AML files

480

Ancient Monuments Laboratory
Report 137/88

EXAMINATION AND ANALYSIS OF GLASS BEADS FROM GREAT CHESTERFORD, ESSEX

Michael Heyworth

Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report 137/88

EXAMINATION AND ANALYSIS OF GLASS BEADS FROM GREAT CHESTERFORD, ESSEX

Michael Heyworth

Summary

Beads from a number of burials at the Pagan Saxon cemetery site at Great Chesterford were examined. The beads were a range of shapes and colours and varied from translucent to opaque. A selection of beads were analysed qualitatively to determine the range of colourants and decolourants used in their production. The composition of the beads seems to reflect a North European tradition of glassmaking.

Author's address :-

Ancient Monuments Laboratory
English Heritage
23 Savile Row
London
W1X 2HE

01 734 6010 x533

EXAMINATION AND ANALYSIS OF GLASS BEADS FROM GREAT CHESTERFORD, ESSEX

Introduction

Beads from a selection of burials at the Pagan Saxon cemetery site of Great Chesterford were examined. They included beads of non-glass materials such as amber, but only the glass beads were examined in detail and analysed. The number of beads found in each grave varied considerably and a representative selection was made of the beads, from a number of graves, of various colours and types.

The beads analysed were both monochrome and polychrome (see Appendix one). The interpretation of the analyses of the polychrome beads is more complex than that of monochrome beads as the area analysed includes more than one glass colour. The colours of the beads were determined subjectively by eye but should provide a reasonable basis for comparison with each other and with the analytical results. The opacity of the beads varied from translucent to completely opaque and these have been divided into two groups: opaque and translsucent, based on visual comparison.

Analysis

The beads were analysed using energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence (EDXRF) with an evacuated sample chamber. The elements analysed for were silicon (Si), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), titanium (Ti), manganese (Mn), iron (Fe), cobalt (Co), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), lead (Pb), strontium (Sr), tin (Sn) and antimony (Sb). No attempt was made to analyse low atomic number elements such as sodium and therefore the bulk composition of the glass could not be determined. No sample preparation of the surface of the beads was possible due to the necessity for non-destructive analysis so any results from light elements would have been unreliable. The calcium results may also have been affected by contamination due to weathering and surface leaching. Since silicon is expected to be present at a relatively constant level in the beads as it is the major constituent of ancient glass it was used as an internal standard. The results were normalised by taking the ratio of the K-alpha peak height of each element (except for lead, when the L-alpha peak height was used) to that of the corresponding silicon K-alpha peak. The normalised results are listed in Appendix two. Only cobalt was not treated in this way as it was present, if at all, in very low concentrations and the peak for cobalt overlaps with the iron K-beta peak. Cobalt was therefore recorded as detected/not detected.

The method of normalising results to silicon is not so good when the glass has a high lead content. The lead causes the signal intensity from the light elements, particularly silicon, to be depressed relative to the other elements and this results in a much higher ratio figure for the other elements than in the beads with lower lead contents. This must be taken into consideration when comparing the ratios of high lead glass beads to other beads.

The ratio figures for each element quoted in Appendix two cannot be compared between elements (ie across the table) as the ratio bears little relation to the proportion of that element present. Different elements are excited with varying efficiencies by the primary X-rays, eg tin is excited far less than copper so the ratio will be a lot lower even when the amounts involved are similar. However, comparisons between analyses for a particular element (ie down the table) are valid.

Most of the elements recorded have an effect on the colour or opacity of the glass, the exceptions being phosphorus, potassium, calcium, titanium and zinc. Titanium is found at low levels in most glass and enters the glass melt as an impurity in the sand (silica) component. Zinc often enters the glass melt as an impurity or deliberate addition in the copper.

Results

Twenty-eight beads from Great Chesterford were analysed by EDXRF and they were of varying colours and types (for full descriptions of the beads see Appendix one). These can be divided into eleven colours, some of which are found as both translucent and opaque glass:

Colour	Opaque	Translucent	Total
Blue (B)	-	3	3
Black (Bk)	1	-	1
Blue/Green (B/G)	1	1	2
Green (G)	1	-	1
Light Blue (LB)	-	2	2
'Colourless' (O)	-	2	2
Red (R)	2	-	2
White (W)	1	-	1
Yellow (Y)	3	1	4
Yellow/Green (Y/G)	1	-	1
Polychrome (P)	9	-	9

The analysed beads could be divided into four broad typological groups based on those types defined for beads from Buckland (Evison 1987: 61):

Type	Total
Disc	14
Cylinder	8
Annular	5
Globular	1

The range of colours and bead types is similar to those from a number of other 6th and 7th century cemetery sites in Britain such as Sewerby (Hirst 1985: 62-85), Buckland, Dover (Evison 1987: 61-82), Mucking (Heyworth 1988) and Wakerley (Heyworth 1987).

Discussion

The beads are likely to be made of soda-lime-silica glass, and some will have had lead added at levels up to some 20-30%. This

major element composition is a standard glass composition in the first millennium AD for all types of glass, vessels and windows as well as beads. The main components in the glass melt were sand, which provides the silica and possibly the calcium in the form of shell, and an alkali, either natron or a marine plant ash, which provided the soda.

The bulk composition of the glass beads cannot be determined from the elements recorded, however the titanium content may be a reflection of the silica source used, as it usually comes into the glass as an impurity in the sand, and variations in the titanium content may therefore equate with variations in raw materials sources. The titanium levels in the Great Chesterford beads vary from 0.01 to 0.25, though only seven beads have titanium levels over 0.10 (and three of these are due to the high lead content in the bead). There are also some variations in the levels of phosphorus, potassium and calcium in the beads. This may be an indication of variations in the raw materials used to make the glass which may reflect multiple sources for the beads, however it could also be explained by uncontrolled raw material variations within a single source.

The other elements recorded were in the glass melt as either impurities in the main components or as deliberately added elements to achieve colouring or decolouring. Manganese, iron, cobalt, copper, tin, lead and antimony can all have a colouring effect, even when present in only very small quantities. Manganese and antimony can also act as decolourisers.

The production of coloured glass is extremely complex with a number of factors to take into account, such as deliberately added colourants or decolourants, the furnace conditions in which it is produced and the bulk composition of the glass. The colouring effect of different elements can be summarised as follows (for more detailed consideration see Bayley in press; Biek and Bayley 1979). The colour of iron-containing glass is strongly influenced by the furnace conditions, it will appear blue in strongly reducing conditions, green in less strongly reducing conditions and yellow or brown in oxidising conditions. This can be complicated by the presence of manganese which can either produce a wider range of colours including purple, or can act as a decolouriser to produce 'colourless' glass. Cobalt produces an intense blue colour, whereas copper produces a more turquoise blue or green in oxidising conditions. In reducing conditions copper can produce an opaque red or orange colour, though it may range through to a brown. Tin is usually associated with opaque glass as tin oxide gives an opaque white colour, though if the glass contains lead the lead-tin oxides that form will give an opaque yellow colour. Lead does not actually produce colour but has an effect on the hue produced by colourants, and it plays an important role in the production of opaque glass. Antimony is another decolouriser in its reduced state, though in its oxidised state it produces opacity. Calcium antimonates are white, whilst lead antimonates are yellow.

There seems to be a shift from the use of antimony to manganese as a decolourant in the Roman period (Henderson & Warren 1983: 169) and it would therefore be expected that the Great

Chesterford beads would be decolourised by manganese. However there is one bead which is decolourised by antimony (grave 113 no.346) to produce a colourless bead. This bead is therefore likely to be a Roman survival. Antimony was also detected in two beads at significant levels where it is not having an opacifying effect. Its presence may reflect the re-use of Roman glass in the manufacture of the beads, however exceptions are known where antimony was used as a decolourant in post-Roman beads (eg Henderson and Warren 1983: 169). The use of manganese as a decolourant in the majority of the Great Chesterford beads can be seen from the iron:manganese (Fe:Mn) ratios. All the glass contains detectable amounts of iron which would have coloured the glass, unless masked by a stronger colouring agent, but its effect could be neutralised by the addition of manganese. The 'colourless' bead from grave 62 (no.217) has a Fe:Mn ratio of about one, which suggests that the manganese was added deliberately in the correct proportion to decolourise the iron. However the green and blue-green beads mostly have high Fe:Mn ratios and the iron colour is dominant.

Iron containing glass can be a range of colours depending on the atmosphere in the furnace. In oxidising conditions it will produce a yellow colour as in the bead from grave 79 (no.262), however in slightly reducing conditions it will produce a blue-green colour such as in the bead from grave 127 (no.407). The stronger the reducing conditions the more blue the resulting glass and the bead from grave 148 (no.487), in the absence of a significant quantity of copper, is likely to be coloured by iron.

Copper also produces a range of colours in glass. In oxidising conditions it dissolves in the glass to give a clear light blue colour as in the bead from grave 2 (no.11a). Copper in solution in a lead-rich glass gives a green colour, however under reducing conditions the copper can be precipitated from lead glass as either cuprous oxide or as finely divided metallic copper which produces an opaque red colour. The red coloured beads examined from graves 120 and 103 were produced in this way.

The blue beads are all coloured by cobalt which is detectable in all three cases. Cobalt is capable of producing an intense colour even when present at very low concentrations (parts per million level) and it is sometimes difficult to confirm its presence. However the cobalt blue is a distinctive deep blue colour and all three translucent blue beads were of this characteristic blue.

The black glass bead from grave 127 (no.407) has a high iron content which produces the colour. Black glass is usually produced from mixing together scraps of waste glass however the absence of copper which was present in all other beads may suggest that this colour was deliberately produced by adding iron to a basic glass mix.

The majority of the opaque beads contained significant levels of tin and lead. Lead does not actually produce any colour itself in the glass but it is an important part of the mechanism for the production of opaque glass as its presence allows the opacifying agent to dissolve in the melt and precipitate from it in a controlled way as it cools which produces an even colour and

opacity. The opaque yellow beads are produced by precipitating lead tin oxide. These beads must have been heated below 900 degrees centigrade as above that temperature the pigment breaks down and tin oxide is produced, giving a white colour and this reaction cannot be reversed. The opaque white bead from grave 103 has a much lower lead level than the opaque yellow beads and must have been deliberately made using tin oxide; it is not over-heated yellow. There is no evidence from Great Chesterford for the use of antimony as an opacifier. This is what would be expected as antimony is usually found as an opacifier in Roman beads, whereas late Roman and post Roman beads are usually opacified by tin.

Three opaque beads from graves 62, 103 and 113 had much higher lead contents than the other beads, possibly as high as 20 - 30% lead. For these beads the method of dividing the elemental gross intensities obtained from XRF analysis by the silicon figure is not completely satisfactory for the reasons stated earlier, hence the high ratio figures for all the other elements in these beads.

The polychrome beads were all basically opaque, though some had translucent glass added as decoration in trails. The polychrome beads were all opacified by tin, as were the monochrome beads. This is in contrast to the beads from the Buckland cemetery (Bayley 1987: 186) where the polychrome beads differed from the monochrome beads in being antimony opacified. At Great Chesterford the similarity in composition between the monochrome and polychrome beads can be used to suggest a common manufacturing tradition for the two types of bead.

The interpretation of the analyses of the polychrome beads is not as simple as that from the monochrome beads as the analysis usually includes at least two colours of glass. However in most cases the analyses show that the colourants present in the polychrome beads are consistent with what would be expected from the monochrome beads for the colours analysed.

Conclusions

The colours observed in the Great Chesterford beads are all explicable from the composition of the glass. These compositions are similar to those from other comparable sites of this period. The production of the beads seems to follow the Germanic tradition of glassmaking which is what would be expected at Great Chesterford. There is some evidence that antimony continues in use as an opacifier in the Mediterranean world at this period so its absence in all but one of the Great Chesterford beads supports the North European origin for them.

The similarity of evidence from other contemporary cemetery sites such as Mucking, Wakerley, Buckland, and Sewerby leads to the conclusion that the beads in circulation in this country in the Pagan Saxon period were all part of the same manufacturing tradition. They are usually associated with a northern European, Germanic tradition. This clearly reflects the dominant area of contact for Britain in this period.

The similarity of analyses of beads from the various cemetery sites means that it is now possible to predict the range of

colours found in bead assembalges of this period and the colourants that produced them. It will perhaps be necessary to begin to consider the major element composition of the beads to take the study of the manufacturing traditions further and begin to identify source areas if this is possible.

References

Bayley,J. 1987 "Qualitative analyses of some of the beads", in V.I.Evison, Dover: Buckland Anglo-Saxon Cemetery, HBMCE Archaeological Report No.3, 182-189.

Bayley,J. in press "Notes on the composition of coloured glass", in S.Campbell, P.Bennet, et al, The Archaeology of Canterbury, Vol.III: Excavations in the Cathedral Precincts.

Biek,L. and Bayley,J. 1979 "Glass and other vitreous materials" World Archaeology, Vol.11(1), 1-25.

Evison,V.I. 1987 Dover: Buckland Anglo-Saxon Cemetery. HBMCE Archaeological Report No.3.

Henderson,J. and Warren,S.E. 1983 "Analysis of Prehistoric Lead Glass", in The Proceedings of the 22nd Symposium on Archaeometry, Bradford, 1982, A.Aspinall & S.E.Warren (eds), pp.168-180.

Heyworth,M.P. 1987 Examination and Analysis of Glass Beads from Wakerley. Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report 241/87.

Heyworth,M.P. 1988 Examination and Analysis of Glass Beads from Mucking, Essex. Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report 122/88.

Hirst,S.M. 1985 An Anglo-Saxon Inhumation Cemetery at Sewerby East Yorkshire. York University Archaeological Publications 4.

APPENDIX ONE

Catalogue of beads analysed by EDXRF

Grave	No.	Description	Opacity	Colour
g62	217	globular	translucent	colourless
g113	346	cylinder	translucent	colourless
g114	350	annular	translucent	blue
g92	295	annular	translucent	blue
g92	295	annular	translucent	blue
g2	11a	cylinder	translucent	light blue
g148	487	annular	translucent	light blue
g127	407	disc	translucent	blue-green
g79	262	cylinder	translucent	yellow
g127	407	annular	opaque	black
g97	310	disc	opaque	blue-green
g97	310	disc	opaque	green
g120	381	cylinder	opaque	red
g103	330	disc	opaque	red
g103	330	disc	opaque	white
g56	190	disc	opaque	yellow
g124	396	disc	opaque	yellow
g103	330	disc	opaque	yellow
g62	217	cylinder	opaque	yellow-green
g2	11	disc	opaque	red with white & blue-green
g2	11	disc	opaque	blue with white
g2	11a	disc	opaque	red with white
g27	59	disc	opaque	blue with red & white
g34	152	cylinder	opaque	red with green & yellow
g48	168	cylinder	opaque	blue-white with blue-green
g114	350	disc	opaque	yellow with green & red
g113	346	cylinder	opaque	red with green & yellow
g97	310	disc	opaque	white with blue-green

APPENDIX TWO

Results of EDXRF analysis, all figures normalised to silicon, except cobalt, which is either detected (+) or not detected (-).

The symbols representing bead colour (in column labelled 'Col') are as follows: B - Blue, Bk - Black, G - Green, LB - Light Blue, O - Colourless, P - Polychrome, R - Red, W - White, Y - Yellow.

The symbols representing bead opacity (in column labelled 'Opac') are as follows: T - Translucent, O - Opaque.

Number	Col	Opac	P	K	Ca	Ti	Mn	Fe	Co	Cu	Zn	Pb	Sr	Sn	Sb
g62-217	O	T	0.02	0.08	0.82	0.03	0.47	0.52	-	0.19		0.04	0.16		
g113-346	O	T	0.02	0.05	0.41	0.01	0.02	0.15	-	0.08			0.07		0.06
g114-350	B	T	0.07	0.29	1.42	0.10	0.48	1.47	+	0.25	0.07	0.21	0.32		
g92-295	B	T	0.04	0.12	0.74	0.03	0.27	0.73	+	0.13		0.06	0.17		
g92-295	B	T	0.04	0.17	0.75	0.05	0.33	0.69	+	0.13	0.07	0.07	0.16		
g2-11a	LB	T	0.10	0.15	1.01	0.08	0.06	1.53	-	0.32		0.68	0.15	0.18	
g148-487	LB	T	0.08	0.23	1.00	0.05	0.31	0.82	-	0.12		0.08	0.20	0.04	0.04
g127-407	B/G	T	0.04	0.15	1.00	0.03	0.23	0.53	-	0.07		0.07	0.21		0.09
g79-262	Y	T	0.04	0.11	0.77	0.14	1.33	1.58	-	0.31		0.04	0.15		
g127-407	Bk	O	0.07	0.23	1.08	0.06	0.61	4.10	-			0.06	0.17	0.10	
g97-310	B/G	O	0.04	0.09	0.79	0.05	0.32	0.72	-	2.98	0.09	0.20	0.09	0.09	
g97-310	G	O	0.13	0.17	1.92	0.09	0.40	1.44	-	2.62	0.09	0.70	0.28	0.10	
g120-381	R	O	0.11	0.18	1.63	0.22	0.68	5.78	-	4.25	0.37	8.16	0.10	0.09	
g103-330	R	O	0.07	0.08	0.69	0.08	0.32	2.92	-	4.18	0.28	3.97	0.05		
g103-330	W	O	0.19	0.16	1.63	0.04	0.74	1.21	-	1.48		3.08	0.17	1.92	
g56-190	Y	O	0.24	0.07	1.40	0.10	0.05	1.21	-	0.23		7.93	0.04	0.24	
g124-396	Y	O	0.09	0.13	0.55	0.09	0.49	0.68	-	0.26		7.51	0.03	0.21	
g103-330	Y	O	0.44	0.09	1.03	0.17	0.48	1.46	-	5.48	0.23	15.84	0.06	0.36	
g62-217	Y/G	O	0.42	0.18	1.26	0.12	0.42	3.37	-	6.14	0.27	19.30	0.12	0.23	
g2-11	P	O	0.36	0.21	1.95	0.13	1.01	5.97	-	2.47	0.34	2.58	0.21	0.56	
g2-11	P	O	0.08	0.18	1.20	0.05	0.78	3.42	-	0.21		0.45	0.19	0.24	
g2-11a	P	O	0.12	0.28	1.14	0.06	0.60	2.73	-	0.52	0.14	2.08	0.14	0.31	
g27-59	P	O	0.09	0.18	1.51	0.12	0.90	2.87	-	0.83	0.21	1.42	0.32	0.34	
g34-152	P	O	0.11	0.10	0.77	0.07	0.24	2.13	-	2.47	0.16	7.77	0.07	0.10	
g48-168	P	O	0.03	0.11	0.75	0.02	0.02	0.27	-	0.77	0.16	0.49	0.07	0.32	
g114-350	P	O	0.10	0.21	1.32	0.09	0.46	4.95	-	0.51		3.37	0.09	0.09	
g113-346	P	O	0.16	0.29	1.88	0.25	0.70	4.07	-	3.53		20.89	0.20	0.16	
g97-310	P	O	0.13	0.10	1.29	0.08	0.33	1.96	-	0.55		2.13	0.14	0.53	