MAAK SOFE FILE;

1972

Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report 155/88

THE EXAMINATION OF TECHNOLOGICAL RESIDUES FROM PEEL GAP AND CASTLE NICK (MILECASTLE 39), NORTHUMBERLAND.

J G McDonnell BTech PhD MIFA

AML reports are interim reports which make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication They are not subject to external refereeing and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of archaeological information that was not available at the time of the investigation. Readers are therefore asked to consult the author before citing the report in any publication and to consult the final excavation report when available.

Opinions expressed in AML reports are those of the author and are not necessarily those of the Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England. Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report 155/88

THE EXAMINATION OF TECHNOLOGICAL RESIDUES FROM PEEL GAP AND CASTLE NICK (MILECASTLE 39), NORTHUMBERLAND.

J G McDonnell BTech PhD MIFA

Summary

Examination of residues from two Roman Sites on Hadrians Wall showed that there was no evidence for metal working on the areas excavated.

Author's address :-

Ancient Monuments Laboratory English Heritage 23 Savile Row London W1X 2HE

01 734 6010 x533

C

# THE EXAMINATION OF TECHNOLOGICAL RESIDUES FROM PEEL GAP AND CASTLE NICK, (MILECASTLE 39), NORTHUMBERLAND

## 1 Peel Gap Site Code 392-33 and 392-34

The material sent for examination (A.M.L. Number 871588) comprised three fragments of vitrified stone (Context Number/Finds Number 2/27, 20/26, and 90/25), one fragment of vitrified hearth lining (76/28), one fragments of charcoal and mortar (6/24), and a small piece of burnt organic material probably bone (23/23). The fragment of hearth lining (weight 20gms) is the only material that is possibly evidence of industrial activity, although it is more probable that it derived from a domestic context. Vitrification of hearth lining etc is normally associated with industrial processes due to the high temperatures required for vitrifaction to occur (Bayley 1985). The vitrified surfaces of the stones showed no evidence (as determined by X.R.F. analysis) of non-ferrous metalworking and therefore, cannot be interpreted as evidence for industrial activity.

# 2 Castle Nick. Site Codes 3900, 3901 and 3902

The material sent for examination (AML. Number 871588) comprised a small amount of smithing slag (0.326 kg), one sample of spheroidal hammer scale, some cinder (0.065 kg), fuel ash slag (0.002 kg), samples of vitrified stone, a lump of soft friable material (0.125 kg), and a piece of copper alloy (0.025 kg). A full listing in site and context number order is given in Table 1.

The quantities of slag etc do not indicate that iron smithing or copper melting were practised in the area excavated. It is therefore proposed that the debris is in secondary contexts, ie derived from a nearby metal working area.

X.R.F. analysis showed that the copper alloy was a slightly leaded gunmetal (an alloy of Cu, Zn, Sn and a low percentage of Pb). The vitrified surfaces of the stone showed no evidence of non-ferrous metalworking, some of the vitrification was black which was due to the presence of manganese oxide. The soft friable material was thought to be a residue from lime burning or mortar preparation.

1

CASTLE NICK TECHNOLOGY LISTING BY SITE AND CONTEXT (Weight [wt] in grammes)

\* TOTAL ON SITE OTHER TYPE CONTEXT SMITH OTHER NUMBER SLAG (wt) MATERIAL (wt) lime burning debris \*\* Subtotal \*\* \* TOTAL ON SITE OTHER TYPE CONTEXT SMITH OTHER SLAG (wt) MATERIAL (wt) NUMBER stone? Cu alloy Fuel Ash Slag black vitrified stone white/green vitrified stone vitrified stone as other vitrifaction \*\* Subtotal \*\* \* TOTAL ON SITE CONTEXT SMITH OTHER OTHER TYPE NUMBER SLAG (wt) MATERIAL (wt) spheroidal hammer scale \*\* Subtotal \*\* \* TOTAL ON SITE CONTEXT SMITH OTHER OTHER TYPE NUMBER SLAG (wt) MATERIAL (wt) vitrified stone vitrified stone pink cinder/slag vitrified stone glazed stone Fuel Ash Slag cinder vitrified stone Subtotal \*\* \*\* \*\* Total \*\* 

### 3 Conclusion

There was no evidence for metal working at Peel Gap. At Castle Nick there was debris from iron smithing and possibly copper working, but it was a low background level, intrusive from a nearby metal working area. This suggests that small scale metal working was practised at some Milecastles, and for example, there was evidence for copper alloy melting at Milecastle 34 (Sewingshields) (Bayley 1984).

## REFERENCES

Bayley J in Philips P The Archaeologist and the Laboratory CBA Research Report 58 p41-44 1985

Bayley J in D. Haigh & Revd M.J.D. Savage "Sewingshields Milecastle" Arch Aeliana 5th Series Vol 12 1984 p107-8