

The Guildhouse, 19–21 Ladygate, Beverley East Riding of Yorkshire Tree-ring and radiocarbon wiggle-matching of oak timbers

Alison Arnold, Robert Howard, Cathy Tyers, Elaine Dunbar, Paula Reimer and Peter Marshall

Discovery, Innovation and Science in the Historic Environment



Research Report Series no. 223-2020

Research Report Series 223-2020

THE GUILDHOUSE 19–21 LADYGATE BEVERLEY EAST RIDING OF YORKSHIRE Tree-ring and radiocarbon wiggle-matching analysis of oak timbers

Alison Arnold, Robert Howard, Cathy Tyers, Elaine Dunbar, Paula Reimer and Peter Marshall

NGR: TA 03252 39720

© Historic England

ISSN 2059-4453 (Online)

The Research Report Series incorporates reports by Historic England's expert teams and other researchers. It replaces the former Centre for Archaeology Reports Series, the Archaeological Investigation Report Series, the Architectural Investigation Report Series, and the Research Department Report Series.

Many of the Research Reports are of an interim nature and serve to make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication. They are not usually subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of information not available at the time of the investigation. Where no final project report is available, readers must consult the author before citing these reports in any publication.

For more information write to Res.reports@HistoricEngland.org.uk or mail: Historic England, Fort Cumberland, Fort Cumberland Road, Eastney, Portsmouth PO4 9LD

Opinions expressed in Research Reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of Historic England.

223-2020

SUMMARY

Tree-ring analysis was undertaken on samples taken from the roof and a first-floor frame of 19–21 Ladygate, Beverley, resulting in the construction of two site sequences. Site sequence BEVASQ01 contains 20 samples, from both the roof and the floor frame of 19–21 Ladygate, and spans the period AD 1194–1330. Interpretation of surviving sapwood suggests that the floor frame and roof are contemporary, dating to, or soon after, felling of the timbers utilised in AD 1330. The second site sequence, BEVASQ02, produced from samples from 19 Ladygate remains undated.

Radiocarbon wiggle matching undertaken part way through the tree-ring dating programme, when access to 21 Ladygate was not expected, estimated the final ring of BEVASQ01 formed in *cal AD 1310–1335 (95% probability)* and was thus subsequently found to include the dendrochronological date of AD 1330.

CONTRIBUTORS

Alison Arnold, Robert Howard, Cathy Tyers, Elaine Dunbar, Paula Reimer and Peter Marshall

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank David Cook for facilitating access and the owners and tenants of both properties for allowing assessment and sampling to be undertaken. The building description and drawings used to illustrate this report were produced by the Yorkshire Vernacular Buildings Study Group. Shahina Farid of the Historic England Scientific Dating Team provided advice and assistance throughout the production of this report. Rebecca Lane of the Historic England Architectural Investigation Team coordinated this project.

ARCHIVE LOCATION

Humber Archaeology Partnership Historic Environment Record The Old School Northumberland Avenue Hull HU2 0LN

DATE OF RESEARCH 2015–2020

CONTACT DETAILS Alison Arnold and Robert Howard Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory 20 Hillcrest Grove Sherwood Nottingham NG5 1FT <u>roberthoward@tree-ringdating.co.uk</u> alisonarnold@tree-ringdating.co.uk

Cathy Tyers and Peter Marshall Historic England Cannon Bridge House 25 Dowgate Hill London EC4R 2YA cathy.tyers@historicengland.org.uk
peter.marshall@historicengland.org.uk

Elaine Dunbar Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre Scottish Enterprise Technology Park Rankine Avenue Glasgow G75 0QF <u>elaine.dunbar@glasgow.ac.uk</u>

Paula Reimer ¹⁴CHRONO Centre Queen's University Belfast 42 Fitzwilliam Street Belfast, BT9 6AX <u>p.j.reimer@qub.ac.uk</u>

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
Early Fabric in Beverley Project	1
19–21 Ladygate	1
Roof	1
Floor frame	2
SAMPLING	2
ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	2
Dendrochronological dating: phase 1	2
Dendrochronological dating: phase 2	2
INTERPRETATION	3
Roof	3
Floor frame	3
DISCUSSION	4
BIBLIOGRAPHY	5
TABLES	6
FIGURES	8
Data of Measured Samples	22
Appendix 1: Tree-Ring Dating	27
The Principles of Tree-Ring Dating	27
The Practice of Tree-Ring Dating at the Nottingham Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory	27
References	39
Appendix 2 Radiocarbon Dating and wiggle-matching	40
Radiocarbon dating	40
Wiggle Matching	40
References	44

INTRODUCTION

The *Early Fabric in Historic Towns: Voluntary Group Projects*, funded by Historic England, have been developed in the recognition and acknowledgement of the excellent work being undertaken by local vernacular groups in the study of local architectural trends and fabrics. The project's intention is to encourage this type of study through the provision of support and facilitate training of more people in building analysis and recording. The local projects were coordinated by Rebecca Lane (Historic England South West Region: Senior Architectural Investigation).

Early Fabric in Beverley Project

Whilst there is a corpus of research on form and age of the town of Beverley, it does not cover detailed examination of early fabric or aspects of typology, with analysis and interpretation of existing buildings until now not having benefited from dendrochronology, with the exception of some limited work on the Minster.

Initially, 13 properties were identified that were thought to be key to understanding the town's architectural development for a programme of comprehensive investigation. These properties were assessed for their suitability for tree-ring dating and those found to contain timbers potentially suitable for analysis were sampled. As the project progressed and some of the original buildings identified were rejected as unsuitable for tree-ring dating, further candidates for tree-ring analysis were assessed and sampled if appropriate.

It was hoped that successful dating of these buildings would extend the knowledge of early fabric and selected buildings in the historic town of Beverley in support of Historic England's responsibility to identify and understand the urban vernacular and historic environment of a market town. The reports produced on the buildings recorded as part of this project by the Yorkshire Vernacular Buildings Study Group, led by David Cook, will be held in the YVBSG archive and will be available through their website (www.yvbsg.org.uk), whilst a summary of the project is presented in Vernacular Architecture (Cook and Neave 2018).

19–21 LADYGATE

Numbers 19–21 Ladygate (List Entry Number 1161506), located on the east side of Ladygate (Figs 1–3), are orientated north-west/south-east (for simplicity in this report north/south). The primary range (that fronting onto Ladygate) consists of five bays, separated by six trusses, and was originally jettied to the front. At ground-floor level, the second bay from the south is a passageway which allows access to the rear of the building. The first floor of number 21 is open to the roof. To the rear of this range is a small extension and other small ranges (Fig 4).

Roof

The roof consists of six crown post with collar and tiebeam trusses, each with down braces from the crown post to the tiebeam and further braces from the crown post to the collar purlin. With the exception of truss 2 which has had its removed, there are also raking struts from the tiebeams to the principal rafters. Between the trusses

are collared common rafters (Fig 5). The roof has been dated stylistically to the fifteenth century (Pevsner 1995; YVBSG 2016).

Floor frame

In the northernmost room of number 19 there is an exposed spine beam with eight joists running from it to the west wall (Fig 6).

SAMPLING

Initially, it was only possible to gain access to number 19 Ladygate, sampling here resulted in 18 core samples being taken from oak (*Quercus* sp) timbers to the roof and first-floor frame. At a later date it became possible to sample at 21 Ladygate and a further eight samples were taken from the roof over this part of the building. Each core sample was given the code BEV-A and numbered 01-26 (BEV-A01-13 and BEV-A19-26 from the roof and BEV-A14-18 from the floor frame). The location of all samples was noted at the time of sampling and has been marked on Figures 7–14. One of the crown posts in 19 Ladygate (BEV-A04) was sampled twice in an effort to get as long a ring width sequence as possible. The two ringseries crossed matched (t = 17.7) and were combined to form the 63-year timber series reported in Table 1. Further details relating to the samples can be found in Table 1. Trusses and joists were initially numbered from north to south but have been altered to follow the numbering in the YVBSG survey (south to north; YVBSG (2016)).

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Dendrochronological dating: phase 1

From the initial sampling at 19 Ladygate, 17 suitable samples were produced, with one sample (BEV-A01 taken from a brace) having too few rings for secure dating to be a possibility. These 17 samples were prepared by sanding and polishing and their growth-ring widths measured. They were then compared with each other by the Litton/Zainodin grouping programme (see Appendix 1), resulting in 13 samples matching to form two groups.

Firstly, 11 samples matched each other at a minimum *t*-value of 5.1 and were combined at their relevant offset positions to form BEVASQ01, a site sequence of 110 rings (Fig 15). A further two samples also matched each other (minimum *t*-value of 6.9) and were combined to form a second site sequence (BEVASQ02) of 63 rings (Fig 16). Attempts to date these two site sequences and the remaining ungrouped samples by comparing them against a series of reference chronologies for oak were unsuccessful, although a tentative match was noted for site sequence BEVASQ01.

Dendrochronological dating: phase 2

The sampling at 21 Ladygate produced a further eight samples, seven of which were found to be suitable for analysis (sample BEV-A24 from a principal rafter was found to have too few rings for secure dating and rejected). These seven were prepared,

measured, and compared against each other and the previously taken samples at which point they matched to form two groups.

Site sequence BEVASQ02 produced from the analysis on the samples from 19 Ladygate is unchanged and remains undated.

However, the components of site sequence BEVASQ01 matched against a further nine samples (minimum *t*-value of 4.2), seven of the new samples and two from the original set which could now be grouped in. These 20 samples were combined at the relevant offset positions to form an updated BEVASQ01, a new site sequence of 137 rings (Fig 17). This site sequence was compared against a series of relevant reference chronologies for oak where the tentative end date noted previously of AD 1330 was supported and strengthened. The evidence for this dating is given in Table 2. This dating is also supported by radiocarbon dating carried out on two of the components of the original BEVASQ01 (see Appendix 2).

INTERPRETATION

Tree-ring analysis has resulted in the successful dating of 20 samples, 16 from the roof and four from the floor frame. Felling date ranges have been calculated using the estimate that 95% of mature trees in this region have 15–40 sapwood rings.

Roof

Two of the samples dated from the roof have complete sapwood and the lastmeasured ring date of AD 1330, the felling date of the two timbers represented. A further 11 roof samples have the heartwood/sapwood boundary which is broadly contemporary and suggestive of a single felling. The average heartwood/sapwood boundary ring date is AD 1309, allowing an estimated felling date to be calculated for the 11 timbers represented to within the range AD 1324–49, consistent with these timbers also having been felled in AD 1330.

The final three dated samples do not have the heartwood/sapwood boundary ring and so estimated felling date ranges cannot be calculated for these. However, with last-measured ring dates of AD 1278 (BEV-A20), AD 1299 (BEV-A13), and AD 1306 (BEV-A22), the earliest estimated felling dates for the timbers represented would be AD 1294, AD 1315, and AD 1322, respectively. Therefore, making it possible that these were also felled in AD 1330. Furthermore, sample BEV-A22 matches BEV-A10 (with an estimated felling date within the range AD 1324–49) at the value of t = 10.8, making it likely that both timbers were cut from the same tree and hence would have the same felling.

Floor frame

One of the samples taken from the floor frame has complete sapwood and the lastmeasured ring date of AD 1330, the felling date of the timber represented. The other three dated samples all have the heartwood/sapwood boundary ring date which in all cases is similar and suggestive of a single felling. The average heartwood/sapwood boundary ring date for the three samples is AD 1307 which, allowing for sample BEV-A14 having a last-measured ring date of AD 1329 with incomplete sapwood, gives an estimated felling date for the three timbers to within the range AD 1330–47, consistent with these samples also having been felled in AD 1330.

DISCUSSION

Tree-ring analysis has successfully dated timbers utilised within both the roof and a floor frame of this building to a felling of AD 1330, with construction of both elements thought to have occurred shortly after. This dating confirms the contemporaneous nature of the two structures and that the floor frame is part of the primary construction. With dated samples coming from the whole length of the roof this analysis also shows that the roof was built as the result of a single phase of construction.

The roof was previously thought to be fifteenth century, however, the tree-ring dating has shown it to be considerably earlier, belonging to the first half of the fourteenth century. This makes 19–21 Ladygate one of the earliest secular buildings still surviving in Beverley today and one of the earliest buildings of its type in North and East Yorkshire (YVBSG 2016).

Similar roofs to that of 19–21 Ladygate have been identified by the Yorkshire Vernacular Buildings Study Group at 49–51 Northbar Within and 15 Flemingate; buildings which have been shown to utilise timbers dated to AD 1336 and AD 1431, respectively (Arnold *et al* 2019; 2020) demonstrating a tradition of crown post roofs in Beverley spanning a hundred years.

In addition to the potential same tree match noted between samples BEV-A10 and BEV-A22 (see above), samples BEV-A05 and BEV-A06 also match each other at a level high enough to suggest that both beams (braces of truss 5) are cut from the same tree (t = 13.9). The overall level of similarity suggests that the dated timbers are likely to represent a single woodland source. The highest levels of similarity between the dated site sequence, BEVASQ01, and reference chronologies (Table 2) are generally with those in the surrounding regions in northern England including several from elsewhere in Yorkshire. This suggests that the dated timbers are likely to be source from woodland with the surrounding region.

The successful dating of site sequence BEVASQ01 demonstrates clearly the importance of producing a well replicated and long site sequence when attempting tree-ring dating generally, but perhaps even more importantly in areas where dendrochronological dating is more problematic as has previously been recognised for this area in Yorkshire.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Litton, C D, 2013 Dates from Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory, *Vernacular Architecture*, **44**, 91–6

Arnold, A, Howard, R, and Tyers, C, 2016 *Lancaster Castle, Castle Park, Lancaster; Tree-Ring Analysis of Oak and Pine Timbers in the Keep and Gatehouse*, Hist Eng Res Rep Ser, **35-2016**, Portsmouth

-, 2018 Tabley Old Hall, Chester Road, Nether Tabley, Knutsford, Cheshire; Tree-Ring Analysis of Oak Timbers, Hist Eng Res Rep Ser, **15-2018**, Portsmouth

-, 2020 St Marys Court 49–51 Northbar Within, Beverley, East Riding of Yorkshire; Tree-ring Analysis of Oak Timbers, Hist Eng Res Rep Ser, **219-2020**, Portsmouth

Arnold, A, Howard, R, Tyers, C, Bronk Ramsey, C, Dunbar, E, and Marshall P, 2019 *Early Fabric in Historic Towns; 15 Flemingate, Beverley, East Yorkshire; Tree-Ring and Radiocarbon Analysis of Oak Timbers*, Hist Eng Res Rep Ser, **83-2019**, Portsmouth

Hillam, J, 1980 *Beverley Hall Garth 1980 – The Tree-Ring Dating*, AML rep, **3428**, London

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Jennings, N, 1998 List 89 no 7 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: Cumbria mud-walled buildings dendrochronology project, *Vernacular Architecture*, **29**, 108–10

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 2002 *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from the North Transept Roof, Newcastle Cathedral, Newcastle-Upon-Tyne, Tyne and Wear*, English Heritage, Centre for Archaeol Rep, **85/2002**, Portsmouth

—, 2002 *Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers from Finchale Priory Farmhouse, Finchale, Co Durham*, English Heritage, Centre for Archaeol Rep **92/2002**, Portsmouth

Nayling, N, 2005 *Tree-ring analysis of Timbers from Baguley Hall, Greater Manchester*, Centre for Archaeol Rep, **10/2005**, Portsmouth

Pevsner, N, 1995 *Yorkshire: York and the East Riding* (Pevsner Architectural Guildes: Buildings of England). S.l. Penguin Books

Tyers, I, 1999 *Tree-ring analysis of timbers from 30A and 31 Market Place, Stockport, Greater Manchester*, ARCUS Rep **451**, Sheffield

—, 2001a Dendrochronological analysis of timbers from Manor Farm, Scotton, nr Knaresborough, North Yorkshire, ARCUS Rep **574h**, Sheffield

-, 2001b Dendrochronological spot-dates of samples from the Whitby UWWTD Scheme (sites CSW99 and WS99), North Yorkshire, ARCUS Rep **575e**, Sheffield

-, 2004 Dendrochronological spot-dates of samples from the Lamb Hotel (site 11000), Nantwich, Cheshire, ARCUS Rep **573v**, Sheffield

YVBSG, 2016 19–21 Ladygate, Beverley

TABLES

Sample	Sample	Total	Sapwood	First	Last	Last
number	location	rings*	rings**	measured	heartwood	measured
				ring date	ring date	ring date
				(AD)	(AD)	(AD)
Roof						•
BEV-	South brace,	NM				
A01	truss 6					
BEV-	Collar purlin,	58	h/s	1247	1304	1304
A02	bay 5		,			
BEV-	East rafter 5,	54	h/s			
A03	bay 5					
BEV-	Crown post,	63	02	1256	1316	1318
A04	truss 5					
BEV-	East brace,	72	13	1251	1309	1322
A05	truss 5					
BEV-	West brace,	86	18C	1245	1312	1330
A06	truss 5					
BEV-	Crown post,	51	06			
A07	truss 4					
BEV-	North brace,	66	18	1256	1303	1321
A08	truss 4					
BEV-	East brace,	57	08	1259	1307	1315
A09	truss 4					
BEV-	West rafter 3,	64	h/s	1251	1314	1314
A10	bay 3					
BEV-	West rafter 1,	56	h/s			
A11	bay 3					
BEV-	Collar purlin,	58	02	1254	1309	1311
A12	bay 3					
BEV-	North brace,	70		1230		1299
A13	truss 3					
BEV-	Crown post,	82	h/s	1235	1316	1316
A19	truss 3					
BEV-	Tiebeam, truss	78		1201		1278
A20	3					
BEV-	East brace,	77	03	1239	1312	1315
A21	truss 3					
BEV-	Crown post,	65		1242		1306
A22	truss 2					
BEV-	Tiebeam, truss	129	27	1194	1295	1322
A23	2					
BEV-	West principal	NM				
A24	rafter, truss 2					
BEV-	East brace,	74	14	1250	1309	1323
A25	truss 2					
BEV-	Tiebeam, truss	88	25C	1243	1305	1330

Table 1: Details of tree-ring samples from The Guildhouse, 19–21 Ladygate, Beverley, East Riding of Yorkshire

Sample	Sample	Total	Sapwood	First	Last	Last
number	location	rings*	rings**	measured	heartwood	measured
				ring date	ring date	ring date
				(AD)	(AD)	(AD)
A26	1					
First-floo	r frame					
BEV-	Main beam	59	20	1271	1309	1329
A14						
BEV-	Joist 6	69	15C			
A15						
BEV-	Joist 3	82	21C	1249	1309	1330
A16						
BEV-	Joist 2	83	h/s	1221	1303	1303
A17						
BEV-	Joist 1	72	h/s	1239	1310	1310
A18						

*NM = not measured

**h/s = heartwood/sapwood boundary is the last-measured ring C = complete sapwood retained on sample, last measured ring is the felling date

Table 2: Results of the cross-matching of site sequence BEVASQ01 and the reference chronologies when the first-ring date is AD 1194 and the lastmeasured ring date is AD 1330

Reference chronology	t-	Span of	Reference
	value	chronology	
Manor Farm, Scotton, Nr Knaresborough,	6.9	AD 1096-	Tyers 2001a
North Yorkshire		1342	
Tabley Old Hall, Knutsford, Cheshire	6.3	AD 1179–	Arnold et al 2018
		1336	
The Merchant Taylor's Hall, York, North	6.1	AD 1216–	Arnold and Howard
Yorkshire		1424	2013
Lamb Hotel, Nantwich, Cheshire	6.1	AD 941–1276	Tyers 2004
30-31 Market Place, Stockport, Greater	6.0	AD 1079–	Tyers 1999
Manchester		1253	
Church Street, Whitby, North Yorkshire	5.9	AD 1038-	Tyers 2001b
		1261	
Lancaster Castle, Lancashire	5.8	AD 950–1404	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2016
Baguley Hall, Manchester	5.8	AD 1015–	Nayling 2005
		1398	
Newcastle Cathedral (north transept roof),	5.5	AD 1187–	Howard et al 2002
Newcastle, Northumberland		1367	
Hall Garth, Beverley, East Riding of	5.5	AD 1002-	Hillam 1980
Yorkshire		1324	

FIGURES



Figure 1: Map to show the location of Beverley (red ellipse). © Crown Copyright and database right 2020. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900



Figure 2: Map to show the general location of 19–21 Ladygate in Beverley (red ellipse). © Crown Copyright and database right 2020. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900



Figure 3: Map to show the location of the Guildhouse, 19–21 Ladygate, hashed. © Crown Copyright and database right 2020. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900



Figure 4: Ground-floor plan of 19–21 Ladygate (YVBSG 2016)



Figure 5: 19 Ladygate, photograph of the roof with truss 5 in the foreground, photograph taken from the south (Alison Arnold)



Figure 6: 19 Ladygate, exposed ceiling beams, photograph taken from the east (Alison Arnold)



Figure 7: Long section (west side) from the east, showing the location of samples BEV-A01, BEV-A08, and BEV-A13 (YVBSG 2016)



Figure 8: Roof plan, showing the location of samples BEV-A02, BEV-A03, and BEV-A10–12 (YVBSG 2016)



Figure 9: Truss 1 (from south), showing the location of sample BEV-A26 (YVBSG 2016)



Figure 10: Truss 2 (from south), showing the location of samples BEV-A22–25 (YVBSG 2016)



Figure 11: Truss 3 (from south), showing the location of samples BEV-A19–21 (YVBSG 2016)



Figure 12: Truss 4 (from north), showing the location of samples BEV-A07 and BEV-A09 (YVGSG 2016)



Figure 13: Truss 5 (from north, based on truss 4), showing the location of samples BEV-A04–6 (YVGSG 2016)



Figure 14: Plan of exposed ceiling beams in 19 Ladygate, showing the location of samples BEV-A14–18 (after YVBSG 2016)



Figure 15: Bar diagram to show the position of samples in original undated site sequence BEVASQ01



Figure 16: Bar diagram to show the position of samples in undated site sequence BEVASQ02



Figure 17: Bar diagram of samples in updated site sequence BEVASQ01, sorted by area

DATA OF MEASURED SAMPLES

Measurements in 0.01mm units

BEV-A02A 58

169 142 132 151 148 177 190 205 170 148 108 106 111 176 114 150 115 96 151

23

318 237 182 171 190 275 145 153 202 148 134 142 110 108 140 173 137 150 116 159

180 118 203 203 175 128 206 209 152 197 178

BEV-A08A66

79 108 108 106 103 110 126 147 124 113 106 123 210 130 201 176 287 157 126 137

BEV-A26A 88 747 628 668 645 651 717 772 612 644 361 438 359 435 273 383 328 354 247 179 379

25

227 285 214 173 212 148 176 168 169 133 136 102 99 90

BEV-A25B 74 156 139 100 97 108 123 92 129 134 122 127 72 135 161 115 122 51 61 75 152 $118\ 232\ 183\ 157\ 78\ 112\ 109\ 143\ 156\ 107\ 90\ 183\ 150\ 112\ 193\ 174\ 153\ 113\ 146\ 118$ 126 104 126 116 107 78 100 116 111 102 107 151 162 133 114 168 140 150 116 199

226 288 218 172 218 154 170 172 175 131 129 109 94 94

BEV-A25A 74 161 140 105 85 111 114 97 147 126 108 124 68 136 158 127 118 54 53 79 148 131 239 187 167 80 111 103 145 152 111 84 187 150 117 197 173 151 115 137 126 130 94 131 116 97 85 95 117 104 92 96 162 166 132 129 168 145 148 108 196

58 59 71 101 139 95 80 79 56

BEV-A23B 129 135 180 227 222 234 196 204 255 320 304 226 160 213 178 193 204 271 383 359 453 414 353 396 595 404 437 478 449 585 523 603 589 499 436 517 408 446 345 450 275 405 407 197 321 179 243 237 199 203 166 119 210 256 316 312 354 253 233 109 89 95 98 79 68 104 142 108 104 170 217 126 120 87 78 102 131 100 167 194 140 79 76 85 101 80 79 93 105 200 163 160 142 94 175 112 100 137 138 167 161 153 152 112 123 85 90 97 101 85 71 35 42 44 44 40 42 46 66 40 45

57 55 78 95 138 98 87 83 52

BEV-A23A 129 121 178 234 218 268 212 201 242 283 290 197 139 225 180 190 207 276 396 338 413 $428\ 389\ 433\ 567\ 416\ 447\ 485\ 466\ 576\ 538\ 610\ 607\ 485\ 450\ 519\ 419\ 455\ 351\ 459\ 273$ 441 405 197 327 180 252 236 196 207 171 134 224 278 314 313 349 248 230 115 100 $108\ 105\ 78\ 74\ 112\ 153\ 109\ 108\ 177\ 232\ 121\ 114\ 95\ 77\ 108\ 148\ 104\ 165\ 188\ 150$ 79 67 83 106 83 79 84 110 200 163 161 146 83 186 111 99 137 145 161 158 158 149 104 124 90 82 108 94 86 73 33 55 45 32 28 56 60 45 44 48

165 109 91 136 82

281 290 304 362 452 359 512 416 385 424 342 459 349 701 469 627 533 489 381 237 203 242 173 130 103 61 76 77 83 141 113 89 50 62 97 178 73 83 67 106 94 104 125 104 67 61 116 159 180 167 147 111 139 76 99 110 87 114 164 199

167 111 91 132 105 BEV-A22B 65

BEV-A22A 65 282 286 308 346 447 362 525 414 368 418 335 454 367 692 479 626 518 454 390 231 196 238 171 127 101 62 76 70 84 149 110 89 47 65 91 159 69 85 67 121 86 98 121 97 64 70 116 150 180 164 146 113 142 72 102 109 87 102 169 200

BEV-A21B 77 128 123 113 167 236 212 152 151 194 174 240 249 282 219 165 148 215 159 237 297 221 206 93 62 108 77 106 61 120 168 166 232 246 277 202 143 104 179 240 113 80 70 67 82 89 76 65 50 57 85 78 92 44 124 94 95 71 87 159 181 128 134 116 186 303 316 354 357 319 188 175 129 173 203 157 118 125

BEV-A21A 77 144 148 137 171 208 248 156 153 207 141 232 232 271 199 169 148 193 165 238 327 232 198 105 64 128 83 125 87 121 166 163 243 218 280 194 146 114 190 253 102 80 75 80 70 91 84 62 53 52 83 71 85 43 127 100 100 70 75 159 181 139 135 98 148 208 310 346 360 311 201 167 124 181 197 152 121 123

BEV-A20B 78 355 339 368 284 275 401 306 436 629 580 799 569 640 599 564 618 762 506 558 531 550 656 576 666 632 599 440 615 557 645 694 647 333 387 389 299 475 261 307 263 229 273 360 218 256 266 262 205 282 254 221 101 98 98 172 92 133 121 160 82 69 101 112 95 76 67 87 122 202 115 136 168 113 86 61 93 104 128

BEV-A20A 78 365 332 374 267 284 388 326 446 615 590 795 571 642 601 570 610 774 527 558 539 556 659 577 671 630 603 441 618 551 643 704 633 340 388 389 301 445 276 302 275 248 286 345 217 231 279 262 195 291 250 223 100 100 95 170 112 129 120 161 80 70 107 105 99 68 74 86 125 202 113 135 158 127 83 72 94 102 117

179 140

 $\begin{array}{l} 392\ 200\ 189\ 155\ 172\ 297\ 408\ 298\ 341\ 347\ 290\ 217\ 162\ 192\ 214\ 180\ 223\ 216\ 245\ 276\\ 231\ 202\ 210\ 143\ 140\ 128\ 128\ 152\ 148\ 146\ 132\ 119\ 96\ 98\ 202\ 166\ 202\ 207\ 174\ 157\\ 119\ 71\ 112\ 134\ 140\ 99\ 115\ 106\ 147\ 135\ 76\ 87\ 124\ 114\ 130\ 126\ 124\ 106\ 103\ 119\\ 93\ 81\ 63\ 62\ 41\ 94\ 197\ 106 \end{array}$

BEV-A26B 88

743 629 641 672 665 710 763 623 646 357 481 373 440 281 389 339 364 260 184 386 377 192 191 150 167 301 400 301 348 362 286 206 150 184 203 181 217 212 251 275 227 202 209 149 141 118 136 146 145 141 133 121 97 103 202 163 202 215 174 164 114 60 115 136 141 107 103 113 150 137 73 89 119 118 135 117 131 93 109 113 95 83 67 56 43 88 199 110

APPENDIX 1: TREE-RING DATING

The Principles of Tree-Ring Dating

Tree-ring dating, or dendrochronology as it is known, is discussed in some detail in the Laboratory's Monograph, An East Midlands Master Tree-Ring Chronology and its uses for dating Vernacular Building (Laxton and Litton 1988) and Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates (English Heritage 1998). Here we will give the bare outlines. Each year an oak tree grows an extra ring on the outside of its trunk and all its branches just inside its bark. The width of this annual ring depends largely on the weather during the growing season, about April to October, and possibly also on the weather during the previous year. Good growing seasons give rise to relatively wide rings, poor ones to very narrow rings and average ones to relatively average ring widths. Since the climate is so variable from year to year, almost random-like, the widths of these rings will also appear random-like in sequence, reflecting the seasons. This is illustrated in Figure A1.1 where, for example, the widest rings appear at irregular intervals. This is the key to dating by tree rings, or rather, by their widths. Records of the average ring widths for oaks, one for each year for the last 1000 years or more, are available for different areas. These are called master chronologies. Because of the random-like nature of these sequences of widths, there is usually only one position at which a sequence of ring widths from a sample of oak timber with at least 70 rings will match a master. This will date the timber and, in particular, the last ring.

If the bark is still on the sample, as in Figure A1.1, then the date of the last ring will be the date of felling of the oak from which it was cut. There is much evidence that in medieval times oaks cut down for building purposes were used almost immediately, usually within the year or so (Rackham 1976). Hence if bark is present on several main timbers in a building, none of which appear reused or are later insertions, and if they all have the same date for their last ring, then we can be quite confident that this is the date of construction or soon after. If there is no bark on the sample, then we have to make an estimate of the felling date; how this is done is explained below.

The Practice of Tree-Ring Dating at the Nottingham Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory

1. Inspecting the Building and Sampling the Timbers. Together with a building historian the timbers in a building are inspected to try to ensure that those sampled are not reused or later insertions. Sampling is almost always done by coring into the timber, which has the great advantage that we can sample in situ timbers and those judged best to give the date of construction, or phase of construction if there is more than one in the building. The timbers to be sampled are also inspected to see how many rings they have. We normally look for timbers with at least 70 rings, and preferably more. With fewer rings than this, 50 for example, sequences of widths become difficult to match to a unique position within a master sequence of ring widths and so are difficult to date (Litton and Zainodin 1991). The crosssection of the rafter shown in Figure A1.2 has about 120 rings; about 20 of which

are sapwood rings – the lighter rings on the outside. Similarly the core has just over 100 rings with a few sapwood rings.

To ensure that we are getting the date of the building as a whole, or the whole of a phase of construction if there is more than one, about 8–10 samples per phase are usually taken. Sometimes we take many more, especially if the construction is complicated. One reason for taking so many samples is that, in general, some will fail to give a date. There may be many reasons why a particular sequence of ring widths from a sample of timber fails to give a date even though others from the same building do. For example, a particular tree may have grown in an odd ecological niche, so odd indeed that the widths of its rings were determined by factors other than the local climate! In such circumstances it will be impossible to date a timber from this tree using the master sequence whose widths, we can assume, were predominantly determined by the local climate at the time.

Sampling is done by coring into the timber with a hollow corer attached to an electric drill and usually from its outer rings inwards towards where the centre of the tree, the pith, is judged to be. An illustration of a core is shown in Figure A1.2; it is about 150mm long and 10mm diameter. Great care has to be taken to ensure that as few as possible of the outer rings are lost in coring. This can be difficult as these outer rings are often very soft (see below on sapwood). Each sample is given a code which identifies uniquely which timber it comes from, which building it is from and where the building is located. For example, CRO-A06 is the sixth core taken from the first building (A) sampled by the Laboratory in Cropwell Bishop. Where it came from in that building will be shown in the sampling records and drawings. No structural damage is done to any timbers by coring, nor does it weaken them.

During the initial inspection of the building and its timbers the dendrochronologist may come to the conclusion that, as far as can be judged, none of the timbers have sufficient rings in them for dating purposes and may advise against sampling to save further unwarranted expense.

All sampling by the Laboratory is undertaken according to current Health and Safety Standards. The Laboratory's dendrochronologists are insured.





Figure A1.2: Cross-section of a rafter, showing sapwood rings in the left-hand corner, the arrow points to the heartwood/sapwood boundary (H/S); and a core with sapwood; again the arrow is pointing to the H/S. The core is about the size of a pencil



Figure A1.3: Measuring ring widths under a microscope. The microscope is fixed while the sample is on a moving platform. The total sequence of widths is measured twice to ensure that an error has not been made. This type of apparatus is needed to process a large number of samples on a regular basis



Figure A1.4: Three cores from timbers in a building. They come from trees growing at the same time. Notice that,

2. Measuring Ring Widths. Each core is sanded down with a belt sander using medium-grit paper and then finished by hand with flourgrade-grit paper. The rings are then clearly visible and differentiated from each other with a result very much like that shown in Figure A1.2. The core is then mounted on a movable table below a microscope and the ring-widths measured individually from the innermost ring to the outermost. The widths are automatically recorded in a computer file as they are measured (see Fig A3).

Cross-Matching and Dating the Samples. Because of the factors besides the 3. local climate which may determine the annual widths of a tree's rings, no two sequences of ring widths from different oaks growing at the same time are exactly alike (Fig A1.4). Indeed, the sequences may not be exactly alike even when the trees are growing near to each other. Consequently, in the Laboratory we do not attempt to match two sequences of ring widths by eye, or graphically, or by any other subjective method. Instead, it is done objectively (ie statistically) on a computer by a process called cross-matching. The output from the computer tells us the extent of correlation between two sample sequences of widths or, if we are dating, between a sample sequence of widths and the master, at each relative position of one to the other (offsets). The extent of the correlation at an offset is determined by the *t*-value (defined in almost any introductory book on statistics). That offset with the maximum *t*-value among the *t*-values at all the offsets will be the best candidate for dating one sequence relative to the other. If one of these is a master chronology, then this will date the other. Experiments carried out in the past with sequences from oaks of known date suggest that a *t*-value of at least 4.5, and preferably at least 5.0, is usually adequate for the dating to be accepted with reasonable confidence (Laxton and Litton 1988; Laxton *et al* 1988; Howard *et al* 1984–1995).

This is illustrated in Figure A1.5 with timbers from one of the roofs of Lincoln Cathedral. Here four sequences of ring widths, LIN-C04, 05, 08, and 45, have been cross-matched with each other. The ring widths themselves have been omitted in the bar diagram, as is usual, but the offsets at which they best cross-match each other are shown; eg the sequence of ring widths of C08 matches the sequence of ring widths of C45 best when it is at a position starting 20 rings after the first ring of C45, and similarly for the others. The actual *t*-values between the four at these offsets of best correlations are in the matrix. Thus at the offset of +20 rings, the *t*-value between C45 and C08 is 5.6 and is the maximum found between these two among all the positions of one sequence relative to the other.

It is standard practice in our Laboratory first to cross-match as many as possible of the ring-width sequences of the samples in a building and then to form an average from them. This average is called a site sequence of the building being dated and is illustrated in Figure A5. The fifth bar at the bottom is a site sequence for a roof at Lincoln Cathedral and is constructed from the matching sequences of the four timbers. The site sequence width for each year is the average of the widths in each of the sample sequences which has a width for that year. Thus in Figure A1.5 if the widths shown are 0.8mm for C45, 0.2mm for C08, 0.7mm for C05, and 0.3mm for C04, then the corresponding width of the site sequence is the average of these, 0.55mm. The actual sequence of widths of this site sequence is stored on the computer. The reason for creating site sequences is that it is usually easier to date

an average sequence of ring widths with a master sequence than it is to date the individual component sample sequences separately.

The straightforward method of cross-matching several sample sequences with each other one at a time is called the 'maximal *t*-value' method. The actual method of cross-matching a group of sequences of ring-widths used in the Laboratory involves grouping and averaging the ring-width sequences and is called the 'Litton-Zainodin Grouping Procedure'. It is a modification of the straightforward method and was successfully developed and tested in the Laboratory and has been published (Litton and Zainodin 1991; Laxton *et al* 1988).

4. Estimating the Felling Date. As mentioned above, if the bark is present on a sample, then the date of its last ring is the date of the felling of its tree (or the last full year before felling, if it was felled in the first three months of the following calendar year, before any new growth had started, but this is not too important a consideration in most cases). The actual bark may not be present on a timber in a building, though the dendrochronologist who is sampling can often see from its surface that only the bark is missing. In these cases the date of the last ring is still the date of felling.

Quite often some, though not all, of the original outer rings are missing on a timber. The outer rings on an oak, called sapwood rings, are usually lighter than the inner rings, the heartwood, and so are relatively easy to identify. For example, sapwood can be seen in the corner of the rafter and at the outer end of the core in Figure A1.2, both indicated by arrows. More importantly for dendrochronology, the sapwood is relatively soft and so liable to insect attack and wear and tear. The builder, therefore, may remove some of the sapwood for precisely these reasons. Nevertheless, if at least some of the sapwood rings are left on a sample, we will know that not too many rings have been lost since felling so that the date of the last ring on the sample is only a few years before the date of the original last ring on the tree, and so to the date of felling.

Various estimates have been made and used for the average number of sapwood rings in mature oak trees (English Heritage 1998). A fairly conservative range is between 15 and 50 and that this holds for 95% of mature oaks. This means, of course, that in a small number of cases there could be fewer than 15 and more than 50 sapwood rings. For example, the core CRO-A06 has only 9 sapwood rings and some have obviously been lost over time – either they were removed originally by the carpenter and/or they rotted away in the building and/or they were lost in the coring. It is not known exactly how many sapwood rings are missing, but using the above range the Laboratory would estimate between a minimum of 6 (= 15-9) and a maximum of 41 (=50-9). If the last ring of CRO-A06 has been dated to 1500, say, then the estimated felling-date range for the tree from which it came originally would be between 1506 and 1541. The Laboratory uses this estimate for sapwood in areas of England where it has no prior information. It also uses it when dealing with samples with very many rings, about 120 to the last heartwood ring. But in other areas of England where the Laboratory has accumulated a number of samples with complete sapwood, that is, no sapwood lost since felling, other estimates in place of the conservative range of 15 to 50 are used. In the East Midlands (Laxton et al 2001) and the east to the south down to Kent (Pearson 1995) where it has

sampled extensively in the past, the Laboratory uses the shorter estimate of 15 to 35 sapwood rings in 95% of mature oaks growing in these parts. Since the sample CRO-A06 comes from a house in Cropwell Bishop in the East Midlands, a better estimate of sapwood rings lost since felling is between a minimum of 6 (=15-9) and 26 (=35-9) and the felling would be estimated to have taken place between 1506 and 1526, a shorter period than before. Oak boards quite often come from the Baltic region and in these cases the 95% confidence limits for sapwood are 9 to 36 (Howard *et al* 1992, 56).

Even more precise estimates of the felling date and range can often be obtained using knowledge of a particular case and information gathered at the time of sampling. For example, at the time of sampling the dendrochronologist may have noted that the timber from which the core of Figure A2 was taken still had complete sapwood but that some of the soft sapwood rings were lost in coring. By measuring into the timber the depth of sapwood lost, say 20mm, a reasonable estimate can be made of the number of sapwood rings lost, say 12 to 15 rings in this case. By adding on 12 to 15 years to the date of the last ring on the sample a good tight estimate for the range of the felling date can be obtained, which is often better than the 15 to 35 years later we would have estimated without this observation. In the example, the felling is now estimated to have taken place between AD 1512 and 1515, which is much more precise than without this extra information.

Even if all the sapwood rings are missing on a sample, but none of the heartwood rings are, then an estimate of the felling-date range is possible by adding on the full compliment of, say, 15 to 35 years to the date of the last heartwood ring (called the heartwood/ sapwood boundary or transition ring and denoted H/S). Fortunately it is often easy for a trained dendrochronologist to identify this boundary on a timber. If a timber does not have its heartwood/sapwood boundary, then only a post quem date for felling is possible.

5. Estimating the Date of Construction. There is a considerable body of evidence collected by dendrochronologists over the years that oak timbers used in buildings were not seasoned in medieval or early modern times (English Heritage 1998; Miles 1997, 50–5). Hence, provided that all the samples in a building have estimated felling-date ranges broadly in agreement with each other, so that they appear to have been felled as a group, then this should give an accurate estimate of the period when the structure was built, or soon after (Laxton *et al* 2001, fig 8; 34–5, where 'associated groups of fellings' are discussed in detail). However, if there is any evidence of storage before use, or if there is evidence the oak came from abroad (eg Baltic boards), then some allowance has to be made for this.

6. Master Chronological Sequences. Ultimately, to date a sequence of ring widths, or a site sequence, we need a master sequence of dated ring widths with which to cross-match it, a Master Chronology. To construct such a sequence we have to start with a sequence of widths whose dates are known and this means beginning with a sequence from an oak tree whose date of felling is known. In Figure A6 such a sequence is SHE-T, which came from a tree in Sherwood Forest which was blown down in a recent gale. After this other sequences which cross-match with it are added and gradually the sequence is 'pushed back in time' as far as the age of samples will allow. This process is illustrated in Figure A1.6. We have a

master chronological sequence of widths for Nottinghamshire and East Midlands oak for each year from AD 882 to 1981. It is described in great detail in Laxton and Litton (1988), but the components it contains are shown here in the form of a bar diagram. As can be seen, it is well replicated in that for each year in this period there are several sample sequences having widths for that year. The master is the average of these. This master can now be used to date oak from this area and from the surrounding areas where the climate is very similar to that in the East Midlands. The Laboratory has also constructed a master for Kent (Laxton and Litton 1989). The method the Laboratory uses to construct a master sequence, such as the East Midlands and Kent, is completely objective and uses the Litton-Zainodin grouping procedure (Laxton *et al* 1988). Other laboratories and individuals have constructed masters for other areas and have made them available. As well as these masters, local (dated) site chronologies can be used to date other buildings from nearby. The Laboratory has hundreds of these site sequences from many parts of England and Wales covering many short periods.

Ring-Width Indices. Tree-ring dating can be done by cross-matching the ring 7. widths themselves, as described above. However, it is advantageous to modify the widths first. Because different trees grow at different rates and because a young oak grows in a different way from an older oak, irrespective of the climate, the widths are first standardized before any matching between them is attempted. These standard widths are known as ring-width indices and were first used in dendrochronology by Baillie and Pilcher (1973). The exact form they take is explained in this paper and in the appendix of Laxton and Litton (1988) and is illustrated in the graphs in Figure A1.7. Here ring-widths are plotted vertically, one for each year of growth. In the upper sequence of (a), the generally large early growth after 1810 is very apparent as is the smaller later growth from about 1900 onwards when the tree is maturing. A similar phenomenon can be observed in the lower sequence of (a) starting in 1835. In both the widths are also changing rapidly from year to year. The peaks are the wide rings and the troughs are the narrow rings corresponding to good and poor growing seasons, respectively. The two corresponding sequence of Baillie-Pilcher indices are plotted in (b) where the differences in the immature and mature growths have been removed and only the rapidly changing peaks and troughs remain, that are associated with the common climatic signal. This makes cross-matching easier.

t-value/offset Matrix



Figure A1.5: Cross-matching of four sequences from a Lincoln Cathedral roof and the formation of a site sequence from them

The bar diagram represents these sequences without the rings themselves. The length of the bar is proportional to the number of rings in the sequence. Here the four sequences are set at relative positions (offsets) to each other at which they have maximum correlation as measured by the *t*-values. The *t*-value/offset matrix contains the maximum *t*-values below the diagonal and the offsets above it. Thus, the maximum *t*-value between C08 and C45 occurs at the offset of +20 rings and the *t*-value is then 5.6. The site sequence is composed of the average of the corresponding widths, as illustrated with one width.



Figure A1.6: Bar diagram showing the relative positions and dates of the first rings of the component site sequences in the East Midlands Master Dendrochronological Sequence, EM08/87



Figure A1.7 (a): The raw ring-widths of two samples, THO-A01 and THO-B05, whose felling dates are known

Here the ring widths are plotted vertically, one for each year, so that peaks represent wide rings and troughs narrow ones. Notice the growth-trends in each; on average the earlier rings of the young tree are wider than the later ones of the older tree in both sequences

Figure A1.7 (b): The Baillie-Pilcher indices of the above widths

The growth trends have been removed completely

REFERENCES

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1973 A simple cross-dating program for tree-ring research, *Tree-Ring Bulletin*, **33**, 7–14

English Heritage, 1998 *Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates*, London

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1984–95 Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results, *Vernacular Architecture*, **15–26**

-, W G, 1992 List 44 no 17 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: tree-ring dates for buildings in the East Midlands, *Vernacular Architecture*, **23**, 51–6

Laxon, R R, Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1988 An objective method for forming a master ring-width sequence, *P A C T*, **22**, 25–35

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988 *An East Midlands Master Chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings, University of Nottingham*, Department of Archaeology Publication, Monograph Series **III**, Nottingham

-, 1989 Construction of a Kent master dendrochronological sequence for oak, AD 1158 to 1540, *Medieval Archaeology*, **33**, 90–8

Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Howard, R E, 2001 *Timber: Dendrochronology of Roof Timbers at Lincoln Cathedral*, English Heritage Research Transactions, **7**, London

Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1991 Statistical models of dendrochronology, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **18**, 29–40

Miles, D W H, 1997 The interpretation, presentation and use of tree-ring dates, *Vernacular Architecture*, **28**, 40–56

Pearson, S, 1995 The Medieval Houses of Kent, an Historical Analysis, London

Rackham, O, 1976 Trees and Woodland in the British Landscape, London

APPENDIX 2 RADIOCARBON DATING AND WIGGLE-MATCHING

Radiocarbon dating

Following the failure of the dendrochronology to provide calendar dating for the felling of the oak timbers in site sequence BEVASQ01, two tree-ring sequences (BEV-A17 and BEV-A06) that formed part of the 110 rings site sequence were selected for radiocarbon dating and wiggle-matching.

Radiocarbon dating is based on the radioactive decay of ¹⁴C, which trees absorb from the atmosphere during photosynthesis and store in their growth-rings. The radiocarbon from each year is stored in a separate annual ring. Once a ring has formed, no more ¹⁴C is added to it, and so the proportion of ¹⁴C versus other carbon isotopes reduces in the ring through time as the radiocarbon decays. Radiocarbon ages, like those in Table A2.1, measure the proportion of ¹⁴C in a sample and are expressed in radiocarbon years BP (before present, 'present' being a constant, conventional date of AD 1950).

Five radiocarbon measurements have been obtained from single annual tree-rings from timbers BEV-A17 and BEV-A06 (Table A2.1; Fig A2.1). Dissection was undertaken by Alison Arnold at the Nottingham Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory. Prior to sub-sampling, the core was checked against the tree-ring width data. Then each annual growth ring was split from the rest of the tree-ring sample using a chisel or scalpel blade. Each radiocarbon sample consisted of a complete annual growth ring, including both earlywood and latewood. Each annual ring was then weighed and placed in a labelled bag. Rings not selected for radiocarbon dating as part of this study have been archived by Historic England.

Radiocarbon dating was undertaken by the ¹⁴CHRONO Centre, Queens University, Belfast and Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre (SUERC) in 2015.

Samples measured at Belfast were processed and dated by Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS) as described in Reimer *et al* (2015). The three rings submitted to the SUERC were converted to α -cellulose, combusted, graphitised, and dated by AMS as described by Dunbar *et al* (2016).

Both facilities maintain continual programmes of quality assurance procedures in addition to participation in international inter-comparison exercises (Scott 2003; Scott *et al* 2010). This demonstrates the reproducibility and accuracy of these measurements.

The results are conventional radiocarbon ages, corrected for fractionation using δ^{13} C values measured by Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (Stuiver and Polach 1977; Table A2.1). The δ^{13} C values were measured by Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry, and more accurately reflect the natural isotopic composition of the sampled wood.

Wiggle Matching

Radiocarbon ages are not the same as calendar dates because the concentration of ¹⁴C in the atmosphere has fluctuated over time. A radiocarbon measurement has

thus to be calibrated against an independent scale to arrive at the corresponding calendar date. That independent scale is the IntCal13 calibration curve (Reimer *et al* 2013). For the period covered by this study, this is constructed from radiocarbon measurements on tree-ring samples dated absolutely by dendrochronology. The probability distributions of the calibrated radiocarbon dates from BEVASQ01, derived from the probability method (Stuiver and Reimer 1993) are shown in outline in Figure A2.1.

Wiggle-matching is the process of matching a series of calibrated radiocarbon dates which are separated by a known number of years to the shape of the radiocarbon calibration curve. At its simplest, this can be done visually, although statistical methods are usually employed. Floating tree-ring sequences are particularly suited to this approach as the calendar age separation of tree-rings submitted for dating is known precisely by counting the rings in the timber. A review of the method is presented by Galimberti *et al* (2004).

The approach to wiggle-matching adopted here employs Bayesian chronological modelling to combine the relative dating information provided by the tree-ring analysis with the calibrated radiocarbon dates (Christen and Litton 1995). It has been implemented using the program OxCal v4.3

(http://c14.arch.ox.ac.uk/oxcal.html; Bronk Ramsey *et al* 2001; Bronk Ramsey 2009). The modelled dates are shown in black in Figure A2.1 and quoted in italics in the text. The Acomb statistic shows how closely the assemblage of calibrated radiocarbon dates as a whole agree with the relative dating provided by the tree-ring analysis that has been incorporated in the model; an acceptable threshold is reached when it is equal to or greater than An (a value based on the number of dates in the model). The A statistic shows how closely an individual calibrated radiocarbon date agrees its position in the sequence (most values in a model should be equal to or greater than 60).

Figure A2.1 illustrates the chronological model for BEVASQ01. This model incorporates the gaps between each dated annual ring known from tree-ring counting (eg that the carbon in ring 21 of the measured tree-ring series (SUERC-60194) was laid down 59 years before the carbon in ring 80 of the series (SUERC-60195); Fig A2.1), with the radiocarbon measurements (Table A2.1) calibrated using the internationally agreed radiocarbon calibration data for the northern hemisphere, IntCal13 (Reimer *et al* 2013).

The model shown in Figure A2.1 has good overall agreement (Acomb: 34.0, An: 31.6, n:5). It suggests that the final ring of site sequence BEVASQ01 formed in *cal AD* 1310–1335 (95% probability; BEVASQ01 felling; FigA2.1), probably in *cal AD* 1315–1325 (68% probability).

Following the second tranche of dendrochronological sampling at 21 Ladygate a second site sequence, BEVASQ01 of 137 rings, was formed that has an end date of AD 1330. The estimated date for the last ring obtained by the radiocarbon wiggle match *cal AD 1310–1335 (95% probability; BEVASQ01 felling;* FigA2.1) was thus consequently found to include the dendrochronological date of AD 1330

Table A2.1: 19 Ladygate, Beverley (BEVASQ01)– radiocarbon and stabl	е
isotope results	

Laboratory	Sample reference, material &	$\delta^{13}C_{IRMS}$	Radiocarbon	Highest
number	context	(‰)	Age (BP)	Posterior
				Density
				interval -cal
				AD (95%
				probability)
UBA-28903	BEV-A17 ring 1	-24.3±0.22	876±25	1200–1225
	<i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, relative			
	year 1 of the 110 year			
	chronology BEVASQ01, from			
	joist 6 of the first floor frame.			
SUERC-	BEV-A17 ring 21	-23.4±0.2	759±27	1220–1245
60194	<i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, relative			
	year 21 of the 110 year			
	chronology BEVASQ01, from			
	joist 6 of the first floor frame.			
SUERC-	BEV-A17 ring 80	-23.7±0.2	705±29	1280–1305
60195	<i>Quercus</i> sp. heartwood, relative			
	year 80 of the 110 year			
	chronology BEVASQ01, from			
	joist 6 of the first floor frame.			
UBA-28902	BEV-A06 ring 95	-26.10.22	566±38	1295–1320
	<i>Quercus</i> sp. sapwood, relative			
	year 95 of the 110 year			
	chronology BEVASQ01, from			
	joist 6 of the first floor frame.			
SUERC-	BEV-A06 ring 105	-24.9±0.2	569±27	1305–1330
60196	<i>Quercus</i> sp. sapwood, relative			
	year 105 of the 110 year			
	chronology BEVASQ01, from			
	joist 6 of the first floor frame.			



Figure A2.1: Probability distributions of dates from 19 Ladygate, Beverley site sequence BEVASQ01. Each distribution represents the relative probability that an event occurs at a particular time. For each of the dates two distributions have been plotted: one in outline, which is the simple radiocarbon calibration, and a solid one, based on the wiggle-match sequence. The large square brackets down the left-hand side along with the OxCal keywords define the overall model exactly

REFERENCES

Bronk Ramsey, C, 2001 Development of the radiocarbon calibration program OxCal, *Radiocarbon*, **43**, 355–63

-, 2009 Bayesian analysis of radiocarbon dates, Radiocarbon, 51, 337-60

Buck, C E, Cavanagh, W G, and Litton, C D, 1996 *Bayesian approach to interpreting archaeological data* Chichester, John Wiley and Sons

Christen, J, and Litton, C, 1995 A Bayesian approach to wiggle-matching, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **22**, 719–25

Dunbar, E, Cook, G T, Naysmith, P, Tipney, B G and Xu, S 2016 AMS ¹⁴C dating at the Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre (SUERC) Radiocarbon Dating Laboratory *Radiocarbon*, **58**, 9–23

Galimberti, M, Bronk Ramsey, C, and Manning, SW, 2004 Wiggle-match dating of tree-ring sequences, *Radiocarbon*, **46**, 917–24

Reimer, P J, Bard, E, Bayliss, A, Beck, J W, Blackwell, P G, Bronk Ramsey, C, Buck, C E, Cheng H, Edwards R L, Friedrich, M, Grootes, P M, Guilderson, T P, Haflidason, H, Hajdas, I, Hatté, C, Heaton, T J, Hoffmann, D L, Hogg, A G, Hughen, K A, Kaiser, K F, Kromer, B, Manning, S W, Niu, M, Reimer, R W, Richards, D A, Scott, E M, Southon, J R, Staff, R A, Turney, C S M, and van der Plicht, J, 2013 Intcal 13 and marine13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0–50,000 years cal BP, *Radiocarbon*, **55**, 1869–87

Reimer, P J, Hoper, S, McDonald, J, Reimer, R, Svyatko, S, and Thompson, M, 2015 *The Queen's University, Belfast Radiocarbon Protocols used for AMS Radiocarbon Dating at the* ¹⁴*CNRONO Centre*, English Heritage Res Re Ser **5-2015**, Portsmouth

Scott, E M, 2003 The third international radiocarbon intercomparison (TIRI) and the fourth international radiocarbon intercomparison (FIRI) 1990–2002: results, analyses, and conclusions, *Radiocarbon*, **45**, 135–408

Scott, E. M, Cook G, and Naysmith, P, 2010 The fifth international radiocarbon intercomparison (VIRI): an assessment of laboratory performance in stage 3, *Radiocarbon*, **53**, 859–65

Stuiver, M, and Polach, H A, 1977 Reporting of ¹⁴C data, *Radiocarbon*, **19**, 355–63

Stuiver, M and Kra, R S 1986 Editorial comment, Radiocarbon, 28

Stuiver, M, and Reimer, P J, 1986 A computer program for radiocarbon age calculation *Radiocarbon* **28** 1022–30

-, 1993 Extended ¹⁴C data base and revised CALIB 3.0 ¹⁴C age calibration program, *Radiocarbon*, **35**, 215–30



Historic England Research and the Historic Environment

We are the public body that looks after England's historic environment. We champion historic places, helping people understand, value and care for them.

A good understanding of the historic environment is fundamental to ensuring people appreciate and enjoy their heritage and provides the essential first step towards its effective protection.

Historic England works to improve care, understanding and public enjoyment of the historic environment. We undertake and sponsor authoritative research. We develop new approaches to interpreting and protecting heritage and provide high quality expert advice and training.

We make the results of our work available through the Historic England Research Report Series, and through journal publications and monographs. Our online magazine Historic England Research which appears twice a year, aims to keep our partners within and outside English Heritage up-to-date with our projects and activities.

A full list of Research Reports, with abstracts and information on how to obtain copies, may be found on www.HistoricEngland.org.uk/researchreports

Some of these reports are interim reports, making the results of specialist investigations available in advance of full publication. They are not usually subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of information not available at the time of the investigation.

Where no final project report is available, you should consult the author before citing these reports in any publication. Opinions expressed in these reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of Historic England.

The Research Reports' database replaces the former:

Ancient Monuments Laboratory (AML) Reports Series The Centre for Archaeology (CfA) Reports Series The Archaeological Investigation Report Series and The Architectural Investigation Reports Series.