

Ancient Monuments Laboratory  
Report 214/88

DORCHESTER, DORSET: GREYHOUND YARD  
SLAG REPORT.

J G McDonnell BTech PhD MIFA

AML reports are interim reports which make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication. They are not subject to external refereeing and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of archaeological information that was not available at the time of the investigation. Readers are therefore asked to consult the author before citing the report in any publication and to consult the final excavation report when available.

Opinions expressed in AML reports are those of the author and are not necessarily those of the Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England.

Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report 214/88

DORCHESTER, DORSET: GREYHOUND YARD  
SLAG REPORT.

J G McDonnell BTech PhD MIFA

### Summary

Excavations at Greyhound Yard, Dorchester recovered 40kg of ironworking slag, ore and other residues. Examination of the slag showed that it derived from the iron smithing process, and that there was no evidence for iron smelting. The largest deposits derived from the construction of the Insula in the 1st Century AD. No chemical analyses were undertaken.

Author's address :-

Ancient Monuments Laboratory  
English Heritage  
23 Savile Row  
London  
W1X 2HE

01 734 6010 x533

# Dorchester, Greyhound Yard Slag Report.

Dr Gerry McDonnell

## 1 Introduction

All the material classed as slag was examined. A full listing is given (Table 2) of the weight (in grammes) of each slag type recovered from each context. Some contexts have no weights ascribed to them, because they were found to contain iron artefacts and no slag. The contexts are grouped according to three levels of phasing (P1, P2 and P3) provided by the archaeologists. The material is discussed in terms of the broad phases of the site (P1).

## 2 Morphology of the Slags

The material was divided into eight 'slag types', of which three can be considered diagnostic of the ironworking process.

### 2.1 Smithing Slag Lumps (SSL)

SSL are randomly shaped pieces of smithing slag which were generated in the smiths hearth during blacksmithing, but were not left long enough in the hearth to fully develop into Hearth Bottoms (see below). The SSL from Greyhound Yard were typical of examples from other Roman Sites in having a higher silica content than the true fayalitic composition. This gives the SSL a "cindery" morphology/texture. The SSL formed the greatest proportion (by weight) of the slag material recovered from the site (73%).

### 2.2 Hearth Bottoms (HB)

Hearth Bottoms are plano-convex accumulations of fayalitic slag that formed in the base of the smithing hearth. They have the same chemical and mineral composition as the SSL. No significance has been ascribed to the variation in size of hearth bottoms, except that there is a trend towards larger hearth bottoms on "industrial sites" and smaller ones on small smithy sites especially rural sites. The results from Greyhound Yard (Table 3) show the presence of both large and small examples, but that in general the number of hearth bottoms (10) is low compared to the amount of SSL recovered. Hearth Bottoms formed 7.5% of the material recovered (by weight).

### 2.3 Smelting Slag

Smelting slag is fayalitic slag generated during the smelting of iron. A single small fragment of probable smelting slag was identified. It is not significant, and is interpreted as intrusive.

## 2.4 Cinder

A high silica slag that is non-diagnostic, ie it may have been generated by processes other than ironworking (smelting and smithing). It is commonly associated with the iron smithing process, and given the overall higher silica content of the Greyhound Yard SSL, it is probable that in this case it was generated by the smithing process.

## 2.5 Hearth Lining (HL)

Hearth Lining is the clay lining of a hearth that was raised to sufficient temperatures to cause vitrification. These temperatures were normally achieved only in the tuyere zones of hearths. It may have formed in any hearth, or furnace and is therefore considered non-diagnostic, but again association suggests that it was generated by blacksmithing.

## 2.6 Fuel Ash Slag (FAS)

A very high silica slag (usually >90% silica) formed under high temperature oxidising conditions by the reaction of siliceous material and fuel ash. It is a non-diagnostic slag which can be formed in any hearth or fire.

## 2.7 Ore

An iron bearing stone that has a sufficiently high iron content for the rock to be considered an economic ore. Although it may be viable as an ore it may have been collected for other purposes (eg hematite as a pigment) or be a naturally occurring rock on the site. A significant amount of "ore" was recovered (7.2 kg, 17% of the total material from the site), but the absence of any evidence for iron smelting on the site precludes it having been used as an iron ore. It was tentatively identified as goethite, and was also present on the Old Methodists Chapel Site. This suggests that it may occur naturally on the site (see discussion below).

## 2.8 Other Material

There was a small quantity of material that could not be ascribed to the above categories. It was identified as "ferruginous concretion", which results from the natural redeposition of iron salts, usually around some organic matter, eg charcoal or wood. This material is not listed in Table 2.

### 3 Distribution of the Slags

Table 1 shows that there were three main phases of slag deposition, Phases 42, 43 and 44, Phase 61 and Phase 71.

Phase 42 The development of the Eastern side of the insula in the early Romano-British period. Only two contexts contained significant amounts of SSL; Contexts 4671 and 4699, which were the latest features of this phase. The slag was dumped into these pits and ditches, and it does not represent iron smithing having been carried out on the area excavated. Clearly the construction of the insula would have required some "on-site" smithing.

Phase 43 The development at the back of the western frontage of the insula in the early Romano-British period. No contexts contained significant deposits of slag, but it was present at high background levels, possibly deriving from smithing in the insula during its development.

Phase 44 The Romano-British developments of the central area of the site, behind the frontage properties. No significant large deposits of slag, but a large number (32) of small deposits. This also represents high background levels of slag.

The evidence in Phases 42-44 suggest that iron smithing was carried out on the insula, but not in the area excavated, presumably during the construction of the buildings and the slag was re-used to backfill pits, ditches etc.

Phase 61 The early medieval development of the site. There was only one context with a significant quantity of slag (Context 2202), the remainder occurred as small deposits. It could have derived either from contemporary smithing or from residual Roman material. There was no significant morphological differences between the Phase 42-44 and the Phase 61 slag. It is therefore probable that it is residual Roman material. This uncertainty could be resolved if there were other Roman finds in the same deposits.

Phase 71 Post-Medieval development of the site. There were two large deposits of slag (Contexts 953 and 759) and eleven small deposits. Slags of this type would not have been produced in the post-medieval period, and therefore these slags derive from disturbance of earlier deposits.

The presence of slag in Phase 71 suggests that later activity invariably caused slag to be redeposited. It is therefore likely that the Phase 61 material is also the result of disturbance of earlier (Romano-British) levels.

The pattern of ore distribution does not follow that of the slag. There is no ore before Phase 44, which, given the extensive prehistoric activity would suggest that it was not

naturally occurring on the site, but that it was brought onto the site during Phase 44. The large quantity recovered in that phase is due mainly to one one single large deposit (Context 1144). The other deposits on the site range from 20-500gms, with one exception of 800gms in Phase 71. There is no correlation between the occurrence of ore and slag, and on most occasions they are mutually exclusive. It is of interest to know whether it is associated with any other type of find.

**Table 1 Summary of Slag Distribution by Phase (P1)**

Phase	SSL	HB	Smelting Cinder Slag	HL	FAS	Ore	
<b>Neolithic Phase (Phasing Uncertain)</b>							
21	80						
<b>Romano-British Phases (1st-5th Centuries AD)</b>							
42	6500	570		20	10		
43	1410	320	10	35			
44	4945	2335	85	120		2055	
45	685					460	
46	15						
51	115					240	
<b>Medieval Phases (13th-15th Centuries AD)</b>							
61	6240		15	490		415	
62	660					715	
63	755		30	40	40	365	
<b>Post-Medieval Phases</b>							
71	7920					2095	
72	750						
<b>Un-phased</b>							
	785			5		860	
<b>TOTAL</b>							
	30860	3225	30	150	670	50	7205

#### 4 Conclusions

The ironworking evidence from Greyhound Yard indicates that there was some on-site smithing during the construction of the insula. This material was disturbed and redeposited in the medieval and post-medieval occupation of the site. Despite the presence of iron ore on the site there was no evidence for iron smelting.

**TABLE 2    Dorchester Greyhound Yard Slag Listing  
(Weight in Grammes)**

Key:    P1        Phase(1)  
          P2        Phase(2)  
          P3        Phase(3)  
          Cont     Context Number  
          SSL      Weight of Smithing Slag Lumps  
          HB        "     "     Hearth Bottoms  
          SMLT      "     "     Smelting Slag  
          Cinder    "     "     Cinder  
          HL        "     "     Hearth Lining  
          FAS        "     "     Fuel Ash Slag  
          Ore        "     "     Ore

P1	P2	P3	Cont	SSL	HB	SMLT	Cinder	HL	FAS	Ore
21	2	2	1636	80						

---

Total in Phase                    80  
 \*\*\*\*\*

42			3597					20		
42	1	2	5333	30						
42	1	2	5353							
42	7	5	5178							
42	9	6	1921		250					
42	10	2	4770	50						
42	10	7	4744	220						
42	11	8	4671	3850						
42	11	8	4699	2350						
42	11	8	4953						10	
42	12	1	2313							
42	12	3	4161		320					

---

Total in Phase                    6500    570                    20    10  
 \*\*\*\*\*

43	6	1	3672	50						
43	6	2	3251	1075	160					
43	6	2	3279		160					
43	6	2	3294	105						
43	6	2	3488	50				35		
43	6	4	3453				10			
43	6	5	2073	130						

---

Total in Phase                    1410    320                    10    35  
 \*\*\*\*\*



Dorchester Greyhound Yard Slag Listing

P1	P2	P3	Cont	SSL	HB	SMLT	Cinder	HL	FAS	Ore
44	2	5	3926	800						
44	3	9	1159							20
44	4	3	4087	50						
44	4	10	3634		150					
44	4	10	3653	120						
44	4	10	3824		825					
44	4	10	3956		90					
44	4	10	4000	320						
44	4	10	4027	280						
44	4	10	4028		250					
44	4	10	4031		800					
44	5	2	3404				25			
44	5	2	3611	50						
44	5	3	3406	75						
44	5	3	3434	25						
44	6	1	3446	120						
44	6	2	2532	90						
44	6	2	4627	20						
44	6	2	4636				30			
44	6	6	1107							100
44	6	6	1144	100						1300
44	7	4	2720	320						
44	7	7	2163	725						
44	7	7	4962	50						
44	7	7	4963							
44	8	2	3099	10						
44	9	2	5088	110						
44	9	7	1132							225
44	9	14	1182	100						320
44	9	17	3036	260						
44	9	18	1139							
44	9	18	1140	80						40
44	13	1	3856							
44	13	1	3944					120		
44	13	2	2478	80	220					
44	13	2	3628				30			
44	15	2	3633							50
44	15	2	3636	650						
44	15	3	1296	100						
44	16	2	1473	15						
44	16	3	1436	25						
44	16	3	1437	50						
44	17	5	1609							
44	18	1	4502	320						
44	18	2	4308							

Total in Phase 4945 2335 85 120 2055  
 \*\*\*\*\*

Dorchester Greyhound Yard Slag Listing

P1	P2	P3	Cont	SSL	HB SMLT	Cinder	HL	FAS	Ore
45	4	6	4734	20					
45	5	2	623	240					460
45	7	4	2688	10					
45	9	2	4664						
45	9	2	4668	75					
45	9	2	4672	275					
45	11	5	2121	15					
45	14	16	5100	50					

---

Total in Phase 685 460  
 \*\*\*\*\*

46	3	1	1623	15					
46	5	8	2028						

---

Total in Phase 15  
 \*\*\*\*\*

51	1	1	934						175
51	1	2	926						25
51	1	2	944						20
51	2	5	2394	100					
51	5	1	1006	15					20

---

Total in Phase 115 240  
 \*\*\*\*\*

61	1	2	2349	25					
61	1	2	2387			15			
61	1	3	2350	140					
61	1	5	2347	60					
61	2	1	1060				25		
61	2	6	2141	25					
61	2	10	1372	15					
61	3	1	2217				375		
61	4	1	2178	60					
61	5	4	2145	50					
61	7	6	962						15
61	7	7	973	90					
61	9	2	1583						20
61	10	1	1428						
61	10	1	3364	180					
61	11	4	1385	10			20		
61	11	4	2202	2980					
61	11	5	2201	100					





Dorchester Greyhound Yard Slag Listing

P1	P2	P3	Cont	SSL	HB	SMLT	Cinder	HL	FAS	Ore
72	3	1	645	380						
72	3	2	658	190						
72	3	2	779							
72	3	3	655	150						
72	3	4	647							
72	3	5	757							
72	4	9	956	30						

-----  
 Total in Phase 750

\*\*\*\*\*

Unphased Material

**	**	**	3514					5		
**	**	**	1265	200						
**	**	**	3888	30						
**	**	**	941							120
**	**	**	1141							140
**	**	**	1163							175
**	**	**	5302							
**	**	**	945							30
**	**	**	1154							50
**	**	**	1270	120						
**	**	**	3144	20						
**	**	**	3448							160
**	**	**	3210							
**	**	**	784	300						
**	**	**	937							75
**	**	**	1137	15						
**	**	**	1143							110
**	**	**	2070	100						

-----  
 Total in Phase 785

5

860

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \*\*\*\*\*  
 Total on Site 30860 3225 30 150 670 50 7205  
 \*\*\*\*\*

TABLE 3 Hearth Bottom Dimensions

Key	P1	P2	P3	Cont	HB	D1	D2	Depth
	P1				Phase(1)			
		P2			Phase(2)			
			P3		Phase(3)			
				Cont	Context Number			
				HB	Weight of Hearth Bottoms (in grammes)			
				D1	Maximum Diameter (in mm)			
				D2	Minimum diameter (in mm)			
				Depth	Maximum Depth (in mm)			
	P1	P2	P3	Cont	HB	D1	D2	Depth
	42	9	6	1921	250	100	65	35
	42	12	3	4161	320	80	70	40
	43	6	2	3251	160	85	65	35
	43	6	2	3279	160	70	65	30
	44	4	10	3634	150	70	60	30
	44	4	10	3824	825	120	100	45
	44	4	10	3956	90	55	60	20
	44	4	10	4028	250	60	70	35
	44	4	10	4031	800	125	120	50
	44	13	2	2478	220	95	75	30
	Mean Values				320	85	75	35
	Standard Deviation				270	25	20	10