AHL FILE

Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report 229/87

PARASITOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS ON SOIL SAMPLES, COPROLITES AND FAECAL CONCRETIONS FROM THE TANNERS ROW SITE, PONTEFRACT.

Andrew K G Jones & Colin Nicholson

AML reports are interim reports which make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication They are not subject to external refereeing and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of archaeological information that was not available the time at of the investigation. Readers are therefore to consult asked the author before citing the report in any publication and to consult the final excavation report when available.

Opinions expressed in AML reports are those of the author and are not necessarily those of the Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England.

Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report 229/87

PARASITOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS ON SOIL SAMPLES, COPROLITES AND FAECAL CONCRETIONS FROM THE TANNERS ROW SITE, PONTEFRACT.

Andrew K G Jones & Colin Nicholson

Summary

Small numbers of samples of soil, coprolite and concretions of unknown origin were examined for the presence of eggs of intestinal parasites and microfossils. Four contained sufficiently large numbers of eggs of nematodes which infest the human digestive tract to indicate that the sampled features were latrine pits. The coprolites are considered most likely to be of canine origin on the basis of their size and the nature of bone inclusions.

Authors' address :-

Environmental Archaeology Unit University of York York North Yorkshire YO1 5DD

0904 430000 x5531/ 5849

Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England

Introduction

ð

A group of ten samples collected from a series of medieval pits at Tanners Row, Pontefract, were submitted to the Environmental Archaeology Unit, University of York, for detailed parasitological examination in order to determine if human faeces was a component of the pit fills.

Methods and materials

TABLE 01

List of material sent to the E.A.U. for parasite analysis

SAMPLE	CONTEXT	TYPE OF SAMPLE	AMOUNT EXAMINED
1	503C	fragments of concretion	6g .
		from bulk sample	
2	503C	soil for analysis	бд
3	250	fragments of concretion	бд
		from bulk sample	
4	250	soil for analysis	6g
6	98	coprolite fragment	2g
		from bulk sample	
37a	211D	coprolite fragments	Зg
		from bulk sample	
37Ъ	211D	fragments of concretion	бд
		from bulk sample	
41	98	soil for analysis	бg
43	211D	soil for analysis	6g
45	41	soil for analysis	бд

Following a procedure outlined by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (1977 p 3) for examining modern faecal samples, a 6g subsample from each of the five of the unconsolidated samples was placed in a 120 ml jar with 42 ml of sodium pyrophosphate solution. The jar was then shaken and left for 2-4 days then a further 42 ml of water was added. In order to ensure that each sample was completely disaggregated, they were whisked using a mixer emulsifier and given 5 short bursts of approximately 5 seconds each. The remaining samples of coprolites and concretions were treated in similar way but hydrochloric acid (1M HC1) was substituted for the sodium pyrophosphate and water. These samples were left to disaggregate for a period of up to one week. The resulting suspension was then poured through a freshly flamed 250 micron sieve to remove coarse particles, and 0.15 ml aliquots of the filtrate mounted in glycerine jelly using 22 X 50 mm coverslips. Slides were scanned at X 120 using a transmission microscope and all ova were counted. Where possible, at least ten ova per sample were measured using a eyepiece graticule calibrated to a stage micrometer. Recent experiments have shown that although parasite ova can withstand the rigours of pollen analysis, the size of the eggs can be modified by the process (Hall, Jones and Kenward, 1983). Accurate identification is therefore only possible if samples are carefully prepared using reagents which do not affect egg size.

Results

In four of the deposits two kinds of ova were observed. One, a barrel-shaped structure sometimes possessing two polar plugs, was typical of whipworms - the genus <u>Trichuris</u>. Whipworms are parasitic nematodes which infest the lower intestine and caecum of many mammals throughout the world. Eggs are produced in large numbers and shed into the gut lumen and passed with faeces. Light infestations usually cause little harm to the host, while heavy worm burden can cause prolapse of the rectum, diarrhoea and blood in the faeces.

The condition of the <u>Trichuris</u> ova was assessed by considering the numbers which fall into the following categories:

1) complete, i.e. possessing two polar plugs;

2) damaged, i.e. the shell is complete but the condition of either one or both plugs suggest that the ova are beginning to disintegrate;

3) shell complete lacking any trace of a polar plug;

4) shell broken or crumpled.

The other kind of egg present in the pit fills possessed a mammillated outer shell characteristic of the large roundworm - genus <u>Ascaris</u>, a common parasite of pigs and man. This nematode can grow to 30 cm and like the whipworm, produces large numbers of eggs which are passed with faeces. The larvae, which hatch from ingested embryonated eggs, migrate through the host tissues and can cause considerable damage. Nevertheless, many people harbouring small numbers of worms do not suffer severe symptoms.

<u>Ascaris</u> ova were classified as either complete, broken or decorticated. No other parasite ova were positively identified, although structures closely ressembling the protozoan oocysts of <u>Eimeria</u> or <u>Isospora</u> were observed in sample 4. In addition the cysts of a number of testate amoebae were present in samples 4, 41 and 45.

Both <u>Ascaris</u> and <u>Trichuris</u> eggs have been widely reported from archaeological deposits in Britain and mainland Europe including the Danish bog burials (Jones, 1982) and Lindow Man, a bog burial from Cheshire (Jones, 1986). Most published records either assume the ova to have been passed by man or argue that the <u>Trichuris</u> ova are from the human whipworm <u>Trichuris trichiura</u> because the number of <u>Trichuris</u> ova exceeds those of <u>Ascaris</u>. Some accounts provide more convincing evidence by presenting details of the ancient egg dimensions and comparing these with data from a range of modern reference specimens.

Table 02 gives the egg-counts and the the egg-count data converted to concentration of ova per gram of deposit. It is clear that <u>Trichuris</u> ova were far more abundant than <u>Ascaris</u> ova for all samples and that parasite ova were not present thoughout the pit fills.

TABLE 02

Counts of <u>Trichuris</u> and <u>Ascaris</u> ova from samples collected at Tanners Row, Pontefract.

Trichuris

Samp	ole	Context	No T+2	No Te	No T-p	No Tfg	Tota1
1	с	503C	0	3	11	1	15
2	s	503C	0	3	107	14	123
3	с	250	3	1`0	38	2	53
4	s	250	0	2	201	5	208
6	cp	98	0	0	0	0	0
37a	ср	211D	0	0	0	0	0
37Ъ	с	211D	0	0	0	0	0
41	s	98	0	0.	1	0	1
43	s	211D	0	0	0	0	0
45	s	41	0	0	0	0	0

Ova per gram = Totals x 100.

T+2 = Trichuris ova with two complete polar plugs.

Te = <u>Trichuris</u> ova with eroded polar plugs.

T-p = Trichuris ova with no polar plugs.

T fg = fragmentary and crumpled Trichuris ova.

s = soil; c = concretion; cp = coprolite.

Ascaris

Samı	ple	Contex	ĸt	Af	Au	Afg	Total
1	с	503C		15	0	2	17
2	s	503C		52	2	32	86
3	с	250		44	0	2	46
4	S	250	* ²	89	3	3	95
6	сp	98		0	0	0	0
37a	ср	211D		0	0	0	0
37Ъ	с	211D		0	0	0	0
41	s	98	*	0	0	0	0
43	s	211D		0	0	0	0
45	s	41	*	0	0	0	0

Ova per gram = Totals x 100

Af = fertilized <u>Ascaris</u> ova; Au = unfertilized <u>Ascaris</u> ova; Afg = fragments of <u>Ascaris</u> ova.

* = Sample contained cysts of testate amoebae.

^{*} = Sample contained oocysts of <u>Eimeria</u> or <u>Isospora</u>.

Table 03 shows the egg dimensions of the <u>Trichuris</u> ova for all samples combined. When this data is compared to that given by Beer (1976), it leaves little doubt that the majority of the <u>Trichuris</u> ova were from the human whipworm <u>T. trichiura</u>. A small number of wide <u>Trichuris</u> ova (three, with a width of up to 32.4 microns) were found. These wide eggs are probably abberant <u>T. trichiura</u> eggs. Unusually wide eggs are produced by <u>T. trichiura</u> (Burrows, 1965 p 176 illustrates one) and some wide <u>T. trichiura</u> eggs were found in Lindow Man (Jones, 1986). However, it is just possible that they are from a non-human species, perhaps $\underline{T. muris}$ the whipworm of rats and mice or $\underline{T. suis}$, the pig whipworm.

Unfortunately, the ova of <u>A. lumbricoides</u> and <u>A. suum</u>, the large roundworms of man and pigs respectively, produce ova of identical size. There are only two hosts indigenous to north-west Europe which can harbour <u>Trichuris</u> and <u>Ascaris</u>, man and pig. However, because they were associated with large numbers of <u>Trichuris trichiura</u> ova, the <u>Ascaris</u> ova are assumed to be <u>A. lumbricoides</u>.

Four samples yielded between 3,200 and 30,700 trichurid ova per gram and can be described as 'almost certainly faecal but may be contaminated with other materials' Jones (1985). One sample contained 100 ova per gram. The others contained no ova. Almost all the samples contained large numbers of insect fragments, pollen and fungal spores.

There can be little doubt that the pits contained large amounts of human faeces in layers 503C and 250. The two coprolites, samples 6 and 37a, contained small fragments of large mammal bones which appear to have been chewed and eaten by dogs. Sample 37b was not definitely identified as human faecal concretion, but did contain a lot of organic material.

τ.

۲.

Dimensions of <u>Trichuris</u> and <u>Ascaris</u> ova from layers 503c and 250 collected from pits at Tanner Row, Pontefract.

Trichuris

Sample	Width	Total length	Length minus polar plugs
Maximum	32.4	57.6	54.0
Minimum	21.6	50.4	46.8
Mean	25.8	54.0	49.0
SEM	0.28	-	0.38
n	40	3	40

<u>Ascaris</u>

Sample	Width	Length	
Maximum	70.2	97.2	
Minimum	46.8	61.2	
Mean	54.7	73.1	
SEM	0.95	1.40	
n	32	32	

All measurements in microns SEM = Standard error of the mean n = number of observations

References

Beer, R. J. S. (1976). The relationship between <u>Trichuris</u> <u>trichiura</u> (Linnaeus 1758) of man and <u>Trichuris</u> <u>suis</u> (Schrank 1788) of pig. Research in Veterinary Science 20, 47-54.

Burrows, R. D. (1965). <u>Microscopic Diagnosis of the Parasites of</u> <u>Man</u> Yale University Press: New Haven and London.

Hall, A. R., Jones, A. K. G. and Kenward, H. K. (1983). Cereal bran and human faecal remains - some preliminary observations. pp. 85-104 in Proudfoot, B. (ed.) <u>Site, environment and economy.</u> Symposia of the Association for Environmental Archaeology 3, British Archaeological Reports International Series **173**.

Jones, A. K. G. (1982). Human parasite remains: prospects for a quantitative approach. pp 66-70. In (Eds.) Hall, A. R. and Kenward, H. K. <u>Environmental archaeology in the urban context</u> Research Report No **43**. Council for British Archaeology.

Jones, A. K. G. (1985) Trichurid ova in archaeological deposits: their value as indicators of ancient faeces. pp. 105-119. In Fieller, N. R. J., Gilbertson, D. D. and Ralph, N. G. A. (Eds.) <u>Palaeobiological Investigations: Research Design, Methods and</u> <u>Data Analysis.</u> Symposia of the Association for Environmental Archaeology No. 5B. British Archaeological Reports International Series 266.

Jones, A. K. G. (1986). Parasitological Investigations on Lindow Man: pp 136-139. In: Stead I.M., Bourke J.B. and Brothwell D. (eds.) <u>Lindow Man, the body in the bog</u>. British Museum Publications, London.

Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (1977). <u>Manual of</u> <u>veterinary parasitological laboratory techniques.</u> Technical Bulletin No. 18. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London.