Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report 42/98

## TREE-RING ANALYSIS OF TIMBERS FROM THE MAJOR AND MINOR BARNS AT ABBEY FARM, FAVERSHAM, KENT

R E Howard R R Laxton C D Litton

**لحق**ي

e la companya da companya d

Opinions expressed in AML reports are those of the author and are not necessarily those of English Heritage (Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England).

Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report 42/98

TREE-RING ANALYSIS OF TIMBERS FROM THE MAJOR AND MINOR BARNS AT ABBEY FARM, FAVERSHAM, KENT

R E Howard R R Laxton C D Litton

1

Å

Summary

Dendrochronological analysis was undertaken of samples from thirty-four oak timbers at the two barns, the major and minor barns, at Abbey Farm, Faversham, Kent. This resulted in the production of a single dated site chronology of 128 rings, spanning the period AD 1344 to AD 1471. Interpretation of the sapwood on the samples in this site chronology indicates that the dated timbers from the minor barn were felled c AD 1426, whilst those from the major barn were felled c AD 1475. Two further timbers from the major barn were also individually dated as being felled earlier than the others, thought there was no sign of reuse.

Authors' addresses :-

R E Howard UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM University Park Nottingham NG7 2RD

Dr R R Laxton UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM University Park Nottingham NG7 2RD

Dr C D Litton UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM University Park Nottingham NG7 2RD

© Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England

## TREE-RING ANALYSIS OF TIMBERS FROM THE MAJOR AND MINOR BARNS AT ABBEY FARM, FAVERSHAM, KENT

## **Introduction**

47

The Laboratory is grateful to Mr J. R. Harrison for the following description of the site.

'There has been little detailed investigation into the dating of the early timber-framed aisled barns of "Old Kent", ie what is described by Rigold as "the arable parts of primary settlement". Rigold's paper (Rigold 1966) remains the standard reference. Here he notes that Old Kent, East Kent, has "more truly great barns than the east Weald, Surrey and most, if not all, of Sussex put together.

This paper is the first step since Rigold's survey, where "relative rather than absolute dating" was offered towards conclusive dating of any of the buildings examined there. When eventually combined with a full building-analyst's report on the two buildings it will provide a yard-stick with which to consider the rest. The exercise was commenced, but not concluded, by Wade's students from the School of Architecture, Canterbury College of Art and Design (Blackwell *et al* 1988). It is complemented by a threatened buildings' emergency photographic record by the RCHME, taken in March 1998.

Abbey Farm, Faversham (TR 020617, see Figs 1 and 2), the site of the barns, was part of Faversham Abbey. This was built in AD 1148, and was first Cluniac and then Benedictine. The farmyard to which the barns relate abuts the site of the Abbey, of which nothing significant now remains above ground.

The farmstead, which is quite extensive (see Fig 3), is effectively derelict (April 1998). The barns stand at right-angles to each other, their corners close together, enclosing two sides of the yard. Both are fully timber-framed and are aisled. The minor barn has 5 full bays and one terminal (end) bay. The major barn is of 6 full bays with terminal bays at each end. Originally both barns will have been hip-roofed at each end, as the major barn still is. The minor barn was truncated, resulting in it having one hip and one gable, at some time after the late eighteenth century (information J Wade). Each barn has one wagon entry, both were built off flint and rubblestone bases, were probably tiled, and were externally boarded in the side walls. Cladding boards were originally wide, butt-jointed, horizontal, and flush-rebated into the sides of the main wall posts, these showing externally. Some boards of this pattern remain in places. Internally the principle of construction is that of full "passing shores" to the post heads with crown-posts/collar-purlin roofs above, and with passing shored axial posts in the centre of each end. These arrangements are common to many of the barns reviewed by Rigold.

Aside from its lost eastern section the minor barn, which is of one build, is, comparatively speaking, very complete. The structure of the major barn shows two main builds, the earlier, northern, build ending with the truss framing the south side of the wagon entry. This feature was confirmed by Mssrs Gravett and Lambert during a site visit in 1997. There is a very large nineteenth-century granary inserted at first-floor level within the barn's northern section. Views of the barn are given in Figures 4 and 5.

Some details of the structure of the northern section of the major barn are similar to those of the minor barn. In its southern section, by contrast, the detail is different, being less visually substantial and with wider bay spacings. External wall framing in the southern section is nineteenth-century in places. The roof structure here has been altered partially in recent times, in particular the original collar purlin system has been removed from the last bay.

Rigold placed the building period of what he calls "Class 1" barns (barns with passing shores and crown-post roofs) from "well before" AD 1300 to obsolescence after c AD 1500. He places the minor barn in the "Mature type" category which he seems to suggest might extend from AD 1350 to 1450. Those with "later characteristics" he provisionally assigned to the later fifteenth and early sixteenth centuries. He put the major barn into this second group. He did not note that it comprises two builds.

The D.O.E List description gives the date of the minor barn as c AD 1350 and the major barn as c AD 1500'.

Sampling and dating by tree-ring analysis was commissioned by English Heritage. The purpose of this was to inform a future repair programme and stimulate action on this building at risk.

## Sample analysis and dating

#### Stage 1

ę,

A total of thirty-four oak timbers was sampled by coring at the Major and Minor barns. The twenty-two samples obtained from the Major barn were given the code FAV-A (for Faversham, site "A"), and numbered 01 - 22. The positions of the cores were recorded at the time of sampling on the plans provided, which are reproduced here as Figure 6. The trusses of the Major barn have been numbered from north to south.

The twelve samples obtained from the Minor barn were given the code FAV-B (for Faversham, site "B"), and numbered 01 - 12. The positions of these cores were also recorded at the time of sampling and are shown on Figure 7. The trusses of the Minor barn have been numbered from east to west. The details of all the samples are given in Table 1.

Some timbers required two cores to be taken from them, either because of breaks in the sample or the necessity of taking two cores to obtain both inner and outermost rings. Thus the measurements of some samples contain different numbers of rings from A to B. This can be seen for example with samples FAV-A13 A/B or FAV-A20 A/B, where A is one core from a timber and B is another core from the same timber.

Of the thirty-four samples taken five were found to have less than forty rings and were thus quite unsuitable for tree-ring dating. These very short samples were not measured.

The growth-ring width of the remaining twenty-nine samples were all measured and compared with each other by the Litton/Zainodin grouping procedure (see Appendix). At a value of t=4.5 two groups of samples formed. The nine samples of the first group, six from the Major barn and three from the Minor barn, cross-match each other at the off-sets shown in Figure 8.

The ring-widths from these nine samples were combined at these relative offsets to form FAVASQ01, a site chronology of 126 rings. This was successfully cross-matched with a series of relevant reference chronologies for oak, giving a first ring date of AD 1346 and a last measured ring date of AD 1471. Evidence for this dating is given by the t-values of Table 2.

### Stage 2

Site chronology FAVASQ01 was then compared with the remaining twenty ungrouped samples. This indicated cross-matches with a further three samples, FAV-A09, B01, and B09, as shown in Figure 9, the t-value/offset matrix. Although one of these samples, FAV-C09, has only 47 rings, it is included. This is because of its high t-value match with FAVASQ01. Also, at this off-set, the relative position of its heartwood/sapwood boundary is consistent with that on the other samples in FAVASQ01. The positions of these three samples are shown at their indicated relative offsets in Figure 10. These twelve samples, the nine from site chronology FAVASQ01 and the additional three, were combined at these relative off-set positions to form FAVASQ05, a site chronology of 128 rings.

Site chronology FAVASQ05 was successfully cross-matched with a series of relevant reference chronologies for oak, giving a first ring date of AD 1344 and a last measured ring date of AD 1471. Evidence for this dating is given by the t-values of Table 3.

## Stage 3

Á.

Site chronology FAVASQ05 was then compared with the remaining seventeen ungrouped samples with the result that a further sample, FAV-A03, from the Major Barn, cross-matched with it. This cross-match occurs with a maximum value of t=3.6, when the first ring of the sample is at plus 46 years relative to the first ring of site chronology FAVASQ05, as shown in the t-value/off-set matrix of Figure 11. The position of the sample is shown in the bar diagram of Figure 12. The relative position of the heartwood/sapwood transition and the number of sapwood rings appear to be consistent with this sample being from a timber having the same felling date as the other timbers from the Major barn contained in this site chronology.

The thirteen samples were combined at these relative off-set positions to form FAVASQ07, a site chronology of 128 rings. Site chronology FAVASQ07 was successfully cross-matched with a series of relevant reference chronologies for oak, giving a first ring date of AD 1344 and a last measured ring date of AD 1471. Evidence for this dating is given by the t-values of Table 4.

Site chronology FAVASQ07 was then compared with the remaining sixteen ungrouped samples but there was no further satisfactory cross-matching.

## Stage 4

The two samples of the second group to form by the Litton/Zainodin grouping at a value of t=4.5, FAV-A13 and A14, cross-match with each other at the off-sets shown in Figure 13. The ring-widths from these two samples were combined at these relative offsets to form FAVASQ06, a site chronology of 81 rings. Site chronology FAVASQ06 was compared with a series of relevant reference chronologies for oak, but there was no satisfactory cross-matching.

## Stage 5

The two site chronologies thus formed, FAVASQ06 and FAVASQ07, were then compared with each other. This indicated a cross-match between them with a maximum value of t=4.2. This is found when the first ring of FAVASQ06 is at plus 32 rings relative to the first ring of site chronology FAVASQ07, as indicated in the t-value/off-set matrix of Figure 14. As shown in the bar diagram of Figure 15, the relative positions of the heartwood/sapwood boundaries on the two samples in site chronology FAVASQ06 are consistent with the timbers they represent being of the same felling phase as the others from the Major barn represented in this bar diagram.

The fifteen samples were combined at these relative off-set positions to form FAVASQ08, a site chronology of 128 rings. Site chronology FAVASQ08 was successfully cross-matched with a series of relevant reference chronologies for oak, giving a first ring date of AD 1344 and a last measured ring date of AD 1471. Evidence for this dating is given by the t-values of Table 5.

## Stage 6

4

Site chronology FAVASQ08 was then compared with the remaining fourteen ungrouped samples but there was no further satisfactory cross-matching.

Each of the remaining ungrouped samples with more than fifty-four rings, that is sufficient rings for satisfactory analysis, was compared separately with the full range of relevant reference chronologies. This indicated satisfactory cross-matching and dating for a further two samples only. The first sample, FAV-A20, has a first ring date of AD 1306 and a last ring date of AD 1400. The second sample, FAV-A21, has a first ring date of AD 1304 and a last ring date of AD 1387. Evidence for these dates is given in Tables 6 and 7. There is no satisfactory cross-matching between these two samples at their suggested relative off-sets, ie when the first ring of FAV-A20 is at plus 2 years relative to the first ring of FAV-A21.

## **Interpretation**

The bar diagram of the final site chronology, FAVASQ08, shows very clearly that there are two phases of construction represented by the dated samples. The earlier phase is represented by five samples from the Minor Barn, FAV-B01, B06, B07, B09, and B12. Three of these five dated samples come from timbers with complete sapwood, although small portions of the sapwood were lost in coring. A note was made of the amount of lost sapwood at the time of coring, and an assessment made of the approximate number of sapwood rings the loss might represent. On returning to the Laboratory a check was made as to the number of sapwood rings found in a similar portion of the sapwood remaining on the sample concerned. Then an estimate based on these two sources of information was made. On the sample from this group with the latest dated sapwood ring, AD 1423 on sample FAV-B07, the lost sapwood represents only two or three rings. This would strongly indicate that this timber was felled no later than AD 1426. The portions lost from the other timbers in this group with complete sapwood are entirely consistent with them having a felling date no later than AD 1426.

The later phase of felling indicated in site chronology FAVASQ08 is represented by ten samples from the Major barn, FAV-A01, A03, A04, A07, A09, A10, A11, A12, A13, and A14. Three of these ten dated samples also come from timbers with complete sapwood, although again small portions of the sapwood were lost in coring. On the sample from this group with the latest dated sapwood ring, AD 1471 on sample FAV-B01, the lost sapwood represents only four or five rings. This would strongly indicate that this timber was felled no later than AD 1475. The portions lost from the other timbers in this group with complete sapwood are entirely consistent with them also having a felling date no later than AD 1475.

Samples from two other timbers, FAV-A20 and A21, dated separately, give different felling dates. Sample FAV-A20 has a last heartwood/sapwood boundary date of AD 1381. The usual 95% confidence limit for sapwood on mature oaks from Kent is in the range 15 to 35 rings. Allowing for the fact that it has 19 sapwood rings, this would give the timber represented by this sample an estimated felling date in the range AD 1401 to AD 1416.

Sample FAV-A21 has a last heartwood/sapwood boundary date of AD 1387 and has no sapwood. Using the same confidence limits as above for sapwood would give the timber represented by this sample an estimated felling date in the range AD 1402 to AD 1422.

## **Conclusion**

It is apparent that the two barns use timbers with different felling dates. It would appear that the Minor barn uses timber felled no later than AD 1426. Given the limited amount of sampling in respect of the quantity of timber available, it is just possible that there are timbers of different felling dates present. However, the construction of the barn strongly suggests that it is all of one phase.

The Major barn is more problematic. The dated samples indicate a large portion of it, certainly those timbers in trusses 5, 6, and 7, that is, the southern end of the building, was built using timber felled in AD 1475. There is little significant cross-matching between the samples from the two barns. This would suggest that the timbers used came from different sources.

37

As stated in the introduction, Rigold placed the major barn in the late fifteenth to early sixteenth centuries, the minor barn between AD 1350 to 1450. The tree-ring dates show that his stylistic dating was reasonably accurate.

Only two of the eight samples from the timbers of trusses 1, 2, and 3 date, and these samples, FAV-A20 and A21, were the two which dated separately from the main group of seventeen samples. Their felling dates are in the range AD 1401 to AD 1416 and AD 1402 to AD 1422 respectively.

If these two are representative of truss 1, then this indicates a construction some time in the period AD 1402 to AD 1416, since there was no evidence of reuse of these timbers by way of redundant mortises etc. That is, approximately 60 years or so before trusses 5, 6, and 7. Interestingly, an estimated felling date in the range AD 1402 to AD 1416 for the timbers of truss 1 of the Major barn is much closer to the felling date of AD 1426 for the timbers of the Minor barn than for the southern end of the Major barn.

Thus, of the thirty-four cores taken, five had too few rings for analysis and were not measured. Of the remaining twenty-nine samples seventeen have been dated. Twelve remain undated. Of these some do have rather few rings, ie less than 60. It is possible that several of these undated samples remain so because of the slight complacency of the growth-rings, that is they show only slight variation from year to year and are thus more difficult to cross-match.

Table 1: Details of tree-ring samples from the major and minor barns at Abbey Farm, Faversham, Kent

Sample no.	Sample location	Total rings	Sapwood rings*	First measured	Last heartwood	Last measured
	The Major barn	8	80	ing dute	This dute	Ting date
FAV-A01	West passing shore, truss 7	69	19c	AD 1403	1452	1471
FAV-A02	West aisle plate, truss 7	88	21C		*****	
FAV-A03	West aisle tie, truss 7	78	14	AD 1390	1453	1467
FAV-A04	West passing shore, truss 6	78	h/s	AD 1375	1452	1452
FAV-A05	West wall post, truss 6	69	h/s			
FAV-A06	West passing shore, truss 5	54	04			10. 40 mil 10 mil
FAV-A07	South brace to aisle plate from west wall post, truss 6	60	02	AD 1396	1453	1455
FAV-A08	Arch brace to tie from west main post, truss 6	56	h/s	*****		
FAV-A09	South brace to aisle plate from west wall post, truss 5	47	h/s	AD 1406	1452	1452
FAV-A10	North arch brace to main plate from west post, truss 7	58	h/s	AD 1402	1459	1459
FAV-A11	West main post, truss 7	100	09c	AD 1363	1453	1462
FAV-A12	East main post, truss 7	84	22c	AD 1387	1448	1470
FAV-A13	East main post, truss 6	81	h/s	AD 1376	1456	1456
FAV-A14	West main post, truss 5	57	h/s	AD 1396	1452	1452
FAV-A15	Arch brace to main tie from west main post, truss 2	70	09			1452
FAV-A16	West main post, truss 2	77	13			
FAV-A17	East common rafter no 5, bay 2	54	02			
FAV-A18	East common rafter no 7, bay 2	42	01			
FAV-A19	West main post, truss 3	96	17	****		
FAV-A20	Central main post, truss 1	95	19	AD 1306	1381	1400
FAV-A21	Post plate, central post, truss 1	84	h/s	AD 1304	1387	1387
FAV-A22	East passing shore, truss 1	NM		******		

~

## Table 1: continued

Sample no.	Sample location	Total rings	Sapwood	First measured	Last heartwood	Last measured
	The Minor barn	11150	111153	Ting date	ring date	ring date
FAV-B01	South passing shore, truss 3	57	05	AD 1357	1408	1413
FAV-B02	South main post, truss 3	NM		******	******	1715
FAV-B03	South passing shore, truss 2	NM				
FAV-B04	South main post, truss 1	67	h/s			
FAV-B05	Stud post to north half, west end wall	70	24c			
FAV-B06	Common rafter no 5, west roof pitch	75	23c	AD 1346	1397	1420
FAV-B07	Common rafter no 6, west roof pitch	54	18c	AD 1370	1405	1420
FAV-B08	Wall tie, centre post, truss 6	NM			1405	1425
FAV-B09	Common rafter no 3, bay 4, north roof pitch	76	15	AD 1344	1404	1410
FAV-B10	Common rafter no 4, bay 4, north roof pitch	NM		100 1014	1-0-	1419
FAV-B11	Common rafter no 2, bay 4, north roof pitch	61	h/s			CON CON CON LANS AND AND
FAV-B12	Common rafter no 9, bay 3, north roof pitch	75	26c	AD 1346	1394	1420

A

\*h/s = the heartwood/sapwood boundary is the last ring on sample
NM = sample not measured
c = complete sapwood on timber, all or part lost during coring



Figure 1: Map to show general location of Abbey Farm, Faversham

© Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900



Figure 2: Map to detailed location of Abbey Farm, Faversham

© Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900

# Figure 3: Drawing to show arrangement of buildings at Abbey Farm

Study by Julia Bennett and Anthony Blackwell, drawings of the barn by Julia Bennett, John Billington, John Campbell



ŝ,

ŝ.





Figure 5: Drawing to show internal view of Major barn, looking south

Figure 6: Plan of Major barn to show sample locations

27





## Figure 7: Plan of Minor barn to show sample locations





a

¢



э

Figure 8: Bar diagram of samples in site chronology FAVASQ01

White bar = heartwood rings, shaded area = sapwood rings h/s = only heartwood/sapwood boundary on sample c = complete sapwood on timber, all or part lost in coring Table 2: Results of the cross-matching of site chronology FAVASQ01 and relevant reference chronologies when first ring date is AD 1346 and last ring date is AD 1471

Reference Chronology	Span of chronology	t-value	
East Midlands	AD 882 - 1981	5.2	(Laxton and Litton 1988)
British Isles	AD 401 - 1981	3.6	(Baillie and Pilcher 1982 unpubl)
Southern England	AD 1083 - 1589	4.7	(Bridge 1988)
KENT-88	AD 1158 - 1540	5.5	(Laxton and Litton 1989)
MC10H	AD 1386 - 1585	4.0	(Fletcher 1980)
High Street, Stourbridge	AD 1389 - 1462	5.7	(Esling et al 1989)
Mercers Hall, Gloucester	AD 1289 - 1541	5.6	(Howard <i>et al</i> 1997b)

Э



Figure 9: T-value/off-set matrix





ð

 $\mathcal{J}^{\mu}$ 

Figure 10: Bar diagram of samples in site chronology FAVASQ05

White bar = heartwood rings, shaded area = sapwood rings h/s = only heartwood/sapwood boundary on sample c = complete sapwood on timber, all or part lost in coring Table 3: Results of the cross-matching of site chronology FAVASQ05 and relevant reference chronologies when first ring date is AD 1344 and last ring date is AD 1471

Reference Chronology	Span of chronology	t-value	
East Midlands	AD 882 - 1981	5.0	(Laxton and Litton 1988)
British Isles	AD 401 - 1981	3.4	(Baillie and Pilcher 1982 unpubl.)
Southern England	AD 1083 - 1589	5.1	(Bridge 1988)
KENT-88	AD 1158 - 1540	5.1	(Laxton and Litton 1989)
MC10H	AD 1386 - 1585	5.8	(Fletcher 1980)
High Street, Stourbridge	AD 1389 - 1462	6.0	(Esling et al 1989)
Mercers Hall, Gloucester	AD 1289 - 1541	5.2	(Howard et al 1997b)

.0

Figure 11: T-value/off-set matrix



Off-set above diagonal, t-value below diagonal



0

Figure 12: Bar diagram of samples in site chronology FAVASQ07

White bar = heartwood rings, shaded area = sapwood rings h/s = only heartwood/sapwood boundary on sample c = complete sapwood on timber, all or part lost in coring Table 4: Results of the cross-matching of site chronology FAVASQ07 and relevant reference chronologies when first ring date is AD 1344 and last ring date is AD 1471

Reference Chronology	Span of chronology	t-value	
East Midlands	AD 882 - 1981	5.2	(Laxton and Litton 1988)
British Isles	AD 401 - 1981	3.5	(Baillie and Pilcher 1982 unpubl)
Southern England	AD 1083 - 1589	5.2	(Bridge 1988)
KENT-88	AD 1158 - 1540	5.4	(Laxton and Litton 1989)
MC10H	AD 1386 - 1585	4.3	(Fletcher 1980)
High Street, Stourbridge	AD 1389 - 1462	5.5	(Esling et al 1989)
Mercers Hall, Gloucester	AD 1289 - 1541	5.9	(Howard et al 1997b)
Restoration House, Kent	AD 1378 - 1505	4.0	(Howard et al 1997a)

•7

õ



.1



White bar = heartwood rings,

h/s = only heartwood/sapwood boundary on sample



Off-set above diagonal, t-value below diagonal



¢

## Figure 15: Bar diagram of samples in site chronology FAVASQ08

White bar = heartwood rings, shaded area = sapwood rings h/s = only heartwood/sapwood boundary on sample c = complete sapwood on timber, all or part lost in coring Table 5: Results of the cross-matching of site chronology FAVASQ08 and relevant reference chronologies when first ring date is AD 1344 and last ring date is AD 1471

Reference Chronology	Span of chronology	t-value	
East Midlands	AD 882 - 1981	5.1	(Laxton and Litton 1988)
British Isles	AD 401 - 1981	3.9	(Baillie and Pilcher 1982 unpubl)
Southern England	AD 1083 - 1589	5.3	(Bridge 1988)
KENT-88	AD 1158 - 1540	5.7	(Laxton and Litton 1989)
MC10H	AD 1386 - 1585	4.6	(Fletcher 1980)
High Street, Stourbridge	AD 1389 - 1462	5.3	(Esling et al 1989)
Mercers Hall, Gloucester	AD 1289 - 1541	4.2	(Howard et al 1997)
Restoration House, Kent	AD 1378 - 1505	5.3	(Howard et al 1997)

a.

Table 6: Results of the cross-matching of sample FAV-A20 and relevant reference chronologies when first ring date is AD 1306 and last ring date is AD 1400

Span of chronology	t-value	
AD 882 - 1981	2.4	(Laxton and Litton 1988)
AD 401 - 1981	1.3	(Baillie and Pilcher 1982 unpubl)
AD 1083 - 1589	3.4	(Bridge 1988)
AD 1158 - 1540	4.7	(Laxton and Litton 1989)
AD 1389 - 1462	4.4	(Esling et al 1989)
AD 1300 - 1480	4.7	(Howard et al 1991)
AD 1325 - 1555	4.3	(Howard et al 1995)
AD 1304 - 1442	4.2	(Howard et al 1988)
AD 1276 - 1402	3.9	(Howard et al 1996)
AD 1337 - 1446	3.7	(Howard <i>et al</i> 1988)
	Span of chronology AD 882 - 1981 AD 401 - 1981 AD 1083 - 1589 AD 1158 - 1540 AD 1389 - 1462 AD 1300 - 1480 AD 1325 - 1555 AD 1304 - 1442 AD 1276 - 1402 AD 1337 - 1446	Span of chronologyt-valueAD882 - 19812.4AD401 - 19811.3AD1083 - 15893.4AD1158 - 15404.7AD1389 - 14624.4AD1300 - 14804.7AD1325 - 15554.3AD1304 - 14424.2AD1276 - 14023.9AD1337 - 14463.7

Table 7: Results of the cross-matching of sample FAV-A21 and relevant reference chronologies when first ring date is AD 1304 and last ring date is AD 1387

.

Reference Chronology	Span of chronology	t-value	
East Midlands	AD 882 - 1981	2.2	(Laxton and Litton 1988)
British Isles	AD 401 - 1981	1.6	(Baillie and Pilcher 1982 unpubl)
Southern England	AD 1083 - 1589	1.0	(Bridge 1988)
KENT-88	AD 1158 - 1540	6.0	(Laxton and Litton 1989)
Bell Harry Tower, Canterbury Cathedral, Kent	AD 1311 - 1402	5.8	(Howard et al 1988)
Harts Farmhouse, Shottenden, Kent	AD 1305 - 1436	5.1	(Howard et al 1990)
Old Moat Farmhouse, Chart Sutton, Kent	AD 1255 - 1356	4.9	(Howard et al 1991)
Rectory Park, Horsmonden, Kent	AD 1313 - 1442	3.8	(Howard et al 1988)

ò

## Bibliography

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1982 A Master Tree-Ring chronology for England, unpubl computer file *MGB-E01*, Queens Univ, Belfast

Blackwell, A, Bennett, J, Billington, J, and Campbell, J, 1988 Abbey Barn Faversham, in Traditional Buildings of Kent, no 6, 18-27

Bridge, M, 1988 The Dendrochronological Dating of Buildings in Southern England, *Medieval Archaeol*, 32, 166-174

Esling, J, Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1989 List 29 no 12 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory Results, *Vernacular Architect*, **20**, 39-41

Fletcher, J, 1980 A list of tree-ring dates for Building Timber in Southern England and Wales, *Vernacular Architect*, **11**, 32-38

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, Cooper, N, and Pearson, S, 1988 List 28 nos 1, 4, 6, 12 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results: Kent, *Vernacular Architect*, 19, 48-49

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Pearson, S, 1990 List 34 no 7 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Results: Kent, Vernacular Architect, 21, 40-42

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Pearson, S, 1991 List 40 nos 2, 4 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Results: Kent, Vernacular Architect, 22, 43-44

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1995 List 60 no 5a - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory Results: general list, *Vernacular Architect*, 26, 47-53

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1996 List 65 no 4c - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory Results: general list, *Vernacular Architect*, 27, 78-81

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1997a List 76 no 6 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results: general list, *Vernacular Architect*, 28, 124-129

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1997b List 77 no 1b - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results: Dendrochronological Dating for English Heritage, *Vernacular Architect*, 28, 130-132

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988 An East Midlands Master Tree-ring chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings, University of Nottingham, Dept of Classical and Archaeol Studies, Monograph Series, III

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1989 Construction of a Kent master chronological sequence for Oak, 1158-1540, *Medieval Archaeol*, 33, 90 - 8

Rigold, S, 1966 Some major Kentish barns, Archaeol Cantiana, 81, 1-30

Data of measured samples - measurements in 0.01mm units

FAV-A01A 69

FAV-A06B 54

136 253 165 163

FAV-A13A 81

122 234 197 200 174 249 284 231 211 159 223 183 169 112 145 108 134 96 104 80 146 439 326 270 253 203 179 311 462 467 463 345 373 401 362 370 346 453 397 355 304 208 336 217 263 216 218 311 298 253 210 153 239 232 224 217 264 197 186 266 196 164 197 169 214 169 136 148 158 230 179 217 185 183 137 190 134 112 154 165 214

FAV-A13B 73

275 253 299 163 211 190 142 146 111 100 102 79 108 262 228 228 195 84 192 263 328 314 306 305 370 352 311 370 354 365 332 322 264 198 359 206 299 255 276 396 326 318 285 168 237 234 222 206 275 200 182 239 189 181 180 162 191 152 192 140 186 203 178 177 178 218 115 186 140 123 128 163 210

FAV-A14A 57

327 224 293 414 357 275 213 342 494 435 438 336 416 428 385 316 345 309 307 350 291 202 213 245 333 217 219 281 240 302 246 165 196 208 193 171 202 164 136 228 190 134 108 109 137 116 125 119 119 156 155 136 120 144 85 130 167 FAV-A14B 57

293 243 296 410 374 275 217 317 505 431 441 325 419 424 365 338 344 311 323 328 295 193 220 246 335 208 208 299 242 301 239 173 185 206 192 177 211 172 140 230 176 124 112 115 127 114 153 113 110 153 129 164 142 137 83 140 175

FAV-A15A 70

212 262 136 225 200 237 244 261 191 313 255 358 278 345 410 373 449 446 361 340 280 206 250 273 186 82 63 89 88 110 130 160 121 154 288 332 329 272 281 285 267 267 216 254 415 334 346 268 298 234 283 229 349 248 379 306 367 358 348 223 185 177 250 259 226 192 247 246 297 245

FAV-A15B 70

200 209 138 215 210 233 249 260 202 322 262 350 295 366 415 385 436 471 371 343 275 196 259 275 180 81 77 86 84 111 126 152 114 178 313 330 322 263 285 287 278 261 212 244 420 330 352 273 289 233 284 237 344 248 382 318 353 361 335 238 188 184 241 262 246 156 273 255 314 218

FAV-A16A 77

399 420 506 556 363 424 428 388 311 356 482 333 324 289 257 254 290 317 270 314 364 290 261 184 198 262 288 284 288 250 219 176 178 190 180 201 172 157 161 174 123 192 172 230 226 181 238 352 262 239 152 212 245 177 245 227 180 350 410 322 353 300 177 276 304 358 262 173 275 261 264 234 193 221 241 254 208 FAV-A16B 77

412 420 522 552 368 391 451 376 332 350 479 363 347 288 280 251 287 297 309 311 341 279 272 176 205 288 288 276 242 228 215 174 163 192 186 208 177 162 166 186 130 195 178 222 225 174 243 360 266 233 159 200 213 212 250 206 202 364 401 318 357 288 174 299 322 297 284 191 270 260 265 232 193 219 224 240 234

FAV-A17A 54

137 118 120 84 96 87 133 128 122 97 94 90 148 111 90 124 149 122 124 148 137 106 138 150 161 131 223 365 329 264 331 326 322 190 234 184 147 155 140 295 192 206 197 141 155 107 142 150 154 125 166 196 191 166 FAV-A17B 54

97 131 97 99 98 82 136 128 121 103 101 78 160 113 93 116 143 118 129 149 128 108 142 151 165 135 221 367 332 261 331 328 320 202 233 184 140 159 129 290 191 222 189 148 144 116 137 146 130 150 155 250 176 154 FAV-A18A 42

166 110 130 177 188 165 190 194 139 136 213 177 132 107 92 114 86 79 95 138 139 113 116 148 117 113 106 184 206 355 462 380 454 427 311 302 297 296 284 479 362 420

FAV-A18B 42

177 111 138 172 193 158 195 194 154 130 207 177 129 109 96 111 90 73 94 143 134 111 121 141 120 111 113 181 202 357 456 387 456 415 311 311 298 297 281 493 370 382

FAV-A19A 96

70 182 181 192 310 296 209 211 169 116 165 144 142 142 151 120 124 116 83 203 426 211 265 248 146 135 181 201 168 178 116 152 183 114 152 169 118 130 142 166 142 220 190 213 127 148 150 149 148 241 294 449 420 431 429 243 275 159 249 332 207 162 148 238 272 227 263 175 189 215 198 166 225 186 225 207 388 334 331 228 272 257 486 85 48 50 71 103 76 69 135 108 199 167 184 169

#### FAV-A19B 96

95 194 176 199 291 307 210 226 152 129 171 143 136 128 146 129 127 111 84 200 392 211 264 240 147 130 194 196 155 178 124 165 171 124 155 175 142 131 151 158 138 220 182 222 130 145 162 137 131 233 279 450 418 429 423 233 277 172 256 334 211 164 150 259 262 221 253 184 204 222 184 168 212 190 227 209 356 341 356 259 269 254 484 101 57 50 74 83 71 79 128 105 207 172 193 171 FAV-A20A 77

343 451 471 451 557 429 383 268 328 302 300 305 191 317 326 237 206 276 187 129 139 250 226 234 181 123 158 200 165 195 151 116 173 225 140 120 199 115 165 168 176 161 122 113 183 168 117 174 190 101 134 146 133 141 161 93 155 186 117 115 114 127 116 178 101 166 120 135 90 107 94 94 102 110 127 103 123

FAV-A20B 74

204 195 215 243 218 234 208 155 195 300 199 185 148 108 139 171 118 139 218 154 223 185 245 200 138 116 168 163 114 188 188 117 137 136 134 148 152 119 127 146 122 119 118 140 112 168 134 146 110 152 79 133 99 116 118 143 131 107 95 103 87 91 101 84 145 106 91 114 95 79 96 68 82 71

FAV-A20C 62

281 182 136 190 98 189 171 169 165 142 125 159 140 117 188 173 112 156 141 126 144 160 102 131 156 111 131 118 137 106 187 118 132 100 153 79 135 108 118 116 154 138 111 113 120 85 97 100 90 133 130 87 133 112 94 94 75 87 80 92 91 113

#### FAV-A21A 84

392 277 235 443 454 260 290 304 398 289 363 304 337 238 238 406 328 258 293 289 365 179 458 322 377 475 321 211 213 229 375 369 376 361 269 316 322 363 439 270 197 453 301 363 407 376 239 349 148 256 424 299 265 368 260 470 302 239 236 278 429 344 333 374 470 425 276 204 209 263 213 158 268 202 201 177 220 292 667 554 389 645 697 575

#### FAV-A21B 81

494 453 255 289 300 394 310 386 299 326 248 238 392 345 252 301 287 357 188 449 321 392 490 328 222 209 228 362 372 385 362 263 310 333 358 447 272 227 452 293 353 382 387 258 345 173 240 401 308 264 372 256 477 286 271 231 276 419 365 337 383 463 401 300 217 197 267 235 152 264 201 205 168 236 280 676 545 354 629 700 572

Data of measured samples - measurements in 0.01mm units

335 248 208 244 182 96 71 59 85 83 114 142 127 126 96 118 103 83 74 44 46 31 55 57 53 39 76 93 132 116 94 116 98 84 112 110 129 123 120 106 112 106 160 305 327 492 309 352 222 198 168 144 167 114 117 127 142 155 188 167 202

## FAV-B11B 61

•

352 232 210 256 188 79 60 48 87 82 122 144 116 111 80 102 101 74 87 49 42 39 54 58 49 44 73 84 133 113 99 117 97 79 87 108 130 123 124 112 110 117 151 297 345 505 290 329 218 202 167 136 171 112 106 133 134 161 186 163 212

## FAV-B12A 75

137 130 153 149 129 99 85 96 126 89 98 82 74 89 67 61 61 63 68 62 62 123 134 179 141 104 105 95 72 67 78 140 128 149 139 135 137 119 83 87 123 95 127 129 158 80 95 70 81 70 69 71 84 76 70 82 69 92 85 100 104 95 100 103 100 92 86 85 88 80 65 88 102 102 118 FAV-B12B 75

132 105 144 139 130 118 81 103 125 88 84 80 78 81 67 61 55 79 70 63 62 120 134 174 141 100 106 98 88 68 84 135 127 143 134 139 143 113 86 85 125 96 122 130 166 102 88 77 82 77 67 65 81 80 70 78 72 84 94 105 103 96 99 100 104 101 97 87 70 79 72 95 85 97 117

. .

## APPENDIX

## **Tree-Ring Dating**

#### The Principles of Tree-Ring Dating

Tree-ring dating, or *dendrochronology* as it is known, is discussed in some detail in the Laboratory's Monograph, 'An East Midlands Master Tree-Ring Chronology and its uses for dating Vernacular Buildings' (Laxton and Litton 1988b) and, for example, in Tree-Ring Dating and Archaeology (Baillie 1982) or A Slice Through Time (Baillie 1995). Here we will give the bare outlines. Each year an oak tree grows an extra ring on the outside of its trunk and all its branches just inside its bark. The width of this annual ring depends largely on the weather during the growing season, about April to October, and possibly also on the weather during the previous year. Good growing seasons give rise to relatively wide rings, poor ones to very narrow rings and average ones to relatively average ring widths. Since the climate is so variable from year to year, almost random-like, the widths of these rings will also appear random-like in sequence, reflecting the seasons. This is illustrated in Figure 1 where, for example, the widest rings appear at irregular intervals. This is the key to dating by tree rings, or rather, by their widths. Records of the average ring widths, one for each year for the last 1000 years or more, are available for different areas. These are called master chronologies. Because of the random-like nature of these sequences of widths, there is usually only one position at which a sequence of ring widths from a sample of timber with at least 70 rings will match a master. This will date the timber and, in particular, the last ring ...

If the bark is still on the sample, as in Figure 1, then the date of the last ring will be the date of felling of the oak from which it was cut. There is much evidence that in medieval times oaks cut down for building purposes were used almost immediately, usually within the year or so (Rackham 1976). Hence if bark is present on several main timbers in a building, none of which appear reused or are later insertions, and if they all have the same date for their last ring, then we can be quite confident that this is the date of construction. If there is no bark on the sample, then we have to make an estimate of the felling date; how this is done is explained below.

## The Practice of Tree-Ring Dating at the University of Nottingham Tree-Ring dating Laboratory

1. Inspecting the Building and Sampling the Timbers. Together with a building historian we inspect the timbers in a building to try to ensure that those sampled are not reused or later insertions. Sampling is almost always done by coring into the timber, which has the great advantage that we can sample in situ timbers and those judged best to give the date of construction, or phase of construction if there is more than one in the building. The timbers to be sampled are also inspected to see how many rings they have. We normally look for timbers with at least 70 rings, and preferably more. With fewer rings than this, 50 for example, sequences of widths become difficult to match to a unique position within a master sequence of ring widths and so are difficult to date (Litton and Zainodin 1991). The cross-section of the rafter shown in Figure 2 has about 120 rings; about 20 of which are sapwood rings. Similarly the core has just over 100 rings.

To ensure that we are getting the date of the building as a whole, or the whole of a phase of construction if there is more than one, about 8 to 10 samples per phase are usually taken. Sometimes we take many more, especially if the construction is complicated. One reason for taking so many samples is that, in general, some will fail to give a date. There may be many reasons why a particular sequence of ring widths from a sample of timber fails to give a date even though others from the same building do. For example, a particular tree may have grown in an odd ecological niche, so odd indeed that the widths of its rings were determined by factors other than the local climate! In such circumstances it will be impossible to date a timber from this tree using the master sequence whose widths, we can assume, were predominantly determined by the local climate at the time.



Fig 1. A wedge of oak from a tree felled in 1976. It shows the annual growth rings, one for each year from the innermost ring to the last ring on the outside just inside the bark. The year of each ring can be determined by counting back from the outside ring, which grew in 1976.



Fig 2. Cross-section of a rafter showing the presence of sapwood rings in the corners, the arrow is pointing to the heartwood/sapwood boundary (H/S). Also a core with sapwood; again the arrow is pointing to the H/S. The core is about the size of a pencil.



Fig 3. Measuring ring widths under a microscope. The microscope is fixed while the sample is on a moving platform. The total sequence of widths is measured twice to ensure that an error has not been made. This type of apparatus is needed to process a large number of samples on a regular basis.



Fig 4. Three cores from timbers in a building. They come from trees growing at the same time. Notice that, although the sequences of widths look similar, they are not identical. This is typical.

Sampling is done by coring into the timber with a hollow corer attached to an electric drill and usually from its outer rings inwards towards where the centre of the tree, the pith, is judged to be. An illustration of a core is shown in Figure 2; it is about 15cm long and 1cm diameter. Great care has to be taken to ensure that as few as possible of the outer rings are lost. This can be difficult as these outer rings are often very soft (see below on sapwood). Each sample is given a code which identifies uniquely which timber it comes from, which building it is from and where the building is located. For example, CRO-A06 is the sixth core taken from the first building (A) sampled by the Laboratory in Cropwell Bishop. Where it came from in that building will be shown in the sampling records and drawings. No structural damage is done to any timbers by coring, nor does it weaken them.

During the initial inspecton of the building and its timbers the dendrochronologist may come to the conclusion that, as far as can be judged, none of the timbers have sufficient rings in them for dating purposes and may advise against sampling to save further unwarranted expense.

All sampling by the Laboratory is undertaken according to current Health and Safety Standards. The Laboratory is insured with the CBA.

- 2. Measuring Ring Widths. Each core is sanded down with a belt sander using medium-grit paper and then finished by hand with flourgrade-grit paper. The rings are then clearly visible and differentiated from each other with a result very much like that shown in Figure 2. The core is then mounted on a movable table below a microscope and the ring-widths measured individually from the innermost ring to the outermost. The widths are automatically recorded in a computer file as they are measured (see Fig 3).
- 3. Cross-matching and Dating the Samples. Because of the factors besides the local climate which may determine the annual widths of a tree's rings, no two sequences of ring widths from different oaks growing at the same time are exactly alike (Fig 4). Indeed, the sequences may not be exactly alike even when the trees are growing near to each other. Consequently, in the Laboratory we do not attempt to match two sequences of ring widths by eye, or graphically, or by any other subjective method. Instead, it is done objectively (ie statistically) on a computer by a process called cross-matching. The output from the computer tells us the extent of correlation between two sample sequences of widths or, if we are dating, between a sample sequence of widths and the master, at each relative position of one to the other (offsets). The extent of the correlation at an offset is determined by the *t-value* (defined in almost any introductory book on statistics). That offset with the maximum t-value among the t-values at all the offsets will be the best candidate for dating one sequence relative to the other. If one of these is a master chronology, then this will date the other. Experiments carried out in the past with sequences from oaks of known date suggest that a t-value of at least 4.5, and preferably 5.0, is usually adequate for the dating to be accepted with reasonable confidence (Laxton *et al* 1988a,b; Howard *et al* 1984 1995).

This is illustrated in Fig 5 with timbers from one of the roofs of Lincoln Cathedral. Here four sequences of ring widths, LIN- C04, 05, 08, and 45, have been cross-matched with each other. The ring widths themselves have been omitted in the *bar-diagram*, as is usual, but the offsets at which they best cross-match each other are shown; eg. C08 matches C45 best when it is at a position starting 20 rings after the first ring of 45, and similarly for the others. The actual t-values between the four at these offsets of best correlations are in the matrix. Thus at the offset of +20 rings, the t-value between C45 and C08 is 5.6 and is the maximum between these two whatever the position of one sequence relative to the other.

It is standard practice in our Laboratory first to cross-match as many as possible of the sequences of the samples in a building and then to form an average from them. This average is called a site sequence of the building being dated and is illustrated in Fig 5. The fifth bar at the bottom is a site sequence for a roof at Lincoln Cathedral and is constructed from the matching sequences from four timbers. The site sequence width for each year is the average of the widths in each of the sample sequences which has a width for that year. The actual sequence of widths of this site sequence is stored on the computer. The reason for creating site sequences is that it is usually easier to date an average sequence of ring widths with a master sequence than it is to date the individual component sample sequences separately.

average sequence of ring widths with a master sequence than it is to date the individual component sample sequences separately.

This straightforward method of cross-matching several sample sequences with each other one at a time is called the 'maximal t-value' method. The actual method of cross-matching a group of sequences of ring-widths used in the Laboratory involves grouping and averaging the ring-width sequences and is called the 'Litton-Zainodin Grouping Procedure'. This was developed and tested in the Laboratory and has been published (Litton and Zainodin 1991; Laxton *et al* 1988a). To illustrate the difference between the two approaches with the above example, consider sequences C08 and C05. They are the most similar pair with a t-value of 10.4. Therefore, these two are first averaged with the first ring of C05 at +17 rings relative to C08 (the offset at which they match each other). This average sequence is then used in place of the individual sequences C08 and C05. The cross-matching continues in this way gradually building up averages at each stage eventually to form the site sequence.

4. Estimating the Felling Date. If the bark is present on a sample, then the date of its last ring is the date of the felling of its tree. Actually it could be the year after if it had been felled in the first three months before any new growth had started, but this is not too important a consideration in most cases. The actual bark may not be present on a timber in a building, though the dendrochronologist who is sampling can often see from its surface that only the bark is missing. In these cases the date of the last ring is still the date of felling.

Quite often some, though not all, of the original outer rings are missing on a timber. The outer rings on an oak, called sapwood rings, are usually lighter than the inner rings, the heartwood, and so are relatively easy to identify. For example, they can be seen in two upper corners of the rafter and at the outer end of the core in Figure 2. More importantly for dendrochronology, the sapwood is relatively soft and so liable to insect attack and wear and tear. The builder, therefore, may remove some of the sapwood for precisely for these reasons. Nevertheless, if at least some of the sapwood rings are left on a sample, we will know that not too many rings have been lost since felling. Thus in these circumstances the date of the present last ring is at least close to the date of the original last ring on the tree, and so to the date of felling.

Various estimates have been made for the average number of sapwood rings in a mature oak. One estimate is 30 rings, based on data from living oaks. So, in the case of the core in Figure 2 where 9 sapwood rings remain, this would give an estimate for the felling date of 21 (= 30 - 9) years later than of the date of the last ring on the core. Actually, it is better in these situations to give an estimated range for the felling date. Another estimate is that in 95% of mature oaks there are between 15 and 50 sapwood rings. So in this example this would mean that the felling took place between 6 (= 15 - 9) and 41 (= 50 - 9) years after the date of the last ring on the core and is expected to be right in at least 95% of the cases (Hughes *et al* 1981; see also Hillam *et al* 1987).

Data from the Laboratory has shown that when sequences are considered together in groups, rather than separately, the estimates for the number of sapwood can be put at between 15 and 40 rings in 95% of the cases with the expected number being 25 rings. We would use these estimates, for example, in calculating the range for the common felling date of the four sequences from Lincoln Cathedral using the average position of the heartwood/sapwood boundary (Fig 5). These new estimates are now used by us in all our publications except for timbers from Kent and Nottinghamshire where 25 and between 15 to 35 sapwood rings, respectively, is used instead (Pearson 1995).

More precise estimates of the felling date and range can often be obtained using knowledge of a particular case and information gathered at the time of sampling. For example, at the time of sampling the dendrochronologist may have noted that the timber from which the core of Figure 2 was taken still had complete sapwood. Sapwood rings were only lost in coring, because of their softness By measuring in the timber the depth of sapwood lost, say 2 cm., a reasonable estimate can be made of the number of sapwood rings missing from the core, say 12 to 15 rings in this case By adding on 12 to 15 years to the date of the last ring on the sample a good tight estimate for the range of the felling date can be obtained, which is often better than the 15 to 40 years later we would have estimated without this observation.

## T-value/Offset Matrix

	C45	C08	C05	C04
C45	$\backslash$	+20	+37	+47
<b>C</b> 08	5.6		+17	+27
C05	5.2	10.4		+10
C04	5.9	3.7	5.1	$\mathbf{i}$

## **Bar Diagram**



Fig 5. Cross-matching of four sequences from a Lincoln Cathedral roof and the formation of a site sequence from them.

The *bar diagram* represents these sequences without the rings themselves. The length of the bar is proportional to the number of rings in the sequence. Here the four sequences are set at relative positions (*offsets*) to each other at which they have maximum correlation as measured by the *t*-values.

The *t-value offset* matrix contains the maximum t-values below the diagonal and the offsets above it.

Thus, the maximum t-value between C08 and C45 occurs at the offset of +20 rings and the t-value is then 5.6.

The site sequence is composed of the average of the corresponding widths, as illustrated with one width.

Even if all the sapwood rings are missing on all the timbers sampled, an estimate of the felling date is still possible in certain cases. For provided the original last heartwood ring of the tree, called the heartwood/sapwood boundary (H/S), is still on some of the samples, an estimate for the felling date of the group of trees can be obtained by adding on the full 25 years, or 15 to 40 for the range of felling dates.

If none of the timbers have their heartwood/sapwood boundaries, then only a *post quem* date for felling is possible.

- 5. Estimating the Date of Construction. There is a considerable body of evidence in the data collected by the Laboratory that the oak timbers used in vernacular buildings, at least, were used 'green' (see also Rackham (1976)). Hence provided the samples are taken *in situ*, and several dated with the same estimated common felling date, then this felling date will give an estimated date for the construction of the building, or for the phase of construction. If for some reason or other we are rather restricted in what samples we can take, then an estimated common felling date may not be such a precise estimate of the date of construction. More sampling may be needed for this.
- 6. Master Chronological Sequences. Ultimately, to date a sequence of ring widths, or a site sequence, we need a master sequence of dated ring widths with which to cross-match it, a Master Chronology. To construct such a sequence we have to start with a sequence of widths whose dates are known and this means beginning with a sequence from an oak tree whose date of felling is known. In Fig 6 such a sequence is SHE-T, which came from a tree in Sherwood Forest which was blown down in a recent gale. After this other sequences which cross-match with it are added and gradually the sequence is 'pushed back in time' as far as the age of samples will allow. This process is illustrated in Fig 6. We have a master chronological sequence of widths for Nottinghamshire and East Midlands oak for each year from AD 882 to 1981. It is described in great detail in Laxton and Litton 1988b, but the components it contains are shown here in the form of a bar diagram. As can be seen, it is well replicated in that for each year in this period there are several sample sequences having widths for that year. The master is the average of these. This master can now be used to date oak from this area and from the surrounding areas where the climate is very similar to that in the East Midlands. The Laboratory has also constructed a master for Kent (Laxton and Litton 1989). The method the Laboratory uses to construct a master sequence, such as the East Midlands and Kent, is completely objective and uses the Litton-Zainodin grouping procedure (Laxton et al 1988a). Other laboratories and individuals have constructed masters for other areas and have made them available. As well as these masters, local (dated) site chronologies can be used to date other buildings from nearby. The Laboratory has hundreds of these site sequences from many parts of England and Wales covering many short periods.
- 7. *Ring-width Indices.* Tree-ring dating can be done by cross-matching the ring widths themselves, as described above. However, it is advantageous to modify the widths first. Because different trees grow at different rates and because a young oak grows in a different way from an older oak, irrespective of the climate, the widths are first standardized before any matching between them is attempted. These standard widths are known as ring-width indices and were first used in dendrochronology by Baillie and Pilcher (1973). The exact form they take is explained in this paper and in the appendix of Laxton and Litton (1988b) and is illustrated in the graphs in Fig 7. Here ring-widths are plotted vertically, one for each year of growth. In the upper sequence (a), the generally large early growth after 1810 is very apparent as is the smaller generally later growth from about 1900 onwards. A similar difference can be observed in the lower sequence starting in 1835. In both the widths are also changing rapidly from year to year. The peaks are the wide rings and the troughs are the narrow rings, hopefully corresponding to good and poor growing seasons, respectively. The two corresponding sequences of Baillie-Pilcher indices are plotted in (b) where the differences in the early and late growths have been removed and only the rapidly changing peaks and troughs remain only associated with the common climatic signal and so make cross-matching easier.



Fig 6. Bar diagram showing the relative positions and dates of the first rings of the component site sequences in the East Midlands Master Dendrochronological Sequence, EM08/87.



Fig 7. (a) The raw ring-widths of two samples, THO-A01 and THO-B05, whose felling dates are known. Here the ring widths are plotted vertically, one for each year, so that peaks represent wide rings and troughs narrow ones. Notice the growth-trends in each; on average the earlier rings of the young tree are wider than the later ones of the older tree in both sequences.

(b) The *Baillie-Pilcher indices* of the above widths. The growth-trends have been removed completely.

#### REFERENCES

Baillie, M G L, 1982 Tree-Ring Dating and Archaeology, London.

Baillie, M G L, 1995 A Slice Through Time, London

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1973, A simple cross-dating program for tree-ring research, *Tree-Ring Bulletin*, 33, 7-14

Hillam, J, Morgan, R A, and Tyers, I, 1987, Sapwood estimates and the dating of short ring sequences, *Applications of tree-ring studies*, BAR Int Ser, 3, 165-85

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1984-95, Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory Results, Vernacular Architecture, 15 - 26

Hughes, M K, Milson, S J, and Legett, P A, 1981 Sapwood estimates in the interpretation of treering dates, *J Archaeol Sci*, 8, 381-90

Laxton, R R, Litton, R R, and Zainodin, H J, 1988a An objective method for forming a master ringwidth sequence, P A C T, 22, 25-35

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988b An East Midlands Master Chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings, University of Nottingham, Department of Archaeology Publication, Monograph Series III

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1989 Construction of a Kent Master Dendrochronological Sequence for Oak, A.D. 1158 to 1540, *Medieval Archaeol*, **33**, 90-8

Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1991 Statistical models of Dendrochronology, J Archaeol Sci. 18, 429-40

Pearson, S, 1995 The Medieval Houses of Kent, An Historical Analysis, London

Rackham, O, 1976 Trees and Woodland in the British Landscape, London