

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

2479

Ancient Monuments Laboratory
Report 41/91

TREE-RING ANALYSIS OF OAK TIMBERS
FROM WALMGATE BAR, YORK

Miss Jennifer Hillam
& Miss Cathy Groves

AML reports are interim reports which make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication. They are not subject to external refereeing and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of archaeological information that was not available at the time of the investigation. Readers are therefore asked to consult the author before citing the report in any publication and to consult the final excavation report when available.

Opinions expressed in AML reports are those of the author and are not necessarily those of the Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England.

Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report 41/91

TREE-RING ANALYSIS OF OAK TIMBERS
FROM WALMGATE BAR, YORK

Miss Jennifer Hillam & Miss Cathy Groves

Summary

Seven cores were taken from Walmgate Bar during recent renovation work. All the samples were thought to be from primary timbers inserted during the 17th century. Three cores proved suitable for tree-ring dating, and two were dated to the late 16th century.

Authors' address :-

Miss Jennifer Hillam & Miss Cathy Groves

Department of Archaeology & Prehistory
Sheffield University
Clarke House Lane
Sheffield, South Yorkshire
S10 2TN

TREE-RING ANALYSIS OF OAK TIMBERS FROM WALMGATE BAR, YORK

Walmgate Bar was renovated and restored by York City Council in 1990 with the aid of a grant from English Heritage. It was probably constructed in the mid 12th century but has undergone several phases of repair and restoration since that date. There are records that the Bar was repaired in 1584-6 as well as in 1644-8 when it was heavily restored. During the 1990 restoration work samples were taken from those oak timbers which were thought to be primary to the 17th restoration (Oxley per comm).

Methods

Cores were taken using a corer attached to an electric drill. The cross-sections of the cores were prepared using a sander. The ring widths of those samples with more than 50 rings were measured on a travelling stage connected to an Apple II microcomputer (Hillam 1985, Fig 4). Ring patterns with less than 50 rings are unlikely to be unique and might not produce reliable dates - see Hillam et al 1987 for further details. The measured ring sequences were plotted as graphs using a graphing program on the Prime mainframe (Okasha 1987). The graphs were then compared with each other on a light box to check for any similarities between the ring patterns which might indicate contemporaneity. For crossmatching purposes, the ring width data were also transferred to an Atari ST microcomputer with hard disk. The tree-ring software for the Atari was written and developed by Ian Tyers (pers comm 1990). The crossmatching routines are based on the Belfast CROS program (Baillie & Pilcher 1973; Munro 1984), and all the t values quoted in this report are identical to those produced by the first CROS program (Baillie & Pilcher 1973). Generally t values of 3.5 or above indicate a match provided that the visual match between the tree-ring graphs is acceptable (Baillie 1982, 82-5).

Dating is achieved by crossmatching ring sequences within a phase or building, combining the matching sequences into a site master, and then testing that master for similarity against dated reference chronologies. A site master is used for dating whenever possible because it enhances the general climatic signal at the expense of the background noise from the growth characteristics of the individual samples. Any unmatched sequences are tested individually against the reference chronologies.

If a sample has bark or bark edge, the date of the last measured ring is the year in which the tree was felled. In the absence of bark edge, felling dates are calculated using the sapwood estimate of 10-55 rings. This is the range of the 95% confidence limits for the number of sapwood rings in British oak trees over 30 years old (Hillam et al 1987). Where sapwood is absent, felling dates are given as *termini post quem* by adding 10 years, the minimum number of missing sapwood rings, to the date of the last measured heartwood ring. The actual felling date could be much later depending on how many heartwood rings have been removed.

Results

Full details of the tree-ring samples are set out in Table 1. Four of the cores (2, 5, 6, 7) had less than 50 rings and were rejected. The remaining three samples had 71-125 rings; the only measured sample to retain any sapwood rings was 4. Samples 6 and 7 differed from the other timbers cored in that they had wider rings. This suggests that 6 and 7 had come from trees growing under more favourable conditions.

No similarities were found between the ring sequences from samples 1, 3 and 4. However when the ring width data (Table 2) were tested against dated reference chronologies, both 1 and 3 gave consistently high *t* values (Table 3). The ring sequence from 1 spanned the period AD1435-1555 and that of 3 AD1443-1567. No match was found for sample 4.

Visual comparison of the graphs from 1 and 3 with the reference chronologies confirmed that the computer results were acceptable. It should be noted however that the two ring sequences do not match each other. This may indicate that the timbers came from different sources.

Precise felling dates cannot be given because neither sample had sapwood. 1 was felled some time after 1565 and 3 after 1577. It is probable therefore that the two timbers were felled for use in the renovation of 1584-6.

Acknowledgements

The work was funded by English Heritage. We are also grateful to Ian Tyers for unpublished computer software, and to John Oxley for information about the building.

References

- Baillie MGL 1977 An oak chronology for south central Scotland, *Tree Ring Bulletin* 37, 33-44.
- Baillie MGL 1982 *Tree-Ring Dating and Archaeology*, London: Croom Helm.
- Baillie MGL & Pilcher JR 1973 A simple crossdating program for tree-ring research, *Tree Ring Bulletin* 33, 7-14.
- Hillam J 1984 Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Elland Old Hall, West Yorkshire. *Ancient Monuments Laboratory report series* 4165.
- Hillam J 1985 Theoretical and applied dendrochronology - how to make a date with a tree. In P Phillips (ed), *The Archaeologist and the Laboratory*, CBA Research Report number 58, 17-23.
- Hillam J, Morgan RA & Tyers I 1987 Sapwood estimates and the dating of short ring sequences. In RGW Ward (ed), *Applications of tree-ring studies: current research in dendrochronology and related areas*, BAR S333, 165-85.
- Laxton RR & Litton CD 1988 *An East Midlands master tree-ring chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings*. University of Nottingham, Dept of Classical & Archaeological Studies, Monograph Series III.
- Morgan RA 1977 Dendrochronological dating of a Yorkshire timber building. *Vernacular Architecture* 8, 809-14.
- Munro MAR 1984 An improved algorithm for crossdating tree-ring series, *Tree Ring Bulletin* 44, 17-27.
- Okasha MKM 1987 *Statistical methods in dendrochronology*. PhD thesis, Sheffield University.
- Siebenlist-Kerner V 1978 The chronology, 1341-1636, for certain hillside oaks from Western England and Wales. In JM Fletcher (ed), *Dendrochronology in Europe*, BAR S51, 157-61.

Table 1: Details of the tree-ring samples. Exact locations of the timbers can be seen on drawings D/S/7869A and D/S/7870A by the City of York Development Services.

no	timber	total no of rings	sapwood rings	average ring width (mm)	date span	felled	comments
1	C1 - 2nd floor beam	120	-	1.2	AD1435-1555	1565+	
2	B25	21	-	-	-	-	insufficient rings
3	B35 - 1st floor window sill	125	-	1.0	AD1443-1567	1577+	
4	C5 - 2nd floor post	71	11	1.9	-	-	
5	A5 - post	47	-	1.2	-	-	insufficient rings
6	crossbeam in entrance room near staircase	49	12	2.6	-	-	insufficient rings
7	joist near sample 6	47	3	2.4	-	-	insufficient rings

Table 2: Ring width data in units of 0.02mm.

sample 1, AD1435-1555

45 49 62 37 57 75 45 41 44 44
 48 28 40 46 40 38 65 71 54 64
 73 64 51 41 43 56 62 58 86 76
 82 54 130 103 67 102 151 80 169 86
 122 96 116 136 181 123 120 65 113 181
 84 86 149 108 145 141 113 157 128 99
 132 131 123 93 113 106 108 86 108 86
 87 131 105 78 103 90 70 112 116 104
 141 122 87 96 84 77 155 132 88 76
 69 82 91 72 46 71 102 102 61 82
 102 100 118 87 107 53 60 50 69 58
 55 62 58 50 58 71 100 70 83 64
 125

sample 3, AD1443-1567

70 49 34 28 42 31 30 36 37 46
 48 32 39 47 38 49 32 54 39 45
 43 50 46 41 39 52 51 37 32 36
 37 32 48 67 62 57 55 45 28 25
 24 22 23 23 30 35 31 31 40 35
 37 40 41 41 37 31 34 49 41 43
 45 50 63 58 39 48 48 60 57 63
 64 56 55 55 52 67 63 63 71 91
 76 120 108 117 95 107 131 128 153 143
 127 114 183 143 121 142 135 130 83 91
 108 123 182 146 75 115 134 144 202 138
 120 130 190 95 98 119 215 157 162 144
 160 125 76 121 137

sample 4, undated

201 245 200 43 32 41 54 61 40 24
 79 114 123 107 105 117 92 88 105 68
 116 75 97 101 110 112 112 45 31 24
 60 33 59 77 96 67 54 65 67 54
 49 41 40 27 34 87 147 155 156 234
 139 177 110 148 194 178 163 130 116 169
 90 75 127 85 105 72 136 107 57 54
 47

Table 3: Absolute dating: t values with dated reference chronologies. (All the chronologies are independent of each other.)

<u>chronology</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>
Bishops' House, Sheffield (Morgan 1977)	3.0	5.7
Doncaster buildings "Doncmed" (Morgan pers comm)	3.3	3.0
East Midlands (Laxton & Litton 1988)	5.1	5.5
Elland Old Hall, West Yorkshire (Hillam 1984)	4.5	3.7
Golden Cock, Wakefield (Groves & Hillam unpubl)	3.9	6.3
Welsh Border (Siebenlist-Kerner 1978)	-	3.5
South Central Scotland (Baillie 1977)	-	4.7
Yorkshire buildings "Yorkmed" (Hillam unpubl)	3.4	3.2