Centre for Archaeology Report 51/2003

Tree-Ring Analysis of Timbers from Clothall Bury Barn, Wallingford, near Baldock, Hertfordshire

A J Arnold, R E Howard and Dr C D Litton with an introduction by Richard Bond

© English Heritage 2003

ISSN 1473-9224

The Centre for Archaeology Report Series incorporates the former Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report Series. Copies of Ancient Monuments Laboratory Reports will continue to be available from the Centre for Archaeology (see back cover for details). Centre for Archaeology Report 51/2003

Tree-Ring Analysis of Timbers from Clothall Bury Barn, Wallingford, near Baldock, Hertfordshire

A J Arnold, R E Howard and Dr C D Litton with an introduction by Richard Bond

Summary

A total of sixteen samples was obtained from a wide range of timbers at this barn at Clothall Bury, Hertfordshire. The analysis of these produced a single site chronology consisting of twelve samples having an overall combined length of 115 rings. This site chronology was dated as spanning the years AD 1253 to AD 1367.

Interpretation of the sapwood, and the relative positions of the heartwood sapwood boundaries on the dated samples, would suggest that all of them represent timbers with a felling date of AD 1367.

Keywords

Dendrochronology Standing Building

Author's address

Department of Archaeology, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD.

Many CfA reports are interim reports which make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication. They are not subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of archaeological information that was not available at the time of the investigation. Readers are therefore advised to consult the author before citing the report in any publication and to consult the final excavation report when available.

Opinions expressed in CfA reports are those of the author and are not necessarily those of English Heritage.

Introduction

Clothall Bury Barn, Wallingford, is situated near Baldock in Hertfordshire (TL 289320; Figs 1 and 2). The barn is presently listed grade II and described as dating from the sixteenth century. The building is timber-framed and the side and end wall frames are infilled with brick. The walls are weatherboarded externally and the roof is clad in corrugated metal sheeting. The barn is aisled and divided internally into seven bays by six intermediate cross frames. Each cross frame comprises a pair of arcade posts linked by a tie beam, above which are two angled struts clasping a pair of side purlins. An illustrative example of a truss is given in Figure 3. There are two gabled cart entrances on the western side, and on the east side, opposite the northern cart entrance, is a late nineteenth- century threshing bay with white brick walls and a low-pitched slate roof.

The timber frame of the barn includes a large number of reused elements salvaged from an earlier barn on the site, or brought from elsewhere. A number of arcade posts and tie beams include empty mortices redundant in the context of the present building, suggesting that the timbers themselves are probably reused. Potentially, a number of the less obviously reused timbers in the barn could also be reused. Some of the reused tie beams include a halving for a lap-jointed timber at, or close to, their centre. There is only ever one such halving per beam, and these are always for a timber that intersected from the east side of the tie beam, never the west side. Whether the empty halvings relate to a former arrangement of passing braces in the present barn or some other building from the aisle wall posts to the tie beam on the east side only, and these appear to be of fairly recent date. It is clear that the building from which the existing reused arcade posts were salvaged had passing braces (the empty halvings for these can be seen on the faces of the posts). Just below the level of the empty halvings can be seen an empty mortice in the rear (outer) face of the reused arcade posts. These mortices were for an earlier set of aisle tie beams serving the same purpose as the existing aisle ties, but which were situated at a lower level in relation to the tie beams.

The feet of the arcade posts of the intermediate cross frames are morticed into transverse timber sill beams sitting on brick plinths. The external wall frames originally had a fairly high brick plinth, but large parts of the original brickwork has been lost or replaced. The external walls have brick infilling between the vertical timbers of the wall frames. Many of the brick infill panels have been replaced, however the earliest areas of brickwork which appear to be original *in situ* panels, have 2" bricks of probable sixteenth- or seventeenth-century date.

The arcade plates and wall plates have edge-halved scarf joints (two pegs at each end, one above the other), stylistically dateable to the late-sixteenth or seventeenth centuries. The timbers are scarfed together at every cross frame. The side purlins in the roof frame are similarly joined together at each roof truss with simple splay-halved scarf joints.

As was common practice, the timbers were numbered (using a modified style of Roman numerals) in the carpenter's yard during the pre-fabrication stage to ensure the correct matching together of the various framing elements during the final assembly of the roof frame on site. Whereas normally a reused timber might exhibit two different sets of carpenter's marks – one relating to the present use of the timber and the other to its previous use – in this building the more obviously reused timbers (the arcade posts, for example) appear to exhibit just one set of carpenter's marks relating to the current configuration of the timber frame. Whether there was an earlier pattern of carpenter's marks on the reused timbers, but these have been lost through timber decay or the timbers being truncated prior to their use in the present building, is not known. The carpenter's numbers applied to the timbers during the construction of the present building, is not known. The carpenter's numbered. The numbering runs in correct order from north to south. There is no equivalent set of marks on the west side of the building. Where the timber frame has come apart at the north-west corner of the building, it can be seen that the underside of the aisle ties were also numbered. The aisle tie here is marked with the number IIII (ie 4) suggesting that the numbering sequence of the aisle ties on the west side ran in the opposite direction to the arcade braces on the east side.

The two cart entrances on the west side of the barn are original to the construction of the present building. The wall plates of the gabled entrances take the place of aisle tie beams, and are set at a slightly higher level. The pattern of carpenter's marks found on the aisle ties (see above) also agrees with the present arrangement of cart entrances along the west side, ie there are only four aisle ties (as such) on this side of the building – the north-west aisle tie being marked number IIII – whereas along the east side there are eight aisle tie beams, all set at the same height above ground level.

A plan drawn by Richard Bond of English Heritage showing the position of reused or repositioned timbers is given in Figure 4.

Sampling

Sampling and analysis by tree-ring dating of timbers from the barn were commissioned by English Heritage. The purpose of this was to provide a precise date for the primary construction phase, and any subsequent alterations or repairs, to inform a potential listing upgrade.

After on-site discussions with Richard Bond, and in conjunction with the English Heritage brief, a total of sixteen core samples was obtained from the available timbers. Both those timbers that were obviously reused or repositioned, as well as those which showed no evidence for reuse were sampled. Each sample was given the code CLB-A (for Clothall Bury, site "A"), and numbered 01 - 16. Timbers were selected for sampling on the basis of their appearing to have sufficient rings for satisfactory analysis by tree-ring dating. In this respect a number of them, both those with evidence of reuse and those without, had to be rejected because, although being large timbers, they appeared to have too few rings for satisfactory analysis. Timbers were also selected on the basis of their having at least the heartwood/sapwood boundary.

The positions of the timbers sampled are shown in Figures 5a to 5e, using a drawing of a typical cross frame provided by Richard Bond. Details of the samples are given in Table 1. In this report the trusses have been numbered from north to south, with individual timbers being described on a north - south, or east - west basis, as appropriate.

The Laboratory would like to take this opportunity of thanking the owners of the barn, Mr and Mrs Haltom, for allowing sampling, for being so enthusiastic about the project, and for the hospitality during a few cold days sampling in December. The Laboratory would also like to thank Richard Bond for not only providing much of the introductory paragraph above, but also for his clear advice and discussion about the possible phasing of the timbers. Richard Bond also provided immediately useable drawings upon which to show sample locations.

Analysis

Each of the sixteen samples was prepared by sanding and polishing and their annual growth-ring widths were measured. The data of these measurements are given at the end of the report. These data were then compared with each other by the Litton/Zainodin grouping procedure (see appendix).

At a minimum *t*-value of 4.5 a single site chronology, CLBASQ01, consisting of twelve samples of combined overall length 115 rings was formed. A bar diagram showing the relative positions of the samples in this site chronology is given in Figure 6. Site chronology CLBASQ01 was then compared with a large number of relevant reference chronologies for oak. This indicated a consistent cross-match with a number of these when the date of its first ring is AD 1253 and the date of its last measured ring is AD 1367. The *t*-values for this cross-matching are given in Table 2.

Site chronology CLBASQ01 was then compared with the four remaining ungrouped samples but there was no further satisfactory cross-matching. Each of the four remaining ungrouped samples was then compared

individually with the reference chronologies, but again there was no satisfactory cross-matching, and these samples must remain undated.

Interpretation and conclusion

Analysis by dendrochronology has produced a single site chronology made up of material obtained from a wide spread of locations within the barn at Clothall Bury. This site chronology consists of twelve samples and is 115 rings long, these rings being dated as spanning the period AD 1253 to AD 1367.

Only one of the samples, CLB-A13, in site chronology CLBASQ01 retains complete sapwood, this having a last measured, complete, sapwood ring date of AD 1367. The relative positions of the heartwood/sapwood boundaries on all the other dated samples, including those from both reused and apparently non-reused timbers, is indicative of a group of timbers with a single felling date and all these others were almost certainly felled in AD 1367 too.

Such a felling date would thus not immediately appear consistent with the supposed sixteenth-century date ascribed to the barn in the site listing description, but would indicate that many of the timbers, at least, are perhaps as much as two hundred years older. Given the evidence of empty mortices and lap joints etc, it is almost certain that the barn has been substantially altered with some timbers also being moved, possibly, on the basis of its current stylistic form, in the sixteenth century.

What tree-ring dating has not been able to demonstrate is when this major alteration occurred, as all dated timbers are dated to the late fourteenth century. This may be a result of the sample selection process in that only those timbers with sufficient rings, which may only be the earlier ones, were selected. The timbers with wider and fewer rings, which were rejected, might belong to the later alteration phase. It is felt that the sampling of such wide-ringed timbers would not be worthwhile. It might be pointed out though that some timbers with clear evidence for an earlier use were also rejected as having too few rings. In any case, the results obtained here thus reinforce the benefits of applying tree-ring analysis to buildings which are thought to be reliably tightly dated on the basis of architectural styles but the timbers of which show evidence of reuse.

Four samples remain undated, all four having low numbers of rings, with three of them also having complacent ring-growth as well. It is probably these features that makes cross-matching and dating difficult.

Bibliography

Esling, J, Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1990 List 33 no 11c - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results, *Vernacular Architect*, **21**, 37 – 40

Groves, C, Hillam, J, and Pelling-Fulford, F, 1997 Dendrochronology, in *Excavations on Reading Waterfront sites* 1979-1988 (eds J W Hawkes and P J Fasham), Wessex Archaeol Rep, 5, 64 – 70

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1993 List 48 no 9b - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results, *Vernacular Architect*, **24**, 40 – 2

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1994 List 57 no 15a - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results, *Vernacular Architect*, **25**, 36 – 40

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, 1998 Tree-ring analysis of timbers from Chicksands Priory, Chicksands, Bedfordshire, Anc Mon Lab Rep, 30/98

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988 An East Midlands master tree-ring chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings, University of Nottingham, Dept of Classical and Archaeol Studies, Monograph Series, III

Tyers, I, and Groves C, 1999 unpubl England London, unpubl computer file LON1175, Sheffield Univ

Sample number	Sample location	Total rings	*Sapwood rings	First measured ring date	Last heartwood ring date	Last measured ring date
CLB-A01	West arcade post, truss 1 ®	91	h/s	AD 1258	AD 1348	AD 1348
CLB-A02	West arcade post, truss 6	83	h/s	AD 1259	AD 1341	AD 1341
CLB-A03	West arcade post, truss 2 ®	64	no h/s	AD 1257		AD 1320
CLB-A04	East strut, stub tiebeam - wall post, truss 2	69	no h/s	AD 1262		AD 1330
CLB-A05	South arcade brace, east arcade post, truss 2	69	h/s	AD 1273	AD 1341	AD 1341
CLB-A06	East arcade post, truss 5 ®	74	h/s	AD 1269	AD 1342	AD 1342
CLB-A07	West arcade post, truss 5 ®	62	no h/s	AD 1270		AD 1331
CLB-A08	North arcade brace, west arcade post, truss 7	85	14	AD 1272	AD 1342	AD 1356
CLB-A09	Collar, truss 5 ®	87	h/s	AD 1253	AD 1339	AD 1339
CLB-A10	South arcade brace, east arcade post, truss 5	54	4		eas any loss that had been	
CLB-A11	West strut, stub tiebeam - wall post, truss 5	54	2			
CLB-A12	Collar, truss 7 ®	80	h/s	AD 1264	AD 1343	AD 1343
CLB-A13	South arcade brace, east arcade post, truss 7	87	22C	AD 1281	AD 1345	AD 1367
CLB-A14	North arcade brace, east arcade post, truss 2	65	no h/s	AD 1271		AD 1335
CLB-A15	East arcade post, truss 2 ®	60	h/s			
CLB-A16	West arcade post, truss 7	56	h/s		and you also and hat	

Table 1: Details of samples from Clothall Bury Barn, Wallingford, near Baldock, Hertfordshire

*h/s = the heartwood/sapwood boundary is the last ring on the sample C = complete sapwood retained on sample, last measured ring date is the felling date of the timber ® = timber shows evidence of reuse / repositioning

Table 2: Results of the cross-matching of site chronology CLBASQ01 and relevant reference chronologies when first ring date is AD 1253 and last ring date is AD 1367

Reference chronology	Span of chronology	<i>t</i> -value	
Chicksands Priory, Beds	AD 1200 - 1541	7.9	(Howard et al 1998)
Reading Waterfront, Berks	AD 1160 - 1407	7.5	(Groves et al 1997)
Ramsey, Cambs	AD 1215 - 1443	6.5	(Laxton and Litton 1988)
Lacock Abbey, Wilts	AD 1314 - 1448	6.1	(Esling et al 1990)
Stowmarket Church, Suffolk	AD 1251 - 1363	5.5	(Howard et al 1994)
Thame Park House, Thame, Oxon	AD 1234 - 1319	5.2	(Howard et al 1993)
East Midlands	AD 882 - 1981	5.1	(Laxton and Litton 1988)
England London	AD 413 - 1728	4.8	(Tyers and Groves 1999 unpubl)



Figure 1: Map to show general location of Clothall Bury

© Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900



Figure 2: Map to show specific location of Clothall Bury Barn

© Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900

Figure 3: Illustrative example of a typical truss





Figure 4: Plan to show reused timbers

10

Figure 5a: Drawing to show position of timbers sampled from truss 1 (drawing based on a typical truss viewed from the south looking north)



Figure 5b: Drawing to show position of timbers sampled from truss 2 (drawing based on a typical truss viewed from the south looking north)



12



Figure 5c: Drawing to show position of timbers sampled from truss 5 (drawing based on a typical truss viewed from the south looking north)

Figure 5d: Drawing to show position of timbers sampled from truss 6 (drawing based on a typical truss viewed from the south looking north)



14

Figure 5e: Drawing to show position of timbers sampled from truss 7 (drawing based on a typical truss viewed from the south looking north)





Figure 6: Bar diagram of the samples in site chronology CLBASQ01

white bars = heartwood rings, shaded area = sapwood rings

h/s = heartwood/sapwood boundary is last ring on sample

C = complete sapwood retained on sample, last measured ring date is the felling date of the timber

Data of measured samples - measurements in 0.01 mm units

CLB-A01A 91

18

CLB-A16A 56

143 130 169 148 179 162 86 262 182 77 162 243 308 286 350 422 455 420 318 285 324 292 298 219 191 288 324 303 277 248 366 310 249 452 416 575 470 475 420 459 431 353 389 456 448 524 473 420 555 452 332 275 278 297 270 292 CLB-A16B 56

120 122 172 148 225 118 83 256 198 67 155 246 320 268 358 407 458 416 315 313 318 292 286 216 228 302 291 289 288 230 387 328 241 448 426 568 484 459 443 467 435 331 386 464 425 502 462 388 557 440 329 289 306 278 270 274

APPENDIX

Tree-Ring Dating

The Principles of Tree-Ring Dating

Tree-ring dating, or *dendrochronology* as it is known, is discussed in some detail in the Laboratory's Monograph, 'An East Midlands Master Tree-Ring Chronology and its uses for dating Vernacular Buildings' (Laxton and Litton 1988b) and, for example, in Tree-Ring Dating and Archaeology (Baillie 1982) or A Slice Through Time (Baillie 1995). Here we will give the bare outlines. Each year an oak tree grows an extra ring on the outside of its trunk and all its branches just inside its bark. The width of this annual ring depends largely on the weather during the growing season, about April to October, and possibly also on the weather during the previous year. Good growing seasons give rise to relatively wide rings, poor ones to very narrow rings and average ones to relatively average ring widths. Since the climate is so variable from year to year, almost random-like, the widths of these rings will also appear random-like in sequence, reflecting the seasons. This is illustrated in Figure 1 where, for example, the widest rings appear at irregular intervals. This is the key to dating by tree rings, or rather, by their widths. Records of the average ring widths, one for each year for the last 1000 years or more, are available for different areas. These are called master chronologies. Because of the random-like nature of these sequences of widths, there is usually only one position at which a sequence of ring widths from a sample of timber with at least 70 rings will match a master. This will date the timber and, in particular, the last ring ...

If the bark is still on the sample, as in Figure 1, then the date of the last ring will be the date of felling of the oak from which it was cut. There is much evidence that in medieval times oaks cut down for building purposes were used almost immediately, usually within the year or so (Rackham 1976). Hence if bark is present on several main timbers in a building, none of which appear reused or are later insertions, and if they all have the same date for their last ring, then we can be quite confident that this is the date of construction. If there is no bark on the sample, then we have to make an estimate of the felling date; how this is done is explained below.

The Practice of Tree-Ring Dating at the University of Nottingham Tree-Ring dating Laboratory

1. Inspecting the Building and Sampling the Timbers. Together with a building historian we inspect the timbers in a building to try to ensure that those sampled are not reused or later insertions. Sampling is almost always done by coring into the timber, which has the great advantage that we can sample in situ timbers and those judged best to give the date of construction, or phase of construction if there is more than one in the building. The timbers to be sampled are also inspected to see how many rings they have. We normally look for timbers with at least 70 rings, and preferably more. With fewer rings than this, 50 for example, sequences of widths become difficult to match to a unique position within a master sequence of ring widths and so are difficult to date (Litton and Zainodin 1991). The cross-section of the rafter shown in Figure 2 has about 120 rings; about 20 of which are sapwood rings. Similarly the core has just over 100 rings.

To ensure that we are getting the date of the building as a whole, or the whole of a phase of construction if there is more than one, about 8 to 10 samples per phase are usually taken. Sometimes we take many more, especially if the construction is complicated. One reason for taking so many samples is that, in general, some will fail to give a date. There may be many reasons why a particular sequence of ring widths from a sample of timber fails to give a date even though others from the same building do. For example, a particular tree may have grown in an odd ecological niche, so odd indeed that the widths of its rings were determined by factors other than the local climate! In such circumstances it will be impossible to date a timber from this tree using the master sequence whose widths, we can assume, were predominantly determined by the local climate at the time.



Fig 1. A wedge of oak from a tree felled in 1976. It shows the annual growth rings, one for each year from the innermost ring to the last ring on the outside just inside the bark. The year of each ring can be determined by counting back from the outside ring, which grew in 1976.



Fig 2. Cross-section of a rafter showing the presence of sapwood rings in the corners, the arrow is pointing to the heartwood/sapwood boundary (H/S). Also a core with sapwood; again the arrow is pointing to the H/S. The core is about the size of a pencil.



Fig 3. Measuring ring widths under a microscope. The microscope is fixed while the sample is on a moving platform. The total sequence of widths is measured twice to ensure that an error has not been made. This type of apparatus is needed to process a large number of samples on a regular basis.



Fig 4. Three cores from timbers in a building. They come from trees growing at the same time. Notice that, although the sequences of widths look similar, they are not identical. This is typical.

Sampling is done by coring into the timber with a hollow corer attached to an electric drill and usually from its outer rings inwards towards where the centre of the tree, the pith, is judged to be. An illustration of a core is shown in Figure 2; it is about 15cm long and 1cm diameter. Great care has to be taken to ensure that as few as possible of the outer rings are lost. This can be difficult as these outer rings are often very soft (see below on sapwood). Each sample is given a code which identifies uniquely which timber it comes from, which building it is from and where the building is located. For example, CRO-A06 is the sixth core taken from the first building (A) sampled by the Laboratory in Cropwell Bishop. Where it came from in that building will be shown in the sampling records and drawings. No structural damage is done to any timbers by coring, nor does it weaken them.

During the initial inspecton of the building and its timbers the dendrochronologist may come to the conclusion that, as far as can be judged, none of the timbers have sufficient rings in them for dating purposes and may advise against sampling to save further unwarranted expense.

All sampling by the Laboratory is undertaken according to current Health and Safety Standards. The Laboratory is insured with the CBA.

- 2. Measuring Ring Widths. Each core is sanded down with a belt sander using medium-grit paper and then finished by hand with flourgrade-grit paper. The rings are then clearly visible and differentiated from each other with a result very much like that shown in Figure 2. The core is then mounted on a movable table below a microscope and the ring-widths measured individually from the innermost ring to the outermost. The widths are automatically recorded in a computer file as they are measured (see Fig 3).
- 3. Cross-matching and Dating the Samples. Because of the factors besides the local climate which may determine the annual widths of a tree's rings, no two sequences of ring widths from different oaks growing at the same time are exactly alike (Fig 4). Indeed, the sequences may not be exactly alike even when the trees are growing near to each other. Consequently, in the Laboratory we do not attempt to match two sequences of ring widths by eye, or graphically, or by any other subjective method. Instead, it is done objectively (ie statistically) on a computer by a process called cross-matching. The output from the computer tells us the extent of correlation between two sample sequences of widths or, if we are dating, between a sample sequence of widths and the master, at each relative position of one to the other (offsets). The extent of the correlation at an offset is determined by the *t-value* (defined in almost any introductory book on statistics). That offset with the maximum t-value among the t-values at all the offsets will be the best candidate for dating one sequence relative to the other. If one of these is a master chronology, then this will date the other. Experiments carried out in the past with sequences from oaks of known date suggest that a t-value of at least 4.5, and preferably 5.0, is usually adequate for the dating to be accepted with reasonable confidence (Laxton *et al* 1988a,b; Howard *et al* 1984 1995).

This is illustrated in Fig 5 with timbers from one of the roofs of Lincoln Cathedral. Here four sequences of ring widths, LIN- C04, 05, 08, and 45, have been cross-matched with each other. The ring widths themselves have been omitted in the *bar-diagram*, as is usual, but the offsets at which they best cross-match each other are shown; eg. C08 matches C45 best when it is at a position starting 20 rings after the first ring of 45, and similarly for the others. The actual t-values between the four at these offsets of best correlations are in the matrix. Thus at the offset of +20 rings, the t-value between C45 and C08 is 5.6 and is the maximum between these two whatever the position of one sequence relative to the other.

It is standard practice in our Laboratory first to cross-match as many as possible of the sequences of the samples in a building and then to form an average from them. This average is called a site sequence of the building being dated and is illustrated in Fig 5. The fifth bar at the bottom is a site sequence for a roof at Lincoln Cathedral and is constructed from the matching sequences from four timbers. The site sequence width for each year is the average of the widths in each of the sample sequences which has a width for that year. The actual sequence of widths of this site sequence is stored on the computer. The reason for creating site sequences is that it is usually easier to date an average sequence of ring widths with a master sequence than it is to date the individual component sample sequences separately.

average sequence of ring widths with a master sequence than it is to date the individual component sample sequences separately.

This straightforward method of cross-matching several sample sequences with each other one at a time is called the 'maximal t-value' method. The actual method of cross-matching a group of sequences of ring-widths used in the Laboratory involves grouping and averaging the ring-width sequences and is called the 'Litton-Zainodin Grouping Procedure'. This was developed and tested in the Laboratory and has been published (Litton and Zainodin 1991; Laxton *et al* 1988a). To illustrate the difference between the two approaches with the above example, consider sequences C08 and C05. They are the most similar pair with a t-value of 10.4. Therefore, these two are first averaged with the first ring of C05 at +17 rings relative to C08 (the offset at which they match each other). This average sequence is then used in place of the individual sequences C08 and C05. The cross-matching continues in this way gradually building up averages at each stage eventually to form the site sequence.

4. Estimating the Felling Date. If the bark is present on a sample, then the date of its last ring is the date of the felling of its tree. Actually it could be the year after if it had been felled in the first three months before any new growth had started, but this is not too important a consideration in most cases. The actual bark may not be present on a timber in a building, though the dendrochronologist who is sampling can often see from its surface that only the bark is missing. In these cases the date of the last ring is still the date of felling.

Quite often some, though not all, of the original outer rings are missing on a timber. The outer rings on an oak, called sapwood rings, are usually lighter than the inner rings, the heartwood, and so are relatively easy to identify. For example, they can be seen in two upper corners of the rafter and at the outer end of the core in Figure 2. More importantly for dendrochronology, the sapwood is relatively soft and so liable to insect attack and wear and tear. The builder, therefore, may remove some of the sapwood for precisely for these reasons. Nevertheless, if at least some of the sapwood rings are left on a sample, we will know that not too many rings have been lost since felling. Thus in these circumstances the date of the present last ring is at least close to the date of the original last ring on the tree, and so to the date of felling.

Various estimates have been made for the average number of sapwood rings in a mature oak. One estimate is 30 rings, based on data from living oaks. So, in the case of the core in Figure 2 where 9 sapwood rings remain, this would give an estimate for the felling date of 21 (= 30 - 9) years later than of the date of the last ring on the core. Actually, it is better in these situations to give an estimated range for the felling date. Another estimate is that in 95% of mature oaks there are between 15 and 50 sapwood rings. So in this example this would mean that the felling took place between 6 (= 15 - 9) and 41 (= 50 - 9) years after the date of the last ring on the core and is expected to be right in at least 95% of the cases (Hughes *et al* 1981; see also Hillam *et al* 1987).

Data from the Laboratory has shown that when sequences are considered together in groups, rather than separately, the estimates for the number of sapwood can be put at between 15 and 40 rings in 95% of the cases with the expected number being 25 rings. We would use these estimates, for example, in calculating the range for the common felling date of the four sequences from Lincoln Cathedral using the average position of the heartwood/sapwood boundary (Fig 5). These new estimates are now used by us in all our publications except for timbers from Kent and Nottinghamshire where 25 and between 15 to 35 sapwood rings, respectively, is used instead (Pearson 1995).

More precise estimates of the felling date and range can often be obtained using knowledge of a particular case and information gathered at the time of sampling. For example, at the time of sampling the dendrochronologist may have noted that the timber from which the core of Figure 2 was taken still had complete sapwood. Sapwood rings were only lost in coring, because of their softness By measuring in the timber the depth of sapwood lost, say 2 cm., a reasonable estimate can be made of the number of sapwood rings missing from the core, say 12 to 15 rings in this case By adding on 12 to 15 years to the date of the last ring on the sample a good tight estimate for the range of the felling date can be obtained, which is often better than the 15 to 40 years later we would have estimated without this observation.

T-value/Offset Matrix

	C45	C08	C05	C04
C45	\backslash	+20	+37	+47
C 08	5.6		+17	+27
C05	5.2	10.4		+10
C04	5.9	3.7	5.1	\mathbf{i}

Bar Diagram



Fig 5. Cross-matching of four sequences from a Lincoln Cathedral roof and the formation of a site sequence from them.

The *bar diagram* represents these sequences without the rings themselves. The length of the bar is proportional to the number of rings in the sequence. Here the four sequences are set at relative positions (*offsets*) to each other at which they have maximum correlation as measured by the *t*-values.

The *t-value offset* matrix contains the maximum t-values below the diagonal and the offsets above it.

Thus, the maximum t-value between C08 and C45 occurs at the offset of +20 rings and the t-value is then 5.6.

The site sequence is composed of the average of the corresponding widths, as illustrated with one width.

Even if all the sapwood rings are missing on all the timbers sampled, an estimate of the felling date is still possible in certain cases. For provided the original last heartwood ring of the tree, called the heartwood/sapwood boundary (H/S), is still on some of the samples, an estimate for the felling date of the group of trees can be obtained by adding on the full 25 years, or 15 to 40 for the range of felling dates.

If none of the timbers have their heartwood/sapwood boundaries, then only a *post quem* date for felling is possible.

- 5. Estimating the Date of Construction. There is a considerable body of evidence in the data collected by the Laboratory that the oak timbers used in vernacular buildings, at least, were used 'green' (see also Rackham (1976)). Hence provided the samples are taken *in situ*, and several dated with the same estimated common felling date, then this felling date will give an estimated date for the construction of the building, or for the phase of construction. If for some reason or other we are rather restricted in what samples we can take, then an estimated common felling date may not be such a precise estimate of the date of construction. More sampling may be needed for this.
- 6. Master Chronological Sequences. Ultimately, to date a sequence of ring widths, or a site sequence, we need a master sequence of dated ring widths with which to cross-match it, a Master Chronology. To construct such a sequence we have to start with a sequence of widths whose dates are known and this means beginning with a sequence from an oak tree whose date of felling is known. In Fig 6 such a sequence is SHE-T, which came from a tree in Sherwood Forest which was blown down in a recent gale. After this other sequences which cross-match with it are added and gradually the sequence is 'pushed back in time' as far as the age of samples will allow. This process is illustrated in Fig 6. We have a master chronological sequence of widths for Nottinghamshire and East Midlands oak for each year from AD 882 to 1981. It is described in great detail in Laxton and Litton 1988b, but the components it contains are shown here in the form of a bar diagram. As can be seen, it is well replicated in that for each year in this period there are several sample sequences having widths for that year. The master is the average of these. This master can now be used to date oak from this area and from the surrounding areas where the climate is very similar to that in the East Midlands. The Laboratory has also constructed a master for Kent (Laxton and Litton 1989). The method the Laboratory uses to construct a master sequence, such as the East Midlands and Kent, is completely objective and uses the Litton-Zainodin grouping procedure (Laxton et al 1988a). Other laboratories and individuals have constructed masters for other areas and have made them available. As well as these masters, local (dated) site chronologies can be used to date other buildings from nearby. The Laboratory has hundreds of these site sequences from many parts of England and Wales covering many short periods.
- 7. *Ring-width Indices.* Tree-ring dating can be done by cross-matching the ring widths themselves, as described above. However, it is advantageous to modify the widths first. Because different trees grow at different rates and because a young oak grows in a different way from an older oak, irrespective of the climate, the widths are first standardized before any matching between them is attempted. These standard widths are known as ring-width indices and were first used in dendrochronology by Baillie and Pilcher (1973). The exact form they take is explained in this paper and in the appendix of Laxton and Litton (1988b) and is illustrated in the graphs in Fig 7. Here ring-widths are plotted vertically, one for each year of growth. In the upper sequence (a), the generally large early growth after 1810 is very apparent as is the smaller generally later growth from about 1900 onwards. A similar difference can be observed in the lower sequence starting in 1835. In both the widths are also changing rapidly from year to year. The peaks are the wide rings and the troughs are the narrow rings, hopefully corresponding to good and poor growing seasons, respectively. The two corresponding sequences of Baillie-Pilcher indices are plotted in (b) where the differences in the early and late growths have been removed and only the rapidly changing peaks and troughs remain only associated with the common climatic signal and so make cross-matching easier.



Fig 6. Bar diagram showing the relative positions and dates of the first rings of the component site sequences in the East Midlands Master Dendrochronological Sequence, EM08/87.



Fig 7. (a) The raw ring-widths of two samples, THO-A01 and THO-B05, whose felling dates are known. Here the ring widths are plotted vertically, one for each year, so that peaks represent wide rings and troughs narrow ones. Notice the growth-trends in each; on average the earlier rings of the young tree are wider than the later ones of the older tree in both sequences.

(b) The *Baillie-Pilcher indices* of the above widths. The growth-trends have been removed completely.

REFERENCES

Baillie, M G L, 1982 Tree-Ring Dating and Archaeology, London.

Baillie, M G L, 1995 A Slice Through Time, London

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1973, A simple cross-dating program for tree-ring research, *Tree-Ring Bulletin*, 33, 7-14

Hillam, J, Morgan, R A, and Tyers, I, 1987, Sapwood estimates and the dating of short ring sequences, *Applications of tree-ring studies*, BAR Int Ser, 3, 165-85

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1984-95, Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory Results, Vernacular Architecture, 15 - 26

Hughes, M K, Milson, S J, and Legett, P A, 1981 Sapwood estimates in the interpretation of treering dates, *J Archaeol Sci*, 8, 381-90

Laxton, R R, Litton, R R, and Zainodin, H J, 1988a An objective method for forming a master ringwidth sequence, P A C T, 22, 25-35

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988b An East Midlands Master Chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings, University of Nottingham, Department of Archaeology Publication, Monograph Series III

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1989 Construction of a Kent Master Dendrochronological Sequence for Oak, A.D. 1158 to 1540, *Medieval Archaeol*, **33**, 90-8

Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1991 Statistical models of Dendrochronology, J Archaeol Sci. 18, 429-40

Pearson, S, 1995 The Medieval Houses of Kent, An Historical Analysis, London

Rackham, O, 1976 Trees and Woodland in the British Landscape, London