Centre for Archaeology Report 81/2003

Tree-Ring Analysis of Timbers from The Cottage, Speldhurst, Kent

A J Arnold, R E Howard and C D Litton

© English Heritage 2003

۰.

ISSN 1473-9224

The Centre for Archaeology Report Series incorporates the former Ancient Monuments Laboratory Report Series. Copies of Ancient Monuments Laboratory Reports will continue to be available from the Centre for Archaeology (see back cover for details).

Centre for Archaeology Report 81/2003

Tree-Ring Analysis of Timbers from The Cottage, Speldhurst, Kent

A J Arnold, R E Howard and C D Litton

Summary

Analysis was undertaken on six samples from timbers of this house. A further ten were rejected as unsuitable.

Unfortunately, no definitive grouping between the samples occurred and attempts to date the samples individually were unsuccessful.

In this case, tree-ring dating has proved unsuccessful, and the dating of this house remains on stylistic grounds only, to the mid-seventeenth century.

The inability to date this building is most likely due to the short-ring sequence of the samples, a problem in this region in the post-medieval period.

Keywords

Dendrochronology Standing Building

Author's address Department of Archaeology, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD

Many CfA reports are interim reports which make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication. They are not subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of archaeological information that was not available at the time of the investigation. Readers are therefore advised to consult the author before citing the report in any publication and to consult the final excavation report when available.

Opinions expressed in CfA reports are those of the author and are not necessarily those of English Heritage.

Introduction

The Cottage is situated on the eastern side of Speldhurst Hill, parallel to the road (Figs 1 and 2; TQ 5542 4144). It has previously been the subject of a buildings survey, from which this introduction is taken (Martin 1997 unpubl).

During the mid-seventeenth century (period A; Figs 3 and 4) a three-bay, timber-framed main range was constructed with a lean-to outshut to the south. Against the northern end of the range's eastern wall was either a low range or a lean-to-outshut – if the former, then the low range pre-dated the present period-A structure. The main range incorporated a cellar at the northern end, whilst on the ground floor was a northern hall (heated by a two-flue chimney set within the central bay) with a two-roomed service area to the south. On the first floor were two chambers with a closet to the side of the chimney, and above were garret rooms in the roof. Along the front wall was incorporated a pentice roof, possibly suggesting that one of the service rooms or the southern end lean-to may have functioned as a shop.

In c AD 1700 (period B; Fig 4) the partition dividing the two-roomed services was removed and the bay converted into a kitchen by the addition of a two-flue chimney located on the site of the former southern end lean-to outshut.

In the mid-eighteenth century (period C; Fig 4) a small square southern lean-to extension was added to infill the front corner beside the period-B chimney. At about the same date the rear range or lean-to outshut to the east of the hall was demolished and the entrance to the cellar moved to a flight of stairs leading down against the rear wall, beside the period-A chimney.

During the nineteenth century an extension was added to the northern end of the house. The western section of this extension was of two storeys above a basement, whilst the eastern part took the form of a lean-to at cellar level. There are indications in the dividing wall to suggest that the eastern lean-to part might represent work of a slightly later date. The western of these extensions enclosed an exceedingly deep, rock-cut well, which is now located within a The present owners have in their possession a photograph small enclosed dark room. showing the two-storeyed section. It has since been demolished down to basement level and is today only evidenced by a blocked cut-in first-floor doorway and mortices in the northern wall. The basement section has been retained beneath a lean-to roof. More recently in the twentieth century a rear lean-to outshut has been added to the southern end of the main range's eastern wall, and this outshut has since been further extended southwards to form a porch (Fig 4). There have been many minor internal alterations, including re-siting of the first-floor staircase and the blocking of the cellar steps. Dormer windows have been added into the roof, that within the rear slope being necessary in order to improve headroom over the attic stairs.

Sampling and analysis by tree-ring dating was commissioned and funded by English Heritage as part of a project to establish a post-medieval master chronology for Kent.

The Laboratory would like to thank Mr and Mrs Davies, the owners of the property, for their assistance during sampling. Thanks are also given to David Martin from whose buildings

survey of this house the above introduction is taken, and the drawings on which the location of samples has been marked (Figs 3-9).

Sampling

From a surface inspection of the timbers it appeared likely that a number would have sufficient rings to make dating them a possibility. Sixteen core samples were taken from ceiling joists, and a post. All samples were given the code KSP-A (for Kent, Speldhurst, site 'A') and numbered 01-16. The positions of all samples were noted at the time of sampling and have been marked on Figures 3-9. Further details relating to the samples are recorded in Table 1. Choice of timbers to be sampled was greatly restricted by the imbedded nature of some of the beams. Unfortunately, after initial sanding it was seen that, although they contained some bands of narrow rings, the samples generally derived from fast-grown trees, i.e., the rings were quite wide, and a large number of the samples had less than the usual minimum of 54 rings. Some of the samples with less than 54 rings had complete or near complete sapwood and it was decided, in this case, to measure all samples with 45 or more rings.

Analysis and Results

Six samples were prepared by further sanding and polishing and their growth-ring widths measured; the data of these measurements are given at the end of the report. The growth-ring widths of these samples were compared with each other by the Litton/Zainodin grouping procedure (see appendix).

No grouping occurred and attempts to date the samples by individually comparing them against the relevant reference chronologies for oak were unsuccessful.

Discussion

Unfortunately, tree-ring dating has been unsuccessful in this case with none of the timbers being dated. This is most likely due to the short ring-width sequences of the samples analysed, with the longest only having 56 rings. This is a problem seen frequently with buildings from this region and one which has greatly hindered the construction of a Kent post-medieval reference chronology.

The dating of this building must remain on stylistic grounds only, to the mid-seventeenth century.

Bibliography

Martin, D, 1997 unpubl The Cottage, Speldhurst, Kent, unpubl Inst of Archaeol Rep

•

,

| Sample number | Sample location | Total rings* | Sapwood rings* | First measured ring date (AD) | Last heartwood ring date (AD) | Last measured ring date (AD) |
|------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Bathroom | | | | | | |
| KSP-A01 | Common joist, number 2 | 56 | 16c(+c8 lost) | | | |
| KSP-A02 | Main beam | NM | | | | |
| KSP-A03 | Common joist, number 3 | 45 | 18C | | | |
| KSP-A04 | Common joist, number 1 | NM | | | | |
| KSP-A05 | Common joist, number 4 | 54 | 17c(+c7 lost) | | | |
| Bedroom 1 | | | | | | |
| KSP-A06 | Common joist, number 2 | NM | | | | |
| KSP-A07 | Main ceiling beam | 50 | 11c(+c2 lost) | | | |
| KSP-A08 | Common joist, number 3 | NM | | | | |
| KSP-A09 | North-west corner post | NM | | | | |
| KSP-A10 | Common joist, number 6 | 54 | 12c(+c2 lost) | | | |
| KSP-A11 | Common joist, number 2 (north) | NM | | | | |
| Bedroom 2 | | | | | | |
| KSP-A12 | Common joist, number 2 (west) | NM | | | | |
| KSP-A13 | Common joist, number 4 (west) | NM | | | | |
| KSP-A14 | Common joist, number 4 (east) | 46 | | | | |
| KSP-A15 | Common joist, number 7 | NM | | | | |
| KSP-A16 | Common joist, number 5 | NM | | | | |

Table 1: Details of tree-ring samples from timbers of The Cottage, Speldhurst, Kent

* NM = not measured

h/s = the heartwood/sapwood boundary is the last ring on the sample C = complete sapwood retained on sample, last measured ring is the felling date

c(+cx lost) = complete sapwood on timber, all or part lost in sampling (estimated number of rings lost)

4

© Crown Copyright and database right 2013. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900

Figure 1: Map to show the location of Speldhurst, Kent



Figure 2: The Cottage (provided by Mr and Mrs Davies)



Figure 3: The Cottage; ground-floor plan (period A; Martin 1997 unpubl)



Figure 4: The Cottage; outline plan showing development (Martin 1997 unpubl)



Figure 5: The Cottage; longitudinal section looking east, showing the location of samples KSP-A01-05, and KSP-A14-16 (based on Martin 1997 unpubl)



Figure 6: The Cottage; longitudinal section looking west, showing the location of samples KSP-A06, KSP-A08, and KSP-A10-13 (Martin 1997 unpubl)



Figure 7: The Cottage; truss B-B (from north; Martin 1997 unpubl)



Figure 8: The Cottage; truss C-C (from the south) showing the location of samples KSP-A07 and KSP-A09 (based on Martin 1997 unpubl)



Figure 9: the Cottage; truss D-D (from the north; Martin 1997 unpubl)



Data of measured samples - measurements in 0.01mm units

KSP-A14B 46 295 623 396 268 263 221 204 275 179 84 83 83 120 146 241 196 198 172 165 153 240 182 170 180 163 102 133 88 119 118 116 132 201 175 189 272 315 318 278 242 190 169 213 111 110 158

ν.

APPENDIX

Tree-Ring Dating

The Principles of Tree-Ring Dating

Tree-ring dating, or *dendrochronology* as it is known, is discussed in some detail in the Laboratory's Monograph, 'An East Midlands Master Tree-Ring Chronology and its uses for dating Vernacular Building' (Laxton and Litton 1988) and, Dendrochronology; Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates (English Heritage 1988). Here we will give the bare outlines. Each year an oak tree grows an extra ring on the outside of its trunk and all its branches just inside its bark. The width of this annual ring depends largely on the weather during the growing season, about April to October, and possibly also on the weather during the previous year. Good growing seasons give rise to relatively wide rings, poor ones to very narrow rings and average ones to relatively average ring widths. Since the climate is so variable from year to year, almost random-like, the widths of these rings will also appear random-like in sequence, reflecting the seasons. This is illustrated in Figure 1 where, for example, the widest rings appear at irregular intervals. This is the key to dating by tree rings, or rather, by their widths. Records of the average ring widths for oaks, one for each year for the last 1000 years or more, are available for different areas. These are called master chronologies. Because of the random-like nature of these sequences of widths, there is usually only one position at which a sequence of ring widths from a sample of oak timber with at least 70 rings will match a master. This will date the timber and, in particular, the last ring.

If the bark is still on the sample, as in Figure 1, then the date of the last ring will be the date of felling of the oak from which it was cut. There is much evidence that in medieval times oaks cut down for building purposes were used almost immediately, usually within the year or so (Rackham 1976). Hence if bark is present on several main timbers in a building, none of which appear reused or are later insertions, and if they all have the same date for their last ring, then we can be quite confident that this is the date of construction or soon after. If there is no bark on the sample, then we have to make an estimate of the felling date; how this is done is explained below.

The Practice of Tree-Ring Dating at the University of Nottingham Tree-Ring dating Laboratory

1. Inspecting the Building and Sampling the Timbers. Together with a building historian the timbers in a building are inspected to try to ensure that those sampled are not reused or later insertions. Sampling is almost always done by coring into the timber, which has the great advantage that we can sample in situ timbers and those judged best to give the date of construction, or phase of construction if there is more than one in the building. The timbers to be sampled are also inspected to see how many rings they have. We normally look for timbers with at least 70 rings, and preferably more. With fewer rings than this, 50 for example, sequences of widths become difficult to match to a unique position within a master sequence of ring widths and so are difficult to date (Litton and Zainodin 1991). The cross-section of the rafter shown in Figure 2 has about 120 rings; about 20 of which are sapwood rings.

To ensure that we are getting the date of the building as a whole, or the whole of a phase of construction if there is more than one, about 8 to 10 samples per phase are usually taken. Sometimes we take many more, especially if the construction is complicated. One reason for taking so many samples is that, in general, some will fail to give a date. There may be many reasons why a particular sequence of ring widths from a sample of timber fails to give a date even though others from the same building do. For example, a particular tree may have grown in an odd ecological niche, so odd indeed that the widths of its rings were determined by factors other than the local climate! In such circumstances it will be impossible to date a timber from this tree using the master sequence whose widths, we can assume, were predominantly determined by the local climate at the time.



Fig 1. A wedge of oak from a tree felled in 1976. It shows the annual growth rings, one for each year from the innermost ring to the last ring on the outside just inside the bark. The year of each ring can determined by counting back from the outside ring, which grew in 1976.



Fig 2. Cross-section of a rafter showing the presence of sapwood rings in the left hand corner, the arrow is pointing to the heartwood/sapwood boundary (H/S). Also a core with sapwood; again the arrow is pointing to the H/S. The core is about the size of a pencil.



Fig. 3 Measuring ring widths under a microscope. The microscope is fixed while the sample is on a moving platform. The total sequence of widths is measure twice to ensure that an error has not been made. This type of apparatus is needed to process a large number of samples on a regular basis.



Fig 4. Three cores from timbers in a building. They come from trees growing at the same time. Notice that, although the sequences of widths look similar, they are not identical. This is typical.

Sampling is done by coring into the timber with a hollow corer attached to an electric drill and usually from its outer rings inwards towards where the centre of the tree, the pith, is judged to be. An illustration of a core is shown in Figure 2; it is about 15cm long and 1cm diameter. Great care has to be taken to ensure that as few as possible of the outer rings are lost in coring. This can be difficult as these outer rings are often very soft (see below on sapwood). Each sample is given a code which identifies uniquely which timber it comes from, which building it is from and where the building is located. For example, CRO-A06 is the sixth core taken from the first building (A) sampled by the Laboratory in Cropwell Bishop. Where it came from in that building will be shown in the sampling records and drawings. No structural damage is done to any timbers by coring, nor does it weaken them.

During the initial inspection of the building and its timbers the dendrochronologist may come to the conclusion that, as far as can be judged, none of the timbers have sufficient rings in them for dating purposes and may advise against sampling to save further unwarranted expense.

All sampling by the Laboratory is undertaken according to current Health and Safety Standards. The Laboratory's dendrochronologists are insured.

- 2. *Measuring Ring Widths*. Each core is sanded down with a belt sander using medium-grit paper and then finished by hand with flourgrade-grit paper. The rings are then clearly visible and differentiated from each other with a result very much like that shown in Figure 2. The core is then mounted on a movable table below a microscope and the ring-widths measured individually from the innermost ring to the outermost. The widths are automatically recorded in a computer file as they are measured (see Fig 3).
- 3. Cross-matching and Dating the Samples. Because of the factors besides the local climate which may determine the annual widths of a tree's rings, no two sequences of ring widths from different oaks growing at the same time are exactly alike (Fig 4). Indeed, the sequences may not be exactly alike even when the trees are growing near to each other. Consequently, in the Laboratory we do not attempt to match two sequences of ring widths by eye, or graphically, or by any other subjective method. Instead, it is done objectively (ie statistically) on a computer by a process called cross-matching. The output from the computer tells us the extent of correlation between two sample sequences of widths or, if we are dating, between a sample sequence of widths and the master, at each relative position of one to the other (offsets). The extent of the correlation at an offset is determined by the *t-value* (defined in almost any introductory book on statistics). That offset with the maximum t-value among the t-values at all the offsets will be the best candidate for dating one sequence relative to the other. If one of these is a master chronology, then this will date the other. Experiments carried out in the past with sequences from oaks of known date suggest that a t-value of at least 4.5, and preferably at least 5.0, is usually adequate for the dating to be accepted with reasonable confidence (Laxton and Litton 1988; Laxton et al 1988; Howard et al 1984-1995).

This is illustrated in Fig 5 with timbers from one of the roofs of Lincoln Cathedral. Here four sequences of ring widths, LIN-C04, 05, 08, and 45, have been cross-matched with each other. The ring widths themselves have been omitted in the *har-diagram*, as is usual, but the offsets at which they best cross-match each other are shown; eg the sequence of ring widths of C08 matches the sequence of ring widths of C45 best when it is at a position starting 20 rings after the first ring of C45, and similarly for the others. The actual *t*-values between the four at these offsets of best correlations are in the matrix. Thus at the offset of +20 rings, the *t*-value between C45 and C08 is 5.6 and is the maximum found between these two among all the positions of one sequence relative to the other.

It is standard practice in our Laboratory first to cross-match as many as possible of the ringwidth sequences of the samples in a building and then to form an average from them. This average is called a *site sequence* of the building being dated and is illustrated in Fig 5. The fifth bar at the bottom is a site sequence for a roof at Lincoln Cathedral and is constructed from the matching sequences of the four timbers. The site sequence width for each year is the average of the widths in each of the sample sequences which has a width for that year. Thus in Fig 5 if the widths shown are 0.8mm for C45, 0.2mm for C08, 0.7mm for C05, and 0.3mm for C04, then the corresponding width of the site sequence is the average of these, 0.55mm. The actual sequence of widths of this site sequence is stored on the computer. The reason for creating site sequences is that it is usually easier to date an average sequence of ring widths with a master sequence than it is to date the individual component sample sequences separately.

The straightforward method of cross-matching several sample sequences with each other one at a time is called the 'maximal *t*-value' method. The actual method of cross-matching a group of sequences of ring-widths used in the Laboratory involves grouping and averaging the ring-width sequences and is called the 'Litton-Zainodin Grouping Procedure'. It is a modification of the straight forward method and was successfully developed and tested in the Laboratory and has been published (Litton and Zainodin 1991; Laxton *et al* 1988).

4. Estimating the Felling Date. As mentioned above, if the bark is present on a sample, then the date of its last ring is the date of the felling of its tree. Actually it could be the year after if it had been felled in the first three months before any new growth had started, but this is not too important a consideration in most cases. The actual bark may not be present on a timber in a building, though the dendrochronologist who is sampling can often see from its surface that only the bark is missing. In these cases the date of the last ring is still the date of felling.

Quite often some, though not all, of the original outer rings are missing on a timber. The outer rings on an oak, called *sapwood* rings, are usually lighter than the inner rings, the *heartwood*, and so are relatively easy to identify. For example, sapwood can be seen in the corner of the rafter and at the outer end of the core in Figure 2, both indicated by arrows. More importantly for dendrochronology, the sapwood is relatively soft and so liable to insect attack and wear and tear. The builder, therefore, may remove some of the sapwood for precisely these reasons. Nevertheless, if at least some of the sapwood rings are left on a sample, we will know that not too many rings have been lost since felling so that the date of the last ring on the sample is only a few years before the date of the original last ring on the tree, and so to the date of felling.

Various estimates have been made and used for the average number of sapwood rings in mature oak trees (English Heritage 1998). A fairly conservative range is between 15 and 50 and that this holds for 95% of mature oaks. This means, of course, that in a small number of cases there could be fewer than 15 and more than 50 sapwood rings. For example, the core CRO-A06 has only 9 sapwood rings and some have obviously been lost over time - either they were removed originally by the carpenter and/or they rotted away in the building and/or they were lost in the coring. It is not known exactly how many sapwood rings are missing, but using the above range the Laboratory would estimate between a minimum of 6 (=15-9) and a maximum of 41 (=50-9). If the last ring of CRO-A06 has been dated to 1500, say, then the estimated felling-date range for the tree from which it came originally would be between 1506 and 1541. The Laboratory uses this estimate for sapwood in areas of England where it has no prior information. It also uses it when dealing with samples with very many rings, about 120 to the last heartwood ring. But in other areas of England where the Laboratory has accumulated a number of samples with complete sapwood, that is, no sapwood lost since felling, other estimates in place of the conservative range of 15 to 50 are used. In the East Midlands (Laxton et al 2001) and the east to the south down to Kent (Pearson 1995) where it has sampled extensively in the past, the Laboratory uses the shorter estimate of 15 to 35 sapwood rings in 95% of mature oaks growing in these parts. Since the sample CRO-A06 comes from a house in Cropwell Bishop in the East Midlands, a better estimate of sapwood rings lost since felling is between a minimum of 6 (= 15 - 15)9) and 26 (=35-9) and the felling would be estimated to have taken place between 1506 and 1526, a shorter period than before. (Oak boards quite often come from the Baltic and in these cases the 95% confidence limits for sapwood are 9 to 36 (Howard et al 1992, 56)).

Even more precise estimates of the felling date and range can often be obtained using knowledge of a particular case and information gathered at the time of sampling. For example, at the time of sampling the dendrochronologist may have noted that the timber from which the core of Figure 2 was taken still had complete sapwood but that none of the soft sapwood rings were lost in coring. By measuring into the timber the depth of sapwood lost, say 2 cm, a reasonable estimate can be made of the number of sapwood rings lost, say 12 to 15 rings in this case. By adding on 12 to 15 years to the date of the last ring on the sample a good tight estimate for the range of the felling date can be obtained, which is often better than the 15 to 35 years later we would have estimated without this observation. In the example, the felling is now estimated to



Fig 5. Cross-matching of four sequences from a Lincoln Cathedral roof and the formation of a site sequence from them.

The *bar diagram* represents these sequences without the rings themselves. The length of the bar is proportional to the number of rings in the sequence. Here the four sequences are set at relative positions (*offsets*) to each other at which they have maximum correlation as measured by the *t*-values.

The *t*-value/offset matrix contains the maximum *t*-values below the diagonal and the offsets above it. Thus, the maximum *t*-value between C08 and C45 occurs at the offset of +20 rings and the *t*-value is then 5.6.

The site sequence is composed of the average of the corresponding widths, as illustrated with one width.

have taken place between AD 1512 and 1515, which is much more precise than without this extra information.

Even if all the sapwood rings are missing on a sample, but none of the heartwood rings are, then an estimate of the felling-date range is possible by adding on the full compliment of, say, 15 to 35 years to the date of the last heartwood ring (called the heartwood/sapwood boundary or transition ring and denoted H/S). Fortunately it is often easy for a trained dendrochronologist to identify this boundary on a timber. If a timber does not have its heartwood/sapwood boundary, then only a *post quem* date for felling is possible.

- 5. Estimating the Date of Construction. There is a considerable body of evidence collected by dendrochronologists over the years that oak timbers used in buildings were not seasoned in medieval or early modern times (English Heritage 1998 and Miles 1997, 50-55). Hence provided all the samples in a building have estimated felling-date ranges broadly in agreement with each other, so that they appear to have been felled as a group, then this should give an accurate estimate of the period when the structure was built, or soon after (Laxton *et al* 2001, figure 8 and pages 34-5 where 'associated groups of fellings' are discussed in detail). However, if there is any evidence of storing before use or if there is evidence the oak came from abroad (eg Baltic boards), then some allowance has to be made for this.
- 6. Master Chronological Sequences. Ultimately, to date a sequence of ring widths, or a site sequence, we need a master sequence of dated ring widths with which to cross-match it, a Master Chronology. To construct such a sequence we have to start with a sequence of widths whose dates are known and this means beginning with a sequence from an oak tree whose date of felling is known. In Fig 6 such a sequence is SHE-T, which came from a tree in Sherwood Forest which was blown down in a recent gale. After this other sequences which cross-match with it are added and gradually the sequence is 'pushed back in time' as far as the age of samples will allow. This process is illustrated in Fig 6. We have a master chronological sequence of widths for Nottinghamshire and East Midlands oak for each year from AD 882 to 1981. It is described in great detail in Laxton and Litton (1988), but the components it contains are shown here in the form of a bar diagram. As can be seen, it is well replicated in that for each year in this period there are several sample sequences having widths for that year. The master is the average of these. This master can now be used to date oak from this area and from the surrounding areas where the climate is very similar to that in the East Midlands. The Laboratory has also constructed a master for Kent (Laxton and Litton 1989). The method the Laboratory uses to construct a master sequence, such as the East Midlands and Kent, is completely objective and uses the Litton-Zainodin grouping procedure (Laxton et al 1988). Other laboratories and individuals have constructed masters for other areas and have made them available. As well as these masters, local (dated) site chronologies can be used to date other buildings from nearby. The Laboratory has hundreds of these site sequences from many parts of England and Wales covering many short periods.
- 7. Ring-width Indices. Tree-ring dating can be done by cross-matching the ring widths themselves, as described above. However, it is advantageous to modify the widths first. Because different trees grow at different rates and because a young oak grows in a different way from an older oak, irrespective of the climate, the widths are first standardized before any matching between them is attempted. These standard widths are known as ring-width indices and were first used in dendrochronology by Baillie and Pilcher (1973). The exact form they take is explained in this paper and in the appendix of Laxton and Litton (1988) and is illustrated in the graphs in Fig 7. Here ring-widths are plotted vertically, one for each year of growth. In the upper sequence of (a), the generally large early growth after 1810 is very apparent as is the smaller later growth from about 1900 onwards when the tree is maturing. A similar phenomena can be observed in the lower sequence of (a) starting in 1835. In both the widths are also changing rapidly from year to year. The peaks are the wide rings and the troughs are the narrow rings corresponding to good and poor growing seasons, respectively. The two corresponding sequence of Baillie-Pilcher indices are plotted in (b) where the differences in the immature and mature growths have been removed and only the rapidly changing peaks and troughs remain, that are associated with the common climatic signal. This makes cross-matching easier.



Fig. 6 Bar diagram showing the relative positions and dates of the first rings of the component site sequences in the East Midlands Master Dendrochronological Sequence, EM08/87

THO-B05



Fig 7. (a) The raw ring-widths of two samples, THO-A01 and THO-B05, whose felling dates are known. Here the ring widths are plotted vertically, one for each year, so that peaks represent wide rings and troughs narrow ones. Notice the growth-trends in each; on average the earlier rings of the young tree are wider than the later ones of the older tree in both sequences.

1890 1900 1 YEAR (AD)

 Fig 7. (b) The Baillie-Pilcher indices of the above widths. The growth-trends have been removed completely.

REFERENCES

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1973, A simple cross-dating program for tree-ring research, *Tree-Ring Bulletin*, **33**, 7-14

English Heritage, 1998 Dendrochronology; Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates, London

Hillam, J, Morgan, R A, and Tyers, I, 1987, Sapwood estimates and the dating of short ring sequences, *Applications of tree-ring studies*, BAR Int Ser, **3**, 165-85

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1984-95. Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory Results, *Vernacular Architecture*, **15-26**

Hughes, M K, Milson, S J, and Legett, P A, 1981 Sapwood estimates in the interpretation of tree-ring dates, *J Archaeol Sci*, 8, 381-90

Laxon, R R, Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1988 An objective method for forming a master ring-width sequence, *P A C T*, **22**, 25-35

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988 An East Midlands Master Chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings, University of . Tottingham, Department of Archaeology Publication, Monograph Series III

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1989 Construction of a Kent Master Dendrochronological Sequence for Oak, AD 1158 to 1540, *Medieval Archaeol*, 33, 90-8

Laxon, R R, Litton, C D, and Howard, R E, 2001 *Timber; Dendrochronology of Roof Timbers at Lincoln Cathedral*, English Heritage Research Transactions, 7

Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1991 Statistical models of Dendrochronology, *J Archaeol Sci*, 18, 29-40

Miles, D W H, 1997 The interpretation, presentation and use of tree-ring dates, *Vernacular* Architecture, 28, 40-56

Pearson, S, 1995 The Medieval Houses of Kent, An Historical Analysis, London

Rackham, O, 1976 Trees and Woodland in the British Landscape, London