of bronze Dribble of copper Dribble of copper ?Dribble of very corroded metal
840984	1058	<u>Cu</u> , Sn, (Pb) <u>Cu</u> , Pb, (?Sn) <u>Cu</u> , Zn, <u>Pb</u> , <u>Sn</u>	Dribbles of copper and bronze Iron smithing slag, charcoal, stones
840985	67	<u>Cu</u> , As, (?Pb)	Mineral, major components malachite and cuprite
840986 840987 840988 840989 840990 840991 840992	50 55 96 130 302 M770 M741	<pre>Cu, As, (?Pb) Cu, As, (?Pb) Cu, As, (?Pb) Cu, As, (?Pb) Cu, Sn, (Pb) Cu, Pb, Sn, (Sb) Cu, Zn, Pb, Sn</pre> Cu, As, (Pb)	As AM840985 As AM840985 As AM840985 As AM840985 Dribble of bronze Dribble of bronze

^{*} Major elements underlined, trace elements in parentheses

^{**} Some, at least, of the copper alloys which contained lead may have been deliberately leaded.