Centre for Archaeology Report 4/2001

# Tree-Ring Analysis of Timbers from Unthank Hall, Stanhope, County Durham

R E Howard, Dr R R Laxton & Dr C D Litton

© English Heritage 2001

The Centre for Archaeology Reports Series incorporates the former Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report Series. Copies of Ancient Monuments Laboratory Reports will continue to be available from the Centre for Archaeology (see back of cover for contact details). Centre for Archaeology Report 4/2001

# Tree-Ring Analysis of Timbers from Unthank Hall, Stanhope, County Durham

R E Howard<sup>1</sup>, Dr R R Laxton<sup>2</sup> & Dr C D Litton<sup>3</sup>

### Summary

Twenty-seven samples from this building at Stanhope, County Durham were analysed by tree-ring dating. This analysis produced two site chronologies. The first, consisting of twenty-three samples and having 207 rings, spans the period AD 1386 – AD 1592.

Interpretation of the sapwood on the dated samples in this first chronology would indicate that the timbers used in the west range were probably all felled in AD 1553; those used in the south range were felled nearer the end of the sixteenth-century, in AD 1592.

The second site chronology consists of two samples and has 113 rings spanning the period AD 1415 - -D 1527. It is not possible to be certain when the timbers represented by these samples were felled, but it is unlikely to be before AD 1542.

Keywords Dendrochronology Standing Buildings

### Authors' addresses

<sup>1</sup>University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD <sup>2</sup>University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD <sup>3</sup>University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD

Many CfA reports are interim reports which make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication. They are not subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of archaeological information that was not available at the time of the investigation. Readers are therefore advised to consult the author before citing the report in any publication and to consult the final excavation report when available.

Opinions expressed in CfA reports are those of the author and are not necessarily those of English Heritage.

### TREE-RING ANALYSIS OF TIMBERS FROM UNTHANK HALL, STANHOPE, CO DURHAM

### Introduction

Unthank Hall stands on the south bank of the river Wear opposite and slightly to the west of Stanhope (NY991391; Figs 1 and 2). It is believed that the site has its origins in a medieval moated manor house, possibly dating to the twelfth century. The present house, views of which are provided in Figures 3 and 4, consists of two parts. It is believed that the west range is the earlier. Architectural details here, such as the window surrounds, would suggest a date of between AD 1500 - AD 1570 for this. The roof structure of this west range consists of collar and tiebeam trusses with a somewhat curved profile; such a form is rare, if not unique, in this area and may be indicative of high status for the building. A photograph of the west-range roof is shown in Figure 5. The integral floor structures of this range consist of substantial cross-beams and joists.

The second part of the building, the south range, represents a rebuilding of the medieval hall range, with a twostorey block with attic. Architectural detailing of the south range might suggest a date of between AD 1600 - AD1700 for this part of the building.

Within these two major parts of the house are to be found evidence of eighteenth- and nineteenth-century alterations; these phases are excluded from the tree-ring sampling brief. A schematic plan showing these phases is provided in Figures 6a/b.

Sampling and analysis by tree-ring dating was commissioned by English Heritage. The purpose of this was to provide information on the historic development of the house prior to proposed renovation and repairs to the building. Furthermore, the dating of Unthank Hall would not only help reassess the significance of this building in its regional context but also help define more accurately the present vague date range for its type. A final purpose of sampling was to help supply additional dendrochronological data for this relatively poorly represented area.

The Laboratory would like to take this opportunity to thank Martin Roberts, English heritage – North East region, for his help in arranging access to the site, for providing such excellent plans and photographs of the building, and for assisting with the introductory paragraph above. The Laboratory would also like to thank Mr Alan Morton, the owner, for allowing the Laboratory to sample and for being so enthusiastic about the history of the site.

### Sampling

After discussion with Martin Roberts on the probable phasing of the buildings and the timbers available, a total of twenty-seven core samples was obtained. Each sample was given the code STN-B (for Stanhope, site "B") and numbered 01 - 27.

Eleven samples, STN-B01 – 11, were obtained from the roof timbers of the west range, with a further four samples STN-B12 – 15, being obtained from timbers of the floor structures here; both first- and ground-floor timbers were sampled. Twelve samples, STN-B16 – 27, were obtained only from the roof timbers of the south range, there being no timbers of the floor structure available. Where possible the positions of the samples were recorded on the drawings produced by Martin Roberts and provided by English Heritage. These are reproduced here as Figs 6a/b and Figs 7a – e. The trusses have been numbered from north to south, or from west to east as the case may be. Details of the samples are given in Table 1.

### Analysis

Each sample was prepared by sanding and polishing and the growth-ring widths of all twenty-seven were measured; the data of these measurements are given at the end of the report. The growth-ring widths of all the samples were compared with each other by the Litton/Zainodin grouping procedure (see appendix). At a minimum

t-value of 4.5 two groups of samples formed. The twenty-three samples of the first group cross-matched with each other at relative positions as shown in the bar diagram Figure 8. In this bar diagram the samples are shown by group according to their location: west range roof timbers, west range floor timbers, south range roof timbers. The growth-ring widths of these twenty-three samples were combined at these relative off-set positions to form STNBSQ01, a site chronology of 207 rings. Site chronology STNBSQ01 was compared with a series of relevant reference chronologies for oak, giving it a first ring date of AD 1386 and a last measured ring date of AD 1592. Evidence for this dating is given in the *t*-values of Table 2.

The two samples of the second group, both of them from the south-range roof, cross-matched with each other at relative positions as shown in the bar diagram Figure 9. The growth-ring widths of these two samples were combined at these relative off-set positions to form STNBSQ02, a site chronology of 113 rings. Site chronology STNBSQ02 was compared with a series of relevant reference chronologies for oak, giving it a first ring date of AD 1415 and a last measured ring date of AD 1527. Evidence for this dating is given in the *t*-values of Table 3.

The two site chronologies thus created, STNBSQ01 and STNBSQ02 were compared with each other. This indicated a cross-match with a maximum t-value of 4.3. This is found when the first ring of site chronology STNBSQ02 (first ring date AD 1415) is at plus 29 rings relative to the first ring of site chronology STNBSQ01 (first ring date AD 1386). This is the relative off-set position as suggested by the independent dating of the two site chronologies. Given the slightly low t-values for the dating of STNBSQ02, its low cross-matching t-value with STNBSQ01, and that fact that doing so does not alter the interpretation of the building, the samples of the two site chronologies were not combined.

### Interpretation

### West range

Analysis of samples from Unthank Hall has produced two dated site chronologies. The first site chronology, STNBSQ01, has twenty-three samples and includes material from both the west and the south ranges. The second dated site chronology, STNBSQ02, has two samples, both from the south-range roof.

The average last heartwood ring date of the samples from the west range roof only is AD 1529. The usual 95% confidence limit for the amount of sapwood on mature oaks from northern England is in the range 15 - 50 rings. This figure would give the timbers used in the west range roof an estimated felling date in the range AD 1544 – 79. The average last heartwood ring date of the samples from the west range floor only is AD 1531. Using the same sapwood range as above would give these timbers an estimated felling date in the range AD 1546 – 81.

However, one of the samples from the west range floor, STN-B12, retains complete sapwood, with a last ring date of AD 1553. Given that the roof and floor of the west range are integral, and the relative positions of the heartwood/sapwood boundaries on the samples from both areas are highly consistent, it is highly probable that AD 1553 is the felling date for all the timbers used in both areas of the west range.

### South range

The roof of the south range provides two samples with complete sapwood, STN-B22 and STN-B23, both having last ring dates of AD 1592. The relative positions of the heartwood/sapwood boundaries on the other dated samples from this part of the building are consistent with AD 1592 being the felling date for all the timbers in the south range.

It is not possible to estimate the felling date of the timbers from the south range roof represented by the two samples, STN-B16 and B17, in site chronology STNBSQ02 (last ring date AD 1527) because neither of them have the heartwood/sapwood transition. The felling date is unlikely, however, to be earlier that AD 1542. There appears to be no noticeable difference between these timbers and the other in the south range roof and it is probable that they are of the same felling date as all the others in this part of the roof.

### Conclusion

Analysis by dendrochronology has now been able to provide clear dates for this building. The west range is certainly the earlier and appears to lie well within its expected sixteenth-century date range. The south range is perhaps very slightly earlier than expected, being very late sixteenth century rather than seventeenth century.

Only two of the twenty-seven samples remain undated, STN-B01 and STN-B27. These samples have 80 and 75 rings, respectively. Neither of the samples show particularly stressed or complacent rings that might make cross-matching and dating difficult. There appears to be nothing different or unusual about either of them.

### Bibliography

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1982 unpubl A master tree-ring chronology for England, unpubl computer file *MGB-EOI*, Queens Univ, Belfast

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1988 unpubl computer file *EASASQ10*, Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1992a List 44 no 8 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results: general list, *Vernacular Architect*, 23, 51 - 6

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D (Nottingham University), Thornes, R, and Hook, R (RCHME), 1992b List 47 no 3 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: Truncated Principal Trusses Project, *Vernacular Architect*, 23, 59 - 61

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, Morrison, A, Sewell, J and Hook, R, 1993a List 49 nos 1, 8, 9a - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: Derbyshire, Peak Park and RCHME Dendrochronological Survey, 1991-92, *Vernacular Architect*, 24, 43 – 4

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D (Nottingham University), and Hook, R (RCHME), 1993b List 50 nos 1, 4 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results: RCHME Joint Northern Medieval Roofs Survey, *Vernacular Architect*, 24, 45 - 6

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D (Nottingham University), and Roberts, H M (North East Vernacular Architecture Group), 1996 List 67 no 1 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: Buildings of the Religious Estates in Medieval Durham; Dendrochronological Survey, 1994-95, *Vernacular Architect*, 27, 85 - 6

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988 An East Midlands master tree-ring chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings, University of Nottingham, Dept of Classical and Archaeol Studies, Monograph Series, III

# Table 1: Details of samples from Unthank Hall, Stanhope, Durham

×

Sample number	Sample location	Total rings	*Sapwood rings	First measured ring date	Last heartwood ring date	Last measured ring date
	West range					
STN-B01	East principal rafter, truss 2	80	no h/s			
STN-B02	West principal rafter, truss 2	71	no h/s	AD 1428		AD 1498
STN-B03	Upper west purlin, truss 2 – 3	70	3	AD 1464	AD 1530	AD 1533
STN-B04	West common rafter 11, bay 2	76	13	AD 1465	AD 1527	AD 1540
STN-B05	West common rafter 13, bay 2	54	2	AD 1477	AD 1528	AD 1530
STN-B06	East common rafter 13, bay 2	95	no h/s	AD 1411		AD 1505
STN-B07	East common rafter 14, bay 3	70	no h/s	AD 1441		AD 1510
STN-B08	East principal rafter, truss 4	78	h/s	AD 1454	AD 1531	AD 1531
STN-B09	Upper east purlin, truss 4 - 5	91	5	AD 1445	AD 1530	AD 1535
STN-B10	West principal rafter, truss 5	87	6	AD 1449	AD 1529	AD 1535
STN-B11	West common rafter 12, bay 5	69	no h/s	AD 1443		AD 1511
STN-B12	East - west joist 3, first-floor ceiling	130	28C	AD 1424	AD 1525	AD 1553
STN-B13	East - west joist 2, first-floor ceiling	90	no h/s	AD 1421		AD 1510
STN-B14	Main east - west joist, ground-floor ceiling	66	h/s	AD 1465	AD 1530	AD 1530
STN-B15	Bottom step, stairs, first-floor to roof	68	h/s	AD 1462	AD 1529	AD 1529

## Table 1: Continued

Sample number	Sample location	Total rings	*Sapwood rings	First measured ring date	Last heartwood ring date	Last measured ring date
141	South range					
STN-B16	North principal rafter, truss 4	113	no h/s	AD 1415		AD 1527
STN-B17	South principal rafter, truss 4	82	no h/s	AD 1435		AD 1516
STN-B18	South common rafter 2, bay 4	70	no h/s	AD 1386		AD 1455
STN-B19	North common rafter 2, bay 5	80	no h/s	AD 1432		AD 1511
STN-B20	North common rafter 3, bay 5	54	h/s	AD 1521	AD 1574	AD 1574
STN-B21	North common rafter 3, bay 4	114	11	AD 1467	AD 1569	AD 1580
STN-B22	North common rafter 5, bay 4	140	18C	AD 1453	AD 1574	AD 1592
STN-B23	South principal rafter, truss 1	95	27C	AD 1498	AD 1564	AD 1592
STN-B24	South common rafter 4, bay 1	180	10	AD 1408	AD 1577	AD 1587
STN-B25	North principal rafter, truss 1	83	6	AD 1483	AD 1559	AD 1565
STN-B26	East common rafter 6, gable window	158	no h/s	AD 1396		AD 1553
STN-B27	West common rafter 4, gable window	75	22			

h/s = the heartwood/sapwood boundary is the last ring on the sample C = complete sapwood is retained on sample, last measured ring date is felling date of timber

Results of the cross-matching of site chronologies and samples with relevant reference chronologies

Table 2: Site chronology STNBSQ01when first ring date is AD 1386 and last ring date is AD 1592

Reference chronology	Span of chronology	t-value	
East Midlands	AD 882 – 1981	4.4	(Laxton and Litton 1988)
England	AD 401 - 1981	5.0	(Baillie and Pilcher 1982 unpubl)
Cathedral Precinct, Durham	AD 1364 - 1531	7.4	(Howard et al 1992b)
Kepier Hospital, Durham	AD 1304 – 1522	6.1	(Howard et al 1996)
Ingleby Greenhow, N Yorks	AD 1429 - 1563	6.7	( Howard <i>et al</i> 1993b )
Hornby Hall, Cumbria	AD 1453 – 1549	6.5	(Howard et al 1993b)
Unthank Hall, Holmesfield, Derbys	AD 1359 - 1589	6.3	(Howard <i>et al</i> 1993a)
Hoyles Farm, Bradfield, Derbys	AD 1448 – 1552	6.2	(Howard et al 1993a)

## Table 3: Site chronology STNBQ02 when first ring date is AD 1415 and last ring date is AD 1527

East Midlands	AD 882-1981	3.6	(Laxton and Litton 1988)
England	AD 401-1981	4.2	(Baillie and Pilcher 1982 unpubl)
Hall Broom Farm, Dungworth, Derbys	AD 1382 - 1495	5.2	(Howard et al 1993a)
Kepier Hospital, Durham	AD 1304 - 1522	4.6	(Howard et al 1996)
Church Street, Eckington, Derbys	AD 1365 - 1480	4.1	(Howard <i>et al</i> 1992a)
Seaton Holme, Easington, Co Durham	AD 1375 - 1489	4.6	(Howard et al 1988 unpubl)



Figure 1: Map to show general location of Stanhope

(based upon the Ordnance Survey 1:50000 map with permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office, ©Crown Copyright).





(based upon the Ordnance Survey 1:10000 map with permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office, ©Crown Copyright).

# Figure 3: View of Unthank Hall from the west



# Figure 4: View of Unthank Hall from the south



Figure 5: View of the west range roof



Figure 6a: Plan of the ground floor showing phasing, position of trusses, and position of sample STN-B14



DRAFT PHASING : GROUND FLOOR PLAN : 1:100

Figure 6b: Plan of the first floor showing phasing, position of trusses, and position of sample STN-B15



DRAFT PHASING : FIRST FLOOR PLAN :

- -

Figure 7a: West range roof truss 2 (viewed from the south) to show sample locations







Figure 7c: West range roof truss 5 (viewed from the south) to show sample location



Figure 7d: South range roof truss 4 (viewed from the west) to show sample locations





.

ł





Figure 8: Bar diagram of samples in site chronology STNBSQ01 (grouped by sample location)

Figure 9: Bar diagram of samples in site chronology STNBSQ02



White bars = heartwood rings, shaded area = sapwood rings h/s = heartwood/sapwood boundary is last ring on sample C =complete sapwood retained on sample Data of measured samples - measurements in 0.01 mm units

STN-B01A 80

108 184 148 117 132 124 90 58 50 59 72

72 75 57 90 69 90 73 84 78 92

STN-B18A 70 197 124 153 212 230 91 163 154 153 129 164 144 135 140 194 128 150 163 158 145 144 130 124 174 134 134 152 149 112 128 125 135 146 111 110 128 121 147 151 151 99 90 102 138 141 121 127 115 98 86 87 97 110 75 113 105 102 90 116 131 111 118 99 93 89 101 105 96 97 110

76 140 STN-B17B 82 336 426 447 342 337 315 475 367 449 395 388 230 361 410 369 319 337 359 269 261 214 244 240 135 181 215 181 251 165 322 226 247 137 182 141 211 199 125 136 134 167 221 142 124 91 150 108 74 76 80 70 83 82 78 87 81 86 76 70 76 87 97 73 62 87 116 84 105 86 127 99 107 120 102 114 147 182 88 75 75 84 107

63 55 57 57 47 53 68 68 66 70 65 95 85 STN-B17A 82 369 425 464 349 321 288 463 362 456 420 374 229 367 394 355 326 343 357 259 256 210 264 243 134 172 211 180 243 175 308 222 245 143 179 127 198 212 132 129 139 175 215 151 121 89 147 100 78 70 87 74 79 84 71 94 76 80 78 73 79 92 90 69 72 87 118 83 108 95 118 102 102 118 118 96 157 188 90 73 83 76 140

STN-B16B 113 477 424 443 306 243 591 483 310 451 426 479 475 501 384 479 366 351 385 254 483 332 320 331 203 213 225 259 220 342 327 204 195 286 289 295 276 316 344 277 245 258 312 285 165 185 213 209 239 160 198 160 147 117 131 123 119 115 123 150 140 163 211 132 147 143 153 100 47 60 65 72 81 73 63 87 83 81 83 69 85 97 96 96 75 102 127 114 118 96 132 144 122 126 173 157 187 174 53 36 50 63 55 57 57 47 53 68 68 66 70 65 95 85

142 84 84 154 194 186 154 174 STN-B16A 113 487 423 444 311 232 596 467 328 434 422 484 509 472 377 476 380 331 384 221 439 390 353 330 207 240 200 255 204 341 298 204 199 287 291 292 275 312 337 289 234 241 306 297 158 193 218 207 229 167 188 154 150 119 123 127 119 119 124 147 141 168 213 132 149 144 151 102 42 58 76 66 82 78 61 85 82 84 81 79 83 85 110 79 80 102 141 102 123 93 138 131 125 136 154 173 187 173 55 27 56 63 51 57 62 47 54 63 72 65 69 72 98 80

154 79 97 166 213 164 166 193 STN-B15B 68 219 166 193 187 255 301 276 201 208 204 146 165 187 168 168 232 233 350 256 196 102 200 317 154 190 241 203 204 175 134 123 99 144 175 231 183 161 161 135 105 101 93 128 177 165 196 215 194 196 157 178 130 127 153 152 144 153 119 141 155

104 122 122 108 115 97 STN-B15A 68 247 166 201 185 258 292 227 195 210 199 128 170 193 156 177 235 238 337 274 202 92 205 324 157 178 259 206 192 189 130 130 96 143 177 228 188 165 155 138 91 96 104 135 158 168 195 223 195 195 157 170 126 132 141 151 158 146 132 134 158

STN-B14B 66 277 266 350 295 221 218 254 179 177 173 193 206 205 203 260 179 180 121 130 186 153 193 205 183 126 139 133 153 101 119 153 204 153 163 180 126 124 127 108 98 65 74 75 102 89 84 109 89 107 98 93 81 90 122 82 78 92 110 85 89

STN-B14A 66 254 270 354 293 225 222 249 190 162 181 184 237 194 202 255 179 180 108 156 167 149 184 204 174 130 133 143 156 107 127 141 202 148 161 186 122 128 114 120 101 74 70 90 90 96 73 105 99 102 87 105 77 80 121 100 76 88 109 84 87 104 134 103 118 112 115

 86
 68
 63
 75
 79
 84
 71
 63
 59
 53
 77
 101
 90
 60
 75
 61
 65
 49
 63
 63

 39
 52
 45
 48
 34
 41
 37
 44
 42
 30
 50
 49
 46
 46
 49
 45
 45
 39
 46
 39

 47
 33
 35
 42
 32
 43
 33
 31
 29
 20
 25
 32
 42
 41
 39
 38
 32
 38
 35
 44

 41
 38
 50
 41
 45
 50
 42
 50
 54
 51
 47
 67
 58
 39
 41
 50
 42
 91
 111
 94

 91
 89
 88
 91
 86
 84
 107
 119
 125
 123
 102
 80
 58
 104
 103
 113
 75
 86
 82

 80
 92
 93
 64
 74
 62
 73
 57

 109
 93
 96
 133
 190
 188
 181
 213
 270
 190
 196
 220
 230
 179
 158
 217
 195
 108
 98
 115

 141
 169
 134
 156
 155
 187
 199
 207
 193
 199
 227
 265
 198
 132
 83
 63
 118
 152
 192
 108

 107
 133
 149
 152
 99
 116
 99
 154
 132
 124
 121
 125
 182
 157
 198
 197
 106
 144
 105
 76

 57
 45
 59
 66
 53
 56
 57
 48
 45
 55
 56
 62

STN-B27B 75 68 105 91 156 191 185 185 206 278 177 198 221 215 187 159 222 190 108 95 117 134 164 136 158 153 180 205 200 193 204 228 266 205 136 77 57 128 150 190 113 98 143 141 152 108 108 98 153 139 126 110 130 184 162 183 197 105 145 111 77

50 53 65 62 53 52 69 35 66 45 44 45 41 32 38

### APPENDIX

### **Tree-Ring Dating**

#### The Principles of Tree-Ring Dating

Tree-ring dating, or *dendrochronology* as it is known, is discussed in some detail in the Laboratory's Monograph, 'An East Midlands Master Tree-Ring Chronology and its uses for dating Vernacular Buildings' (Laxton and Litton 1988b) and, for example, in Tree-Ring Dating and Archaeology (Baillie 1982) or A Slice Through Time (Baillie 1995). Here we will give the bare outlines. Each year an oak tree grows an extra ring on the outside of its trunk and all its branches just inside its bark. The width of this annual ring depends largely on the weather during the growing season, about April to October, and possibly also on the weather during the previous year. Good growing seasons give rise to relatively wide rings, poor ones to very narrow rings and average ones to relatively average ring widths. Since the climate is so variable from year to year, almost random-like, the widths of these rings will also appear random-like in sequence, reflecting the seasons. This is illustrated in Figure 1 where, for example, the widest rings appear at irregular intervals. This is the key to dating by tree rings, or rather, by their widths. Records of the average ring widths, one for each year for the last 1000 years or more, are available for different areas. These are called master chronologies. Because of the random-like nature of these sequences of widths, there is usually only one position at which a sequence of ring widths from a sample of timber with at least 70 rings will match a master. This will date the timber and, in particular, the last ring ...

If the bark is still on the sample, as in Figure 1, then the date of the last ring will be the date of felling of the oak from which it was cut. There is much evidence that in medieval times oaks cut down for building purposes were used almost immediately, usually within the year or so (Rackham 1976). Hence if bark is present on several main timbers in a building, none of which appear reused or are later insertions, and if they all have the same date for their last ring, then we can be quite confident that this is the date of construction. If there is no bark on the sample, then we have to make an estimate of the felling date; how this is done is explained below.

### The Practice of Tree-Ring Dating at the University of Nottingham Tree-Ring dating Laboratory

1. Inspecting the Building and Sampling the Timbers. Together with a building historian we inspect the timbers in a building to try to ensure that those sampled are not reused or later insertions. Sampling is almost always done by coring into the timber, which has the great advantage that we can sample in situ timbers and those judged best to give the date of construction, or phase of construction if there is more than one in the building. The timbers to be sampled are also inspected to see how many rings they have. We normally look for timbers with at least 70 rings, and preferably more. With fewer rings than this, 50 for example, sequences of widths become difficult to match to a unique position within a master sequence of ring widths and so are difficult to date (Litton and Zainodin 1991). The cross-section of the rafter shown in Figure 2 has about 120 rings; about 20 of which are sapwood rings. Similarly the core has just over 100 rings.

To ensure that we are getting the date of the building as a whole, or the whole of a phase of construction if there is more than one, about 8 to 10 samples per phase are usually taken. Sometimes we take many more, especially if the construction is complicated. One reason for taking so many samples is that, in general, some will fail to give a date. There may be many reasons why a particular sequence of ring widths from a sample of timber fails to give a date even though others from the same building do. For example, a particular tree may have grown in an odd ecological niche, so odd indeed that the widths of its rings were determined by factors other than the local climate! In such circumstances it will be impossible to date a timber from this tree using the master sequence whose widths, we can assume, were predominantly determined by the local climate at the time.



Fig 1. A wedge of oak from a tree felled in 1976. It shows the annual growth rings, one for each year from the innermost ring to the last ring on the outside just inside the bark. The year of each ring can be determined by counting back from the outside ring, which grew in 1976.



Fig 2. Cross-section of a rafter showing the presence of sapwood rings in the corners, the arrow is pointing to the heartwood/sapwood boundary (H/S). Also a core with sapwood; again the arrow is pointing to the H/S. The core is about the size of a pencil.



Fig 3. Measuring ring widths under a microscope. The microscope is fixed while the sample is on a moving platform. The total sequence of widths is measured twice to ensure that an error has not been made. This type of apparatus is needed to process a large number of samples on a regular basis.



Fig 4. Three cores from timbers in a building. They come from trees growing at the same time. Notice that, although the sequences of widths look similar, they are not identical. This is typical.

Sampling is done by coring into the timber with a hollow corer attached to an electric drill and usually from its outer rings inwards towards where the centre of the tree, the pith, is judged to be. An illustration of a core is shown in Figure 2; it is about 15cm long and 1cm diameter. Great care has to be taken to ensure that as few as possible of the outer rings are lost. This can be difficult as these outer rings are often very soft (see below on sapwood). Each sample is given a code which identifies uniquely which timber it comes from, which building it is from and where the building is located. For example, CRO-A06 is the sixth core taken from the first building (A) sampled by the Laboratory in Cropwell Bishop. Where it came from in that building will be shown in the sampling records and drawings. No structural damage is done to any timbers by coring, nor does it weaken them.

During the initial inspecton of the building and its timbers the dendrochronologist may come to the conclusion that, as far as can be judged, none of the timbers have sufficient rings in them for dating purposes and may advise against sampling to save further unwarranted expense.

All sampling by the Laboratory is undertaken according to current Health and Safety Standards. The Laboratory is insured with the CBA.

- 2. Measuring Ring Widths. Each core is sanded down with a belt sander using medium-grit paper and then finished by hand with flourgrade-grit paper. The rings are then clearly visible and differentiated from each other with a result very much like that shown in Figure 2. The core is then mounted on a movable table below a microscope and the ring-widths measured individually from the innermost ring to the outermost. The widths are automatically recorded in a computer file as they are measured (see Fig 3).
- 3. Cross-matching and Dating the Samples. Because of the factors besides the local climate which may determine the annual widths of a tree's rings, no two sequences of ring widths from different oaks growing at the same time are exactly alike (Fig 4). Indeed, the sequences may not be exactly alike even when the trees are growing near to each other. Consequently, in the Laboratory we do not attempt to match two sequences of ring widths by eye, or graphically, or by any other subjective method. Instead, it is done objectively (ie statistically) on a computer by a process called cross-matching. The output from the computer tells us the extent of correlation between two sample sequences of widths or, if we are dating, between a sample sequence of widths and the master, at each relative position of one to the other (offsets). The extent of the correlation at an offset is determined by the *t-value* (defined in almost any introductory book on statistics). That offset with the maximum t-value among the t-values at all the offsets will be the best candidate for dating one sequence relative to the other. If one of these is a master chronology, then this will date the other. Experiments carried out in the past with sequences from oaks of known date suggest that a t-value of at least 4.5, and preferably 5.0, is usually adequate for the dating to be accepted with reasonable confidence (Laxton *et al* 1988a,b; Howard *et al* 1984 1995).

This is illustrated in Fig 5 with timbers from one of the roofs of Lincoln Cathedral. Here four sequences of ring widths, LIN- C04, 05, 08, and 45, have been cross-matched with each other. The ring widths themselves have been omitted in the *bar-diagram*, as is usual, but the offsets at which they best cross-match each other are shown; eg. C08 matches C45 best when it is at a position starting 20 rings after the first ring of 45, and similarly for the others. The actual t-values between the four at these offsets of best correlations are in the matrix. Thus at the offset of +20 rings, the t-value between C45 and C08 is 5.6 and is the maximum between these two whatever the position of one sequence relative to the other.

It is standard practice in our Laboratory first to cross-match as many as possible of the sequences of the samples in a building and then to form an average from them. This average is called a site sequence of the building being dated and is illustrated in Fig 5. The fifth bar at the bottom is a site sequence for a roof at Lincoln Cathedral and is constructed from the matching sequences from four timbers. The site sequence width for each year is the average of the widths in each of the sample sequences which has a width for that year. The actual sequence of widths of this site sequence is stored on the computer. The reason for creating site sequences is that it is usually easier to date an average sequence of ring widths with a master sequence than it is to date the individual component sample sequences separately.

average sequence of ring widths with a master sequence than it is to date the individual component sample sequences separately.

This straightforward method of cross-matching several sample sequences with each other one at a time is called the 'maximal t-value' method. The actual method of cross-matching a group of sequences of ring-widths used in the Laboratory involves grouping and averaging the ring-width sequences and is called the 'Litton-Zainodin Grouping Procedure'. This was developed and tested in the Laboratory and has been published (Litton and Zainodin 1991; Laxton *et al* 1988a). To illustrate the difference between the two approaches with the above example, consider sequences C08 and C05. They are the most similar pair with a t-value of 10.4. Therefore, these two are first averaged with the first ring of C05 at +17 rings relative to C08 (the offset at which they match each other). This average sequence is then used in place of the individual sequences C08 and C05. The cross-matching continues in this way gradually building up averages at each stage eventually to form the site sequence.

4. Estimating the Felling Date. If the bark is present on a sample, then the date of its last ring is the date of the felling of its tree. Actually it could be the year after if it had been felled in the first three months before any new growth had started, but this is not too important a consideration in most cases. The actual bark may not be present on a timber in a building, though the dendrochronologist who is sampling can often see from its surface that only the bark is missing. In these cases the date of the last ring is still the date of felling.

Quite often some, though not all, of the original outer rings are missing on a timber. The outer rings on an oak, called sapwood rings, are usually lighter than the inner rings, the heartwood, and so are relatively easy to identify. For example, they can be seen in two upper corners of the rafter and at the outer end of the core in Figure 2. More importantly for dendrochronology, the sapwood is relatively soft and so liable to insect attack and wear and tear. The builder, therefore, may remove some of the sapwood for precisely for these reasons. Nevertheless, if at least some of the sapwood rings are left on a sample, we will know that not too many rings have been lost since felling. Thus in these circumstances the date of the present last ring is at least close to the date of the original last ring on the tree, and so to the date of felling.

Various estimates have been made for the average number of sapwood rings in a mature oak. One estimate is 30 rings, based on data from living oaks. So, in the case of the core in Figure 2 where 9 sapwood rings remain, this would give an estimate for the felling date of 21 (= 30 - 9) years later than of the date of the last ring on the core. Actually, it is better in these situations to give an estimated range for the felling date. Another estimate is that in 95% of mature oaks there are between 15 and 50 sapwood rings. So in this example this would mean that the felling took place between 6 (= 15 - 9) and 41 (= 50 - 9) years after the date of the last ring on the core and is expected to be right in at least 95% of the cases (Hughes *et al* 1981; see also Hillam *et al* 1987).

Data from the Laboratory has shown that when sequences are considered together in groups, rather than separately, the estimates for the number of sapwood can be put at between 15 and 40 rings in 95% of the cases with the expected number being 25 rings. We would use these estimates, for example, in calculating the range for the common felling date of the four sequences from Lincoln Cathedral using the average position of the heartwood/sapwood boundary (Fig 5). These new estimates are now used by us in all our publications except for timbers from Kent and Nottinghamshire where 25 and between 15 to 35 sapwood rings, respectively, is used instead (Pearson 1995).

More precise estimates of the felling date and range can often be obtained using knowledge of a particular case and information gathered at the time of sampling. For example, at the time of sampling the dendrochronologist may have noted that the timber from which the core of Figure 2 was taken still had complete sapwood. Sapwood rings were only lost in coring, because of their softness By measuring in the timber the depth of sapwood lost, say 2 cm., a reasonable estimate can be made of the number of sapwood rings missing from the core, say 12 to 15 rings in this case By adding on 12 to 15 years to the date of the last ring on the sample a good tight estimate for the range of the felling date can be obtained, which is often better than the 15 to 40 years later we would have estimated without this observation.

## T-value/Offset Matrix

	C45	C08	C05	C04
C45	$\backslash$	+20	+37	+47
<b>C</b> 08	5.6		+17	+27
C05	5.2	10.4		+10
C04	5.9	3.7	5.1	$\mathbf{i}$

### **Bar Diagram**



Fig 5. Cross-matching of four sequences from a Lincoln Cathedral roof and the formation of a site sequence from them.

The *bar diagram* represents these sequences without the rings themselves. The length of the bar is proportional to the number of rings in the sequence. Here the four sequences are set at relative positions (*offsets*) to each other at which they have maximum correlation as measured by the *t*-values.

The *t-value offset* matrix contains the maximum t-values below the diagonal and the offsets above it.

Thus, the maximum t-value between C08 and C45 occurs at the offset of +20 rings and the t-value is then 5.6.

The site sequence is composed of the average of the corresponding widths, as illustrated with one width.

Even if all the sapwood rings are missing on all the timbers sampled, an estimate of the felling date is still possible in certain cases. For provided the original last heartwood ring of the tree, called the heartwood/sapwood boundary (H/S), is still on some of the samples, an estimate for the felling date of the group of trees can be obtained by adding on the full 25 years, or 15 to 40 for the range of felling dates.

If none of the timbers have their heartwood/sapwood boundaries, then only a *post quem* date for felling is possible.

- 5. Estimating the Date of Construction. There is a considerable body of evidence in the data collected by the Laboratory that the oak timbers used in vernacular buildings, at least, were used 'green' (see also Rackham (1976)). Hence provided the samples are taken *in situ*, and several dated with the same estimated common felling date, then this felling date will give an estimated date for the construction of the building, or for the phase of construction. If for some reason or other we are rather restricted in what samples we can take, then an estimated common felling date may not be such a precise estimate of the date of construction. More sampling may be needed for this.
- 6. Master Chronological Sequences. Ultimately, to date a sequence of ring widths, or a site sequence, we need a master sequence of dated ring widths with which to cross-match it, a Master Chronology. To construct such a sequence we have to start with a sequence of widths whose dates are known and this means beginning with a sequence from an oak tree whose date of felling is known. In Fig 6 such a sequence is SHE-T, which came from a tree in Sherwood Forest which was blown down in a recent gale. After this other sequences which cross-match with it are added and gradually the sequence is 'pushed back in time' as far as the age of samples will allow. This process is illustrated in Fig 6. We have a master chronological sequence of widths for Nottinghamshire and East Midlands oak for each year from AD 882 to 1981. It is described in great detail in Laxton and Litton 1988b, but the components it contains are shown here in the form of a bar diagram. As can be seen, it is well replicated in that for each year in this period there are several sample sequences having widths for that year. The master is the average of these. This master can now be used to date oak from this area and from the surrounding areas where the climate is very similar to that in the East Midlands. The Laboratory has also constructed a master for Kent (Laxton and Litton 1989). The method the Laboratory uses to construct a master sequence, such as the East Midlands and Kent, is completely objective and uses the Litton-Zainodin grouping procedure (Laxton et al 1988a). Other laboratories and individuals have constructed masters for other areas and have made them available. As well as these masters, local (dated) site chronologies can be used to date other buildings from nearby. The Laboratory has hundreds of these site sequences from many parts of England and Wales covering many short periods.
- 7. *Ring-width Indices.* Tree-ring dating can be done by cross-matching the ring widths themselves, as described above. However, it is advantageous to modify the widths first. Because different trees grow at different rates and because a young oak grows in a different way from an older oak, irrespective of the climate, the widths are first standardized before any matching between them is attempted. These standard widths are known as ring-width indices and were first used in dendrochronology by Baillie and Pilcher (1973). The exact form they take is explained in this paper and in the appendix of Laxton and Litton (1988b) and is illustrated in the graphs in Fig 7. Here ring-widths are plotted vertically, one for each year of growth. In the upper sequence (a), the generally large early growth after 1810 is very apparent as is the smaller generally later growth from about 1900 onwards. A similar difference can be observed in the lower sequence starting in 1835. In both the widths are also changing rapidly from year to year. The peaks are the wide rings and the troughs are the narrow rings, hopefully corresponding to good and poor growing seasons, respectively. The two corresponding sequences of Baillie-Pilcher indices are plotted in (b) where the differences in the early and late growths have been removed and only the rapidly changing peaks and troughs remain only associated with the common climatic signal and so make cross-matching easier.



Fig 6. Bar diagram showing the relative positions and dates of the first rings of the component site sequences in the East Midlands Master Dendrochronological Sequence, EM08/87.



Fig 7. (a) The raw ring-widths of two samples, THO-A01 and THO-B05, whose felling dates are known. Here the ring widths are plotted vertically, one for each year, so that peaks represent wide rings and troughs narrow ones. Notice the growth-trends in each; on average the earlier rings of the young tree are wider than the later ones of the older tree in both sequences.

(b) The *Baillie-Pilcher indices* of the above widths. The growth-trends have been removed completely.

#### REFERENCES

Baillie, M G L, 1982 Tree-Ring Dating and Archaeology, London.

Baillie, M G L, 1995 A Slice Through Time, London

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1973, A simple cross-dating program for tree-ring research, *Tree-Ring Bulletin*, 33, 7-14

Hillam, J, Morgan, R A, and Tyers, I, 1987, Sapwood estimates and the dating of short ring sequences, *Applications of tree-ring studies*, BAR Int Ser, 3, 165-85

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1984-95, Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory Results, Vernacular Architecture, 15 - 26

Hughes, M K, Milson, S J, and Legett, P A, 1981 Sapwood estimates in the interpretation of treering dates, *J Archaeol Sci*, 8, 381-90

Laxton, R R, Litton, R R, and Zainodin, H J, 1988a An objective method for forming a master ringwidth sequence, P A C T, 22, 25-35

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988b An East Midlands Master Chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings, University of Nottingham, Department of Archaeology Publication, Monograph Series III

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1989 Construction of a Kent Master Dendrochronological Sequence for Oak, A.D. 1158 to 1540, *Medieval Archaeol*, **33**, 90-8

Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1991 Statistical models of Dendrochronology, J Archaeol Sci. 18, 429-40

Pearson, S, 1995 The Medieval Houses of Kent, An Historical Analysis, London

Rackham, O, 1976 Trees and Woodland in the British Landscape, London