# CHARD JUNCTION QUARRY, DORSET OPTICAL STIMULATION LUMINESCENCE DATING OF THE PROTO-AXE

# SCIENTIFIC DATING REPORT

Phil Toms, Tony Brown, Laura Basell, Geoff Duller, and Jean-Luc Schwenninger





INTERVENTION AND ANALYSIS

This report has been prepared for use on the internet and the images within it have been down-sampled to optimise downloading and printing speeds.

Please note that as a result of this down-sampling the images are not of the highest quality and some of the fine detail may be lost. Any person wishing to obtain a high resolution copy of this report should refer to the ordering information on the following page. Research Report Series 07-2013

# CHARD JUNCTION QUARRY DORSET

# OPTICAL STIMULATION LUMINESCENCE DATING OF THE PROTO-AXE

Phil Toms, Tony Brown, Laura Basell, Geoff Duller, and Jean-Luc Schwenninger

NGR: ST 35036 04736

© English Heritage

ISSN 2046-9799 (Print) ISSN 2046-9802 (Online)

The Research Report Series incorporates reports by the expert teams within the Investigation & Analysis Division of the Heritage Protection Department of English Heritage, alongside contributions from other parts of the organisation. It replaces the former Centre for Archaeology Reports Series, the Archaeological Investigation Report Series, the Architectural Investigation Report Series, and the Research Department Report Series.

Many of the Research Reports are of an interim nature and serve to make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication. They are not usually subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of information not available at the time of the investigation. Where no final project report is available, readers must consult the author before citing these reports in any publication. Opinions expressed in Research Reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of English Heritage.

Requests for further hard copies, after the initial print run, can be made by emailing: Res.reports@english-heritage.org.uk or by writing to: English Heritage, Fort Cumberland, Fort Cumberland Road, Eastney, Portsmouth PO4 9LD Please note that a charge will be made to cover printing and postage.

# SUMMARY

The deposits of the proto-Axe at Chard Junction Quarry potentially contain evidence of the earliest hominin occupation of southwest Britain and, along with Broom and Kilmington, represent one of the longest terrestrial records of Palaeolithic occupation in Britain. The aim of this report is to summarise and assess the reliability of the optical chronology of the sediment sequence within the Hodge Ditch excavations. The analytical properties of the age estimates are evaluated, with intrinsic measures and a tri-laboratory inter-comparison conducted to assess reliability. The raw optical chronology is refined substantially by rejection of those age estimates accompanied by analytical caveats, driven principally by poor recycling ratios in the high, saturating region of dose response. One of two inter-laboratory samples produced a significantly different age by one laboratory, which may be caused by the differences in laboratory thermal treatment. The reliability of D<sub>a</sub>:D<sub>r</sub> plots may improve with increasing numbers of samples from equivalent stratigraphic units of divergent dosimetry, but having only two samples may lead to erroneous conclusions. Rapid sedimentation and deposition of artefacts between c 15.2m and 4.5m appears centred on a geometric mean age of 259±10ka (MIS 7). There then followed relatively slow or pulsed sedimentation until 86ka (MIS 5a) beyond which the deposits were incised to form the current course of the River Axe.

# CONTRIBUTORS

Phil Toms, Tony Brown, Laura Basell, Geoff Duller and Jean-Luc Schwenninger

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Tony Pearson, site manager at Chard Junction Quarry and all employees of Bardon Aggregates (Aggregate Industries Ltd) who have offered unparalleled assistance with the excavations. The authors also thank Forde Abbey Estate for their assistance. This research has been funded through the English Heritage Historic Environment Enabling Programme (EH Project Number 5695), building on the earlier work of the ALSF-funded Palaeolithic Rivers of southwest Britain project (EH project number: 3847MAIN).

# **ARCHIVE LOCATION**

Environment, Dorset County Council, County Hall, Colliton Park, Dorchester, Dorset DT1 1XJ

# DATE OF RESEARCH

2009

# CONTACT DETAILS

Phil Toms, Geochronology Laboratories, Department of Natural & Social Sciences, University of Gloucestershire, Swindon Road, Cheltenham GL50 4AZ Tel: 01242 714708

Tony Brown, School of Geography, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton SO17 1BJ

Laura Basell, School of Geography, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton SO17 1BJ

Geoff Duller, Institute of Geography & Earth Sciences, Aberystwyth University, Penglais Campus, Aberystwyth SY23 3DB

Jean-Luc Schwenninger, Research Laboratory for Archaeology and the History of Art, Dyson Perrins Building, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QY

# CONTENTS

1.0 Introduction	. 1
2.0 Mechanisms and principals	. 1
3.0 Sample collection and preparation	. 2
3.1 Sample collection	2
3.2 Sample preparation	2
4.0 Acquisition and accuracy of $D_e$ value	. 3
4.1 Laboratory factors	4
4.1.1 Feldspar contamination	4
4.1.2 Preheating	4
4.1.3 Irradiation	5
4.1.4 Internal consistency	5
4.2 Environmental factors	6
4.2.1 Incomplete zeroing	6
4.2.2 Pedoturbation	6
5.0 Acquisition and accuracy of D <sub>r</sub> value	. 6
6.0 Estimation of age	. 7
7.0 Analytical uncertainty	. 7
8.0 Discussion	. 9
8.1 Analytical validity	9
8.2 D <sub>e</sub> :D <sub>r</sub> plots	9
8.3 Inter-laboratory comparison	9
9.0 Synopsis	10
10.0 Bibliography	12
Tables	15
Figures	18
Appendix	21

# **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

The deposits of the proto-Axe at Chard Junction Quarry are potentially of international significance. Optical dating of the upper 7m (out of 16m) of sediments within Hodge Ditch 1, conducted previously under PRoSWEB (Toms *et al* 2008), demonstrated intervals of deposition spanning 85ka to 402ka (Marine Isotope Stages (MIS) 5a to 11). With the subsequent discovery of two bifaces at a depth of *c* 15m in Hodge Ditch 1 (Brown and Basell 2008), the deposits at Chard Junction may contain the oldest evidence of hominin occupation in at least southwest Britain and may represent one of the longest terrestrial sequences of Palaeolithic occupation. As such the lateral extension of aggregate extraction into Hodge Ditch 2 and 3 has been the subject of monitoring and further dating through the English Heritage Historic Environment Enabling Programme (Project Number 5695).

The aim of this report is to summarise and assess the reliability of the optical chronology of the Hodge Ditch sequence. The objectives are two-fold. Firstly, to assess the analytical validity of the optical age estimates. Secondly, to assess the accuracy of age estimates by intrinsic measures and inter-laboratory comparison between the Universities of Aberystwyth, Gloucestershire, and Oxford.

# 2.0 MECHANISMS AND PRINCIPALS

Upon exposure to ionising radiation, electrons within the crystal lattice of insulating minerals are displaced from their atomic orbits. Whilst this dislocation is momentary for most electrons, a portion of charge is redistributed to meta-stable sites (traps) within the crystal lattice. In the absence of significant optical and thermal stimuli, this charge can be stored for extensive periods. The quantity of charge relocation and storage relates to the magnitude and period of irradiation. When the lattice is optically or thermally stimulated, charge is evicted from traps and may return to a vacant orbit position (hole). Upon recombination with a hole, an electron's energy can be dissipated in the form of light generating crystal luminescence providing a measure of dose absorption.

Quartz is the most commonly used mineral in luminescence dating. The utility of this minerogenic dosimeter lies in the stability of its datable signal over the mid to late-Quaternary period, predicted through isothermal decay studies (eg Smith *et al* 1990; retention lifetime 630Ma at 20°C) and evidenced by optical age estimates concordant with independent chronological controls (eg Murray and Olley 2002).

Optical age estimates of sedimentation (Huntley *et al* 1985) are premised upon reduction of the minerogenic time-dependent signal (Optically Stimulated Luminescence, OSL) to zero through exposure to sunlight and, once buried, signal reformulation by absorption of litho- and cosmogenic radiation. The signal accumulated post burial acts as a dosimeter recording total dose absorption, converting to a chronometer by estimating the rate of

dose absorption quantified through the assay of radioactivity in the surrounding lithology and streaming from the cosmos.

# Age = Mean Equivalent Dose ( $D_e$ , Gy) Mean Dose Rate ( $D_r$ , Gy.ka<sup>-1</sup>)

Aitken (1998) and Bøtter-Jensen et al (2003) offer a detailed review of optical dating.

# 3.0 SAMPLE COLLECTION AND PREPARATION

# 3.1 Sample collection

A total of 33 sediment samples were extracted from matrix-supported deposits within the Hodge Ditch excavations at Chard Junction Quarry. Triplicate samples of GL10001 and GL10002 were taken for the purposes of inter-laboratory comparison. Contained within opaque plastic tubing (100x45mm) forced into each face, each sample was wrapped in cellophane and parcel tape in order to preserve moisture content and sample integrity until ready for laboratory preparation. For each sample, an additional *c* 100g of sediment was collected for laboratory-based assessment of radioactive disequilibrium.

# 3.2 Sample preparation

To preclude optical erosion of the datable signal prior to measurement, all samples were prepared under controlled laboratory illumination. To isolate that material potentially exposed to daylight during sampling, sediment located within 20mm of each tube-end was removed.

The remaining sample was dried. The triplicates of samples GL10001 and GL10002 were then mixed at Gloucestershire and equal masses sent to Aberystwyth and Oxford in light-tight parcels. Quartz within the fine sand (125–180 or 180–250 $\mu$ m) or fine silt (5–15 $\mu$ m) fraction was then segregated (Table 1). Samples were subjected to acid and alkaline digestion (10% HCl, 15% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) to attain removal of carbonate and organic components respectively.

For fine sand fractions, a further acid digestion in HF (40%, 60mins) was used to etch the outer 10–15µm layer affected by  $\alpha$  radiation and degrade each samples' feldspar content. During HF treatment, continuous magnetic stirring was used to effect isotropic etching of grains. 10% HCl was then added to remove acid soluble fluorides. Each sample was dried, resieved, and quartz isolated from the remaining heavy mineral fraction using a sodium polytungstate density separation at 2.68g.cm<sup>-3</sup>. Multi-grain aliquots (*c* 3–6mg) of quartz from each sample were then mounted on aluminium discs for diagnostics and determination of D<sub>e</sub> values.

Fine silt-sized quartz, along with other mineral grains of varying density and size, was extracted by sample sedimentation in acetone (<15 $\mu$ m in 2min 20s, >5 $\mu$ m in 21mins at 20°C). Feldspars and amorphous silica were then removed from this fraction through acid digestion (35% H<sub>2</sub>SiF<sub>6</sub> for 2 weeks, Jackson *et al* 1976; Berger *et al* 1980). Following addition of 10% HCI to remove acid soluble fluorides, grains degraded to <5 $\mu$ m as a result of acid treatment were removed by acetone sedimentation. Multi-grain aliquots (*c* 1.5mg) were then mounted on aluminium discs for diagnostics and D<sub>e</sub> evaluation.

All drying was conducted at 40°C to prevent thermal erosion of the signal. All acids and alkalis were analar grade. All dilutions (removing toxic-corrosive and non-minerogenic luminescence-bearing substances) were conducted with distilled water to prevent signal contamination by extraneous particles.

# 4.0 ACQUISITION AND ACCURACY OF D<sub>e</sub> VALUE

All minerals naturally exhibit marked inter-sample variability in luminescence per unit dose (sensitivity). Therefore, the estimation of D<sub>e</sub> acquired since burial requires calibration of the natural signal using known amounts of laboratory dose. D<sub>e</sub> values were quantified using a single-aliquot regenerative-dose (SAR) protocol (Murray and Wintle 2000; 2003), facilitated by a Risø TL-DA-15 irradiation-stimulation-detection system (Markey et al 1997; Bøtter-Jensen et al 1999) and standardised for inter-laboratory comparison. Within this apparatus and for the majority of samples, optical signal stimulation was provided by a 150W tungsten halogen lamp, filtered to a broad blue-green light, 420–560nm (2.21–2.95 eV) conveying 16mW.cm<sup>-2</sup>, using three 2mm Schott GG420 and a broadband interference filter. For the inter-laboratory comparison, optical stimulation was conducted by an assembly of blue diodes (5 packs of 6 Nichia NSPB500S), filtered to 470±80nm conveying 15mW.cm<sup>-2</sup> using a 3mm Schott GG420 positioned in front of each diode pack. Infrared stimulation, provided by 13 IR diodes (Telefunken TSHA 6203) stimulating at  $875\pm80$  nm delivering ~5mWcm<sup>-2</sup>, was used to indicate the presence of contaminant feldspars (Hütt et al 1988). Stimulated photon emissions from guartz aliguots are in the ultraviolet (UV) range and were filtered from stimulating photons by 7.5mm HOYA U-340 glass and detected by an EMI 9235QA photomultiplier fitted with a blue-green sensitive bialkali photocathode. Aliquot irradiation was conducted using  $\gamma$  calibrated 1.48GBg  $^{90}$ Sr/ $^{90}$ Y  $\beta$  sources.

SAR by definition evaluates  $D_e$  through measuring the natural signal (Appendices 1–27, Fig i) of a single aliquot and then regenerating that aliquot's signal by using known laboratory doses to enable calibration. For each aliquot, up to 5 different regenerative-doses were administered so as to image dose response.  $D_e$  values for each aliquot were then interpolated, and associated counting and fitting errors calculated, by way of exponential plus linear regression (Appendices 1–27, Fig i) using Analyst v3.24 (Duller 2007). Weighted (geometric) mean  $D_e$  values were calculated from 12 aliquots using the central age model outlined by Galbraith *et al* (1999) and are quoted at 1 $\sigma$  confidence. Owing to limited sample mass, only 6 aliquots of GL09120 were used for  $D_e$  measurement. The accuracy with which  $D_e$  equates to total absorbed dose and that dose absorbed since burial was assessed. The former can be considered a function of laboratory factors, the latter, one of environmental issues. Diagnostics were deployed to estimate the influence of these factors and criteria instituted to optimise the accuracy of  $D_e$  values.

# 4.1 Laboratory factors

# 4.1.1 Feldspar contamination

The propensity of feldspar signals to fade and underestimate age (Wintle 1973), coupled with their higher sensitivity relative to quartz makes it imperative to quantify feldspar contamination. At room temperature, feldspars generate a signal (IRSL) upon exposure to IR whereas quartz does not. The signal from feldspars contributing to OSL can be depleted by prior exposure to IR. For all aliquots the contribution of any remaining feldspars was estimated from the OSL IR depletion ratio (Duller 2003). If the addition to OSL by feldspars is insignificant, then the repeat dose ratio of OSL to post-IR OSL should be statistically consistent with unity (Appendices 1–27, Figs i and v). Significant feldspar contamination was noted for only one sample, GL06012.

# 4.1.2 Preheating

Preheating aliquots between irradiation and optical stimulation is necessary to ensure comparability between natural and laboratory-induced signals. However, the multiple irradiation and preheating steps that are required to define single-aliquot regenerative-dose response leads to signal sensitisation, rendering calibration of the natural signal inaccurate. The SAR protocol (Murray and Wintle 2000; 2003) enables this sensitisation to be monitored and corrected using a test dose, set in this study at *c* 5Gy, to track signal sensitivity between irradiation-preheat steps. However, the accuracy of sensitisation correction for both natural and laboratory signals can be preheat dependent. Two diagnostics were used to assess the optimal preheat temperature for accurate correction and calibration.

 $D_e$  preheat dependence quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal. Insignificant adjustment in  $D_e$  values in response to differing preheats may reflect limited influence of these effects. Samples generating  $D_e$  values <10Gy and exhibiting a systematic, statistically significant adjustment in  $D_e$  value with increasing preheat temperature may indicate the presence of significant thermal transfer; in such instances low temperature (<220°C) preheats provide the apposite measure of  $D_e$ . A total of 18 aliquots were divided into sets of 3; each set was assigned a 10s preheat between 180°C and 280°C and the  $D_e$  value from each aliquot was then assessed.

The Dose Recovery test (Appendices 1–27, Fig ii) attempts to replicate the above diagnostic, yet provide improved resolution of thermal effects through removal of variability induced by heterogeneous dose absorption in the environment, using a precise laboratory dose to simulate natural dose. The ratio between the applied dose and recovered  $D_e$  value should be statistically concordant with unity. For this diagnostic, a further 6 aliquots were each assigned a 10s preheat between 180°C and 280°C. In the case of the inter-laboratory comparison, this test used 18 aliquots divided into sets of 3; each set was assigned a 10s preheat between 180°C.

Measures of  $D_e$  preheat dependence were used exclusively within Hodge Ditch 1 early in the site's study by Toms *et al* (2008). There were limited instances where  $D_e$  thermal dependence occurred. When observed the dose recovery test also demonstrated thermal dependence, hence for sample GL09030 the effect of preheating was monitored by this test only. That preheat treatment fulfilling the criteria of accuracy for thermal diagnostics was selected to refine the final  $D_e$  value from 12 aliquots.

Further thermal treatments, prescribed by Murray and Wintle (2000; 2003), were applied to optimise accuracy and precision. Optical stimulation occurred at 125°C in order to minimise effects associated with photo-transferred thermoluminescence and maximise signal to noise ratios. Inter-cycle optical stimulation was conducted at 280°C to minimise recuperation.

# 4.1.3 Irradiation

For all samples having  $D_e$  values in excess of 100Gy, matters of signal saturation and laboratory irradiation effects are of concern. With regards the former, the rate of signal accumulation generally adheres to a saturating exponential form and it is this that limits the precision and accuracy of  $D_e$  values for samples having absorbed large doses. For such samples, the functional range of  $D_e$  interpolation by SAR has been verified up to 600Gy by Pawley *et al* (2010). Age estimates based on  $D_e$  values exceeding this value should be accepted tentatively.

# 4.1.4 Internal consistency

Quasi-radial plots (Appendices 1–27, Figs iii to v; *cf* Galbraith 1990) are used to illustrate inter-aliquot  $D_e$  variability for natural and repeated regeneration of low and high laboratory doses.  $D_e$  values are standardised relative to the central  $D_e$  value for natural signals and applied dose for regenerated signals.  $D_e$  values are described as overdispersed when >5% lie beyond  $\pm 2\sigma$  of the standardising value; resulting from a heterogeneous absorption of burial dose and/or response to the SAR protocol. For multi-grain aliquots, overdispersion for natural signals does not necessarily imply inaccuracy. However, where overdispersion is observed for regenerated signals, the age estimate from that sample should be accepted tentatively. The majority of sensitivity corrected signals from repeated

regeneration doses appear overdispersed. This measure of SAR protocol success at Gloucestershire differs and is more stringent than that prescribed by Murray and Wintle (2000; 2003). They suggest repeat dose ratios (Table 1) should be concordant with the range 0.9–1.1; this filter has been applied in this study (Table 2).

# 4.2 Environmental factors

# 4.2.1 Incomplete zeroing

Post-burial OSL signals residual of pre-burial dose absorption can result where pre-burial sunlight exposure is limited in spectrum, intensity, and/or period, leading to age overestimation. This effect is particularly acute for material eroded and redeposited sub-aqueously (Olley *et al* 1998, 1999; Wallinga 2002) and exposed to a burial dose of <20Gy (eg Olley *et al* 2004). It has some influence in sub-aerial contexts but is rarely of consequence where aerial transport has occurred. Given the D<sub>e</sub> values recorded for the Hodge Ditch sequence (Table 1), partial bleaching is unlikely to impact on age estimates but was nevertheless evaluated for each sample by signal analysis (Appendices 1–27, Fig vi; Bailey *et al* 2003). Systematic increase in D<sub>e</sub> (t), testifying to partial bleaching, was observed only for sample, GL09029.

# 4.2.2 Pedoturbation

The accuracy of sedimentation ages can further be controlled by post-burial trans-strata grain movements forced by pedo- or cryoturbation (Berger 2003; Singhvi *et al* 2001; Bateman *et al* 2003). Within the Hodge Ditch sequences there is no evidence of *in situ* palaeosols. Cryoturbation was observed in a number of locations; inaccuracy created by such forces by may be bi-directional, heaving older material upwards or drawing younger material downwards into the level to be dated. Areas of cryogenic deformation of matrix-supported material were avoided.

# 5.0 ACQUISITION AND ACCURACY OF D<sub>r</sub> VALUE

Lithogenic D<sub>r</sub> values were defined through measurement of U, Th, and K radionuclide concentration and conversion of these quantities into  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  D<sub>r</sub> values (Table 1).  $\beta$  contributions were estimated from sub-samples at Gloucestershire by laboratory-based  $\gamma$  spectrometry using an Ortec GEM-S high purity Ge coaxial detector system, calibrated using certified reference materials supplied by CANMET. For the inter-laboratory samples, each laboratory used their standard approach ( $\beta$  counting at Aberystwyth and ICP-MS at Oxford; Table 3).  $\gamma$  dose rates were estimated from *in situ* Nal gamma spectrometry using an EG&G µNomad portable Nal gamma spectrometer (calibrated using the block standards at RLAHA); these reduce uncertainty relating to potential heterogeneity in the  $\gamma$  dose field surrounding each sample. For the inter-laboratory samples, each laboratory

measured the same position with their portable spectrometer (Table 3). The level of U disequilibrium was estimated by laboratory-based Ge  $\gamma$  spectrometry. Estimates of radionuclide concentration were converted into D<sub>r</sub> values (Adamiec and Aitken 1998), accounting for D<sub>r</sub> modulation forced by grain size (Mejdahl 1979), and present moisture content (Zimmerman 1971). Cosmogenic D<sub>r</sub> values were calculated on the basis of sample depth, geographical position, and matrix density (Prescott and Hutton 1994).

The spatio-temporal validity of D<sub>r</sub> values can be considered as four variables. Firstly, disequilibrium can force temporal instability in U and Th emissions. The impact of this infrequent phenomenon (Olley *et al* 1996) upon age estimates is usually insignificant given their associated margins of error. However, for samples where this effect is pronounced (>50% disequilibrium between <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>226</sup>Ra; Appendices 1–27, Fig vii), the resulting age estimates should be accepted tentatively. Secondly, pedogenically-induced variations in matrix composition of B and C-horizons, such as radionuclide and/or mineral remobilisation, may alter the rate of energy emission and/or absorption. Thirdly, spatiotemporal detractions from present moisture content are difficult to assess directly, requiring knowledge of the magnitude and timing of differing contents. However, the maximum influence of moisture content variations can be delimited by recalculating D<sub>r</sub> for minimum (zero) and maximum (saturation) content. Finally, temporal alteration in the thickness of overburden alters cosmic D<sub>r</sub> values. Cosmic D<sub>r</sub> often forms a negligible portion of total D<sub>r</sub>. It is possible to quantify the maximum influence of overburden flux by recalculating D<sub>r</sub> for minimum (zero) and maximum (zero) and maximum (surface sample) cosmic D<sub>r</sub>.

# 6.0 ESTIMATION OF AGE

The ages reported in Table 1 provide an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values and their associated analytical uncertainties. Uncertainty in age estimates is reported as a product of systematic and experimental errors, with the magnitude of experimental errors alone shown in parenthesis (Table 1). Probability distributions indicate the inter-aliquot variability in age (Appendices 1–27, Figs iii and viii). The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $D_r$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness is illustrated in Appendices 1–27 Figure viii. Where uncertainty in these parameters exists this age range may prove instructive, although the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates. The analytical validity of each sample is presented in Table 2.

# 7.0 ANALYTICAL UNCERTAINTY

All errors are based upon analytical uncertainty and quoted at  $1\sigma$  confidence. Error calculations account for the propagation of systematic and/or experimental (random) errors associated with D<sub>e</sub> and D<sub>r</sub> values.

For  $D_e$  values, systematic errors are confined to laboratory  $\beta$  source calibration. Uncertainty in this respect is that combined from the delivery of the calibrating  $\gamma$  dose (1.2%; NPL pers comm), the conversion of this dose for  $SiO_2$  using the respective mass energy-absorption coefficient (2%; Hubbell 1982), and experimental error, totalling 3.5%. Mass attenuation and bremsstrahlung losses during  $\gamma$  dose delivery are considered negligible. Experimental errors relate to  $D_e$  interpolation using sensitisation corrected dose responses. Natural and regenerated sensitisation corrected dose points (S<sub>i</sub>) are quantified by,

$$S_i = (D_i - x.L_i) / (d_i - x.L_i)$$
 Eq.1

where  $D_i =$  Natural or regenerated OSL, initial 0.2s

x = Scaling factor, 0.08

The error on each signal parameter is based on counting statistics, reflected by the square-root of measured values. The propagation of these errors within Eq. 1 generating  $\sigma S_i$  follows the general formula given in Eq. 2.  $\sigma S_i$  are then used to define fitting and interpolation errors within exponential plus linear regressions performed by Analyst 3.24 (Duller 2007).

For D<sub>r</sub> values, systematic errors accommodate uncertainty in radionuclide conversion factors (5%),  $\beta$  attenuation coefficients (5%), a-value (4%; derived from a systematic  $\alpha$  source uncertainty of 3.5% and experimental error), matrix density (0.20g.cm<sup>-3</sup>), vertical thickness of sampled section (specific to sample collection device), saturation moisture content (3%), moisture content attenuation (2%), burial moisture content (25% relative, unless direct evidence exists of the magnitude and period of differing content), and Nal gamma spectrometer calibration (3%). Experimental errors are associated with radionuclide quantification for each sample by Nal and Ge gamma spectrometry.

The propagation of these errors through to age calculation is quantified using the expression,

$$\sigma y (\delta y / \delta x) = (\Sigma ((\delta y / \delta x_n) \cdot \sigma x_n)^2)^{1/2}$$
 Eq.2

where y is a value equivalent to that function comprising terms  $x_n$  and where  $\sigma y$  and  $\sigma x_n$  are associated uncertainties.

Errors on age estimates are presented as combined systematic and experimental errors and experimental errors alone. The former (combined) error should be considered when comparing luminescence ages herein with independent chronometric controls. The latter assumes systematic errors are common to luminescence age estimates generated by means equal to those detailed herein and enable direct comparison with those estimates.

07 - 2013

# 8.0 DISCUSSION

Taking the youngest and oldest age estimates (samples GL06011 and GL08047); the raw optical chronology for Hodge Ditch spans 86 to 544ka (MIS 5a to 15; Table 1 and Fig. 1). There is a broad increase in age with depth to 274ka (MIS 7) at c. 4.5m. Beyond this level, there is an age plateau that appears to broaden with depth (169 to 544ka at c. 15m). The overall age-depth sequence is incompatible with Bayesian analysis, precluding a whole-site quantitative assessment of age consistency with relative stratigraphic position. In the absence of independent chronological control, intrinsic measures of reliability are the sole means by which to evaluate the accuracy of the age estimates.

# 8.1 Analytical validity

A total of 23 samples failed one or more diagnostic elements; Table 2 outlines the analytical caveats by sample. Five samples failed the Dose Recovery test (see 4.1.2), five samples exhibited varying levels of U disequilibrium (see 5.0), four samples produced  $D_e > 600$ Gy (see 4.1.3), one sample produced insufficient datable mass, and one proved to have significant feldspar contamination. However, the most common failure, in 13 samples, was in the repeat dose ratio assessed as part of the  $D_e$  measurement (Murray and Wintle 2000; 2003; see 4.1.4). Data within Table 1 indicates there is 70% more variation in the ratio for high doses (17%) than low (10%). The majority of samples yield  $D_e$  values in the high, saturating region of dose response. As such, estimates of  $D_e$  in this region are particularly sensitive to inaccuracies in the form of dose response forced by inaccurate correction of sensitivity change. Figure 1 highlights those samples with analytical caveats.

# 8.2 D<sub>e</sub>:D<sub>r</sub> plots

Samples obtained from the same or equivalent stratigraphic units whose ages converge but are based on divergent D<sub>r</sub> values offer a powerful, though resource-intensive intrinsic assessment of reliability (Toms *et al* 2005). Figure 2 summarises the D<sub>e</sub>:D<sub>r</sub> plots for multiple age estimates obtained within stratigraphic units or between those at an equivalent stratigraphic level. Of the intra-unit assessments, samples GL10015/GL10016 and GL08043/GL08044 show convergent age estimates from statistically distinct D<sub>r</sub> values (Fig 2c and 2d). At *c* 13m (Fig 2e), this pattern is broadly true of the age estimates from units of equivalent depth within the sequence. However, this contrasts with those at *c* 15m (Fig 2f) where there is a marked variation in age. The concern evolved here is that the apparent convergence or divergence of age estimates may be dependent on the number of samples dated; Figure 2f indicates at least two distinct age bands within which at least two samples with distinct D<sub>r</sub> values appear to plot.

# 8.3 Inter-laboratory comparison

© ENGLISH HERITAGE

Luminescence dating requires calibration, maintenance, and monitoring of equipment involved in  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  evaluation. Though a rigorous methodology may be employed by a laboratory, in the absence of independent chronological control in a large study such as this inter-laboratory comparison is advisable to corroborate age estimates and thereby verify the accuracy of equipment calibration and function. In this study, the comparability of three procedural elements as well as age estimates was assessed from three Luminescence laboratories for two samples, GL10001 and GL10002 (Table 3; Fig 3; Appendices 17–18).

Figure 3a shows the outcome of the Dose recovery test for GL10001. Laboratory A recorded strong thermal dependence, Laboratory C slight and Laboratory B none. The origin of this variable response remains to be determined, but critically this decisionmaking process led to differences in preheat selection between laboratories. For GL10002, Laboratory B and C elected a preheat temperature based on extrapolation from their respective Dose Recovery tests on GL10001. Laboratory A conducted a separate Dose Recovery test on GL10002. Extrapolation of preheat temperature using Dose Recovery tests conducted on a sub-set of samples is not uncommon in Luminescence Dating. Figures 3b and 3c illustrate the outcome of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  D<sub>r</sub> assessment. Inter-laboratory difference in  $\gamma$  D<sub>r</sub> is a maximum of 12±7%, whilst for  $\beta$  D<sub>r</sub> this climbs to  $34\pm12\%$ . The greater variation in  $\beta$  D<sub>r</sub> may arise from differences in technology between laboratories. Figure 3d shows the age envelope of each sample based on the interlaboratory range. The maximum difference in age is 29±18% for sample GL10001 between Laboratories B and C, and 39±21% for GL10002 between Laboratories A and C. The principal driver behind these differences is  $D_e$  (43±18%, GL10001; 29±17%, GL10002), with laboratory C systematically lower than A and B. The divergence between laboratories in natural D<sub>e</sub> value was further investigated by giving a precise dose to three sets of three aliquots of bleached GL10001. Each laboratory then adopted the same measurement sequence and preheat temperature to estimate the dose applied. Figure 3e shows that the lower natural D<sub>e</sub> value reported by Laboratory C is not rooted in source calibration, with statistically concordant doses recovered between laboratories. It is possible, therefore, that the inter-laboratory discrepancy in natural D<sub>a</sub> originates from the choice of preheat temperature. For sample GL10002, where Laboratory A and B selected the same preheat temperature the natural D<sub>e</sub> values are indistinguishable. Sources of differential thermal dependence of inter-laboratory dose recovery tests should form the focus of future work. It is possible that application of this test to some, rather than all, samples from a site may affect the choice of preheat temperature.

# 9.0 SYNOPSIS

Excluding those samples with analytical caveats reduces the variability of the chronological sequence. The youngest unit of the site at 2.5m in Hodge Ditch 1 (GL06011) suggests a minimum age of 86ka (MIS 5a). The current data set suggests relatively slow or pulsed sedimentation back to c 274ka (MIS 7; c 4.5m, GL06013). This refined sequence then

suggests rapid sedimentation and deposition of artefacts centred on a geometric mean age of  $259 \pm 10$ ka (MIS 7) between *c* 4.5m and 15.2m.

This study has highlighted four areas for consideration in future application of luminescence dating. Firstly, for late and middle Pleistocene samples, it is important to assess the success of correction for sensitivity change in the high dose region by repeat regenerative-dose ratio tests. Secondly, inter-laboratory methodological differences can lead to significant differences in  $\beta$  D<sub>r</sub>, whereas the standard approach to measurement of  $\gamma$  D<sub>r</sub> produces equivalent values. Moreover and thirdly, a standardised approach to D<sub>e</sub> acquisition can produce significant differences in this value between laboratories that may be caused by the choice of preheat temperature. Finally, targeting areas of divergent dosimetry in equivalent stratigraphic units and measuring the convergence of age estimates is not an infallible intrinsic measure of reliability. The quality of this metric improves with increasing numbers of samples from each unit. It is apparent that two samples per unit may lead to an erroneous conclusion on their reliability.

# 10.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY

Adamiec, G, and Aitken, M J, 1998 Dose-rate conversion factors: new data, *Ancient TL*, **16**, 37–50

Aitken, M J, 1998 *An Introduction to Optical Dating: the Dating of Quaternary Sediments by the Use of Photon-Stimulated Luminescence*, Oxford University Press

Bailey, R M, Singarayer, J S, Ward, S, and Stokes, S, 2003 Identification of partial resetting using  $D_e$  as a function of illumination time, *Radiation Measurements*, **37**, 511–8

Bateman, M D, Frederick, C D, Jaiswal, M K, Singhvi, A K, 2003 Investigations into the potential effects of pedoturbation on luminescence dating, *Quat Sci Rev*, **22**, 1169–76

Berger, G W, 2003 Luminescence chronology of late Pleistocene loess-paleosol and tephra sequences near Fairbanks, Alaska, *Quat Res*, **60**, 70–83

Berger, G W, Mulhern, P J, and Huntley, D J, 1980 Isolation of silt-sized quartz from sediments, *Ancient TL*, **11**, 147–52

Bøtter-Jensen, L, Mejdahl, V, and Murray, A S, 1999 New light on OSL, *Quat Sci Rev*, **18**, 303–10

Bøtter-Jensen, L, McKeever, S W S, and Wintle, A G, 2003 *Optically Stimulated Luminescence Dosimetry*, Amsterdam (Elsevier)

Brown, A G, and Basell, L S, 2008 New Lower Palaeolithic Finds from the Axe Valley, Dorset, *PAST*, **60**, 1–4

Duller, G A T, 2003 Distinguishing quartz and feldspar in single grain luminescence measurements, *Radiation Measurements*, **37**, 161–5

Duller, G A T, 2007 Luminescence Analyst Aberystwyth University

Galbraith, R F, 1990 The radial plot: graphical assessment of spread in ages, *Nuclear Tracks and Radiation Measurements*, **17**, 207–14

Galbraith, R F, Roberts, R G, Laslett, G M, Yoshida, H, and Olley, J M, 1999 Optical dating of single and multiple grains of quartz from Jinmium rock shelter (northern Australia): Part I, Experimental design and statistical models, *Archaeometry*, **41**, 339–64

Hubbell, J H, 1982 Photon mass attenuation and energy-absorption coefficients from 1keV to 20MeV, *International Journal of Applied Radioisotopes*, **33**, 1269–90

Huntley, D J, Godfrey-Smith, D I, and Thewalt, M L W, 1985 Optical dating of sediments, *Nature*, **313**, 105–7

Hütt, G, Jaek, I, and Tchonka, J, 1988 Optical dating: K-feldspars optical response stimulation spectra, *Quat Sci Rev*, **7**, 381–6

Jackson, M L, Sayin, M, and Clayton, R N, 1976 Hexafluorosilicic acid regent modification for quartz isolation, *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **40**, 958–60

Markey, B G, Bøtter-Jensen, L, and Duller, G A T, 1997 A new flexible system for measuring thermally and optically stimulated luminescence, *Radiation Measurements*, **27**, 83–9

Mejdahl, V, 1979 Thermoluminescence dating: beta-dose attenuation in quartz grains, *Archaeometry*, **21**, 61–72

Murray, A S, and Olley, J M, 2002 Precision and accuracy in the Optically Stimulated Luminescence dating of sedimentary quartz: a status review, *Geochronometria*, **21**, 1–16

Murray, A S, and Wintle, A G, 2000 Luminescence dating of quartz using an improved single-aliquot regenerative-dose protocol, *Radiation Measurements*, **32**, 57–73

Murray, A S, and Wintle, A G, 2003 The single aliquot regenerative dose protocol: potential for improvements in reliability, *Radiation Measurements*, **37**, 377–81

Olley, J M, Murray, A S, and Roberts, R G, 1996 The effects of disequilibria in the Uranium and Thorium decay chains on burial dose rates in fluvial sediments, *Quat Sci Rev*, **15**, 751–60

Olley, J M, Caitcheon, G G, and Murray, A S, 1998 The distribution of apparent dose as determined by optically stimulated luminescence in small aliquots of fluvial quartz: implications for dating young sediments, *Quat Sci Rev*, **17**, 1033–40

Olley, J M, Caitcheon, G G, and Roberts R G, 1999 The origin of dose distributions in fluvial sediments, and the prospect of dating single grains from fluvial deposits using - optically stimulated luminescence, *Radiation Measurements*, **30**, 207–17

Olley, J M, Pietsch, T, and Roberts, R G, 2004 Optical dating of Holocene sediments from a variety of geomorphic settings using single grains of quartz, *Geomorphol*, **60**, 337–58

Pawley, S M, Toms, P S, Armitage, S J, and Rose, J, 2010 Quartz luminescence dating of Anglian Stage fluvial sediments: Comparison of SAR age estimates to the terrace chronology of the Middle Thames valley, UK, *Quaternary Geochronology*, **5**, 569–82

Prescott, J R, and Hutton, J T, 1994 Cosmic ray contributions to dose rates for luminescence and ESR dating: large depths and long-term time variations, *Radiation Measurements*, **23**, 497–500

Singhvi, A K, Bluszcz, A, Bateman, M D, Someshwar Rao, M, 2001 Luminescence dating of loess-palaeosol sequences and coversands: methodological aspects and palaeoclimatic implications, *Earth Sci Rev*, **54**, 193–211

Smith, B W, Rhodes, E J, Stokes, S and Spooner, N A 1990 The optical dating of sediments using quartz, *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, **34**, 75–8

Toms, P S, Brown, A G, Basell, L S, and Hosfield, R T, 2008 *Palaeolithic Rivers of south-west Britain: Optically Stimulated Luminescence dating of residual deposits of the proto-Axe, Exe, Otter and Doniford*, English Heritage Res Dep Rep Ser, **2-2008** 

Toms, P S, Hosfield, R T, Chambers, J C, Green, C P, and Marshall, P, 2005 *Optical dating of the Broom Palaeolithic sites, Devon and Dorset*, English Heritage Centre for Archaeol Rep **16/2005** 

Wallinga, J, 2002 Optically stimulated luminescence dating of fluvial deposits: a review, *Boreas*, **31**, 303–22

Wintle, A G, 1973 Anomalous fading of thermoluminescence in mineral samples, *Nature*, **245**, 143–4

Zimmerman, D W, 1971 Thermoluminescent dating using fine grains from pottery, *Archaeometry*, **13**, 29–52

# TABLES

Table 1:  $D_{\mu}$   $D_{e}$  and age data of samples from Chard Junction (51°N, 3°W, 75m OD). Samples CHAR01 to CHAR06 from Toms et al (2008) Uncertainties in age are quoted at 1 $\sigma$  confidence, are based on analytical errors, and reflect combined systematic and experimental variability and (in parenthesis) experimental variability alone (see 7.0). Blue indicates samples with analytically-acceptable age estimates, red, age estimates with analytical caveats (see Table 2). All ages are expressed in thousands of years before 2010

Field Code	Lab Code	Overburdan (m)	Grain size (µm)	Moisture content (%)	K (%)	Nai γ-spectrometry (In situ) Th (ppm)	U (ppm)	γD <sub>r</sub> (Gy.ka-1)	Ge <del>y</del> - spectror K (%)	netry (lab based) Th (ppm)	U (ppm)	α Dr (Gy.ka.1)	βDr (Gy.ka-1)	Cosmic Dr (Gy.ka-1)	Total Dr (Gy.ka-1)	Preheat (°C for 10s)
CHAR01	GL06010	4.3	125-180	16 ± 4	0.36 ± 0.01	2.28 ± 0.12	1.29 ± 0.08	0.34 ± 0.02		1.27 ± 0.06	$2.08 \pm 0.10$	-	1.09 ± 0.10	0.11 ± 0.01	$1.54 \pm 0.10$	240
CHAR02	GL06011	2.5	125-180	13 ±3	0.30 ± 0.01	$2.12 \pm 0.10$	1.01 ± 0.07	0.29 ± 0.01	$0.60 \pm 0.03$	3.10 ± 0.15	$0.95 \pm 0.06$	-	$0.53 \pm 0.04$	0.14 ± 0.01	0.96 ± 0.05	260
CHAR03	GL06012	1.7	125-180	14 ± 3	$0.68 \pm 0.02$	3.85 ± 0.17	1.62 ± 0.11	$0.53 \pm 0.02$	$1.53 \pm 0.07$	7.23 ± 0.31	$1.90 \pm 0.09$		1.28 ± 0.11	0.16 ± 0.02	1.97 ± 0.11	260
CHAR04	GL06013	4.5	125-180	15 ± 4	0.36 ± 0.02	1.82 ± 0.13	0.79 ± 0.08	0.26 ± 0.01	0.99 ± 0.05	2.71 ± 0.13	$0.65 \pm 0.05$	-	$0.72 \pm 0.07$	$0.10 \pm 0.01$	$1.09 \pm 0.07$	240
CHAR05	GL06057	6.7	125-180	16 ± 4	0.18 ± 0.01	$1.32 \pm 0.08$	$0.82 \pm 0.06$	0.20 ± 0.01	$0.87 \pm 0.04$	$5.30 \pm 0.21$	$1.30 \pm 0.05$		$0.75 \pm 0.07$	$0.08 \pm 0.01$	$1.02 \pm 0.07$	240
CHAR06	GL06058	7.0	125-180	15 ± 4	0.23 ± 0.01	$1.55 \pm 0.10$	$0.67 \pm 0.07$	0.21 ± 0.01	$1.09 \pm 0.05$	$3.90\pm0.16$	$1.00 \pm 0.04$		$0.84 \pm 0.08$	0.07 ± 0.01	$1.12 \pm 0.08$	280
CHAR07	GL08043	15.3	125-180	17 ± 4	$0.28 \pm 0.01$	1.39 ± 0.10	$0.81 \pm 0.07$	$0.22 \pm 0.01$	$0.68 \pm 0.04$	$3.33\pm0.34$	$0.78 \pm 0.06$		$0.54 \pm 0.05$	$0.03 \pm 0.00$	$0.80 \pm 0.6$	280
CHAR08	GL08044	15.2	125-180	21 ± 5	0.48 ± 0.01	2.68 ± 0.11	$1.20 \pm 0.07$	$0.38 \pm 0.02$	$1.66 \pm 0.08$	9.41 ± 0.55	$1.45\pm0.09$		1.22 ± 0.13	$0.03 \pm 0.00$	1.63 ± 0.14	280
CHAR09	GL08045	12.9	125-180	17 ± 4	$0.27 \pm 0.01$	2.01 ± 0.13	$0.86 \pm 0.08$	0.26 ± 0.01	1.21 ± 0.06	$6.59\pm0.46$	$1.36 \pm 0.08$		$0.97 \pm 0.09$	$0.03 \pm 0.00$	1.26 ± 0.10	280
CHAR10	GL08046	15.0	125-180	20 ± 5	$0.48\pm0.02$	3.29 ± 0.16	$1.83 \pm 0.11$	$0.48 \pm 0.02$	$1.34 \pm 0.06$	$8.00 \pm 0.51$	$1.51\pm0.09$	-	1.04 ± 0.11	$0.03 \pm 0.00$	1.56 ± 0.11	260
CHAR11	GL08047	15.5	125-180	20 ± 5	$0.46\pm0.02$	3.22 ± 0.13	1.39 ± 0.09	$0.42 \pm 0.02$	$1.23 \pm 0.06$	$9.24 \pm 0.55$	$1.82 \pm 0.10$		1.03 ± 0.11	$0.03 \pm 0.00$	1.49 ± 0.11	280
CHAR12	GL09029	3.3	125-180	13 ± 3	$0.32 \pm 0.01$	2.84 ± 0.11	$0.76 \pm 0.08$	$0.41 \pm 0.02$	$0.54 \pm 0.03$	$4.65\pm0.37$	$1.03 \pm 0.07$		$0.54 \pm 0.05$	0.12 ± 0.01	$1.07 \pm 0.05$	260
CHAR13	GL09030	8.1	125-180	13 ± 3	0.26 ± 0.01	$1.54 \pm 0.11$	$0.76 \pm 0.08$	$0.22 \pm 0.01$	$0.63 \pm 0.04$	$3.63\pm0.33$	$0.68 \pm 0.06$		$0.53 \pm 0.05$	0.06 ± 0.01	0.82 ± 1.43	250
CHAR14	GL09031	9.6	125-180	15 ± 4	$0.28\pm0.02$	1.86 ± 0.13	$1.14 \pm 0.09$	$0.28 \pm 0.01$	$1.28 \pm 0.06$	$8.21\pm0.52$	$1.61 \pm 0.10$		1.09 ± 0.10	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	1.43 ± 0.10	230
CHAR15	GL09117	11.8	5-15	21 ± 5	$1.00 \pm 0.03$	$5.62 \pm 0.21$	$2.68 \pm 0.14$	$0.81 \pm 0.03$	$1.72 \pm 0.08$	9.96 ± 0.58	$2.02 \pm 0.11$	0.38 ± 0.04	1.44 ± 0.14	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	$2.67 \pm 0.15$	210
CHAR16	GL09118	11.7	5-15	19 ± 5	$1.01 \pm 0.02$	5.33 ± 0.18	$2.57\pm0.12$	0.79 ± 0.03	1.79 ± 0.08	$9.30\pm0.56$	1.76 ± 0.10	$0.35 \pm 0.04$	1.48 ± 0.14	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	$2.67 \pm 0.15$	250
CHAR17	GL09119	8.8	5-15	19±5	$0.72 \pm 0.02$	$4.53 \pm 0.16$	1.98 ± 0.10	$0.61 \pm 0.02$	$1.37 \pm 0.06$	$9.03 \pm 0.55$	$1.87 \pm 0.10$	$0.35 \pm 0.04$	1.23 ± 0.12	0.06 ± 0.01	$2.26 \pm 0.12$	260
CHAR18	GL09120	10.7	125-180	8 ± 2	$0.31 \pm 0.02$	1.74 ± 0.13	0.73 ± 0.09	0.24 ± 0.01	$0.61 \pm 0.04$	$3.41\pm0.35$	$1.03 \pm 0.07$	-	$0.59 \pm 0.04$	$0.05 \pm 0.00$	0.88 ±0.05	240
CHAR19	GL10013	13.1	125-180	21 ± 5	$0.35 \pm 0.01$	1.90 ± 0.11	$0.81\pm0.07$	0.27 ± 0.01	$0.73 \pm 0.04$	$2.52\pm0.25$	$0.56 \pm 0.06$		$0.50 \pm 0.05$	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	$0.80 \pm 0.06$	240
CHAR20	GL10014	12.9	125-180	12 ± 3	$0.39\pm0.02$	$2.07 \pm 0.15$	$1.01 \pm 0.10$	$0.31 \pm 0.02$	$0.70 \pm 0.04$	$3.02\pm0.34$	$0.53 \pm 0.06$		$0.56 \pm 0.05$	0.04 ±0.00	0.91 ± 0.05	260
CHAR21	GL10015	14.7	125-180	16 ± 4	$0.66 \pm 0.02$	2.99 ± 0.16	1.79 ± 0.11	$0.50 \pm 0.02$	$1.29 \pm 0.06$	$7.29\pm0.48$	$1.18 \pm 0.08$		$1.02 \pm 0.10$	$0.03 \pm 0.00$	$1.55 \pm 0.10$	260
CHAR22	GL10016	14.8	125-180	18±4	$0.43 \pm 0.02$	$2.58 \pm 0.13$	1.23 ± 0.09	$0.37 \pm 0.02$	$1.04 \pm 0.05$	$6.06\pm0.43$	$1.27 \pm 0.08$		$0.84 \pm 0.08$	$0.03 \pm 0.00$	1.24 ± 0.09	260
CHAR23	GL10001	2.6	180-250	9 ± 2												
CHAR24	GL10002	13.1	180-250	13 ± 3	Inter-laboratory test	samples (see Table 3)										
CHAR25	GL10019	13.0	125-180	15 ± 4	0.17 ± 0.01	1.87 ± 0.12	$0.59\pm0.07$	$0.20 \pm 0.01$	$1.07 \pm 0.05$	$5.02 \pm 0.39$	$0.76 \pm 0.06$		$0.82 \pm 0.08$	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	$1.06 \pm 0.08$	240
CHAR26	GL10020	14.1	125-180	15 ± 4	0.37 ±.02	2.46 ± 0.14	1.26 ± 0.09	$0.35 \pm 0.02$	$1.10 \pm 0.05$	$6.19\pm0.45$	$1.32 \pm 0.08$		$0.92 \pm 0.08$	$0.03 \pm 0.00$	1.30 ± 0.09	240
CHAR27	GL10055	13.2	125-180	6 ± 2	0.38 ± 0.01	1.86 ± 0.10	$0.91\pm0.07$	0.28 ± 0.01	$0.59\pm0.04$	$2.19\pm0.26$	$0.63 \pm 0.06$		$0.52 \pm 0.04$	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	$0.84 \pm 0.04$	260
CHAR28	GL10063	12.6	125-180	19±5	0.14 ± .01	1.28 ± 0.10	0.66 ± 0.07	0.17 ± 0.01	$1.61 \pm 0.07$	$8.49\pm0.53$	$1.60 \pm 0.09$	$0.32 \pm 0.04$	1.33 ± 0.13	0.04 ± 0.00	$1.85 \pm 0.13$	240
CHAR29	GL10064	14.8	125-180	11 ± 3	0.34 ± .01	1.88 ± 0.10	$0.82\pm0.06$	0.26 ± 0.01	$0.67 \pm 0.04$	$2.97\pm0.32$	$0.62 \pm 0.06$		$0.56 \pm 0.05$	$0.03 \pm 0.00$	$0.85 \pm 0.05$	240
CHAR30	GL10065	12.6	125-180	17 ± 4	0.58 ± .02	2.44 ± 0.12	1.39 ± 0.09	0.41 ± 0.02	$1.01 \pm 0.05$	$4.55\pm0.39$	$1.08 \pm 0.07$		$0.78 \pm 0.08$	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	1.24 ± 0.08	240
CHAR31	GL10066	13.2	125-180	17 ± 4	-	-	-	$0.72 \pm 0.08$	$1.35 \pm 0.07$	$7.95 \pm 0.57$	1.61 ± 0.09	-	1.11 ± 0.12	$0.04 \pm 0.00$	$1.87 \pm 0.15$	240
CHAR32	GL10067	9.3	125-180	17 ± 4	0.30 ± 0.01	1.94 ± 0.09	0.96 ± 0.06	0.27 ± 0.01	$1.21\pm0.06$	$6.84\pm0.46$	1.46 ± 0.09	-	0.98 ± 0.09	0.06 ± 0.01	1.31 ± 0.10	240
CHAR33	GL10084	4.4	125-180	19 ± 5	0.44 ± 0.01	$3.68 \pm 0.10$	$1.83 \pm 0.07$	$0.49 \pm 0.02$	$0.65 \pm 0.04$	$5.29 \pm 0.40$	$1.15 \pm 0.08$		0.62 ±0.05	$0.10 \pm 0.01$	$1.21 \pm 0.06$	240

Low Dose Repeat Ratio	High Dose Repeat Ratio	De (Gy)	Age (ka)
0.98 ± 0.03	-	268.5 ± 22.0	174 ± 18 (16)
1.00 ± 0.02		90.2 ± 6.8	94 ± 9 (7)
0.96 ± 0.03		193.7 ± 11.0	98 ± 9 (6)
0.99 ± 0.03		298.6 ± 19.2	274 ± 25 (20)
0.99 ± 0.03		375.3 ± 24.6	367 ± 35 (29)
1.00 ± 0.04		318.3 ±33.3	284 ± 36 (32)
-	$1.25 \pm 0.02$	284.9 ± 31.9	355 ± 47 (43)
	0.89 ± 0.02	477.2 ± 45.1	292 ± 37 (33)
	$1.35 \pm 0.03$	$332.7 \pm 23.8$	264 ± 28 (23)
	$1.07 \pm 0.03$	521.4 ± 41.5	334 ± 36 (31)
	0.76 ± 0.02	736.8 ± 51.7	494 ± 50 (43)
1.06 ± 0.18	-	132.1 ± 7.0	124 ± 9 (7)
$0.79 \pm 0.02$	$0.86 \pm 0.04$	247.4 ± 18.9	302 ± 29 (25)
$0.76 \pm 0.04$	$1.00 \pm 0.07$	419.8 ± 31.7	294 ± 30 (26)
$1.02 \pm 0.04$	0.99 ± 0.08	$928.1 \pm 64.8$	347 ± 31 (28)
$1.01 \pm 0.04$	1.11 ± 0.09	$614.0 \pm 30.6$	230 ± 17 (14)
$1.06 \pm 0.03$	0.98 ± 0.04	529.9 ± 24.5	235 ± 17 (14)
$0.82 \pm 0.06$	1.18 ± 0.18	419.1 ± 41.5	475 ± 53 (48)
$1.04 \pm 0.06$	1.54 ± 0.28	279.4 ± 18.0	348 ± 34 (14)
		284.4 ± 34.1	313 ± 42 (21)
0.97 ± 0.05	1.12 ± 0.1	298.3 ± 30.2	192 ± 23 (40)
$1.08 \pm 0.05$	0.90 ± 0.08	257.7 ± 19.0	208 ± 21 (44)
$1.04 \pm 0.05$	1.39 ± 0.16	262.5 ± 37.9	249 ± 40 (38)
1.11 ± 0.05	1.32 ± 0.15	366.8 ±37.2	281 ± 34 (31)
1.12 ± 0.05	1.16 ± 0.09	281.7 ± 21.2	335 ± 31 (26)
1.07 ± 0.06	1.12 ± 0.13	592.7 ± 38.5	320 ± 31 (27)
1.20 ± 0.07	1.02 ± 0.11	180.4 ± 9.5	212 ± 17 (13)
$1.20 \pm 0.05$	1.14 ± 0.13	280.0 ± 17.5	226 ±20 (17)
1.06 ± 0.04	1.35 ± 0.10	627.2 ± 58.1	336 ± 42 (38)
1.01 ± 0.05	1.27 ± 0.12	384.8 ± 50.1	293 ± 44 (41)
$1.00 \pm 0.04$	1.11 ± 0.08	152.1 ± 10.4	126 ± 11 (9)

07-2013

Field	Lab	Sample specific considerations
Code	Code	
CHAR01	GL06010	
CHAR02	GL06011	
CHAR03	GL06012	Significant feldspar contamination Failed dose recovery test
CHAR04	GL06013	-
CHAR05	GL06057	2
CHAR06	GL06058	
CHAR07	GL08043	Failed repeat dose ratio test
CHAR08	GL08044	
CHAR09	GL08045	Failed repeat dose ratio test
CHAR10	GL08046	
CHAR11	GL08047	D <sub>e</sub> exceeds 600Gy Failed repeat dose ratio test
CHAR12	GL09029	Potential partial bleaching
CHAR13	GL09030	Failed repeat dose ratio test
CHAR14	GL09031	Failed repeat dose ratio test
CHAR15	GL09117	D <sub>e</sub> exceeds 600Gy Minor to moderate U disequilibrium
CHAR16	GL09118	D <sub>e</sub> exceeds 600Gy Minor to moderate U disequilibrium
CHAR17	GL09119	
CHAR18	GL09120	Limited sample mass
CHAR19	GL10013	Failed repeat dose ratio test
CHAR20	GL10014	Failed dose recovery test
CHAR21	GL10015	Failed dose recovery test Minor U disequilibirum
CHAR22	GL10016	
CHAR23	GL10001	Failed dose recovery test Minor U disequilibirum
CHAR24	GL10002	
CHAR25	GL10019	Failed repeat dose ratio test
CHAR26	GL10020	Failed dose recovery test Failed repeat dose ratio test
CHAR27	GL10055	Failed dose recovery test
CHAR28	GL10063	Failed repeat dose ratio test
CHAR29	GL10064	Failed repeat dose ratio test
CHAR30	GL10065	Failed repeat dose ratio test
CHAR31	GL10066	Significant feldspar contamination D <sub>e</sub> exceeds 600Gy Minor U disequilibrium
CHAR32	GL10067	Failed repeat dose ratio test
CHAR33	GL10084	Significant feldspar contamination

Table 2: Analytical validity of sample suite age estimates and caveats for consideration

Sample	Laboratory	γ D <sub>r</sub> (Gy.ka <sup>-1</sup> )	β D <sub>r</sub> (Gy.ka <sup>-1</sup> )	Total D <sub>r</sub> (Gy.ka⁻¹)	Preheat (°C for 10s)	D <sub>e</sub> (Gy)	Age (ka)
	А	0.46 ± 0.02	$0.57 \pm 0.04$	$1.17 \pm 0.05$	280	164.9 ± 15.6	141 ± 14
GL10001	В	$0.48 \pm 0.02$	$0.66 \pm 0.04$	$1.24 \pm 0.05$	240	195.4 ± 15.5	$158 \pm 14$
	С	$0.44 \pm 0.02$	$0.49 \pm 0.03$	$1.12 \pm 0.06$	260	136.6 ± 13.4	$122 \pm 14$
	А	$0.31 \pm 0.02$	$0.51 \pm 0.05$	$0.86 \pm 0.05$	240	229.4 ± 16.2	268 ± 25
GL10002	В	$0.34~\pm~0.02$	$0.58 \pm 0.04$	$0.92 \pm 0.04$	240	212.2 ± 15.4	231 ± 20
	С	$0.30~\pm~0.01$	$0.54 \pm 0.04$	$0.92 \pm 0.05$	260	177.7 ± 19.8	193 ± 24

Table 3: Anonymised inter-laboratory results for samples GL10001 and GL10002.  $\gamma$  D<sub>r</sub> acquired by each laboratory's Nal  $\gamma$  spectrometer.  $\beta$  D<sub>r</sub> determined by each laboratories standard method. Preheat selected by each laboratory based on their dose recover tests

# **FIGURES**



*Figure 1: Age-depth plot for Chard Junction Quarry optical dating samples analysed at Gloucestershire. Red fill indicates those samples with analytical caveats. The blue line shows the oxygen isotope curve from ODP 677 along with temperate (red numbered) and cool (blue numbered) MIS* 



Figure 2:  $D_e$ : $D_r$  plots for samples within the same unit; a) GL09117 and GL09118 (11.7m depth), b) GL10002, GL10013, GL10014 (13m depth), c) GL10015 and GL10016 (14.7m depth), d) GL08043 and GL08044 (15.2m depth) and from units at equivalent depth within the sequence; e) GL08045, GL10002, GL10013, GL10014, GI10019, GL10055, GL10063, GL10065, GL10066 (13m depth) and f) GL08043, GL08044, GL08046, GL08047, GL10015, GL10016, GL10064 (15m depth). Red fill indicates samples with analytical caveats. The gradient of dashed lines represents age, which increases with slope

© ENGLISH HERITAGE



Figure 3: Summary of inter-comparison for samples GL10001 and GL10002 between Laboratory A, B and C in blue, red and green fill respectively; a) dose recovery test, b) $\beta$  Dr assessment, c)  $\gamma$  Dr assessment, d) age envelopes and e) dose recovery test of source calibration centred on that dose recovered from Laboratory A

07-2013

# APPENDIX

(excluding data reported in Toms et al 2008)

21



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $D_e$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final  $D_e$  value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot  $D_{\!_{e}}$  distribution  ${\sf Provides}$  a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in  $D_e$  values derived from **natural** irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerativedoses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{e}}$  value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in D<sub>e</sub> results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in D<sub>e</sub> for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in  $D_e$  with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of D, emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in Dr values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean D<sub>e</sub> and D<sub>r</sub> values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in Dr forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.







30

20

20

600

500

400

200

100

<u>ک</u> (ک

õ



Not available

**Appendix 1** Sample: GL08043



Fig.ii Dose Recovery



Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range

07-2013



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $\mathsf{D}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle e}$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final D<sub>e</sub> value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot  $D_{\!_{e}}$  distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in  $D_{e}$  values derived from **natural** irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In  $D_{e}$ ) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerativedoses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In D<sub>a</sub>) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in De results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in De for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in De with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope 226 Ra with its parent 238 U may signify the temporal stability of D, emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in Dr values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean De and Dr values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in D, forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.















ģ



#### Not available

**Appendix 2** Sample: GL08044





Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $\mathsf{D}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle e}$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final D<sub>e</sub> value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot  $D_{\!_{e}}$  distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in  $D_e$  values derived from **natural** irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerativedoses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In D<sub>a</sub>) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in De results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in De for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in De with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope 226 Ra with its parent 238 U may signify the temporal stability of D, emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in Dr values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_{\!_{e}}$  and  $D_{\!_{r}}$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in D, forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.















ð

ď



#### Not available

**Appendix 3** Sample: GL08045



È



Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range



**Fig. ii Dose Recovery** The acquisition of D<sub>e</sub> values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final D<sub>e</sub> value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot  $D_e$  distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in  $D_e$  values derived from **natural** irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerative-doses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised ln D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $D_{\rm e}$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in D<sub>e</sub> results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in D<sub>e</sub> for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in D<sub>e</sub> with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

**Fig. vii U Activity** Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of D<sub>r</sub> emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in D<sub>r</sub> values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $D_r$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery















#### Not available

Appendix 4 Sample: GL08046





Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of D<sub>e</sub> values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final  $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$  value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot De distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in De values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerative-doses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised ln  $D_{e}$ ) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural  $\rm D_{e}$  value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in  $\mathsf{D}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$  results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in De for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in De with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of Dr emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium: >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in Dr values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in D, forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

















#### Not available

Appendix 5 Sample: GL08047







Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $\mathsf{D}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle e}$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final D<sub>e</sub> value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot  $D_{\!_{e}}$  distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in  $D_e$  values derived from **natural** irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerativedoses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In D<sub>a</sub>) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in De results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in De for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in De with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope 226 Ra with its parent 238 U may signify the temporal stability of D, emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in Dr values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in D, forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery













۵



#### Not available

**Appendix 6** Sample: GL09029







Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $\mathsf{D}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle e}$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final De value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot De distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{e}}$  values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In D<sub>a</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerativedoses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In De) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}\xspace$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{e}}$  value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal. provided a significant increase in De results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in De for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in De with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of Dr emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in Dr values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{r}}$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery



Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution

5- Standardise











Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



**Appendix 7** Sample: GL09030





Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $D_e$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final  $D_e$  value.

**Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D**<sub>e</sub> **distribution** Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in D<sub>e</sub> values derived from **natural** irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerative-doses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

**Fig.viSignal Analysis** Statistically significant increase in **natural** D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in D<sub>e</sub> results from **simulated partial bleaching** followed by insignificant adjustment in D<sub>e</sub> for simulated **zero** and **full bleach** conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in D<sub>e</sub> with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  with its parent  $^{238}\text{U}$  may signify the temporal stability of D, emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in D, values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_v$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $D_v$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery



Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution









30

Precision

40

50

60

-15

0

10

20







Appendix 8 Sample: GL09031





Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of De values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final De value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot De distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{e}}$  values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In D<sub>a</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerativedoses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In De) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}\xspace$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural  $D_0$  value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in De results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in De for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in De with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of Dr emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in Dr values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{r}}$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.













20

Precision

30

10

-3

0

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



**Appendix 9** Sample: GL09117





Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $D_e$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final  $D_e$  value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in D<sub>e</sub> values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerative-doses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

**Fig.viSignal Analysis** Statistically significant increase in **natural** D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in D<sub>e</sub> results from **simulated partial bleaching** followed by insignificant adjustment in D<sub>e</sub> for simulated **zero** and **full bleach** conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in D<sub>e</sub> with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

**Fig. vii U Activity** Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of D<sub>r</sub> emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in D<sub>r</sub> values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_v$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $D_v$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery







0

0



20

30

40

10

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution

o dis

-4

0



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



Appendix 10 Sample: GL09118



20



Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of De values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final De value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot De distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{e}}$  values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In D<sub>a</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerativedoses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In De) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}\xspace$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural  $D_0$  value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in De results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in De for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in De with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of Dr emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in Dr values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{r}}$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.











Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio







**Appendix 11** Sample: GL09119







Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of De values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final De value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot De distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{e}}$  values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In D<sub>a</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerativedoses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In De) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}\xspace$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural  $D_0$  value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in De results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in De for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in De with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of Dr emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in Dr values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{r}}$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery









Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



Appendix 12 Sample: GL09120











Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of De values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final De value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot De distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{e}}$  values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In D<sub>a</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerativedoses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In De) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}\xspace$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{e}}$  value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal. provided a significant increase in De results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in De for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in De with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of Dr emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in Dr values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{r}}$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

### Fig.ii Dose Recovery





300



Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



**Appendix 13** Sample: GL10013







Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of De values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final De value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot De distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{e}}$  values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In D<sub>a</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerativedoses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In De) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}\xspace$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural  $D_0$  value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in De results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in De for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in De with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of Dr emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in Dr values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{r}}$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.









Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio

#### Not available



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio

#### Not available

**Appendix 14** Sample: GL10014





Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $D_e$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final  $D_e$  value.

**Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D**<sub>e</sub> **distribution** Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in D<sub>e</sub> values derived from **natural** irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerative-doses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

**Fig.viSignal Analysis** Statistically significant increase in **natural** D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in D<sub>e</sub> results from **simulated partial bleaching** followed by insignificant adjustment in D<sub>e</sub> for simulated **zero** and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in D<sub>e</sub> with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

**Fig. vii U Activity** Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of D<sub>r</sub> emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in D<sub>r</sub> values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_o$  and  $D_v$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $D_v$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery









Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



Appendix 15 Sample: GL10015







Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $D_e$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final  $D_e$  value.

**Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D**<sub>e</sub> **distribution** Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in D<sub>e</sub> values derived from **natural** irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerative-doses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

**Fig.viSignal Analysis** Statistically significant increase in **natural** D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in D<sub>e</sub> results from **simulated partial bleaching** followed by insignificant adjustment in D<sub>e</sub> for simulated **zero** and **full bleach** conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in D<sub>e</sub> with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

**Fig. vii U Activity** Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of D<sub>r</sub> emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in D<sub>r</sub> values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_o$  and  $D_v$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $D_v$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.





Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution

٠

\*\*

10

**8**0

-2

0





Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio

20

Precision

30

40



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



Appendix 16 Sample: GL10016





Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of De values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final De value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot De distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{e}}$  values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In D<sub>a</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerativedoses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In De) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}\xspace$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{e}}$  value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal. provided a significant increase in De results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in De for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in De with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of Dr emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in Dr values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{r}}$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery





പ്

100

90

80

70

50

30

20

0

0

<sup>226</sup>Ra (Bq.kg<sup>-1</sup>) 60



Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio







**Appendix 17a** Sample: GL10001 Laboratory A



20





Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of De values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final De value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot De distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{e}}$  values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In D<sub>a</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerativedoses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In De) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{e}}$  value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in De results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in De for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in De with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of Dr emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in Dr values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $\mathsf{D}_{\!r}$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.



2.00

1.50

1.00

0.00

**eso** 0.50









#### Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



**Appendix 17b** Sample: GL10001 Laboratory B



ā

### Fig. vi Signal Analysis

Not applicable to interlaboratory comparison

### Fig. vii U Decay Activity

Not applicable to interlaboratory comparison

### Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $D_e$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final  $D_e$  value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in D<sub>e</sub> values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised ln D<sub>e</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerative-doses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

**Fig.viSignal Analysis** Statistically significant increase in **natural** D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in D<sub>e</sub> results from **simulated partial bleaching** followed by insignificant adjustment in D<sub>e</sub> for simulated **zero** and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in D<sub>e</sub> with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

**Fig. vii U Activity** Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of D<sub>r</sub> emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in D<sub>r</sub> values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $D_r$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.



Fig





#### Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio

60

80

100

40

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution

15

\*\*

3

20

**ئ** 10

0

-5

-10

-15

-20 -25

0



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio

Not measured







### Fig. vi Signal Analysis

Not applicable to interlaboratory comparison

### Fig. vii U Decay Activity

Not applicable to interlaboratory comparison

### Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $D_e$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final  $D_e$  value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in D<sub>e</sub> values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerative-doses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

**Fig.viSignal Analysis** Statistically significant increase in **natural** D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in D<sub>e</sub> results from **simulated partial bleaching** followed by insignificant adjustment in D<sub>e</sub> for simulated **zero** and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in D<sub>e</sub> with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

**Fig. vii U Activity** Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of D<sub>r</sub> emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in D<sub>r</sub> values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_o$  and  $D_v$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $D_v$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery









#### Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



Appendix 18a Sample: GL10002 Laboratory A





0.10

0.08

**1**0.06

Probabi Probabi

0.02

0.00

Fig. vii U Decay Activity

Fig. viii Age Range





Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of De values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final De value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot De distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in D<sub>e</sub> values derived from **natural** irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerativedoses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In De) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}\xspace$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural  $D_0$  value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in De results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in De for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in De with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of Dr emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in Dr values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in D, forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery

Extrapolated from GL10001 Laboratory B data

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution



#### Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



Appendix 18b Sample: GL10002 Laboratory B



### Fig. vi Signal Analysis

Not applicable to interlaboratory comparison

#### Fig. vii U Decay Activity

Not applicable to interlaboratory comparison

### Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $D_e$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final  $D_e$  value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in D<sub>e</sub> values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised ln D<sub>e</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerative-doses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

**Fig.viSignal Analysis** Statistically significant increase in **natural** D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in D<sub>e</sub> results from **simulated partial bleaching** followed by insignificant adjustment in D<sub>e</sub> for simulated **zero** and **full bleach** conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in D<sub>e</sub> with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

**Fig. vii U Activity** Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of D<sub>r</sub> emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in D<sub>r</sub> values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $D_r$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery

Extrapolated from GL10001 Laboratory C data





N

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio

Not measured





©ENGLISH HERITAGE

### Fig. vi Signal Analysis

Not applicable to interlaboratory comparison

### Fig. vii U Decay Activity

Not applicable to interlaboratory comparison

### Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of De values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final De value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot De distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{e}}$  values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In D<sub>a</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerativedoses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In De) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}\xspace$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural  $D_0$  value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in De results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in De for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in De with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of Dr emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in Dr values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{r}}$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery



Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution

÷ •

10

01-**Ja** 

und stan

-20

-25

0





100

90

80

70

60

50

30

20

0

0





20

Precision



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



**Appendix 19** Sample: GL10019



20



Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of De values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final De value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot De distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{e}}$  values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In D<sub>a</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerativedoses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In De) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}\xspace$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural  $\mathsf{D}_{o}$  value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in De results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in De for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in De with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of Dr emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in D<sub>r</sub> values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{r}}$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery



Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution

**8**2

0







Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



**Appendix 20** Sample: GL10020







Fig. vii U Decay Activity

Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of De values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final De value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot De distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{e}}$  values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In D<sub>a</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerativedoses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond ±2 standardised In De) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}\xspace$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

Fig.viSignal Analysis Statistically significant increase in natural  $D_0$  value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in De results from simulated partial bleaching followed by insignificant adjustment in De for simulated zero and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in De with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>238</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of Dr emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in Dr values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{r}}$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery





Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution



0.08

0.06

100 Papi

0.02

0.00

ility

#### Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio





**Appendix 21** Sample: GL10055







Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $D_e$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final  $D_e$  value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in D<sub>e</sub> values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerative-doses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

**Fig.viSignal Analysis** Statistically significant increase in **natural** D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in D<sub>e</sub> results from **simulated partial bleaching** followed by insignificant adjustment in D<sub>e</sub> for simulated **zero** and **full bleach** conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in D<sub>e</sub> with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

**Fig. vii U Activity** Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  with its parent  $^{238}\text{U}$  may signify the temporal stability of D, emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in D, values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_v$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $D_v$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery







Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution



#### Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



Appendix 22 Sample: GL10063







Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $D_e$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final  $D_e$  value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in D<sub>e</sub> values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised ln D<sub>e</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerative-doses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

**Fig.viSignal Analysis** Statistically significant increase in **natural** D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in D<sub>e</sub> results from **simulated partial bleaching** followed by insignificant adjustment in D<sub>e</sub> for simulated **zero** and **full bleach** conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in D<sub>e</sub> with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

**Fig. vii U Activity** Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>230</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of D<sub>r</sub> emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in D<sub>r</sub> values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_o$  and  $D_v$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $D_v$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery









#### Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



Appendix 23 Sample: GL10064





Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $D_e$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final  $D_e$  value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in D<sub>e</sub> values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised ln D<sub>e</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerative-doses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised ln D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

**Fig.viSignal Analysis** Statistically significant increase in **natural** D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in D<sub>e</sub> results from **simulated partial bleaching** followed by insignificant adjustment in D<sub>e</sub> for simulated **zero** and **full bleach** conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in D<sub>e</sub> with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  with its parent  $^{238}\text{U}$  may signify the temporal stability of D, emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in D, values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_o$  and  $D_v$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $D_v$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery









Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



Appendix 24 Sample: GL10065











Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $D_e$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final  $D_e$  value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in D<sub>e</sub> values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised ln D<sub>e</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerative-doses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised In D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

**Fig.viSignal Analysis** Statistically significant increase in **natural** D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in D<sub>e</sub> results from **simulated partial bleaching** followed by insignificant adjustment in D<sub>e</sub> for simulated **zero** and full bleach conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in D<sub>e</sub> with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

**Fig. vii U Activity** Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope <sup>226</sup>Ra with its parent <sup>230</sup>U may signify the temporal stability of D<sub>r</sub> emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in D<sub>r</sub> values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_o$  and  $D_v$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $D_v$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery







Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution

#### Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



Appendix 25 Sample: GL10066





Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $D_e$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final  $D_e$  value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in D<sub>e</sub> values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised ln D<sub>e</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerative-doses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised ln D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

**Fig.viSignal Analysis** Statistically significant increase in **natural** D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in D<sub>e</sub> results from **simulated partial bleaching** followed by insignificant adjustment in D<sub>e</sub> for simulated **zero** and **full bleach** conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in D<sub>e</sub> with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

Fig. vii U Activity Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  with its parent  $^{238}\text{U}$  may signify the temporal stability of D, emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in D, values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_r$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $D_r$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.









Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution

#### Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



Appendix 26 Sample: GL10067







Fig. vii U Decay Activity



Fig. viii Age Range



Fig. ii Dose Recovery The acquisition of  $D_e$  values is necessarily predicated upon thermal treatment of aliquots succeeding environmental and laboratory irradiation. The Dose Recovery test quantifies the combined effects of thermal transfer and sensitisation on the natural signal using a precise lab dose to simulate natural dose. Based on this an appropriate thermal treatment is selected to generate the final  $D_e$  value.

Fig. iii Inter-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> distribution Provides a measure of inter-aliquot statistical concordance in D<sub>e</sub> values derived from natural irradiation. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised ln D<sub>e</sub>) reflects heterogeneous dose absorption and/or inaccuracies in calibration.

Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of signals from repeated low and high regenerative-doses. Discordant data (those points lying beyond  $\pm 2$  standardised ln D<sub>e</sub>) indicate inaccurate sensitivity correction.

Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio Measures the statistical concordance of OSL and post-IR OSL responses to the same regenerative-dose. Discordant, underestimating data (those points lying below -2 standardised In  $D_e$ ) highlight the presence of significant feldspar contamination.

**Fig.viSignal Analysis** Statistically significant increase in **natural** D<sub>e</sub> value with signal stimulation period is indicative of a partially-bleached signal, provided a significant increase in D<sub>e</sub> results from **simulated partial bleaching** followed by insignificant adjustment in D<sub>e</sub> for simulated **zero** and **full bleach** conditions. Ages from such samples are considered maximum estimates. In the absence of a significant rise in D<sub>e</sub> with stimulation time, simulated partial bleaching bleaching and zero/full bleach tests are not assessed.

**Fig. vii U Activity** Statistical concordance (equilibrium) in the activities of the daughter radioisotope  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  with its parent  $^{238}\text{U}$  may signify the temporal stability of D, emissions from these chains. Significant differences (disequilibrium; >50%) in activity indicate addition or removal of isotopes creating a time-dependent shift in D, values and increased uncertainty in the accuracy of age estimates. A 20% disequilibrium marker is also shown.

Fig. viii Age Range The mean age range provides an estimate of sediment burial period based on mean  $D_e$  and  $D_v$  values with associated analytical uncertainties. The probability distribution indicates the inter-aliquot variability in age. The maximum influence of temporal variations in  $D_v$  forced by minima-maxima variation in moisture content and overburden thickness may prove instructive where there is uncertainty in these parameters, however the combined extremes represented should not be construed as preferred age estimates.

#### Fig.ii Dose Recovery









#### Fig. iv Low and High Repeat Regenerative-dose Ratio



Fig. v OSL to Post-IR OSL Ratio



Appendix 27 Sample: GL10084







Fig. vii U Decay Activity

Fig. viii Age Range



### ENGLISH HERITAGE RESEARCH AND THE HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT

English Heritage undertakes and commissions research into the historic environment, and the issues that affect its condition and survival, in order to provide the understanding necessary for informed policy and decision making, for the protection and sustainable management of the resource, and to promote the widest access, appreciation and enjoyment of our heritage. Much of this work is conceived and implemented in the context of the National Heritage Protection Plan. For more information on the NHPP please go to http://www.english-heritage. org.uk/professional/protection/national-heritage-protection-plan/.

The Heritage Protection Department provides English Heritage with this capacity in the fields of building history, archaeology, archaeological science, imaging and visualisation, landscape history, and remote sensing. It brings together four teams with complementary investigative, analytical and technical skills to provide integrated applied research expertise across the range of the historic environment. These are:

- \* Intervention and Analysis (including Archaeology Projects, Archives, Environmental Studies, Archaeological Conservation and Technology, and Scientific Dating)
- \* Assessment (including Archaeological and Architectural Investigation, the Blue Plaques Team and the Survey of London)
- \* Imaging and Visualisation (including Technical Survey, Graphics and Photography)
- \* Remote Sensing (including Mapping, Photogrammetry and Geophysics)

The Heritage Protection Department undertakes a wide range of investigative and analytical projects, and provides quality assurance and management support for externally-commissioned research. We aim for innovative work of the highest quality which will set agendas and standards for the historic environment sector. In support of this, and to build capacity and promote best practice in the sector, we also publish guidance and provide advice and training. We support community engagement and build this in to our projects and programmes wherever possible.

We make the results of our work available through the Research Report Series, and through journal publications and monographs. Our newsletter *Research News*, which appears twice a year, aims to keep our partners within and outside English Heritage up-to-date with our projects and activities.

A full list of Research Reports, with abstracts and information on how to obtain copies, may be found on www.english-heritage.org.uk/researchreports

For further information visit www.english-heritage.org.uk

