Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report 77/92

ENVIRONMENTAL EVIDENCE FROM INSECT REMAINS AND PARASITE EGGS FROM THE LEWTHWAITES LANE A SITE, THE LANES, CARLISLE

H Kenward, M Dainton, I Kemenes & J Carrott

AML reports are interim reports which make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication They are not subject to external refereeing and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of archaeological information that was not available at the time of the investigation. Readers are therefore asked to consult the author before citing the report in any publication and to consult the final excavation report when available.

Opinions expressed in AML reports are those of the author and are not necessarily those of the Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England. Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report 77/92

ENVIRONMENTAL EVIDENCE FROM INSECT REMAINS AND PARASITE EGGS FROM THE LEWTHWAITES LANE A SITE, THE LANES, CARLISLE

H Kenward, M Dainton, I Kemenes & J Carrott

Summary

Subsamples from nineteen contexts, eighteen of Roman date and one medieval, have been examined for insect remains and eggs of intestinal parasitic nematodes. No phase was represented by more than three samples and the contexts were of diverse archaeological nature. Two thirds of the samples from Roman deposits gave rather few remains, of generally fairly mixed nature but often with a substantial component of taxa from natural or semi-natural habitats. Some Roman groups were much They were of two kinds: those rich larger. in decomposers indicative of mouldering matter, probably mostly stable those manure, and richin moorland/heathland insects, presumably representing imported turf. Two samples contained several or many wireworm (Electoridae) wireworm (Elateridae) larvae, probably of a species believed to be able to exploit peat. The single medieval deposit included few insects, and worm eggs were rare in all the subsamples examined.

Authors' addresses :-

H Kenward & J Carrott	M Dainton	I Kemenes
E A U University of York Heslington YORK YO1 5DD	Dept Archaeological Sciences Bradford University Bradford BD7 1DP	Horsfield Rd Lewes Sussex BN7 2TA

© Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England

Environmental evidence from insect remains and parasite eggs from the Lewthwaites lane A site, The Lanes, Carlisle: technical report

by H.K.Kenward, M.Dainton*, I.K.Kemenés** and J.B.Carrott

Prepared for: Carlisle Archaeological Unit, 11.11.92.

Introduction

This report is an outline of evidence from the studies of insect (and other arthropod) remains and parasite eggs from the Lewthwaites Lane A site, Carlisle (site code CAR 82 LELA, abbreviated to LELA in EAU records). The work constitutes part of the Lanes Project (1), carried out by the Carlisle Archaeological Unit under the direction of M.McCarthy.

Methods

All the samples were collected by the excavators. Whilst none of the authors saw the site during excavation, HKK visited the Lanes area at a late stage of the excavation program. Thus the sampling strategy was one of on site selection rather than intensive sampling with post-excavation selection (the approach now favoured by the EAU). Samples were chosen by CAU for biological analysis and subsamples of approximately 1 kg were provided for insect and parasite egg analysis.

All of the submitted subsamples were first examined in the laboratory and their sedimentary nature and inclusions recorded on a standard pro-forma. A small amount of sediment was then retained from each for analysis for eggs of intestinal parasites, before the remainder was processed for insect remains.

Insect analysis

'Test' subsamples of 1 kg were processed using the methods described by Kenward et al. (1980), in a modified form (Kenward et al., 1986). Initially the flot was to be described in one of two ways; scan recording (now the standard method of the EAU) or assessment recording (methods described by Kenward 1992 and briefly by Kenward et al. 1992). In fact, all the flots were regarded as deserving scan recording, or contained so few remains that it was possible to record them in a few minutes.

The species lists were entered in shorthand form to a PASCAL system written by HKK, which outputs ordered species lists and a number of 'main statistics' for each subsample assemblage, most of them based on ecological codes assigned to the recorded species. The details of interpretation - in other words, the

extraction of archaeological information - have changed over the years, but the basic approach is outlined by Kenward (1978), while more up to date accounts are given by Kenward (1988) and Hall and Kenward (1990) and a brief account relating to the Lanes Project is given in Kenward et al. (1992). These methods are applied to most assemblages of adult beetles together with most bugs, whilst important additional information comes from some other groups of insects: fly puparia, insofar as they can yet be identified; fleas, lice and keds; beetle larvae, again to the extent that identification is feasible within most projects; bug nymphs and scale insects, and occasionally other material such as honey bees. Among the non-insect arthropods, mites have much potential (Schelvis 1992), but cannot, as yet, be identified as a matter of routine, while certain water flea resting eggs and earthworm egg capsules are useful indicators.

The residues from paraffin floatation were examined quickly. Their general nature was recorded and some were checked closely to monitor the efficiency of the insect extraction.

Eggs of intestinal parasites

Analysis for the eggs of nematode gut parasites of vertebrates was carried out using a semi-quantitative survey of all available samples, using 'squashes' of raw sediment. This method has been used in the study of parasite eggs from the Old Grapes Lane A site (Kenward et al., 1992), along with a more elaborate method recommended by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (1977); it was found that at the depth of investigation feasible within this project the simpler method gave satisfactory results. For this a small amount of sediment was collected from three separate points within the sampled material and homogenised in a little water. A drop of the resulting liquid was then placed on a 76x26 mm microscope slide and covered with a 22x50 mm cover whole mount was then rapidly scanned under slip. The а transmission microscope at x60 and the abundance of eggs recorded semi-quantitatively using a five point scale; one, trace (2-5), few (6-10), some (11-20), many (more than about 20).

The samples and the results of the analysis.

The analyses carried out on each sample, and the remains recovered, are described below, together with a laboratory description of the sediment.

Phase 2A [Immediately overlying natural subsoil. Late 1st century]

Context 647 [Small patch of burning]

Sample 20

Laboratory description - Black, moist, crumbly and brittle,

feeling sandy to the touch, probably as a result of the presence of fine charcoal. The only inclusions were a few lumps of greyish-yellow sandy clay (possibly burnt turf).

Parasite eggs - None found.

Insects - The processed subsample gave a very small flot, the only organic matter being what appeared to be very rotted plant fragments.

Phase 3 [Slight structural remains cut through phase 2C. Late 1st century].

Context 637 [Small area of clay with a burnt rim, may be a hearth]

Sample 19

. Postal

Laboratory description - Mid-dark brown, moist, crumbly and brittle, then plastic when worked, humic silty clay, with greyish and orange casts locally. Small stones (6-20 mm) and nodules of red clay were present.

Parasite eggs - None found.

Insects - A small assemblage of beetles (and a single bug) was recovered (N = 31, S = 19), together with a few other arthropods. Among the latter, only mites ('several') were at all abundant. Over a third of the assemblage upon which statistics are based was contributed by 'outdoor' forms. Other statistics were distorted by the presence of 10 individuals (a third of the assemblage) of Anotylus nitidulus, a species difficult to code ecologically. The assemblage appeared to include remains of insects of various origins; some might have been brought, for example, in clay from a damp place, but all might have had more random origins.

Phase 5 [Late 1st century]

Context 599 [Gulley fill]]

Sample 17

Laboratory description - Mid-dark pinkish orange-brown, moist, crumbly to plastic, slightly sandy silty clay. A fragment of glass was the only obvious inclusion.

Parasite eggs - None found.

Insects - There were 'many' dipteran pupae and mites and 'several' adult flies and beetle larvae, a few other insects, and 30 individuals of 28 beetle and bug taxa. Nearly a third of the latter assemblage was contributed by forms coded 'oa' or 'ob', and aquatics were sufficiently numerous to suggest that they were attracted to the point of deposition (or at least carried there in or by water). Little more can usefully be said of this group.

Phase 6A [Late 1st century]

Context 607 [Part of area enclosed by stakes (possible fence)]

Sample 18

Laboratory description - Heterogeneous, with two main components - a light-mid pinkish-brown, brittle, plastic when worked, slightly sandy silty clay and a lesser component of mid-dark, grey-brown, humic, slightly sandy silty clay. Small fragments of bone (<20 mm) were the only obvious inclusions.

Parasite eggs - None found.

Insects - there were few insects, but 'several' mites were recorded. Beetles and bugs totalled 22 individuals of 20 taxa. Over a third of the individuals were 'outdoor' forms. This was almost certainly background fauna and it would be unwise to attempt further interpretation.

Phase 6A-E [Late 1st century]

Context 578 [Wood fragments]

Sample 16

Laboratory description - Mid-dark grey-brown, moist, crumbly then plastic when worked, humic slightly sandy silty clay. Medium stones (20-60 mm), wood fragments and clay traces were present.

Parasite eggs - None found.

Insects - Only 19 individuals of 17 beetle taxa, and a few other arthropods, were found. The OB component accounted for over half of the assemblage, and over a quarter of the fauna fell in the RF group. Accumulation in the open air seems certain; while there were three Aphodius species (5 individuals), suggesting the presence of herbivore dung not far away, the whole assemblage may have had a background origin.

Phase 6C [Late 1st century]

Context 570 [Part of possible construction deposit]

Sample 15

Laboratory description - Dark brown moist, crumbly, amorphous

organic material, most of which appeared to be crisp and rotten wood on a cm to 10 cm scale. There were no obvious inclusions.

Parasite eggs - None found.

Insects - Only a very small group of insects was recovered; of no interpretative value, they resembled a random extract of a typical larger assemblage from the present site.

Phase 6D [Late 1st century]

Context 564 ['Deposit']

Sample 12

Laboratory description - Mid grey-brown, dry to moist, brittle, layered, sandy, compressed amorphous organic and herbaceous detritus (>2mm). Wood fragments were the only obvious inclusions.

Parasite eggs - Trace Trichuris.

Insects - Insects were rare, although large numbers of mites were recorded. Only a few beetles were present (N = 12, S = 11), but these included some unusual elements: an unfamiliar ?Cryptophagus sp.; a cossonine weevil and a Scolytus species. There were also two (presumed) beetle larval abdominal apices of a kind not previously recorded by HK.

Phase 7A [Late 1st/early 2nd century]

Context 550 [Substantial deposit blanketing all earlier features]

Sample 13

Laboratory description - Mid brown, dry to moist, crumbly, humic sandy silt. Small and very small stones (2-20mm) were present.

Parasite eggs - None found.

Insects - A modest group of insects, including 68 individuals of 57 beetle and bug taxa, 'many' fly puparia, 'several' beetle larvae and Hymenoptera Parasitica, and assorted other remains, was recorded. There were also 'many' earthworm egg capsules.

Only Megasternum obscurum was represented by more than two individuals. The assemblage had no clear character. It had perhaps accumulated in 'soil', in situ or transported from a surface on which background fauna had accumulated, and conceivably - on which stock had been kept.

Context 553 ['Deposit']

Sample 14

Laboratory description - Mid brown (rubs rich brown), moist, plastic, crumbly and just sticky when worked, slightly sandy silty clay. Contained abundant small stones (6-20mm), and medium stones (20-60 mm) were also recorded.

Parasite eggs - One Trichuris.

Insects - The small flot contained no recognisable arthropod remains.

Phase 7B [Late 1st/early 2nd century]

Context 539 ['Deposit']

Sample 8

Laboratory description - Mid-dark brown, moist, crumbly, working to plastic, amorphous organic material, with paler yellow patches. There were no obvious inclusions present.

Parasite eggs - None found.

Insects - Arthropod remains were abundant, and included 'many' fly pupae and puparia (at least 50 of the latter, it was estimated), and mites, 'several' fly larvae and earthworm egg capsules, and two lice (Pediculus humanus and ?Damalinia sp.). Beetles and bugs were numerous (N = 142, S = 72). Diversity was moderate ($\alpha = 58$, SE = 8), the outdoor component modest (%N OB = 14), and decomposers rather well represented (N RT = 60). The upper ranks of the species list were dominated by decomposers (and Oryzaephilus surinamensis), perhaps representing a community of mouldering, foul but open textured, plant remains. There were a few heath/moor taxa. This may have been a deposit of material similar in texture and foulness to stable manure and. subjectively, it probably was stable manure, with some hay and peat. A specimen of Aphodius porcus and an unfamiliar Ptinus species were recorded.

Context 538 [Spread of turf]

Sample 9

Laboratory description - Mid-dark brown, moist, plastic, crumbly, amorphous organic material. Medium stones (20-60 mm) and ?wood fragments were present.

Parasite eggs - None found.

Insects - The processed subsample gave a large flot, mostly consisting of yellowish plant debris but with abundant insects.

There were 'many' fly pupae and puparia, and a substantial group of beetles and bugs. (N = 138, S = 77). Mites were also numerous.

Diversity of the assemblage of adult Coleoptera and Hemiptera was high ($\alpha = 72$, SE = 11), and the outdoor component large (%N OB = 30) Decomposers were moderately well represented (for material from the Lanes: %N RT = 53). The more abundant taxa included grain pests, a rather mixed group of decomposers and *Longitarsus* sp. (a phytophage). This sort of mixture could be seen throughout the lower ranks, too.

The variety of phytophages may reflect deposition in an area with herbaceous vegetation, but together with the grain pests and decomposers these insects are more likely to indicate the remains of animal feed and bedding. There was no clear indication of 'turf', although some elements represented by one or two individuals could well have been imported in such.

Phase 7C [Late 1st century]

Context 546 ['Deposit']

Sample 11

Laboratory description - Mid-dark brown, moist, brittle, platy, compressed herbaceous detritus. There were no obvious inclusions present.

Parasite eggs - One Ascaris was recorded.

Insects - A quite large group of Hemiptera and Coleoptera (N = 112, S = 45) was accompanied by various other insects including 'several' fly puparia and small Hymenoptera. The flot was very large and consisted mostly of filmy fibrous decayed plant debris.

Diversity of the beetle assemblage was quite low ($\alpha = 28$, SE = 4), greatly reduced by the presence of 40 individuals (counted) of a *Corticaria* species. Other taxa seem likely to have bred in the material contributing to this deposit: *Typhaea stercorea* (7) and *Cryptophagus* sp. (6) and various of the less abundant species. These, and some dung beetles (*Aphodius prodromus* (4) and *A. contaminatus* (1)), contributed to the substantial decomposer component (%N RT = 61), which was of low diversity (α RT = 6, SE = 1).

Plant-associated taxa contributed over a quarter of the fauna, and nearly a third of the taxa. At rank 2 was a *Longitarsus* species (9 individuals), but other phytophages were dispersed throughout the ranks; it is quite possible that these species represent insects introduced in cut vegetation which was subsequently colonised by the decomposers. It seems very likely that this was 'stable manure', especially in view of its distinctive lithology. It is notable, however, that the rich community of insects able to exploit highly nitrogenous organic waste seen at some other sites (e.g. Tanner Row, York) was not developed, although components of it were present.

Phase 8C [Probably early 2nd century]

Context 530 [Pit fill]

Sample 7

Laboratory description - Mid-dark brown, moist, plastic, crumbly, brittle, slightly sandy silty amorphous organic material, herbaceous detritus and woody detritus. Very small stones (2-6 mm) were the only obvious inclusions.

Parasite eggs - One Trichuris was noted.

Insects - The minute flot contained only two earthworm egg capsules. This was surprising in view of the richly organic nature of the deposit.

Phase 8E [Probably early 2nd century]

Context 502A ['Deposit']

Sample 2

Laboratory description - Mid-dark brown, moist, crumbly, plastic when worked, sandy clay silt, with patches of silty sand on a cm scale and at least one small lump of peat. Twig fragments were the only other inclusions observed.

Parasite eggs - None found.

Insects - there were 'many' fly puparia and remains of a few other invertebrates, together with 24 individuals of 19 beetle taxa. Almost half the individuals were 'outdoor' forms and only two coded decomposers were present. Although no species were numerous, it appears most likely that this fauna was derived from turf cut in a moist area; there were six individuals of four Helophorus species, perhaps even suggesting aquatic deposition.

Context 502B ['Deposit']

Sample 4

Laboratory description - Near black, moist, brittle, amorphous organic material, apparently peat. There were no obvious inclusions.

Parasite eggs - None found.

Insects - Arthropods were numerous and included 'many' Hymenoptera Parasitica, of the order of 100 mites and 'several' Aphidoidea and fly puparia. Beetle larvae were very abundant, and included 'many' Elateridae sp., apparently *Denticollis linearis* (see discussion by Kenward *et al.* 1992).

There were 161 individuals of 81 bug and beetle taxa, half of the former and over half of the latter being 'outdoor' forms. The (relatively) low value of the index of diversity for this outdoor component (α OB = 43, SE = 9) suggested the presence of a true community, and inspection of the species list indicated that this was so. The higher ranks were dominated by species likely to have occurred in related habitats in an area of the heathland or (perhaps less probably) moorland (%N M = 9). Particularly characteristic were the attractive bug Macrodema micropterum (5), the ground beetle Bradycellus ruficollis (5), the weevil Micrelus ericae (also 5) and Olisthopus rotundatus (2), but much of the remaining fauna might be found alive with these. Undoubtedly this material was predominantly surface heath/moor peaty soil (or less probably, deeper peat). The abundant elaterid larvae were presumably imported with this turf, and if they were indeed Denticollis linearis, then they appear to be consistent with the reconstruction based on the rest of the fauna (see Kenward et al. loc. cit.)

Coded decomposers were very rare (%N RT = 16, one of the lowest values ever recorded for a substantial assemblage, although some of the uncoded taxa were probably decomposers), and it appears that only a few individuals of the species typical of human settlements were able to enter the deposit.

The record of the lygaeid bug Pachybrachius fracticollis is notable.

This stands as a very characteristic assemblage, and may be usefully compared with that from sample 3, context 501.

Context 500 ['Deposit']

Sample 5

Laboratory description - Mid-dark brown, moist, brittle and layered, amorphous organic and herbaceous detritus. There were no obvious inclusions.

Parasite eggs - None found.

Insects - A quite large group of beetles and bugs was recorded (N = 131, S = 68), together with assorted other invertebrates including 'many' mites and fly puparia and 'several' Proctotrupoidea and unidentified Hymenoptera Parasitica. About a quarter of the fauna was accounted for by 'outdoor' forms. Grain pests were numerous (%N G = 31, occupying the first two ranks with a total of 38 individuals) and if these were removed the proportion of outdoor insects rose to 36%; the residual assemblage was of high diversity ($\alpha = 98$, SE = 22) and probably of mixed origin. The decomposers, too, appeared to have had

varied origins. Aquatics were perhaps sufficiently well represented to suggest deposition in water.

Phase 8E-F [Probably early 2nd century]

Context 501 ['Deposit']

Sample 3

Laboratory description - Near black, moist, brittle, amorphous organic material, with 'glistening' mineral particles. There were no obvious inclusions.

Parasite eggs - None found.

Insects - Invertebrate remains were abundant and, in addition to 140 individuals of 65 beetle and bug taxa, included 'many' Proctotrupoidea, similar numbers of other Parasitica and indeterminate Hymenoptera, 'many' beetle larvae, about 50 mites, and 'several' ants, Lepidoptera pupae and fly puparia. There were also thirteen elaterid larvae of assorted sizes, identical to those from other Lanes samples and probably *Denticollis linearis*.

The beetle and bug assemblage was unusual in being of moderate diversity in combination with a very large proportion of outdoor insects (%N OB = 61) and a very low proportion of coded decomposers (%N RT = 8). These exceptional statistics resulted from the presence of what was undoubtedly a community from heathland/moorland (N M = 14, but many other species probably or certainly belonged to such communities). Notable taxa were Bradycellus ruficollis (10),Micrelus ericae (5), Ulopa (2) reticulata (3), Macrodema micropterum and Olisthopus rotundatatus (also 2), but Lathrobium sp. (13), Dyschirius globosus (11), Lesteva heeri (6), Euaesthetus bipunctatus (6), Altica sp. (4), Pterostichus diligens (3) and various other taxa would have been part of the same community. Hardly any taxa which could not have been associated with these were present; examples were single individuals of two grain pests and a few decomposers, all of which may have strayed into the deposit.

This material was surely pure peat or heathland/moorland turf, the main plant species indicated being *Calluna vulgaris*. See also sample 4, context 502B.

Phase 11 [Later 2nd century]

Context 365.2 [Pit fill]

Sample 6

Laboratory description - Dark, grey-brown, oxidising to mid-dark brown externally, moist, brittle to crumbly, silty, amorphous organic and herbaceous detritus. Paler light brown patches were present.

Parasite eggs - Trace amounts of Ascaris.

Insects - Insects were very abundant: there were 304 individuals of 77 beetle and bug taxa of the groups used in the preparation of statistics, as well as 'many' Chalcidoidea, other Parasitica and fly pupae, of the order of 50 fly puparia and mites, and 'several' beetle larvae and earthworm egg capsules.

Diversity was rather low ($\alpha = 33$, SE = 3); other statistics were somewhat unexceptional apart from the high value of %N RD (26) and low α RT (11, SE = 2). Grain beetles accounted for 38% of the individuals. The residual assemblage after their subtraction included 42% of RD individuals.

The most abundant species were the grain beetles Oryzaephilus surinamensis (56) and Cryptolestes ferrugineus (46) (there were also six each of Palorus ratzeburgi and Sitophilus granarius). The RD component included 36 Cryptophagus sp., 26 Lathridus minutus group, seven Typhaea stercorea and six Atomaria sp. Lower ranks included, in the main, taxa likely to co-exist with these abundant ones, but the small outdoor component included some species which were probably imported with material from heathland/moorland and others conceivably introduced in hay-like cut vegetation. This may have been organic debris from within a building, but there was nothing to suggest directly that it was animal litter.

Phase 21B [Medieval, probably 12th-13th century]

Context 15.4 [Pit fill]

Sample 1

Laboratory description - Strong mid brown, moist, plastic, crumbly, amorphous organic material, locally pale brown. There were no obvious inclusions present.

Parasite eggs - None found.

Insects - A small group of insects was recorded (N = 34, S = 30). There were 'many' fly puparia and 'several' mites. Main statistics for such a small group are of course unreliable as measures of the 'population' represented by it, but the outdoor component was strikingly small (only three individuals). The material may have been waste from within a building, but may merely have been rapidly deposited in the pit and sealed immediately.

Discussion

Perhaps the most notable feature of this group of assemblages lay in the material from samples 4 (context 502B) and 3 (context 501). In both cases a very large part of the fauna appears to have originated in heathland or moorland peaty soil, and importation of cut turf from such a place seems very probable. The insects suggest that the turf was cut from heathy, *Calluna*rich soil rather than wet bog. Both samples included substantial numbers of larvae of an elaterid ('click') beetle; they were most probably *Denticollis linearis*, discussed by Kenward *et al.* (1992) in the account of material from the Old Grapes Lane A site. The Elateridae larvae from OGLA appear after further investigation to probably be *D. linearis* also.

The purpose of this turf is unclear.

There is from LELA evidence strongly suggesting the presence of 'stable manure' or other animal bedding, as from OGLA and some other sites. The present site gave little indication of human dwellings - lice and fleas were rare. One context, 365.2 (sample 6) gave an assemblage of beetles rich in grain pests and something rather like 'house fauna' (Hall and Kenward 1990, p. 00), but not wholly characteristically so. Although there was no clear evidence this, too, may have been associated with the keeping of domestic animals rather than human habitation.

References

Hall, A. R. and Kenward, H. K. (1990). Environmental evidence from the Colonia: General Accident and Rougier Street. *The Archaeology of York* 14 (6), 289-434 + Plates II-IX + Microfiche 3-11. London: Council for British Archaeology.

Kenward, H. K. (1978). The analysis of archaeological insect assemblages: a new approach. *The Archaeology of York* **19** (1), 1-68 + Plates I-IV. London: Council for British Archaeology.

Kenward, H. K. (1988). Insect remains. Pp. 115-40 in Schia, E. (ed.) *De arkeologiske utgravninger in Gamlebyen, Oslo 5 Mindets* tomt - Sondrefelt. Ovre Ervik: Alvheim and Eide.

Kenward H.K., (1992). Rapid recording of archaeological insect remains - a reconsideration. *Circaea* 9, 95-104.

H. K. Kenward, E. P. Allison, M. Dainton, I. K. Kemenés and J. B. Carrott (1992). Evidence from insect remains and parasite eggs from Old Grapes Lane A, The Lanes, Carlisle. Technical Report.

Kenward H.K., Engleman C., Robertson A. and Large F. (1986). Rapid scanning of urban archaeological deposits for insect remains. *Circaea* 3, 163-72.

Kenward H.K., Hall A.R. and Jones A.K.G (1980). A tested set of techniques for the extraction of plant and animal macrofossils from waterlogged archaeological deposits. *Science and Archaeology* 22, 3-15.

Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (1977). Manual of veterinary parasitological laboratory techniques. *MAFF Technical Bulletin* 18. London: HMSO.

2

Schelvis, J. (1992). Mites (Acari) in archaeology. Proceedings of the Netherlands Entomological Society: Experimental and Applied Entomology 1, 90-5. Table 1. Summary of main statistics for the scan-recorded assemblages from LELA. The material is treated as a single unit. N, S - means of sample values; PNOB etc. - percentages of main ecological categories (see Hall and Kenward 1990) calculated for the sum of records from all samples (rather than mean of sample values); alpha, alpha OB, alpha RT - based on mean of sample values where the standard error is less than the value of alpha, the number of cases meeting this criterion being stated.

Statistic	All samples (6 cases)
N (= concentration by HNI)	36.5
number of taxa (S)	22.8
alpha	- (2 cases)
PNOB	32.4
PNW	2.7
PND	1.4
PNP	17.8
PNH	0.0
PNL	0.5
PNG	6.4
PNRT	51.1
PNRD	11.9
PNRF	8.7
alpha RT	- (2 cases)

Table 2. Complete list of invertebrate taxa recorded from LELA. Conventions: 'sp(?).' - indicates probable additional taxon; 'sp(?). indet.' - indicates may be (or include) previously listed taxa. Order and nomenclature for Insecta follows Kloet and Hincks (1964-77).

Annelida

Oligochaeta sp. (egg capsule)

Insecta

Hemiptera

Stygnocoris pedestris Heteroptera sp. Auchenorhyncha spp. Aphidoidea sp.

Diptera

Diptera spp. (adult) Diptera spp. (larva) Diptera spp. (pupa) Diptera sp. (puparium)

Coleoptera

```
Clivina ?fossor
Bembidion lampros or properans
Bembidion (Philochthus) sp.
Pterostichus sp.
Amara sp.
Carabidae spp.
Helophorus spp.
Cercyon analis
Cercyon atricapillus
Cercyon haemorrhoidalis
Cercyon sp. indet.
Megasternum obscurum
Onthophilus striatus
Histerinae sp.
Ochthebius sp.
Acrotrichis sp.
Olophrum sp.
Omalium sp.
Xylodromus ?concinnus
Carpelimus bilineatus
Carpelimus sp.
Platystethus arenarius
Anotylus nitidulus
Anotylus rugosus
Anotylus tetracarinatus
Stenus spp.
Lathrobium sp.
```

Othius sp. Gyrohypnus angustatus Xantholinus gallicus or linearis Xantholinus sp. indet. ?Philonthus sp. Staphylininae sp. Tachyporus ?hypnorum Tachyporus spp. Tachinus laticollis or marginellus Tachinus ?signatus Cordalia obscura Aleochara sp. Aleocharinae spp. Aphodius contaminatus ' Aphodius prodromus Aphodius spp. Phyllopertha horticola Elateridae sp. Elateridae sp. (larva) Ptinus ?fur Ptinus sp. (?not fur) Brachypterus sp. Meligethes sp. Monotoma sp. Cryptolestes ferrugineus Oryzaephilus surinamensis Cryptophagus sp. Atomaria sp. Ephistemus globulus Lathridius minutus group Enicmus sp. Corticaria sp. Typhaea stercorea Palorus ratzeburgi Gastrophysa viridula Chrysomelinae sp. Phyllotreta nemorum group Longitarsus sp. Chaetocnema arida group Apion spp. Sitona regensteinensis Sitona sp. Hypera sp. Cossoninae sp. Sitophilus granarius Dorytomus sp. Ceutorhynchus sp. Rhinoncus pericarpius Limnobaris pilistriata Curculionidae sp. Scolytus sp. Coleoptera sp. Coleoptera sp. (larva)

Hymenoptera

κ,

ъ

Chalcidoidea sp. Hymenoptera Parasitica sp. Formicidae sp. Hymenoptera sp.

Insecta sp. (larva)

Arachnida

Pseudoscorpiones sp. Aranae sp. Acarina sp. Appendix: Main statistics and lists in rank order for the scanrecorded assemblages of adult beetles and bugs from the Lewthwaites Lane A site, Carlisle.

The material is listed in sample number order. Main statistics are given in full for assemblages with ten or more adult Coleoptera and Hemiptera of the groups used in preparing statistics. Number - minimum number of individuals; % percentage for that taxon in the assemblage; Rank - rank position in the assemblage; Ecodes - ecological codes assigned to the taxon for the purposes of computing assemblage statistics. Erosion and fragmentation are on five point scales, with 1 = very well preserved through to 5 = very poorly preserved.

If a sample is recorded as having 'no records of beetles and bugs' this may be because none were present or because the material was assessment-recorded. See text for further information. Site: LELA Context: 15.4 Sample: 1/T - beetle/bug main statistics

Erosion = 4 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg

1,

Number of individuals estimated as	N	= 34
Number of taxa	S	= 30
Index of diversity (alpha)	alpha	= 119
Standard error of alpha	SE alpha	= 61
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	SOA	= 2
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	%SOA	= 7
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals	NOA	= 2
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals	%NOA	= 6
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB	= 3
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	%SOB	= 10
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individual	s NOB	= 3
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individua	ls %NOB	= 9
Diversity index for OB not calculated, NOB = SOB or	NOB < 20	D
Number of aquatic taxa	SW	= 0
Percentage of aquatic taxa	%SW	= 0
Number of aquatic individuals	NW	= 0
Percentage of aquatic individuals	%NW	= 0
Number of damp ground/waterside taxa	SD	= 1
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD	= 3
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	ND	= 1
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals	%ND	= 3
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	SP	= 1
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	%SP	= 3
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals	NP	= 1
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals	%NP	= 3
Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM	= 0
Number of heathland/moorland individuals	NM	= 0
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	%NM	= 0
Number of wood-associated taxa	SL	= 1
Number of wood-associated individuals	NI.	= 1
Percentage of wood-associated individuals	%NI.	= 3
Number of decomposer taxa	SRT	= 16
Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT	= 53
Number of decomposer individuals	NRT	= 19
Percentage of decomposer individuals	%NRT	= 56
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD	= 4
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	\$SBD	= 13
Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NBD	- 15 - 6
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals	&NDD	- 0 - 19
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SULP	
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SKI SCDF	- 1 - 2
Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NDF	- 3 - 1
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NAT SNDF	- 1 - 2
Diversity index for RT not calculated NRT - SPT or		- 3
Number of individuals of grain posts	NRT < 20	
Percentage of individuals of grain posts	NG QMA	- U
Number of individuals of grain pests	SNG NG	- U
Number of uncoded taxa	NG	- U
Percentage of uncoded individuals		
	PINU	- <u>1</u> 2

Site: LELA Context: 15.4 Sample: 1/T - species list in rank order

Taxon	Number	% Ra	ank	Ecodes
Cercyon sp. A	2	6	1	u
Gyrohypnus fracticornis (Muller)	2	6	1	rt
Cryptophagus sp.	2	6	1	rd
Atomaria sp.	2	6	1	rd
Trechus micros (Herbst)	1	3	5	u
Cercyon sp. B	1	3	5	u
Acritus nigricornis (Hoffmann)	1	3	5	rt
Histerinae sp.	1	3	5	u
Ptenidium sp.	1	3	5	rt
Omalium ?rivulare (Paykull)	1	3	5	rt
Omalium sp.	1	3	5	rt
Anotylus rugosus (Fabricius)	1	3	5	rt
Oxytelus sculptus Gravenhorst	1	3	5	rt
Stenus sp.	1	3	5	u
Lithocharis ochracea (Gravenhorst)	1	3	5	rt
Gyrohypnus angustatus Stephens	1	3	5	rt
Philonthus sp. A	1	3	5	u
Philonthus sp. B	1	3	5	u
Aleocharinae sp. A	1	3	5	u
Aleocharinae sp. B	1	3	5	u
Pselaphidae sp.	1	3	5	u
Aphodius sp.	1	3	5	ob rf
Anobiidae sp.	1	3	5	1
Ptinus sp.	1	3	5	rd
Monotoma sp.	1	3	5	rt
Lathridius minutus group	1	3	5	rd
Corticaria sp.	1	3	5	rt
Notaris acridulus (Linnaeus)	1	3	5	oadp
Curculionidae sp.	1	3	5	oa
Coleoptera sp.	1	3	5	u

Site: LELA Context: 502.A Sample: 2/T - beetle/bug main statistics

Erosion = 3 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg

.

Number of individuals estimated as	N	=	24
Number of taxa	S	=	19
Index of diversity (alpha)	alpha		43
Standard error of alpha S	E alpha	=	22
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	SOA	=	8
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	%SOA	_	42
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals	NOA	=	10
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals	%NOA	=	42
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB	=	9
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	%SOB	=	47
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	NOB	=	11
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individual	s %NOB	=	46
Diversity index for OB not calculated, NOB = SOB or	NOB < 20)	
Number of aquatic taxa	SW	=	4
Percentage of aquatic taxa	%SW	=	21
Number of aquatic individuals	NW	=	6
Percentage of aquatic individuals	%NW	-	25

Number of damp ground/waterside taxa	SD		1
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD	=	5
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	ND	=	1
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals	%ND	-	4
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	SP	=	2
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	%SP	=	11
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals	NP	=	2
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals	%NP	=	8
Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM	=	0
Number of heathland/moorland individuals	NM	=	0
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	%NM	=	0
Number of wood-associated taxa	$_{\rm SL}$	=	0
Number of wood-associated individuals	\mathtt{NL}	=	0
Percentage of wood-associated individuals	%NL	=	0
Number of decomposer taxa	\mathbf{SRT}	=	2
Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT	=	11
Number of decomposer individuals	\mathbf{NRT}	=	2
Percentage of decomposer individuals	%NRT	=	8
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD	=	0
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	%SRD		0
Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NRD	=	0
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals	%NRD	=	0
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF	_	0
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	%SRF		0
Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NRF	=	0
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	%NRF	=	0
Diversity index for RT not calculated, NRT = SRT or	NRT < 20)	
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG	=	0
Percentage of individuals of grain pests	%NG	-	0
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG	=	0
Number of uncoded taxa	SU	=	8
Percentage of uncoded individuals	PNU	=	46

٩.

6

Site: LELA Context: 502.A Sample: 2/T - species list in rank order

Taxon	Number	0/0	Rank	Eco	des
Lathrobium sp. A	3	13	1	u	
Helophorus sp. A	2	8	2	oa	W
Helophorus sp. B	2	8	2	oa	W
Lathrobium sp. B	2	8	3 2	u	
Bradycellus sp.	1	4	. 5	oa	
Carabidae sp.	1	4	. 5	do	
Helophorus aquaticus or grandis	1	4	5	oa	W
Helophorus sp. C	1	4	5	oa	W
Carpelimus pusillus group	1	4	5	u	
Stenus sp. A	1	4	5	u	
Stenus sp. B	1	4	5	u	
Gyrohypnus sp.	1	4	l 5	rt	
Xantholinus longiventris Heer	1	4	5	rt	
Neobisnius sp.	1	4	l 5	u	
Erichsonius cinerascens (Gravenhorst)	1	4	- - - 5	oa	đ
Staphylininae sp.	-	Z	5	u	
Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus)	1	2	5	oa	α
Meligethes sn	1	4	5	oa	ά
Cossoninae sn	1	6	1 5	u	Ľ
oosonando sp.	±				

Site: LELA Context: 501 Sample: 3/T - beetle/bug main statistics

Erosion = 2 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg

ч.,

 \sim

Number of individuals estimated as	N	= 140	С
Number of taxa	S	= 65	5
Index of diversity (alpha)	alpha	= 47	7
Standard error of alpha	SE alpha		7
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	SOA	= 32	2
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	_ %SOA	= 49	}
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals	NOA	= 79)
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals	%NOA	= 56	5
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB	= 39	}
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	%SOB	= 60)
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individual	s NOB	= 86	5
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individua	ls %NOB	= 61	L
Index of diversity of outdoor component	alpha OB	= 28	3
Standard error SE	alpha OB	= 5	5
Number of aquatic taxa	SW	= 4	ł
Percentage of aquatic taxa	%SW	- 6	5
Number of aquatic individuals	NW	= 7	7
Percentage of aquatic individuals	%NW	= 5	5
Number of damp ground/waterside taxa	SD	= 4	ł
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD	- 6	5
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	ND	- 11	L
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals	%ND	= 8	3
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	SP	= 11	L
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	%SP	= 17	7
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals	NP	= 21	Ĺ
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals	%NP	= 15	5
Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM	= 4	ŧ
Number of heathland/moorland individuals	NM	= 20)
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	%nm	= 14	ł
Number of wood-associated taxa	SL	= 0)
Number of wood-associated individuals	NL	= 0)
Percentage of wood-associated individuals	%NL	= 0)
Number of decomposer taxa	SRT	= 10)
Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT	= 15	š
Number of decomposer individuals	NRT	= 11	Ĺ
Percentage of decomposer individuals	%NRT	= 8	3
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD	= 2	>
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	%SRD	= ?	3
Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NRD	= 2	Ś
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals	%NRD	1	
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF	= 4	1
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	%SBE		; ;
Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NDF	_ (1
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	SNDF		2 2
Diversity index for RT not calculated NRT = SPT or		- <u>-</u>	,
Number of individuals of grain pests		, _ ^	2
Percentage of individuals of grain pests	NG SNC		, ,
Number of individuals of grain nests	5NG NC		ž
Number of uncoded taxa			, :
Percentage of uncoded individuals		- 10 - 21)
reforminge of uncoded fully fullets	PNU	- 31	-

,

Site: LELA Context: 501 Sample: 3/T - species list in rank order

N

5

Taxon	Number	% I	Rank	Ecodes
Lathrobium sp.	13	9	1	u
Dyschirius globosus (Herbst)	11	8	2	oa
Bradycellus ruficollis (Stephens)	10	7	3	oa m
Aleocharinae sp. B	7	5	4	u
Lesteva heeri Fauvel	6	4	5	oa d
Euaesthetus bipunctatus (Ljungh)	6	4	5	oa
Micrelus ericae (Gyllenhal)	5	4	7	oa p m
Staphylininae sp.	4	3	8	u
Altica sp.	4	3	8	oa p
Ulopa reticulata (Fabricius)	3	2	10	oa p m
Pterostichus diligens (Sturm)	3	2	10	oa d
Stenus sp. B	3	2	10	u
Mycetoporus sp.	3	2	10	u
Macrodema micropterum (Curtis)	2	1	14	oa p m
Trechus obtusus or quadristriatus	2	1	14	oa
Bembidion lampros (Herbst)	2	1	14	oa
Olisthopus rotundatus (Paykull)	2	1	14	oa
Hydroporinae sp. A	2	1	14	oa w
Hydroporinae sp. B	2	1	14	oa w
Helophorus sp.	2	1	14	oa w
Xantholinus gallicus or linearis	2	1	14	rt
Tachyporus sp.	2	1	14	u
Aleocharinae sp. A	2	1	14	u
Stygnocoris sp.	1	1	24	oa
Auchenorhyncha sp. A	1	1	24	oa p
Auchenorhyncha sp. B	1	1	24	oa p
Auchenorhyncha sp. C	1	1	24	oa p
Pterostichus (Poecilus) sp.	1	1	24	oa
Bradycellus sp.	1	1	24	oa
Carabidae sp. A	1	1	24	do
Carabidae sp. B	1	1	24	ob
Gyrinus sp.	1	1	24	oa w
Onthophilus striatus (Forster)	1	1	24	rt
Scydmaenidae sp.	1	1	24	u
Olophrum sp.	1	1	24	oa
Acidota crenata (Fabricius)	1	1	24	oa
Lesteva longoelytrata (Goeze)	1	1	24	oa d
Dropephylla sp.	1	1	24	u
Carpelimus ?elongatulus (Erichson)	1	1	24	oa d
Carpelimus sp.	1	1	24	u
Platystethus arenarius (Fourcroy)	1	1	24	rf
Stenus sp. A	1	1	24	u
Stenus sp. C	1	1	24	u
Stenus sp. D	1	1	24	u
Euaesthetus laeviusculus Mannerheim	1	1	24	oa
Quedius sp.	1	1	24	u
Falagria caesa or sulcatula	1	1	24	rt
Aleocharinae sp. C	1	1	24	u
Aleocharinae sp. D	1	1	24	u
Geotrupes sp.	1	1	24	oa rf
Aphodius sp. A	1	1	24	ob rf
Aphodius sp. B	1	1	24	ob rf

Elateridae sp. A	1	1	24	ob
Elateridae sp. B	1	1	24	ob
Cantharidae sp.	1	1	24	ob
Cryptolestes ferrugineus (Stephens)	1	1	24	g
Oryzaephilus surinamensis (Linnaeus)	1	1	24	g
?Atomaria sp.	1	1	24	rd
Atomaria sp. B	1	1	24	rd
Corticaria sp.	1	1	24	rt
Palorus ratzeburgi (Wissman)	1	1	24	g
Chrysomelinae sp.	1	1	24	oa p
Phyllotreta nemorum group	1	1	24	oap
Halticinae sp.	1	1	24	oa p
Chrysomelidae sp. (larva)	1	1	24	oa p

Site: LELA Context: 502B Sample: 4/T - beetle/bug main statistics

Erosion = 3 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg

-

<s

η.

Number of individuals estimated as N	=	161
Number of taxa S		81
Index of diversity (alpha) alpha	=	65
Standard error of alpha SE alpha	_	9
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa SOA	<u></u>	39
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa %SOA	=	48
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals NOA	=	71
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals %NOA	=	44
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa SOB	_	45
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa %SOB	=	56
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals NOB	=	80
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals %NOB	=	50
Index of diversity of outdoor component alpha OB	=	43
Standard error SE alpha OB	=	9
Number of aquatic taxa SW	=	7
Percentage of aquatic taxa %SW	<u> </u>	9
Number of aquatic individuals NW	=	11
Percentage of aquatic individuals %NW		7
Number of damp ground/waterside taxa SD	=	5
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa %SD	=	6
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals ND		6
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals %ND	=	4
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa SP		14
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa %SP		17
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals NP	=	27
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals %NP	=	17
Number of heathland/moorland taxa , SM	=	3
Number of heathland/moorland individuals NM	=	15
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals %NM	=	9
Number of wood-associated taxa SL	=	1
Number of wood-associated individuals NL	<u></u>	3
Percentage of wood-associated individuals %NL	=	2
Number of decomposer taxa SRT		14
Percentage of decomposer taxa %SRT	=	17
Number of decomposer individuals NRT	=	25
Percentage of decomposer individuals %NRT	=	16
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa SRD	=	1
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa %SRD	=	1

Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals NRD = 1 Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals 1 %NRD = Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa SRF =5 Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa %SRF = 6 Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals NRF =8 Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals %NRF = 5 Index of diversity of decomposer component alpha RT = 13 5 Standard error SE alpha RT = 7 Number of individuals of grain pests NG = Percentage of individuals of grain pests %NG = 4 Number of individuals of grain pests NG = 7 Number of uncoded taxa SU = 23 Percentage of uncoded individuals PNU = 33

ş

Site: LELA Context: 502B Sample: 4/T - species list in rank order

Taxon	Number	% R	ank	Ecodes
Lathrobium sp.	11	7	1	u
Philonthus sp. A	8	5	2	u
Xantholinus longiventris Heer	7	4	3	rt
Aleocharinae sp. A	6	4	4	u
Macrodema micropterum (Curtis)	5	3	5	oapm
Bradycellus ruficollis (Stephens)	5	3	5	oa m
Euaesthetus bipunctatus (Ljungh)	5	3	5	oa
Quedius boops group	5	3	5	u
Micrelus ericae (Gyllenhal)	5	3	5	oapm
Dyschirius globosus (Herbst)	4	2	10	oa
Hydroporinae sp.	4	2	10	oa w
Oryzaephilus surinamensis (Linnaeus)	4	2	10	d
Auchenorhyncha sp. A	3	2	13	õa p
Scydmaenidae sp.	3	2	13	u
Euaesthetus laeviusculus Mannerheim	3	2	13	oa
Anobium punctatum (Degeer)	3	2	13	1
Cryptolestes ferrugineus (Stephens)	3	2	13	q
Stygnocoris pedestris (Fallen)	2	1	18	oa p
Psylloidea sp.	2	1	18	oap
Pterostichus diligens (Sturm)	2	1	18	oa d
Olisthopus rotundatus (Paykull)	2	1	18	oa
Helophorus sp. B	2	1	18	oa w
Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	2	1	18	rt
Anotylus tetracarinatus (Block)	2	1	18	rt
Stenus sp. B	2	1	18	u
Aleocharinae sp. B	2	1	18	u
Aphodius ?prodromus (Brahm)	2	1	18	ob rf
Aphodius sp. A	2	1	18	ob rf
Aphodius sp. B	2	1	18	ob rf
Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus)	2	1	18	oa p
Pachybrachius fracticollis (Schilling)	1	1	31	oap
Saldula sp.	1	1	31	oad
Corixidae sp.	1	1	31	oa w
Auchenorhyncha sp. B	1	1	31	oap
Notiophilus sp.	1	1	31	oa
?Patrobus sp.	1	1	31	oa
?Bembidion sp.	1	1	31	oa
Pterostichus (Poecilus) sp.	1	ī	31	oa

Pterostichus sp.	1	1	31	ob
Agonum sp.	1	1	31	oa
Trichocellus sp.	1	1	31	oa
Bradycellus sp.	1	1	31	oa
Colymbetinae sp.	1	1	31	oa w
Helophorus sp. A	1	1	31	oa w
Cercyon analis (Paykull)	1	1	31	rt
Cercyon ?atricapillus (Marsham)	1	1	31	rf
Cercyon sp.	1	1	31	u
Onthophilus striatus (Forster)	1	1	31	rt
Ochthebius sp.	1	1	31	oa w
Olophrum sp.	1	1	31	oa
Acidota cruentata Mannerheim	1	1	31	oa
Omaliinae sp.	1	1	31	u
Carpelimus ?bilineatus Stephens	1	1	31	rt
Carpelimus pusillus group	1	1	31	u
Platystethus nodifrons (Mannerheim)	1	1	31	oa d
Stenus sp. A	1	1	31	u
Stenus sp. C	1	1	31	u
Stenus sp. D	1	1	31	u
Ochthephilum ?fracticorne (Paykull)	1	1	31	oa d
Paederinae sp.	1	1	31	u
Philonthus sp. B	1	1	31	u
Tachyporus sp.	1	1	31	u
Tachinus ?signatus Gravenhorst	1	1	31	u
Aleocharinae sp. C	1	1	31	u
Aleocharinae sp. D	1	1	31	u
Aleocharinae sp. E	1	1	31	u
Aleocharinae sp. F	1	1	31	u
Aleocharinae sp. G	1	1	31	u
Aphodius ?granarius (Linnaeus)	1	1	31	ob rf
Cyphon sp.	1	1	31	oa d
Byrrhidae sp.	1	1	31	oa p
Denticollis linearis (Linnaeus)	1	1	31	u
Elateridae sp.	1	1	31	ob
Meligethes sp.	1	1	31	oa p
?Sericoderus lateralis (Gyllenhal)	1	1	31	rt
Lathridius minutus group	1	1	31	rd
Tenebrio obscurus Fabricius	1	1	31	rt
Donaciinae sp.	1	1	31	oa w p
Chrysomelinae sp.	1	1	31	oa p
Halticinae sp.	1	1	31	oa p
Ceuthorhynchinae sp.	1	1	31	oa p
Site: LELA Context: 500 Sample: 5/T - beetle/bug	main	sta	tist	ics

Erosion = 2 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg

÷.,

(**9**

.

Number of individuals estimated as	N =	121
MARKNEL OF INGLAIDARD COCIMACCA AD	—	TOT
Number of taxa	S =	68
Index of diversity (alpha)	alpha =	57
Standard error of alpha	SE alpha =	9
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	SOA =	22
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	%SOA =	32
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals	NOA =	28
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals	%NOA =	21

Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor individual Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individual Index of diversity of outdoor component Standard error SE Number of aquatic taxa Percentage of aquatic taxa Number of aquatic individuals Percentage of aquatic individuals Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa Number of damp ground/waterside taxa Number of damp ground/waterside individuals Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa Number of strongly plant-associated individuals Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals Number of heathland/moorland taxa Number of heathland/moorland individuals Percentage of wood-associated taxa Number of decomposer taxa Percentage of decomposer taxa Number of decomposer taxa Percentage of decomposer taxa Number of decomposer taxa Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SOB = %SOB = %SOB = als %NOB = alpha OB = alpha OB = SW = %SW = %SW = %SW = %SV = %SD = %SD = %SD = %SD = %SD = %SP = %ST = %NM = %NRT = %NRD = %N	26 38 24 32 24 32 24 33 27 4 63 27 4 63 27 4 63 27 4 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF = %SPF =	= 5 = 7
Number of (foul) decomposer individuals	NPF =	. 6
Demonstrate of (foul/ decomposer individuals	SNDF =	- 5
Teden of dimension of decomposer individuals		- J - J
the device of diversity of decomposer component	alpha RI	- 35
Standard error SE		- 11
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG =	- 41
Percentage of individuals of grain pests	- 8NG =	- 31
NB - over 10% grain pests and $n > 50$: for corrected	d re-run se	e over.
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG =	- 41
Number of uncoded taxa	SU =	- 11
Percentage of uncoded individuals	PNU =	- 11
Site: LELA Context: 500 Sample: 5/T - beetle/bug ma re-run after subtraction of grain pest component Erosion = 2 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg	ain statist	ics
Number of individuals estimated as	N =	= 90
Number of taxa	C _	: 61
number of divergity (alpha)		- 04 - 00
incex of diversity (alpha)	aipna =	- 98 - 00
Standard error of alpha	SE alpha =	= 22

' 5

. . . .

Number of 'certain' outdoor taxaSOA = 22Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa%SOA = 34

28 Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals NOA =Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals NOA =31 26 Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa SOB =SOB =41 Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa 32 NOB = Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals %NOB = 36 alpha OB = Index of diversity of outdoor component 63 SE alpha OB = 27 Standard error Number of aquatic taxa SW =4 %S₩ = 6 Percentage of aquatic taxa 8 Number of aquatic individuals NW =%NW = g Percentage of aquatic individuals 0 SD =Number of damp ground/waterside taxa 0 Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa %SD = 0 Number of damp ground/waterside individuals ND =Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals %ND = 0 Number of strongly plant-associated taxa SP =11 SP =17 Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa NP =13 Number of strongly plant-associated individuals %NP = 14 Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals Number of heathland/moorland taxa SM =0 Number of heathland/moorland individuals NM =0 %NM = 0 Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals Number of wood-associated taxa SL =3 Number of wood-associated individuals NL =6 7 Percentage of wood-associated individuals %NL = Number of decomposer taxa SRT =27 Percentage of decomposer taxa SRT =42 41 Number of decomposer individuals NRT =46 Percentage of decomposer individuals NRT =Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa SRD =6 Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa %SRD = 9 Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals 11 NRD =Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals %NRD = 12 Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa 5 SRF =Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa %SRF = 8 Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals NRF = 6 Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals 7 %NRF = Index of diversity of decomposer component 35 alpha RT = Standard error 11 SE alpha RT = Number of individuals of grain pests NG =41 Number of uncoded taxa SU =11 Percentage of uncoded individuals PNU = 16 Site: LELA Context: 500 Sample: 5/T - species list in rank order

Taxon	Number	% Ra	nk	Ecodes
Oryzaephilus surinamensis (Linnaeus)	27	21	1	g
Cryptolestes ferrugineus (Stephens)	11	8	2	ġ
Carpelimus ?bilineatus Stephens	4	3	3	rt
Lathridius minutus group	4	3	3	rd
Helophorus sp. B	3	2	5	oa w
Anthicus formicarius (Goeze)	3	2	5	rt
Cercyon analis (Paykull)	2	2	7	rt
Cercyon atricapillus (Marsham)	2	2	7	rf

Hydrobius fuscipes (Linnaeus) Ochthebius sp. Oxytelus sculptus Gravenhorst Lathrobium sp. Neobisnius sp. Falagria ?caesa Erichson Aleocharinae sp. B Anobium punctatum (Degeer) Anobiidae sp. Meligethes sp. Cryptophagus sp. Atomaria sp. ?Cis sp. Palorus ratzeburgi (Wissman) Longitarsus sp. Lygaeidae sp. Auchenorhyncha sp. A Auchenorhyncha sp. B Auchenorhyncha sp. C Amara sp. Bradycellus sp. Carabidae sp. Helophorus sp. A Megasternum obscurum (Marsham) Acritus nigricornis (Hoffmann) Scydmaenidae sp. Olophrum sp. Acidota crenata (Fabricius) Platystethus arenarius (Fourcroy) Anotylus tetracarinatus (Block) Stenus sp. A Stenus sp. B Lithocharis sp. Leptacinus sp. Gyrohypnus angustatus Stephens Gyrohypnus fracticornis (Muller) Staphylininae sp. Cordalia obscura (Gravenhorst) Aleocharinae sp. A Aleocharinae sp. C Aleocharinae sp. D Aleocharinae sp. E Aphodius sp. A Aphodius sp. B Aphodius sp. C ?Ctenicera cuprea (Fabricius) Ptinus fur (Linnaeus) Ptinus sp. Corticaria sp. A Corticaria sp. B Typhaea stercorea (Linnaeus) Anthicus sp. Phyllotreta sp. Crepidodera sp. Sitophilus granarius (Linnaeus) Ceuthorhynchinae sp.

Gymnetron sp. Curculionidae sp. A Curculionidae sp. B Curculionidae sp. C	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1	24 24 24 24	oa oa oa	p
Site: LELA Context: 365.2 Sample: 6/T - beetle/	bug	mai	n st	ati	sti	cs
Erosion = 3 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg						
Number of individuals estimated as				N =		304
Number of taxa				S =	-	77
Index of diversity (alpha)			alph	a =		33
Standard error of alpha		SE	alph	a =	=	3
Number of 'Certain' outdoor taxa			SO	A =		25
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa			%S0	A =	=	32
Number of Certain outdoor individuals			NU NU	A =	-	34
Number of (certain) and probable outdoor taxa			5INU 2018	A = D =	-	11
Percentage of (certain) and probable outdoor tax	79		06 09%	р – р –	_	20
Number of (certain) and probable outdoor individ	ານລ່]	C	060 014	в	-	20
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor indiv	idus	ala	2NO	ю	-	- 00 - 12
Index of diversity of outdoor component	Lauc	aln	ha O	р – В =	-	10
Standard error	SE	aln	ha O	B =		18
Number of aquatic taxa	ы	arb.	na v	W =	=	7
Percentage of aquatic taxa			%S	 เง7 ≃	2	ģ
Number of aquatic individuals			Ň	 W7 =	=	10
Percentage of aquatic individuals			%N		=	3
Number of damp ground/waterside taxa			S	D =	=	3
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa			%S	D =	=	4
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals			N	D =	=	3
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals			%N	D ≃	=	1
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa			S	P =	=	12
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa			%S	P =	=	16
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals			N	P =	Ξ	15
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individu	lals	5	%N	P =	=	5
Number of heathland/moorland taxa			S	M =	=	0
Number of heathland/moorland individuals			N	M =	<u>-</u>	0
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals			%N	M =	3	0
Number of wood-associated taxa			S	L =	=	1
Number of wood-associated individuals			N	L = -	=	4
Percentage of wood-associated individuals			∛N	ட = 	=	1
Number of decomposer taxa			SR	T. =	=	28
Number of decomposer individuals			%SK	1, =	-	36
Decomposer individuals			NK •ND	T. =	-	127
Number of /dry/ decomposer taxa			SNR CD	г —	_	42
Percentage of /dry/decomposer taxa			אכ ססע	0 - n -	-	^
Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals			ACO QU	n =	-	20
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals			%NR	n =	-	26
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa			SR		-	20
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa			%SR	- = 'न	=	5
Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals			NR	- F =	±	6
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals			%NR	F =	=	2
Index of diversity of decomposer component		alp	ha R	T =	Ξ	11
Standard error	SE	alp	ha R	T =	=	2
Number of individuals of grain pests			N	G =	=	114

`

'4

Percentage of individuals of grain pests %NG = 38 NB - over 10% grain pests and n > 50: for corrected re-run see over. Number of individuals of grain pests NG =114 SU =18 Number of uncoded taxa PNU = 8 Percentage of uncoded individuals Site: LELA Context: 365.2 Sample: 6/T - beetle/bug main statistics re-run after subtraction of grain pest component Erosion = 3 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg N =190 Number of individuals estimated as S =73 Number of taxa Index of diversity (alpha) alpha = 44 .5 SE alpha = Standard error of alpha Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa SOA =25 Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa SOA =34 Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals NOA =34 Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals NOA =18 Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa SOB =28 Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa SOB =38 Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals NOB =38 Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals %NOB = 20 alpha OB = Index of diversity of outdoor component 49 SE alpha OB = 18 Standard error SW =- 7 Number of aquatic taxa %SW = 10 Percentage of aquatic taxa Number of aquatic individuals NW =10 Percentage of aquatic individuals 5 %NW = Number of damp ground/waterside taxa 3 SD =Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa %SD = 4 Number of damp ground/waterside individuals ND =3 %ND = 2 Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals Number of strongly plant-associated taxa SP =12 Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa %SP = 16 NP =Number of strongly plant-associated individuals 15 NP =Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals 8 Number of heathland/moorland taxa SM =0 Number of heathland/moorland individuals NM =0 Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals %NM = 0 Number of wood-associated taxa SL =1 Number of wood-associated individuals NL =4 %NL = 2 Percentage of wood-associated individuals SRT =Number of decomposer taxa 28 SRT =38 Percentage of decomposer taxa Number of decomposer individuals NRT =127 %NRT = Percentage of decomposer individuals 67 Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa SRD =- 7 Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa %SRD = 10 Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals NRD =80 Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals %NRD = 42 Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa SRF =4 Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa SRF = 5 Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals NRF =6 Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals %NRF = 3 Index of diversity of decomposer component alpha RT = 11

Standard error	SE alpha	\mathbf{RT}	=	2
Number of individuals of grain pests		NG	_	114
Number of uncoded taxa		SU	=	18
Percentage of uncoded individuals		PNU	=	13

Site: LELA Context: 365.2 Sample: 6/T - species list in rank order

Number % Rank Ecodes

Tэ	von
Τа	хон

5

Oryzaephilus surinamensis (Linnaeus)	56	18	1	g
Cryptolestes ferrugineus (Stephens)	46	15	2	g
Cryptophagus sp.	36	12	3	rd
Lathridius minutus group	26	9	4	rd
Cercyon analis (Paykull)	16	5	5	rt
Typhaea stercorea (Linnaeus)	7	2	6	rd
Atomaria sp. A	6	2	7	rd
Palorus ratzeburgi (Wissman)	6	2	7	g
Sitophilus granarius (Linnaeus)	6	2	7	a [']
Xylodromus concinnus (Marsham)	4	1	10	rt
Anobium punctatum (Degeer)	4	1	10	T
Auchenorhyncha sp. A	3	1	12	oa p
Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	3	1	12	rt
Chaetarthria seminulum (Herbst)	3	1	12	oa w
Euaesthetus bipunctatus (Ljungh)	3	1	12	oa
Aleocharinae sp. C	3	1	12	u
Clambus sp.	3	1	12	rt
Lyctocoris campestris (Fabricius)	2	1	18	rd
Helophorus sp. A	2	1	18	oa w
Scydmaenidae sp.	2	L	18	u
Platystethus arenarius (Fourcroy)	2	1	18	rī
Euaesthetus laeviusculus Mannerneim	2	Ţ	18	oa
Philonthus sp. A	2	1	18	u
Falagria caesa or sulcatula	2	1	18	rt
Aleocharinae sp. B	2	1	18	u
Aleocharinae sp. D	2	1	10	u ab waf
Apnodius sp. B	2	1	10	
Tipnus unicolor (Piller & Mitterpacher)	2	1	10	ra
Corticaria sp.	2	1	10	rt oo n
(Longitarsus sp.	2	Ť	10	oa p
Aphrodes ilavostriatus (Donovan)	1	0	21 21	oa p u
Auchenornyncha sp. B	1	0	ンエ 21	oa p
Auchenornyncha sp. C	<u>بل</u> ۲	0	21	oa p
Dyschirius (globosus (herbst)	1	0	21	0a 02
Treenus obcusus or quauristriacus	<u></u> Т	0	21	0a op
Amara sp.	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	0	21	ob
Carabiuae sp.	1 1	0	21 21	
Holophorug gn B	1 1	0	31 31	oa w
Corquon atriganillug (Marcham)	1 1	0	31 JT	va w rf
Uudrophilippo an	1	0	21	11 03 W
Agritua nigrigornia (Hoffmann)	1	0	21 7T	va w
Acticus migricornis (norrann)	1	0	31	
nyuraena sp.	1 1	0	21	va w
rtentatum sp. Olophrum en	1 1	0 0	21 21	1 C
Carnelimus Sp.	1 1	0	21	rt
Carpelimus and Carpelians	<u>،</u>	ñ	21	10
carherrung ph.	1	U	лт	u

Anotylus nitidulus (Gravenhorst) Oxytelus sculptus Gravenhorst Stenus sp. A Stenus sp. B Rugilus sp. Leptacinus sp. Gyrohypnus fracticornis (Muller) Xantholinus sp. Quedius sp. Staphylininae sp. A Staphylininae sp. B Tachyporus sp. Tachinus signatus Gravenhorst Aleocharinae sp. A Aleocharinae sp. A Aleocharinae sp. F Aleocharinae sp. 7X Pselaphidae sp. Aphodius sp. A Ptinus sp. Meligethes sp. Monotoma sp. Enicmus sp. Anthicus formicarius (Goeze) Donaciinae sp. Chrysomelinae sp. B Apion sp. Notaris acridulus (Linnaeus) Ceutorhynchus sp. Gurculionidae sp. Site: LELA Context: 530; Sample: 7/T NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS			31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 3	rruurrruuuuuuuuuororrroooooooooooooooo	d rf p wpp pp p p p
Erosion = 3 Fragmentation = 2; Weight = 1.000kg	шати	Scar	-1963	103	
Number of individuals estimated as Number of taxa Index of diversity (alpha) Standard error of alpha Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individu Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individu Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individu Diversity index for OB not calculated, NOB = SOB Number of aquatic taxa Percentage of aquatic taxa Number of aquatic individuals Percentage of aquatic individuals	se uals duals or No	alph alph SC %SC %NC %SC %SC NC %NC DB < ? %NC %NC %NC %NC %NC %NC %NC %NC %NC %NC	N = S $S = 1a$ $S = 1a$ $S = 0a$ $S = 0a$ $S = 20$ $S = 20$ $S = 20$ $S = 20$ $S = 100$ $S =$	1	42 72 58 14 19 14 10 20 28 20 14 2 3 2 1

•

Number of damp ground/waterside taxa	SD	=	. 2
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD	*	3
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	. ND		3
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals	%ND	=	2
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	SP	=	8
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	%SP	=	11
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals	NP	=	8
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals	s %NP	=	6
Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM	=	2
Number of heathland/moorland individuals	NM	=	2
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	%NM	=	1
Number of wood-associated taxa	SL	*****	1
Number of wood-associated individuals	NL	=	1
Percentage of wood-associated individuals	%NL	=	1
Number of decomposer taxa	SRT		39
Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT	=	54
Number of decomposer individuals	NRT	=	85
Percentage of decomposer individuals	%NRT		60
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD	<u></u>	8
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	%SRD	=	11
Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NRD	=	14
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals	%NRD	=	10
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF	=	7
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	%SRF	=	10
Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NRF		9
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	%NRF	=	6
Index of diversity of decomposer component	alpha RT	—	28
Standard error SE	alpha RT		5
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG	=	12
Percentage of individuals of grain pests	%NG	Ξ	8
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG	=	12
Number of uncoded taxa	SU	=	13
Percentage of uncoded individuals	PNU	_	20

Site: LELA Context: 539 Sample: 8/T - species list in rank order

Taxon	Number	%	Rank	Ecodes
Carpelimus bilineatus Stephens	9		61	rt
Oryzaephilus surinamensis (Linnaeus)	9		61	g
Cercyon analis (Paykull)	8		63	rt
Aleocharinae sp. A	8		63	u
Oxytelus sculptus Gravenhorst	6		4 5	rt
Gyrohypnus fracticornis (Muller)	5		46	rt
Philonthus sp.	4		37	u
Cordalia obscura (Gravenhorst)	4		37	rt
Cryptophagus sp.	4		37	rd
Cercyon atricapillus (Marsham)	3		2 10	rf
Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	3		2 10	rt
Carpelimus pusillus group	3		2 10	u
Rugilus orbiculatus (Paykull)	3		2 10	rt
Lathridius minutus group	3		2 10	rd
Cercyon sp. A	2		1 15	u
Ptenidium sp.	2		1 15	rt
Acrotrichis sp. A	2		1 15	rt
Anotylus nitidulus (Gravenhorst)	2		1 15	rt d

rt Gyrohypnus angustatus Stephens Neobisnius sp. u u Quedius boops group rt Monotoma sp. Ephistemus globulus (Paykull) rđ Corticaria sp. A rtrtCorticaria sp. B Palorus ratzeburgi (Wissman) q Stygnocoris pedestris (Fallen) oa p Lyctocoris campestris (Fabricius) rd oapm Ulopa reticulata (Fabricius) Conomelus anceps (Germar) oa p Auchenorhyncha sp. oa p Dyschirius ?globosus (Herbst) oa Trechus obtusus or quadristriatus oa Bradycellus ruficollis (Stephens) oa m ob Carabidae sp. A ob Carabidae sp. B Hydroporinae sp. oa w oa w Helophorus sp. rf Cercyon unipunctatus (Linnaeus) Cercyon sp. B u rt Acrotrichis sp. B Omalium ?rivulare (Paykull) rt rt Xylodromus sp. Platystethus arenarius (Fourcroy) rf rt Anotylus rugosus (Fabricius) Anotylus tetracarinatus (Block) rtStenus sp. u Lithocharis sp. rt Othius sp. rt rt Leptacinus sp. Xantholinus sp. u Aleocharinae sp. B Aleocharinae sp. C Aleocharinae sp. D u Pselaphidae sp. u Aphodius porcus (Fabricius) ob rf Aphodius sp. A ob rf Aphodius sp. B ob rf Aphodius sp. C ob rf oa p Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus) oa d Dryops sp. Anobium punctatum (Degeer) Ptinus fur (Linnaeus) rd Ptinus sp. rd Omosita discoidea (Fabricius) rtAtomaria sp. rd Corticaria sp. C rt Typhaea stercorea (Linnaeus) rd Halticinae sp. oa p Apion sp. oa p Hypera nigrirostris (Fabricius) oa p Sitophilus granarius (Linnaeus) g

Site: LELA Context: 538 Sample: 9/T - beetle/bug main statistics

Erosion = 2 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg

1

1.15

Number of individuals estimated as Number of taxa		N S	=	138 77
Index of diversity (alpha)		alpha	<u>t</u>	72
Standard error of alpha	SE	alnha	=	11
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	00	SOA	=	26
Percentage of (certain) outdoor taxa		8002	_	34
Number of (certain) outdoor individuals		NOX	_	27
Demonstrate of /gentain/ outdoor individuals		SNOX	_	ມ
Number of /gortain/ and probable outdoor tava		SNOA COD	_	2.J 21
Number of certain and probable outdoor taxa			_	3 I 4 O
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor ta	xa 3	& SOB		40
Number of 'Certain' and probable outdoor individ	auais	NOB	=	42
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor indivi	lauals	%NOB	=	30
Index of diversity of outdoor component	alp	pha OB	Ξ	53
Standard error	SE alp	pha OB	=	18
Number of aquatic taxa		SW	=	5
Percentage of aquatic taxa		%SW	=	6
Number of aquatic individuals		NW	=	7
Percentage of aquatic individuals		%NW	=	5
Number of damp ground/waterside taxa		SD	=	2
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa		%SD	=	3
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals		ND	=	5
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals		%ND	=	4
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa		SP	<u></u>	17
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa		%SP	_	22
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals		NP	=	21
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individu	uals	%NP	=	15
Number of heathland/moorland taxa		SM	=	0
Number of heathland/moorland individuals		NM	Ξ	0
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals		%NM	-	0
Number of wood-associated taxa		\mathbf{SL}	=	1
Number of wood-associated individuals		NT.		1
Percentage of wood-associated individuals		%NT.	_	1
Number of decomposer taxa		SRT	_	31
Percentage of decomposer taxa		%SRT		40
Number of decomposer individuals		NRT	Ē	73
Percentage of decomposer individuals		2NRT	=	53
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa		SPD	=	55
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa		2000 200	-	6
Number of /dry/ decomposer individuals			_	13
Dercentage of /dry/decomposer individuals		9NDD	_	T.2
Number of [foul] decomposer taxa		ONKD CDT	_	9 A
Dergentage of (foul/ degemposer taxa		SUD SODE	_	4 5
Number of (foul/ dogomposor individuals		TAG6 TAG6		0 f
Dergentage of (foul/ degemposer individuals		NKT SNDE	_	10
Index of divergity of decomposer normanent	-1-			21
Standard arran	CE al	ha RT		21
Stalluaru eritti Number of individuala of grain negta	SE al	JIA RT	_	4
Number of individuals of grain pests		NG		то 10
Number of individuals of grain pests		8NG	=	1
Number of individuals of grain pests		NG	=	10
Number of uncoded taxa		SU	=	14
rercentage of uncoded individuals		PNU	-	14

Site: LELA Context: 538 Sample: 9/T - species list in rank order

Taxon	Number	010	Rank	Ecodes
Corticaria sp. A	10		7 1	rt
Anotylus tetracarinatus (Block)	8		62	rt
Cryptophagus sp.	8		62	rd
Oryzaephilus surinamensis (Linnaeus)	6		4 4	g
Aphodius sp. B	5		4 5	ob rf
Longitarsus sp.	5		4 5	oa p
Anotylus nitidulus (Gravenhorst)	4		37	rt d
Cryptolestes ferrugineus (Stephens)	4		37	g
Cercyon analis (Paykull)	3		29	rt
Cercyon sp.	3		29	u
Helophorus sp. A	2		1 11	oa w
Helophorus sp. B	2		1 11	oa w
Ptenidium sp.	2		1 11	rt
Carpelimus ?bilineatus Stephens	2		1 11	rt
Carpelimus pusillus group	2		1 11	u
Platystethus arenarius (Fourcroy)	2		1 11	rf
Oxytelus sculptus Gravenhorst	2		1 11	rt
Othius sp.	2		1 11	rt
Gyrohypnus angustatus Stephens	2		1 11	rt
Xantholinus gallicus or linearis	2		1 11	rt
Neobisnius sp.	2		1 11	u
Aleocharinae sp. A	2		1. 11	u
Aphodius sp. A	2		1 11	ob rf
Enicmus sp.	2		1 11	rt
Typhaea stercorea (Linnaeus)	2		1 11	rd
Lygaeidae sp.	1		1 26	oa p
?Berytinus sp.	1		1 26	oap
Auchenorhyncha sp. A	1		1 26	oa p
Auchenorhyncha sp. B	1		1 26	oap
Dyschirius ?globosus (Herbst)	1		1 26	oa
Bembidion (Philochthus) sp.	1		1 26	oa
Pterostichus sp. A	1		1 26	ob
Pterostichus sp. B	1		1 26	ob
Carabidae sp.	1		1 26	ob
Hydroporinae sp.	1		1 26	oa w
Helophorus sp.	1		1 26	oa w
Cercyon melanocephalus (Linnaeus)	1		1 26	rt
Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	1		1 26	rt
Cryptopleurum minutum (Fabricius)	1		1 26	rf
Hydrophilinae sp.	1		1 26	oa w
Onthophilus striatus (Forster)	1		1 26	rt
Omalium sp.	1		1 26	rt
Omaliinae sp.	1		1 26	u
Platystethus ?nitens (Sahlberg)	1		1 26	oa d
Anotylus rugosus (Fabricius)	1		1 26	rt
Anotylus sculpturatus group	1		1 26	rt
Stenus sp.	1		1 26	u
Philonthus sp. A	1		1 26	u
Philonthus or Gabrius sp.	1		1 26	u
Staphylininae sp.	1		1 26	u
Tachyporus sp. A	1		1 26	u
Tachyporus sp. B	1		1 26	u

Tachinus laticollis or marginellus 26 1 1 u Tachinus ?signatus Gravenhorst 1 1 26 u Falagria or Cordalia sp. 1 1 26 \mathbf{rt} 1 1 26 Aleocharinae sp. B u Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus) 1 1 26 oa p 1 1 26 Anobiidae sp. 1 1 1 26 rd Ptinus sp. 1 1 26 oa p Meligethes sp. Atomaria sp. 1 1 26 rd Orthoperus sp. 1 1 26 rt Lathridius minutus group 1 26 1 rd 1 1 26 Corticaria sp. B rt1 1 26 Corticarina sp. rt Tenebrio obscurus Fabricius 1 1 26 \mathbf{rt} 1 Gastrophysa viridula (Degeer) 1 26 oa p 1 Chrysomelinae sp. 1 26 oa p Phyllotreta sp. 1 1 26 oa p 1 1 26 Chaetocnema concinna (Marsham) oa p 1 1 26 oa p ?Chaetocnema sp. Apion (Oxystoma) subulatum Kirby 1 1 26 oa p oa p Apion sp. A 1 1 26 Apion sp. B 1 1 26 oa p 1 Dorytomus sp. 1 26 oa p oa p Ceuthorhynchinae sp. 1 1 26 Curculionidae sp. 1 1 26 oa Site: LELA Context: 546 Sample: 11/T - beetle/bug main statistics Erosion = 2 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg Number of individuals estimated as N = 112 Number of taxa S = 45 Index of diversity (alpha) alpha = 28 Standard error of alpha SE alpha = 4 Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa SOA =17 Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa SOA =38 Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals NOA =29 Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals NOA =26 Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa SOB =19 Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa %SOB = 42 Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals NOB =34 Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals %NOB = 30 Index of diversity of outdoor component alpha OB = 18 Standard error SE alpha OB =6 Number of aquatic taxa SW =1 Percentage of aquatic taxa 8SW = 2 Number of aquatic individuals 1 NW =Percentage of aquatic individuals %NW = 1 Number of damp ground/waterside taxa SD =2 Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa %SD = 4 Number of damp ground/waterside individuals 2 ND =Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals %ND = 2 Number of strongly plant-associated taxa SP =14 Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa %SP = 31 Number of strongly plant-associated individuals 26 NP =Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals %NP = 23

Number of heathland/moorland taxa		S	М	_	0
Number of heathland/moorland individuals		N	М	<u> </u>	0
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals		%N	М	=	0
Number of wood-associated taxa		S	L	=	0
Number of wood-associated individuals		N	L	<u> </u>	0
Percentage of wood-associated individuals		%N	Ľ	=	0
Number of decomposer taxa		SR	Г	=	15
Percentage of decomposer taxa		%SR	Г	=	33
Number of decomposer individuals		NR	Г	=	68
Percentage of decomposer individuals		%NR	г	=	61
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa		SR	D	=	3
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa		%SR	D	=	7
Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals		NR	D	=	14
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals		%NR	D	=	13
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa		SR	F	=	4
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa		%SR	F	-	9
Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals		NR	F	<u> </u>	7
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals		%NR	F	=	6
Index of diversity of decomposer component		alpha R	Г	=	6
Standard error	SE	alpha R	ľ	<u></u>	1
Number of individuals of grain pests		N	G	=	4
Percentage of individuals of grain pests		8N)	G	=	4
Number of individuals of grain pests		N	3		4
Number of uncoded taxa		S	IJ	=	10
Percentage of uncoded individuals		PN	J	=	10
Site. LELA Context. 546 Sample. 11/T - species	list	in ran	r	or	der
Site. IEEA CONCERC. 340 Dampie. 11/1 Species	1150	- 111 1 0411	2		ucr
Taxon Nu	mber	% Ran	K	F	codes
Corticaria sp.	40	36	1		rt
Longitarsus sp.	9	8	2		oa p
Typhaea stercorea (Linnaeus)	7	6	3		rd
Cryptophagus sp.	6	5	4		rd

s,

•

cryptophagus sp.	0	9	4	La
Aphodius prodromus (Brahm)	4	4	5	ob rf
Apion sp. A	3	3	6	oa p
Aleocharinae sp. A	2	2	7	u
Oryzaephilus surinamensis (Linnaeus)	2	2	7	g
Phyllotreta nemorum group	2	2	7	oa p
Sitona regensteinensis (Herbst)	2	2	7	oa p
Stygnocoris pedestris (Fallen)	1	1	11	oa p
Heteroptera sp.	1	1	11	u
Auchenorhyncha sp. A	1	1	11	oa p
Auchenorhyncha sp. B	1	1	11	oa p
Carabidae sp.	1	1	11	ob
Helophorus sp.	1	1	11	oa w
Cercyon ?analis (Paykull)	1	1	11	rt
Cercyon atricapillus (Marsham)	1	1	11	rf
Cercyon haemorrhoidalis (Fabricius)	1	1	11	rf
Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	1	1	11	rt
Histerinae sp.	1	1	11	u
Acrotrichis sp.	1	1	11	rt
Olophrum sp.	1	1	11	oa
Anotylus nitidulus (Gravenhorst)	1	1	11	rt d
Anotylus tetracarinatus (Block)	1	1	11	rt
Stenus sp. A	1	1	11	u

<pre>Stenus sp. B Othius sp. Xantholinus gallicus or linearis Tachyporus sp. A Tachyporus sp. B Aleocharinae sp. B Aleocharinae sp. C Aleocharinae sp. C Aleocharinae sp. D Aphodius contaminatus (Herbst) Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus) Cryptolestes ferrugineus (Stephens) Atomaria sp. Apion sp. B Sitona sp. Sitophilus granarius (Linnaeus) Dorytomus sp. Ceutorhynchus sp. Rhinoncus pericarpius (Linnaeus) Limnobaris pilistriata (Stephens)</pre>		$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	urt uuuuooa grdooa ooa ooa ooa	rf p pp pp d
Site: LELA Context: 564 Sample: 12/T - beetle/b	ug mai	n stat	ist	ics	5
Erosion = 0 Fragmentation = 0; Weight = 1.000kg					
Number of individuals estimated as Number of taxa		N S	11		12 11
Index of diversity not calculated, n = s or n < Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	20	SOA	=		0
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa		%SOA	=		0
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals		NOA	Ŧ		0
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals		%NOA	=		0
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa		SOB	=		2
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor tax	ka	%SOB	=		18
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individ	duals	NOB	=		2
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor indiv	iduals	%NOB	=		17
Diversity index for OB not calculated, NOB = SO	B or N	OB < 2	0		
Number of aquatic taxa		SW	=		0
Percentage of aquatic taxa		%SW	=		0
Number of aquatic individuals		NW	=		0
Percentage of aquatic individuals		%NW	=		0
Number of damp ground/waterside taxa		SD	=		0
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa		%SD	\equiv		0
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals		ND	=		0
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals		%ND	=		0
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa		SP	=		0
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa		%SP	=		0
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals		NP	=		0
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individu	als	%NP	=		0
Number of heathland/moorland taxa		SM	=		0
Number of heathland/moorland individuals		NM	Ξ		0
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals		%NM	=		0
Number of wood-associated taxa		SL	=		1
Number of wood-associated individuals		NL	=		1
Percentage of wood-associated individuals		%NL	=		8
Number of decomposer taxa		SRT	=		2
rercentage of decomposer taxa		∛SRT	=		T 8

2 NRT =Number of decomposer individuals 17 NRT =Percentage of decomposer individuals 2 SRD =Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa 18 %SRD = Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa 2 NRD =Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals 17 %NRD = Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals 0 SRF =Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa 0 %SRF = Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa 0 Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals NRF =%NRF = 0 Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals Diversity index for RT not calculated, NRT = SRT or NRT < 20 NG =4 Number of individuals of grain pests 33 NG =Percentage of individuals of grain pests 4 NG =Number of individuals of grain pests 3 Number of uncoded taxa SU = PNU =25 Percentage of uncoded individuals Site: LELA Context: 564 Sample: 12/T - species list in rank order Number % Rank Ecodes Taxon 2 17 1 g Cryptolestes ferrugineus (Stephens) 2 do 1 8 Carabidae sp. 1 8 2 11 Aleocharinae sp. 2 8 ob 1 Elateridae sp. 2 1 8 rd Ptinus sp. 2 1 8 g Oryzaephilus surinamensis (Linnaeus) 2 8 rd 1 Cryptophagus sp. 2 1 8 q Palorus ratzeburgi (Wissman) 8 2 1 u Cossoninae sp. 2 8 1 1 Scolytus sp. 2 1 8 u Coleoptera sp. Site: LELA Context: 550 Sample: 13/T - beetle/bug main statistics Erosion = 3 Fragmentation = 4; Weight = 1.000kg N = 68 Number of individuals estimated as S = 57 Number of taxa alpha = 164 Index of diversity (alpha) SE alpha = 53 Standard error of alpha SOA =16 Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa 28 Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa SOA =Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals NOA =17 25 NOA =Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals SOB =21 Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa 37 Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa %SOB = NOB =23 Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals %NOB = 34 alpha OB = 111 Index of diversity of outdoor component SE alpha OB =76 Standard error SW =3 Number of aquatic taxa %SW = 5 Percentage of aquatic taxa NW =4 Number of aquatic individuals %NW = 6 Percentage of aquatic individuals SD =1 Number of damp ground/waterside taxa

Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD	=	2
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	ND	=	1
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals	%ND	=	1
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	SP	=	9
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	%SP		16
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals	NP		9
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals	%NP	=	13
Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM	<u></u>	0
Number of heathland/moorland individuals	NM	=	0
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	%nm	=	0
Number of wood-associated taxa	SL	=	0
Number of wood-associated individuals	NL	=	0
Percentage of wood-associated individuals	%NL	=	0
Number of decomposer taxa	SRT	=	21
Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT	=	37
Number of decomposer individuals	NRT	=	29
Percentage of decomposer individuals	%NRT	=	43
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD	=	4
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	%SRD	=	7
Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NRD	=	7
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals	%NRD	=	10
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF	=	4
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	%SRF	=	7
Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NRF	_	6
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	%NRF	=	9
Index of diversity of decomposer component	alpha RT	=	35
Standard error SE	alpha RT	=	14
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG	=	4
Percentage of individuals of grain pests	%NG	=	6
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG	-	4
Number of uncoded taxa	SU	=	14
Percentage of uncoded individuals	PNU	<u></u>	22

, · •

3.

Site: LELA Context: 550 Sample: 13/T - species list in rank order

Taxon	Number	%	Rank	Ecodes
Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	3		4 1	rt
Helophorus sp. A	2		32	oa w
Platystethus arenarius (Fourcroy)	2		32	rf
Stenus sp. B	2		3 2	u
Cordalia obscura (Gravenhorst)	2		3 2	rt
Aphodius ?prodromus (Brahm)	2		32	ob rf
Ptinus ?fur (Linnaeus)	2		3 2	rd
Oryzaephilus surinamensis (Linnaeus)	2		32	q
Cryptophagus sp.	2		32	rd
Atomaria sp.	2		3 2	rd
Heteroptera sp.	1		1 11	u
Auchenorhyncha sp.	1		1 11	oa p
Clivina ?fossor (Linnaeus)	1		1 11	oa
Bembidion lampros or properans	1		1 11	oa
Bembidion (Philochthus) sp.	1		1 11	oa
Pterostichus sp.	1		1 11	ob
Carabidae sp. A	1		1 11	ob
Carabidae sp. B	1		1 11	ob

<pre>Helophorus sp. B Cercyon analis (Paykull) Cercyon ?haemorrhoidalis (Fabricius) Onthophilus striatus (Forster) Ochthebius sp. Omalium sp. Carpelimus ?bilineatus Stephens Carpelimus ?bilineatus Stephens Carpelimus ?bilineatus (Gravenhorst) Anotylus rugosus (Fabricius) Anotylus rugosus (Fabricius) Anotylus tetracarinatus (Block) Stenus sp. A Lathrobium sp. Gyrohypnus angustatus Stephens Xantholinus sp. ?Philonthus sp. ?Philonthus sp. ?Philonthus sp. Aleocharinae sp. A Aleocharinae sp. A Aleocharinae sp. B Aleocharinae sp. C Aleocharinae sp. C Aleocharinae sp. D Aphodius sp. Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus) Brachypterus sp. Meligethes sp. Monotoma sp. Cryptolestes ferrugineus (Stephens) Ephistemus globulus (Paykull) Enicmus sp. Corticaria sp. Gastrophysa viridula (Degeer) Chrysomelinae sp. Apion sp. Sitophilus granarius (Linnaeus) Dorytomus sp. Curculionidae sp. Site: LELA Context: 553; Sample: 14/T NO RECORDS OF BEETLES OR BUGS Site: LELA Context: 570 Sample: 15/T - beetle/F</pre>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	ortfrorrurruuruuuuuuuuuuuoooorgrrroooogooo tics	w w d rfppp pppp p
Erosion = 3 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000 kg	3				
Number of individuals estimated as			N =		g
Number of taxa			N S -=		° 7
Site: LELA Context: 570 Sample: 15/T - species	list	in ra	nk o	rder	
Taxon Nur	nber	% Ra	nk	Ecod	les
Lathridius minutus group	2	25	1	rd	

1 13 u 2 Stenus sp. 1 13 2 u Staphylininae sp. 2 1 13 Aleocharinae sp. u 1 13 2 ob rf Aphodius sp. Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus) 13 2 1 oa p Sitophilus granarius (Linnaeus) 1 13 2 g Site: LELA Context: 578 Sample: 16/T - beetle/bug main statistics Erosion = 0 Fragmentation = 0; Weight = 1.000kg Number of individuals estimated as N =19 Number of taxa S =17 Index of diversity not calculated, n = s or n < 20Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa 5 SOA =Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa SOA =29 Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals NOA =5 Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals %NOA = 26 Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa 8 SOB = Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals %SOB = 47 NOB =10 Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals NOB =53 Diversity index for OB not calculated, NOB = SOB or NOB < 20 Number of aquatic taxa SW =1 Percentage of aquatic taxa %SW = 6 Number of aquatic individuals NW =1 Percentage of aquatic individuals %NW = 5 Number of damp ground/waterside taxa SD =0 Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa %SD = 0 Number of damp ground/waterside individuals ND =0 Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals ND =0 Number of strongly plant-associated taxa SP =3 Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa %SP = 18 Number of strongly plant-associated individuals NP =3 Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals %NP = 16 Number of heathland/moorland taxa SM =0 Number of heathland/moorland individuals 0 NM =Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals %NM = 0 Number of wood-associated taxa SL =0 Number of wood-associated individuals NL =0 Percentage of wood-associated individuals %NL = 0 SRT =Number of decomposer taxa 8 Percentage of decomposer taxa SRT =47 Number of decomposer individuals NRT =10 Percentage of decomposer individuals 53 %NRT = Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa SRD =1 Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa %SRD = 6 Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals NRD =1 Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals %NRD = 5 Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa 3 SRF =Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa 18 SRF =Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals 5 NRF =Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals NRF =26 Diversity index for RT not calculated, NRT = SRT or NRT < 20Number of individuals of grain pests NG =1 Percentage of individuals of grain pests %NG = 5

Number of individuals of grain pests	NG =	1
Number of uncoded taxa	SU =	3
Percentage of uncoded individuals	PNU =	16

Site: LELA Context: 578 Sample: 16/T - species list in rank order

Taxon	Number	0/0	Rank	Eco	des
Aphodius sp. A	3	16	1	ob	rf
Amara sp.	1	5	2	oa	
Helophorus sp.	1	5	2	oa	W
Cercyon sp.	1	5	2	u	
Xylodromus ?concinnus (Marsham)	1	5	2	rt	
Carpelimus bilineatus Stephens	1	5	2	rt	
Anotylus rugosus (Fabricius)	1	5	2	rt	
Tachinus laticollis or marginellus	1	5	2	u	
Aleocharinae sp.	1	5	2	u	
Aphodius sp.	1	5	2	ob	rf
Aphodius sp. B	1	5	2	ob	rf
?Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus)	1	5	2	oa	р
Cryptolestes ferrugineus (Stephens)	1	5	2	g	-
Cryptophagus sp.	1	5	2	$\bar{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{d}$	
Enicmus sp.	1	5	2	rt	
Chaetocnema arida group	1	5	2	oa	р
Hypera sp.	1	5	2	oa	p

Site: LELA Context: 599 Sample: 17/T - beetle/bug main statistics

Erosion = 3 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg

Number of individuals estimated as	N	=	30
Number of taxa	S	=	28
Index of diversity (alpha)	alpha		195
Standard error of alpha SE	alpha	=	133
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	SOA		8
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	%SOA		29
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals	NOA		9
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals	%NOA	=	30
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB	=	8
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	%SOB	=	29
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	NOB	-	9
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	%NOB	=	30
Diversity index for OB not calculated, NOB = SOB or NO	B < 20)	
Number of aquatic taxa	S₩	=	4
Percentage of aquatic taxa	%SW	\equiv	14
Number of aquatic individuals	NW		5
Percentage of aquatic individuals	%NW	=	17
Number of damp ground/waterside taxa	SD	=	1
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD	===	4
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	ND	=	1
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals	%ND	=	3
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	\mathbf{SP}	=	4
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	%SP	=	14
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals	NP		4
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals	%NP	_	13
Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM	=	0

Number of heathland/moorland individuals	NM	= 0
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	%NM	= 0
Number of wood-associated taxa	SL	= 0
Number of wood-associated individuals	NL	= 0
Percentage of wood-associated individuals	%NL	= 0
Number of decomposer taxa	SRT	= 13
Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT	= 46
Number of decomposer individuals	NRT	= 14
Percentage of decomposer individuals	%NRT	= 47
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD	= 3
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	%SRD	= 11
Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NRD	= 3
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals	%NRD	= 10
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF	= 1
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	%SRF	= 4
Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NRF	- 1
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	%NRF	= 3
Diversity index for RT not calculated, NRT = SRT	or NRT < 20	
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG	= 1
Percentage of individuals of grain pests	%NG	= 3
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG	- 1
Number of uncoded taxa	SU	= 6
Percentage of uncoded individuals	PNU	= 20

Site: LELA Context: 599 Sample: 17/T - species list in rank order

Taxon	Number	%	Rank	Ec	odes
Helophorus sp. B	2		7	1 0	a w
Anotylus tetracarinatus (Block)	2		7	l r	t
Auchenorhyncha sp. A	1		3	3 о	ар
Auchenorhyncha sp. B	1		3 3	3 о	ар
Helophorus ?grandis Illiger	1		3 3	3 о	a w
Helophorus sp. A	1		3 3	3 о	a₩
Cercyon analis (Paykull)	1		3 3	3 r	t
Megasternum obscurum (Marsham)	1		3 3	3 r	t
Ochthebius sp.	1		3	3 о	a w
Omalium ?rivulare (Paykull)	1		3 3	3 r	t
Xylodromus concinnus (Marsham)	1		3 3	3 r	t
Carpelimus pusillus group	1		3 3	3 u	
Platystethus arenarius (Fourcroy)	1		3 :	3 r	f
Anotylus nitidulus (Gravenhorst)	1		3 3	3 r	t d
Staphylininae sp.	1		3 3	3 u	
Tachinus sp.	1		3 3	3 u	
Falagria sp.	1		3 3	3 r	t
Aleocharinae sp. A	1		3 3	3 u	
Aleocharinae sp. B	1		3 3	3 u	
Ptinus sp.	1		3 3	3 r	d
Atomaria sp.	1		3 3	3 r	d
Stephostethus lardarius (Degeer)	1		3 3	3 r	t
Lathridius minutus group	1		3 3	3 r	d
Corticaria sp.	1		3 3	3 r	t
?Palorus ratzeburgi (Wissman)	1		3 3	3 g	
Chrysomelinae sp.	1		3 3	3 O	ар
Ceutorhynchus sp.	1		3 3	3 о	ар
Coleoptera sp.	1		3 3	3 u	

Site: LELA Context: 607 Sample: 18/T - beetle/bug main statistics

`

Erosion = 4 Fragmentation = 4; Weight = 1.000kg

2 2 2

Number of individuals estimated as	N	= 22
Number of taxa	S	= 20
Index of diversity (alpha)	alpha	= 101
Standard error of alpha	SE alpha	= 70
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	SOA	= 4
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	%SOA	= 20
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals	NOA	= 4
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals	%NOA	= 18
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB	= 7
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	%SOB	= 35
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	s NOB	= 8
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individual	ls %NOB	= 36
Diversity index for OB not calculated, NOB = SOB or	NOB < 20)
Number of aquatic taxa	SW	= 1
Percentage of aquatic taxa	%SW	= 5
Number of aquatic individuals	NW	= 1
Percentage of aquatic individuals	%NW	= 5
Number of damp ground/waterside taxa	SD	= 1
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD	= 5
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	ND	= 1
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals	%ND	= 5
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	SP	= 2
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	%SP	= 10
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals	NP	- 2
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals	%NP	= 9
Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM	= 0
Number of heathland/moorland individuals	NM	= 0
Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	8nm	= 0
Number of wood-associated taxa	\mathbf{SL}	= 1
Number of wood-associated individuals	NL	= 1
Percentage of wood-associated individuals	8NL	= 5
Number of decomposer taxa	SRT	= 8
Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT	= 40
Number of decomposer individuals	NRT	= 9
Percentage of decomposer individuals	%NRT	= 41
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD	= 1
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	%SRD	= 5
Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NRD	= 1
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals	%NRD	= 5
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF	= 2
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	%SRF	= 10
Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NRF	= 3
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	%NRF	= 14
Diversity index for RT not calculated, NRT = SRT or	NRT < 20	
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG	= 4
Percentage of individuals of grain pests	%NG	= 18
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG	= 4
Number of uncoded taxa	SU	= 3
Percentage of uncoded individuals	PNU	= 14

Site: LELA Context: 607 Sample: 18/T - species list in rank order

Taxon	Number	% Rank		Ecodes	
Aphodius sp. B	2	ç) 1	ob rf	
Cryptolestes ferrugineus (Stephens)	2	ç) 1	g	
Auchenorhyncha sp.	1	5	i 3	oa p	
Trechus obtusus or quadristriatus	1	5	i 3	oa	
Carabidae sp.	1	Ę	i 3	ob	
Helophorus sp.	1	· 5	i 3	oa w	
Acritus nigricornis (Hoffmann)	1	5	i 3	rt	
Carpelimus ?bilineatus Stephens	1	5	i 3	rt	
Anotylus nitidulus (Gravenhorst)	1	5	i 3	rt d	
Neobisnius sp.	1	5	i 3	u	
Aphodius sp. A	1	5	i 3	ob rf	
Oryzaephilus surinamensis (Linnaeus)	1	5	5 3	g	
Lathridius minutus group	1	5	i 3	rd	
Corticaria sp.	1	5	i 3	rt	
Corticarina or Cortinicara sp.	1	5	, 3	rt	
?Palorus ratzeburgi (Wissman)	1	5	i 3	g	
Halticinae sp.	1	5	i 3	ōa p	
Scolytus sp.	1	Ę	i 3	1	
Coleoptera sp.	1	5	i 3	u	
Coleoptera sp. B	1	5	; 3	u	

Site: LELA Context: 637 Sample: 19/T - beetle/bug main statistics

Erosion = 3 Fragmentation = 3; Weight = 1.000kg

Number of individuals estimated as	N	= 3
Number of taxa	S	= 19
Index of diversity (alpha)	alpha	= 23
Standard error of alpha SE	alpha	- '
Number of 'certain' outdoor taxa	SOA	- '
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor taxa	%SOA	= 31
Number of 'certain' outdoor individuals	NOA	- :
Percentage of 'certain' outdoor individuals	%NOA	= 20
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	SOB	= 10
Percentage of 'certain' and probable outdoor taxa	%SOB	= 5
Number of 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	NOB	= 1
Percentage 'certain' and probable outdoor individuals	%NOB	= 3
Diversity index for OB not calculated, NOB = SOB or NO	OB < 20)
Number of aquatic taxa	SW	= :
Percentage of aquatic taxa	%SW	= 10
Number of aquatic individuals	NW	= .
Percentage of aquatic individuals	%NW	= 1:
Number of damp ground/waterside taxa	SD	
Percentage of damp ground/waterside taxa	%SD	- !
Number of damp ground/waterside individuals	ND	= 10
Percentage of damp ground/waterside individuals	%ND	= 3;
Number of strongly plant-associated taxa	SP	=
Percentage of strongly plant-associated taxa	%SP	= 2
Number of strongly plant-associated individuals	NP	= ,
Percentage of strongly plant-associated individuals	%NP	= 1:
Number of heathland/moorland taxa	SM	=
Number of heathland/moorland individuals	NM	- (

Percentage of heathland/moorland individuals	%NM =	= 0
Number of wood-associated taxa	SL =	= 0
Number of wood-associated individuals	NL =	= 0
Percentage of wood-associated individuals	%NL =	= 0
Number of decomposer taxa	SRT =	= 8
Percentage of decomposer taxa	%SRT =	= 42
Number of decomposer individuals	NRT =	= 18
Percentage of decomposer individuals	%NRT =	= 58
Number of 'dry' decomposer taxa	SRD =	- 1
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer taxa	%SRD =	= 5
Number of 'dry' decomposer individuals	NRD =	= 2
Percentage of 'dry'decomposer individuals	%NRD =	= 6
Number of 'foul' decomposer taxa	SRF =	= 3
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer taxa	%SRF =	= 16
Number of 'foul' decomposer individuals	NRF =	= 3
Percentage of 'foul' decomposer individuals	%NRF =	= 1.0
Diversity index for RT not calculated, NRT = SRT or	NRT < 20	
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG =	= 3
Percentage of individuals of grain pests	%NG =	= 10
Number of individuals of grain pests	NG =	= 3
Number of uncoded taxa	SU =	- 1
Percentage of uncoded individuals	PNU =	= 3

ali Nga s

.

Site: LELA Context: 637 Sample: 19/T - species list in rank order

Taxon	Number	% Rank		Ecodes	
Anotylus nitidulus (Gravenhorst)	10	32	. 1	rt	d
Helophorus sp.	2	6	5 2	oa	W
Cryptolestes ferrugineus (Stephens)	2	e	5 2	g	
Atomaria sp.	2	e	5 2	rd	
Auchenorhyncha sp.	1	3	5	oa	р
Carabidae sp.	1	3	5	ob	
Colymbetinae sp.	1	3	: 5	oa	W
Ochthebius sp.	1	3	: 5	oa	W
Platystethus arenarius (Fourcroy)	1	3	5	rf	
Gyrohypnus fracticornis (Muller)	1	3	5	rt	
Cordalia obscura (Gravenhorst)	1	3	5	rt	
Aleocharinae sp.	1	3	5	u	
Aphodius sp. A	1	3	; 5	ob	\mathbf{rf}
Aphodius sp. B	1	3	5	ob	rf
Phyllopertha horticola (Linnaeus)	1	3	5	oa	р
Corticarina or Cortinicara sp.	1	3	5	rt	
Phyllotreta sp.	1	3	5	oa	р
Chaetocnema ?concinna (Marsham)	1	3	5	oa	р
Sitophilus granarius (Linnaeus)	1	3	5 5	g	