

Scientific Dating

12 Broad Street 11, 13, & 13A High Street Launceston Cornwall

Tree-ring Analysis of Oak Timbers

Alison Arnold, Robert Howard, and Cathy Tyers



Research Report Series no. 74/2022

Front Cover: 12 Broad Street, 11, 13 and 13A High Street, Launceston, Cornwall © Mr Byron J. Mason (cropped). Source: Historic England Archive IOE01/05130/23

12 BROAD STREET 11, 13, & 13A HIGH STREET LAUNCESTON CORNWALL

Tree-ring Analysis of Oak Timbers

Alison Arnold, Robert Howard, and Cathy Tyers

NGR: SX 33170 84586

© Historic England

ISSN 2059-4453 (Online)

The Research Report Series incorporates reports by Historic England's expert teams and other researchers. It replaces the former Centre for Archaeology Reports Series, the Archaeological Investigation Report Series, the Architectural Investigation Report Series, and the Research Department Report Series.

Many of the Research Reports are of an interim nature and serve to make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication. They are not usually subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of information not available at the time of the investigation. Where no final project report is available, readers must consult the author before citing these reports in any publication.

For more information write to Res.reports@HistoricEngland.org.uk or mail: Historic England, Fort Cumberland, Fort Cumberland Road, Eastney, Portsmouth PO4 9LD

Opinions expressed in Research Reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of Historic England.

© HISTORIC ENGLAND

SUMMARY

Dendrochronological analysis was undertaken on 34 of the 42 samples obtained from different timbers in this building, eight samples having too few rings for reliable dating. This analysis produced a single site chronology comprising 14 samples from the roof and cellar areas. This site chronology is 88 rings long, these rings dated as spanning the years AD 1496–1583. A further single sample was dated individually, its 60 rings spanning the years AD 1468–1527. Although one timber from the cellar, estimated to have been felled in the period of AD 1542–67, might be earlier, other cellar timbers probably date to the last quarter of the sixteenth century; one cellar timber was certainly felled in AD 1582 with another cellar timber certainly being felled in AD 1583. The timbers of the roof have an estimated felling date of AD 1575–1600. Two other site chronologies, both comprising two samples each, and being 54 and 65 rings long respectively, were also created. Neither of these site chronologies could be dated, although it is likely that the timbers represented by each pair of samples are coeval. Of the 34 measured samples, 15 remain ungrouped and undated.

CONTRIBUTORS

Alison Arnold, Robert Howard, and Cathy Tyers

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would firstly like to thank Mr David Scott, of Scott and Company, Chartered Surveyors and Historic Building Consultants, who has had a long-term interest in these buildings, for not only permitting tree-ring analysis at this building, and for his enthusiasm and support for this programme of analysis, but also for his help in obtaining off-cut samples and for providing plans and drawings of the building. We would also like to thank Catherine Marlow, Historic England Inspector of Historic Buildings & Areas (Cornwall, The Isles of Scilly, Torridge, North Devon, West Devon and Torbay), for not only promoting this programme of tree-ring analysis, but also for help in arranging access to this building. Finally, we would like to thank Shahina Farid, Scientific Dating Coordinator for Historic England, for commissioning this programme of tree-ring dating and for her valuable contributions to this report.

ARCHIVE LOCATION

Historic England Archive The Engine House Firefly Avenue Swindon SN2 2EH

HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT RECORD OFFICE

Cornwall & Isles of Scilly Historic Environment Record Strategic Historic Environment Service, Kresen Kernow, Little Vauxhall, Pydar Street, Redruth TR15 1AS DATE OF INVESTIGATION 2022

CONTACT DETAILS Alison Arnold and Robert Howard Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory 20 Hillcrest Grove Sherwood Nottingham NG5 1FT roberthoward@tree-ringdating.co.uk alisonarnold@tree-ringdating.co.uk

Cathy Tyers Historic England Cannon Bridge House 25 Dowgate Hill London EC4R 2YA cathy.tyers@historicengland.org.uk

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Sampling	1
Analysis and Results	2
Interpretation	3
Cellar timbers	3
Roof timbers	4
Off-cut timber	4
Discussion and conclusion	4
Woodland sources	4
Undated samples	5
References	6
Tables	8
Figures	11
Appendix: Tree-Ring Dating	27

INTRODUCTION

This Grade II* property (LEN 1206510 here) comprises two merchant's houses standing in the very centre of Launceston. An inscription on number 13 is dated 1555 and identifies Thomas Hicks, who was Mayor of the town in the sixteenth century at the time of the Reformation. Part of the roof structure has oak trusses with lap-dovetail collar joints and trenched purlins, and the building retains many seventeenth-century features including ovolo-moulded door frames. As such, the buildings represent an important element of the historic fabric of the town.

A much earlier origin has been suggested, however, and even the possibility of a twelfth-century core has been raised. The property is, nevertheless, multi period with many timber phases, and consists of a north block, south block, and rear kitchen block with cellars, ground-, first- and second floors and roofs.

SAMPLING

In advance of extensive renovations to this unique survival within the heart of Launceston, a comprehensive dendrochronological survey was requested to determine the date of the extant timberwork in the building. Tree-ring analysis was requested by Catherine Marlow, Inspector of Historic Buildings and Areas for Cornwall, The Isles of Scilly, Torridge, North Devon, West Devon and Torbay, to provide independent dating evidence to inform repairs and modifications required for the preservation and long-term care of this unique complex.

Prior to sampling, a thorough assessment was made of the extant and visible timbers for their potential for tree-ring dating. This showed that there was one accessible area of roofing, covering a small portion of the building, containing two principal-rafter with collar trusses, the trusses supporting single purlins to each pitch of the roof, these in turn supporting common rafters. Although the great majority of these common rafters were very modern replacements, a few appeared to belong to the same phase as the two trusses and possibly the purlins.

The roofs to other parts of the building were inaccessible, being totally ceiled-in, but the feet or lower ends of what appeared to be principal rafters could be seen from the second floor. Where visible (the feet of some principals being boxed-in), these appeared very square and regular in shape and did not seem to be historic timbers. They were also, potentially, some type of softwood. The second-floor rooms also contained a small amount of oak panelling, but, given that this was all firmly fixed in place with no plans to dismantle any of the sections, there was no potential for tree-ring sampling.

Of the first-floor rooms, only one had any visible timbers at the time of sampling. These comprised a few wall posts and studs, one ceiling beam, and a door lintel. The wall posts/studs were all set deeply into the walls, and most of the timbers appear to be derived from fast-grown trees, probably with insufficient rings for dendrochronological analysis. Two other rooms had ceiling beams, but these were boxed-in, and given other evidence of refurbishment and alteration to these rooms, were potentially modern timbers. Similarly, the ground-floor rooms, presently used as commercial and retail premises, contained only a few ceiling beams, these again being boxed-in and presently inaccessible.

Of the cellar areas, all the joists to the northern cellar appeared to be very modern softwood replacements, with only the middle and southern cellar areas retaining what appeared to be historic timbers. In both these areas the timbering comprised two main ceiling/floor beams with common joists between. The common joists were of varied sizes, shapes, and lengths with some joists being chamfered, and possibly stopped, some being squared and shaped, while others appeared to be unshaped very small whole trees. Overall, the timbers here presented a very mixed series of characteristics. The cellars also contained a few 'Samson' posts. There were also some lintels to the lower door into the cellar, plus some studs/posts on the stairway down.

Thus, from the suitable material available, 38 timbers were sampled by coring, with a further four samples being obtained as off-cut sections of posts. Each sample was given the code LAU-N (for 'Launceston') and numbered 01-42. Samples were obtained from as many roof timbers as could be accessed which appeared to be suitable for tree-ring analysis. In addition, a selection of timbers to the ceiling of the cellar/ground floor-frame, and those to the steps leading down to them were also sampled. No samples were obtained from the ground floor.

Where possible (the exception being the four off-cut timbers whose original locations are a little uncertain, LAU-N39–42), the sampled timbers have been located by reference to a set of annotated photographs taken at the time of sampling, shown here as Figures 3a–c, and on a preliminary plan of the cellars kindly provided by David Scott, shown here as Figure 4. Details of the samples are given in Table 1.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Each of the 42 samples obtained from timbers spread throughout this building was prepared by sanding and polishing. It was seen at this time that eight samples had very low numbers of rings, often less than 30, fewer than here deemed necessary for reliable dating purposes. These samples were rejected from this programme of analysis. The annual growth rings widths of the remaining 34 samples were, however, measured, these measured data being given at the end of this report. The 34 measured series were then compared with each other by the Litton/Zainodin grouping procedure (see Appendix), this comparative process resulting in the production of three separate groups of cross-matching samples.

The first group comprises 14 samples, these representing timbers from the roof and from two parts of the cellars. These samples, combining at a minimum value of t=4.8, cross-match at the positions illustrated in Figure 5. These 14 samples were combined at their indicated offset positions to form LAUNSQ01, a site chronology with an overall length of 88 rings. Site chronology LAUNSQ01 was then compared with an extensive range of oak reference chronologies, this indicating a repeated

series of cross-matches when the first ring of the site chronology dates to AD 1496 and the date of its latest ring is AD 1583 (Table 2).

The second group comprises two samples, both of them from timbers in the roof. These samples, combining at a minimum value of t=6.9, cross-match at the positions illustrated in Figure 6. These two samples were also combined at their indicted offset positions to form LAUNSQ02, a site chronology with an overall length of 54 rings. Site chronology LAUNSQ02 was also compared with an extensive range of oak reference chronologies but there was no satisfactory cross-matching and it must, therefore, remain undated.

The third and final group also comprises two samples, both of them from timbers in the south cellar. These two samples, combining at a minimum value of t=4.8, cross-match at the positions illustrated in Figure 7. These two samples were also combined at their indicted offset positions to form LAUNSQ03, a site chronology with an overall length of 65 rings. Site chronology LAUNSQ03 was again compared with an extensive range of oak reference chronologies but again there was no satisfactory cross-matching and this site chronology must also remain undated.

All three site chronologies were then compared with the 16 remaining measured but ungrouped samples, but there was no further satisfactory cross-matching. The 16 ungrouped samples were, therefore, compared individually with the same extensive range of oak reference chronologies, this indicating a cross-match and date only for sample LAU-N12, from a timber to the middle cellar. The 60 rings of this sample were dated as spanning the years AD 1468–1527 (Table 3).

INTERPRETATION

Dendrochronological analysis has thus successfully dated 15 of the 34 timbers from which samples were measured.

Cellar timbers

Two of the dated samples in site chronology LAUNSQ01, LAU-N16 and LAU-N20, both from joists to the middle cellar, retain complete sapwood. This means that they each have the last growth ring produced by the tree it represents before it was cut down (this being indicated by upper case 'C' in Table 1 and the bar diagrams Figs 5 and 8). In the case of sample LAU-N16, this last measured, complete, sapwood ring, and thus the felling of the tree represented, is dated AD 1582, while the last measured, complete, sapwood ring on sample LAU-N20, and thus the felling of the tree it represents, is dated to AD 1583.

The remaining seven dated samples from the cellars all have some sapwood present or at least the heartwood/sapwood boundary (h/s). The dates of the heartwoodsapwood boundary on six of these seven samples (the exception being sample LAU-N12) ranges from AD 1549 (LAU-N21) to AD 1566 (LAU-N23), not vastly different to that of the two samples with complete sapwood whose heartwoodsapwood boundary rings date to AD 1560 (LAU-N16) and AD 1559 (LAU-N20). The average date of the heartwood/sapwood boundary ring on these six sample is AD 1558. Allowing for the minimum of 15 sapwood rings these trees might have had, and the maximum of 40 sapwood rings (the 95% confidence interval), this would give these trees an estimated likely felling date range of between AD 1573 and AD 1598. It will be seen that this date range nicely brackets the known felling dates, AD 1582 and AD 1583, of at least two timbers.

The exception amongst these cellar timbers is represented by sample LAU-N12, from a main beam to the south cellar. This sample has a heartwood/sapwood boundary date of AD 1527, somewhat earlier than that on all the other samples, and indeed the earliest of any dated sample from this site. Allowing for the minimum of 15 sapwood rings this tree might have had, and the maximum of 40 sapwood rings, this would give this tree an estimated likely felling date range of between AD 1542 and AD 1567.

Roof timbers

The five dated samples from the roof also have some sapwood or at least the heartwood/sapwood boundary, the dates of the boundary on these five ranging from AD 1556 (LAU-N07) to AD 1564 (LAU-N04), a variation of only eight years. The average heartwood/sapwood boundary ring on these five samples is dated to AD 1560. Using the same sapwood estimates as above, 15–40 sapwood rings, would give these trees an estimated likely felling date range of between AD 1575 and AD 1600. Interestingly, it may be noticed that this date would appear to be in keeping with the supposed structural sequence of the building, with floors presumably being constructed before roofs are completed.

Off-cut timber

The final dated timber is represented by sample LAU-N39, an off-cut from a wall post. This sample has a heartwood/sapwood boundary ring date of AD 1563. Using the same sapwood estimates as above would give this tree an estimated likely felling date range of between AD 1578 and AD 1603.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Tree-ring analysis of timbers from this site has successfully dated 15 of the 34 timbers from which samples were measured. This analysis indicates that at least two cellar timbers were felled in the early AD 1580s, and while other cellar timbers are likely to be of at least a similar date, ie, later sixteenth century, at least one cellar timber might be a little earlier, dating to some time in the middle of the sixteenth century. A number of roof timbers, plus a probable wall post are also of later sixteenth-century date.

Woodland sources

As perhaps may be seen from Tables 2 and 3, although site chronology LAUNSQ01, and the individual sample LAU-N12, have been compared with reference material

from every part of England, there is a distinct trend for them both to match best with reference chronologies made up of timbers from other buildings in the region, particularly with sites in Devon. While the source of the timbers used at these particular reference sites is itself unknown, it would suggest that the trees used for the timbers which have been dated here are from a similar, and relatively local, regional source.

Undated samples

As may also be seen in Table 1, 15 measured samples remain both ungrouped and undated. Although some samples with low ring numbers have been dated in this programme of analysis, the lack of dating for the others is probably caused but their low ring numbers. Other, longer, samples may remain undated because the source tree grew somewhere for which there is currently insufficient reference data available to provide secure cross-matching. It is also possible, particularly given the varied nature of the cellar timbers, that some timbers are in effect 'singletons', and while (as here) single timbers can sometimes be dated, this is often much more difficult than with collective groups of samples producing well replicated data. However, for whatever reason, it is a very common, if inexplicable, feature of treering analysis to find that some samples will not date. This undated material will be reviewed periodically as further reference chronologies become available and these timbers may, in due course, also be dated.

REFERENCES

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2007a *Treludick House, Egloskerry, Cornwall, Treering Analysis of Timbers,* English Heritage Res Dep Rep Ser, **63/2007**

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2007b *Trerithick House, Polyphannt, Cornwall, Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers,* English Heritage Res Dep Rep Ser, **94/2007**

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2007c *The Gildhouse, Poundstock near Bude, Cornwall, Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers*, Centre for Archaeol Rep, **9/2007**

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2009 *Yarde Farmhouse, Malborough, South Hams, Devon, Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers*, English Heritage Res Dep Rep Ser, **103/2009**

Arnold, A, and Howard, R, 2011 *Great Bidlake, Bridstowe, Devon, Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers*, English Heritage Res Dep Rep Ser, **13/2011**

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2012a unpubl *1–5 Bridge Street, Bideford, Devon; tree-ring analysis of timbers,* unpubl computer file *BIDASQ01*, Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2012b unpubl *Docton Court, 2 Myrtle Street, Appledore, Bideford, Devon; Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers*, unpubl computer file *APDASQ01*, Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2013 *Church of St Nectan, Stoke, Hartland, Devon, Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers,* Historic England Res Rep Ser, **47/2013**

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2014 unpubl Alcester *War Memorial Town Hall, Alcester, Warwickshire; Tree-ring Dating of Timbers*, unpubl computer file *ALCASQ01*, Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Tyers, C, 2015 Sydenham House, Marystow, Devon, Tree-ring Analysis of Oak Timbers, Panelling, and Trees, Historic England Res Rep Ser, **45/2015**

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Tyers, C, 2017 *Church of St George, Church Lane, Modbury, Devon, Tree-ring Analysis of Oak Timbers*, Historic England Res Rep Ser, **70/2017**

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, Meeson, R, and Tyers, C, 2022 *Church of St John the Baptist, Myndtown, Shropshire, Tree-ring Analysis of Oak Timbers incorporating a survey of the roofs*, Historic England Res Dep Rep, **43/2022**

Bridge, M, 2014 Manor Farm Barn, Winterborne Clenston, Dorset, Tree-ring Analysis of Oak Timbers, English Heritage Res Rep Ser, **13/2014** Bridge, M, and Miles, D, 2006 *Church of St Nicholas, Wilsford, Wiltshire, Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers*, English Heritage Res Dept Rep Ser, **36/2006**

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1997 Tree-ring dates, Vernacular Architect, **28**, 124–7

Tyers, C, and Tyers, I, forthcoming *Godolphin House and Stables, Breage, Cornwall, Tree-ring Analysis of Oak and Pine Timbers*, Historic England Res Rep Ser

Tyers, C, Hurford, M, Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, forthcoming *Dendrochronological Research in Devon: Phase II*, Historic England Res Rep Ser

Sample location Sample Total Sapwood First Last heartwood Last measured rings measured ring number rings ring date AD ring date AD date AD Roof West truss, north principal rafter LAU-N01 48 h/s _____ _____ _____ 59 LAU-N02 West truss, south principal rafter h/s 1505 1563 1563 45 _____ LAU-N03 West truss, collar 2 _____ _____ East truss, north principal rafter LAU-N04 60 4 1509 1564 1568 1562 LAU-N05 East truss, south principal rafter 54 8 1509 1554 LAU-N06 East truss, collar 54 h/s _____ _____ _____ LAU-N07 North lower purlin, bay 1 49 h/s 1508 1556 1556 North common rafter 1 (from west), bay 1 50 h/s LAU-N08 1512 1561 1561 67 LAU-N09 North common rafter 2, bay 1 3 _____ _____ _____ North common rafter 4, bay 1 LAU-N10 ---_____ nm _____ _____ Middle cellar LAU-N11 West main floor beam 72 11 _____ _____ _____ LAU-N12 60 1527 East main floor beam h/s 1468 1527 LAU-N13 Joist 2 (from north), west bay 75 20 1506 1580 1560 LAU-N14 54 h/s 1507 1560 1560 Joist 3, west bay LAU-N15 Joist 7, west bay 40 no h/s -----_____ _____ 56 22C LAU-N16 Joist 10, west bay 1527 1582 1560 LAU-N17 Joist 4, middle bay _____ _____ nm ___ _____ LAU-N18 Joist 10, middle bay 39 1523 1561 h/s 1561 LAU-N19 Joist 2, south bay ___ _____ _____ nm _____ 1583 LAU-N20 Joist 7, south bay 1522 62 24C 1559 49 LAU-N21 Joist 9, south bay 4 1505 1549 1553 LAU-N22 Joist 10, south bay ____ nm _____ ----------

Table 1: Details of tree-ring samples from 12 Broad Street and 11, 13 & 13a High Street, Launceston, Cornwall

© HISTORIC ENGLAND

Sample	Sample location	Total	Sapwood	First	Last heartwood	Last measured
number		rings	rings	measured ring	ring date AD	ring date AD
				date AD		
	South cellar					
LAU-N23	West main floor beam	71	h/s	1496	1566	1566
LAU-N24	East main floor beam	52	h/s	1501	1552	1552
LAU-N25	Joist 4, west bay	55	9			
LAU-N26	Joist 5, west bay	54	10			
LAU-N27	Joist 8, west bay	53	h/s			
LAU-N28	Joist 9, west bay	35	h/s			
LAU-N29	Joist 7, middle bay	39	h/s			
LAU-N30	Joist 8, middle bay	49	no h/s			
LAU-N31	Joist 6, south bay	33	h/s			
LAU-N32	Joist 8, south bay	nm(28)	(10)			
LAU-N33	Joist 10, south bay	56	6			
	Middle cellar – steps and doorway					
LAU-N34	North door jamb	54	h/s			
LAU-N35	Stair support beam	45	no h/s			
LAU-N36	Lintel 1 (from west)	38	h/s			
LAU-N37	Lintel 2	61	15			
LAU-N38	Lintel 4	nm(29)	(h/s)			
	Miscellaneous off-cuts					
LAU-N39	Off-cut 1	45	h/s	1519	1563	1563
LAU-N40	Off-cut 2	50	h/s			
LAU-N41	Off-cut 3	nm(30)	(no h/s)			
LAU-N42	Off-cut 4	nm(30)	(no h/s)			

h/s = the heartwood/sapwood ring is the last ring on the sample; C = complete sapwood is retained on the sample, the last measured ring date is the felling date of the tree; nm = sample not measured

Table 2: Results of the cross-matching of site sequence LAUNSQ01 and relevant reference chronologies when the first-ring date is AD 1496 and the last-ring date is AD 1583

Reference chronology	Span of chronology	t-value	Reference
Godolphin House, Godolphin Cross, Cornwall	AD 1376–1620	8.4	Tyers and Tyers forthcoming
1–5 Bridge Street, Bideford, Devon	AD 1484–1706	8.2	Arnold and Howard 2012a unpubl
Sydenham House, Marystow, Devon	AD 1394–1654	7.3	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2015
Church of St Nectan, Stoke, Hartland, Devon	AD 1440–1697	7.0	Arnold and Howard 2013
Treludick House, Egloskerry, Cornwall	AD 1516-1630	6.8	Arnold and Howard 2007a
Docton Court, 2 Myrtle Street, Appledore, Bideford, Devon	AD 1440–1581	6.6	Arnold and Howard 2012b unpubl
Great Bidlake, Bridstowe, Devon	AD 1489–1599	6.3	Arnold and Howard 2011
Manor House, Templecombe, Somerset	AD 1486–1591	5.3	Howard <i>et al</i> 1997
Yarde Farmhouse, Malborough, South Hams, Devon	AD 1432–1603	5.3	Arnold and Howard 2009
Trerithick House, Polyphant, Cornwall	AD 1503–1673	5.3	Arnold and Howard 2007b

Table 3: Results of the cross-matching of sample LAU-N12 and relevant reference chronologies when the first-ring date is AD 1468 and the last-ring date is AD 1527

Reference chronology	Span of chronology	<i>t</i> -value	Reference
The Gildhouse, Poundstock, Cornwall	AD 1405–1543	8.1	Arnold and Howard 2007c
Church of St George, Modbury, Devon	AD 1343–1540	7.8	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2017
Church of St John the Baptist, Myndtown, Shropshire	AD 1420–1568	6.9	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2022
Sydenham House, Marystow, Devon	AD 1394–1654	6.7	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2015
Church of St Nectan, Stoke, Hartland, Devon	AD 1440–1697	6.3	Arnold and Howard 2013
Manor Farm Barn, Winterborne Clenston, Dorset	AD 1339–1515	6.2	Bridge 2014
Pool House, Blackborough, Devon	AD 1255–1366	5.9	Tyers <i>et al</i> forthcoming
Docton Court, 2 Myrtle Street, Appledore, Bideford, Devon	AD 1440–1581	5.7	Arnold and Howard 2012b unpubl
Alcester War Memorial Town Hall, Alcester, Warwickshire	AD 1374–1625	5.5	Arnold and Howard 2014 unpubl
Church of St Nicholas, Wilsford, Wiltshire	AD 1477–1575	5.5	Bridge and Miles, 2006

10

FIGURES



Figure 1: Maps to show the location of 12 Broad Street, 11, 13, & 13A High Street in Launceston, Cornwall marked in red. Scale: top right 1:50,000, bottom 1:1250 © Crown Copyright and database right 2022. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900





Figure 2a/b: General views of panelling to first-floor room (top) and corridor (bottom; photographs Robert Howard)





Figure 2c/d: General views of the middle cellar (top) and the south cellar (bottom), both viewed looking north (photographs Robert Howard)





Figure 2e/f: General views of the middle cellar (top) and the south cellar (bottom), both viewed looking north (photographs Robert Howard)



Figure 3a: Roof truss 1 viewed looking west to help identify sampled timbers (photograph Robert Howard)



Figure 3b: Roof truss 2 viewed looking east to help identify sampled timbers (photograph Robert Howard)

© HISTORIC ENGLAND



Figure 3c: Roof bay 1 viewed looking north to help identify sampled timbers (photograph Robert Howard)



Figure 4: Plan at cellar level to help locate sampled timbers (after David Scott, Architects 2022)



White bars = heartwood rings; red bars = sapwood rings;

h/s = the heartwood/sapwood ring is the last ring on the sample.

C = complete sapwood is retained on the sample, the last measured ring date is the felling date of the tree

Figure 5: Bar diagram of the 14 dated samples of site chronology LAUNSQ01 arranged in last measured ring date order



Figure 6: Bar diagram of the two undated samples in site chronology LAUNSQ02



Figure 7: Bar diagram of the two undated samples in site chronology LAUNSQ03

White bars = heartwood rings; red bars = sapwood rings; h/s = the heartwood/sapwood ring is the last ring on the sample.



White bars = heartwood rings; red bars = sapwood rings;

h/s = the heartwood/sapwood ring is the last ring on the sample.

C = complete sapwood is retained on the sample, the last measured ring date is the felling date of the tree

Figure 8: Bar diagram of all 15 dated samples grouped by timber location and arranged in last measured ring date order

DATA OF MEASURED SAMPLES

Measurements in 0.01mm units

LAU-N07A 49

LAU-N13B 75 135 110 141 166 116 142 126 87 150 100 84 102 58 96 111 99 89 62 96 162 125 132 146 121 89 170 197 254 316 503 245 333 410 344 278 217 139 191 98 118 95 112 62 42 85 109 65 96 110 119 117 113 154 170 162 148 235 151 173 241 128 184 150 175 324 209 154 135 226 232 96 130 92 145 242

 $140\ 187\ 131\ 181\ 317\ 260\ 135\ 131\ 215\ 220\ 102\ 136\ 93\ 125\ 260$

LAU-N13A 75 137 142 142 168 117 137 135 84 142 98 92 103 84 93 114 92 91 67 87 162 126 133 132 150 73 174 194 250 321 494 265 315 414 335 281 210 146 176 109 114 87 124 65 40 79 107 64 97 115 112 108 130 142 160 151 156 221 175 161 223

LAU-N12B 60 199 142 173 150 149 91 136 166 140 93 143 183 139 176 163 153 219 208 205 188 175 131 171 132 100 112 108 132 222 161 96 157 161 102 92 132 108 100 131 78 143 159 96 160 103 69 119 53 82 87 112 123 101 106 110 76 84 79 92 117

LAU-N12A 60 206 149 169 151 138 100 145 156 150 92 143 175 141 170 153 169 204 209 209 200 151 132 175 160 96 107 92 124 214 150 78 153 159 103 100 126 115 101 131 80 129 164 98 153 108 84 106 53 84 105 106 126 96 126 106 90 79 73 90 120

131 165 208 195 222 181 122 180 195 203 187 274

LAU-N11B 72 154 181 127 113 105 149 88 202 226 222 184 271 309 263 197 100 128 132 89 200 308 297 289 288 284 299 307 384 322 300 299 275 269 196 222 175 172 127 137 228 296 215 282 235 253 354 315 296 301 220 235 218 218 257 201 206 300 213 162 163

 $118\ 183\ 200\ 198\ 228\ 183\ 121\ 165\ 212\ 195\ 186\ 280$

LAU-N11A 72 158 179 120 117 108 138 81 203 224 217 175 282 305 268 183 91 121 146 78 199 303 282 276 293 282 295 318 367 321 303 301 279 260 198 214 190 156 123 162 226 307 206 289 262 264 345 309 306 292 217 214 210 212 262 198 215 292 217 155 173

 $187\,120\,128\,198\,187\,233\,235$

LAU-N09B 67 117 119 143 105 63 93 112 96 127 135 117 109 115 83 115 92 187 173 173 153 144 150 88 71 84 86 112 73 50 88 46 101 90 73 100 90 119 67 65 49 66 71 80 117 156 134 73 69 72 89 114 132 145 200 113 164 249 170 160 123

 $175\ 121\ 117\ 212\ 185\ 220\ 234$

LAU-N09A 67

114 130 134 108 62 99 118 81 129 134 113 119 111 83 125 89 163 173 183 162 150 148 83 75 88 89 108 77 48 93 39 103 91 78 92 90 114 60 63 47 67 75 79 118 160 135 71 67 71 97 105 134 141 207 116 172 238 166 156 123

99 123 142 162 123 137 139 151 146 162

LAU-N08B 50 313 271 303 150 150 200 229 145 201 230 225 185 207 245 236 259 236 191 150 218 210 210 189 217 121 213 229 246 172 159 168 162 129 126 112 160 103 103 150 195

89 124 159 168 112 140 132 144 137 165

LAU-N08A 50 314 295 292 167 140 204 200 142 225 232 207 170 218 240 248 279 248 157 153 229 211 208 182 210 119 210 232 237 175 175 153 164 126 125 109 142 112 98 155 194

92 63 100 136 135 135 130 150 100

LAU-N07B 49 341 348 402 385 399 428 503 268 179 224 314 232 224 223 190 104 111 103 112 171 178 133 133 177 141 147 149 131 100 118 84 127 148 115 110 152 110 100 104 100

81 70 98 128 131 132 143 147 99

338 337 406 388 403 421 508 260 186 224 307 225 221 234 196 101 98 100 113 182 195 139 139 170 151 137 160 132 100 117 89 124 154 120 104 151 118 95 107 107

 $376\ 330\ 266\ 319\ 334\ 371\ 428\ 425\ 355\ 259\ 260\ 374\ 316\ 407\ 437\ 380\ 282\ 200\ 234\ 53$

127 120 150 159 145 196 169 159 199 242 166 95 144 205 225 167 226 108 180 189 210 162 160 113 131 96 69 94 96 128 141 165 172 117 184 187 220 193 192 140 196 192 165 167 167 LAU-N40A 50 215 418 303 390 319 248 177 142 189 167 162 171 200 165 164 137 123 119 150 132 95 103 118 46 107 160 121 135 125 132 139 102 73 64 105 82 68 85 82 67 77 77 98 96 110 116 131 123 113 107 LAU-N40B 50

204 438 309 370 305 239 175 128 218 186 182 184 196 167 169 138 112 131 148 119 86 85 104 53 96 128 116 141 125 131 150 94 67 64 92 75 64 75 78 76 82 74 96 82 100 121 143 106 114 110

APPENDIX: TREE-RING DATING

The Principles of Tree-Ring Dating

Tree-ring dating, or dendrochronology as it is known, is discussed in some detail in the Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory's Monograph, An East Midlands Master Tree-Ring Chronology and its uses for dating Vernacular Buildings (Laxton and Litton 1988) and Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and *Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates* (English Heritage 1998). Here we will give the bare outlines. Each year an oak tree grows an extra ring on the outside of its trunk and all its branches just inside its bark. The width of this annual ring depends largely on the weather during the growing season, about April to October, and possibly also on the weather during the previous year. Good growing seasons give rise to relatively wide rings, poor ones to very narrow rings and average ones to relatively average ring widths. Since the climate is so variable from year to year, almost random-like, the widths of these rings will also appear random-like in sequence, reflecting the seasons. This is illustrated in Figure A1 where, for example, the widest rings appear at irregular intervals. This is the key to dating by tree rings, or rather, by their widths. Records of the average ring widths for oaks, one for each year for the last 1000 years or more, are available for different areas. These are called master chronologies. Because of the random-like nature of these sequences of widths, there is usually only one position at which a sequence of ring widths from a sample of oak timber with at least 70 rings will match a master. This will date the timber and, in particular, the last ring.

If the bark is still on the sample, as in Figure A1, then the date of the last ring will be the date of felling of the oak from which it was cut. There is much evidence that in medieval times oaks cut down for building purposes were used almost immediately, usually within the year or so (Rackham 1976). Hence if bark is present on several main timbers in a building, none of which appear reused or are later insertions, and if they all have the same date for their last ring, then we can be quite confident that this is the date of construction or soon after. If there is no bark on the sample, then we have to make an estimate of the felling date; how this is done is explained below.

The Practice of Tree-Ring Dating at the Nottingham Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory

1. Inspecting the Building and Sampling the Timbers.

Together with a building historian the timbers in a building are inspected to try to ensure that those sampled are not reused or later insertions. Sampling is almost always done by coring into the timber, which has the great advantage that we can sample *in situ* timbers and those judged best to give the date of construction, or phase of construction if there is more than one in the building. The timbers to be sampled are also inspected to see how many rings they have. We normally look for timbers with at least 70 rings, and preferably more. With fewer rings than this, 50 for example, sequences of widths become difficult to match to a unique position within a master sequence of ring widths and so are difficult to date (Litton and Zainodin 1991). The cross-section of the rafter shown in Figure A2 has about 120 rings; about 20 of which are sapwood rings – the lighter rings on the outside. Similarly the core has just over 100 rings with a few sapwood rings.

To ensure that we are getting the date of the building as a whole, or the whole of a phase of construction if there is more than one, about 8–10 samples per phase are usually taken. Sometimes we take many more, especially if the construction is complicated. One reason for taking so many samples is that, in general, some will fail to give a date. There may be many reasons why a particular sequence of ring widths from a sample of timber fails to give a date even though others from the same building do. For example, a particular tree may have grown in an odd ecological niche, so odd indeed that the widths of its rings were determined by factors other than the local climate! In such circumstances it will be impossible to date a timber from this tree using the master sequence whose widths, we can assume, were predominantly determined by the local climate at the time.

Sampling is done by coring into the timber with a hollow corer attached to an electric drill and usually from its outer rings inwards towards where the centre of the tree, the pith, is judged to be. An illustration of a core is shown in Figure A2; it is about 150mm long and 10mm diameter. Great care has to be taken to ensure that as few as possible of the outer rings are lost in coring. This can be difficult as these outer rings are often very soft (see below on sapwood). Each sample is given a code which identifies uniquely which timber it comes from, which building it is from and where the building is located. For example, CRO-A06 is the sixth core taken from the first building (A) sampled by the Laboratory in Cropwell Bishop. Where it came from in that building will be shown in the sampling records and drawings. No structural damage is done to any timbers by coring, nor does it weaken them.

During the initial inspection of the building and its timbers the dendrochronologist may come to the conclusion that, as far as can be judged, none of the timbers have sufficient rings in them for dating purposes and may advise against sampling to save further unwarranted expense.

All sampling by the Laboratory is undertaken according to current Health and Safety Standards. The Laboratory's dendrochronologists are insured.





Figure A2: Cross-section of a rafter, showing sapwood rings in the left-hand corner, the arrow points to the heartwood/sapwood boundary (H/S); and a core with sapwood; again the arrow is pointing to the H/S. The core is about the size of a pencil



Figure A3: Measuring ring widths under a microscope. The microscope is fixed while the sample is on a moving platform. The total sequence of widths is measured twice to ensure that an error has not been made. This type of apparatus is needed to process a large number of samples on a regular basis



Figure A4: Three cores from timbers in a building. They come from trees growing at the same time. Notice that, although the sequences of widths look similar, they are not identical. This is typical

2. Measuring Ring Widths.

Each core is sanded down with a belt sander using medium-grit paper and then finished by hand with flourgrade-grit paper. The rings are then clearly visible and differentiated from each other with a result very much like that shown in Figure A2. The core is then mounted on a movable table below a microscope and the ring-widths measured individually from the innermost ring to the outermost. The widths are automatically recorded in a computer file as they are measured (see Fig A3).

3. Cross-Matching and Dating the Samples.

Because of the factors besides the local climate which may determine the annual widths of a tree's rings, no two sequences of ring widths from different oaks growing at the same time are exactly alike (Fig A4). Indeed, the sequences may not be exactly alike even when the trees are growing near to each other. Consequently, in the Laboratory we do not attempt to match two sequences of ring widths by eye, or graphically, or by any other subjective method. Instead, it is done objectively (ie statistically) on a computer by a process called cross-matching. The output from the computer tells us the extent of correlation between two sample sequences of widths or, if we are dating, between a sample sequence of widths and the master, at each relative position of one to the other (offsets). The extent of the correlation at an offset is determined by the *t*-value (defined in almost any introductory book on statistics). That offset with the maximum *t*-value among the *t*-values at all the offsets will be the best candidate for dating one sequence relative to the other. If one of these is a master chronology, then this will date the other. Experiments carried out in the past with sequences from oaks of known date suggest that a *t*-value of at least 4.5, and preferably at least 5.0, is usually adequate for the dating to be accepted with reasonable confidence (Laxton and Litton 1988; Laxton et al 1988; Howard *et al* 1984–1995).

This is illustrated in Figure A5 with timbers from one of the roofs of Lincoln Cathedral. Here four sequences of ring widths, LIN-C04, 05, 08, and 45, have been cross-matched with each other. The ring widths themselves have been omitted in the bar diagram, as is usual, but the offsets at which they best cross-match each other are shown; eg the sequence of ring widths of C08 matches the sequence of ring widths of C45 best when it is at a position starting 20 rings after the first ring of C45, and similarly for the others. The actual *t*-values between the four at these offsets of best correlations are in the matrix. Thus at the offset of +20 rings, the *t*-value between C45 and C08 is 5.6 and is the maximum found between these two among all the positions of one sequence relative to the other.

It is standard practice in our Laboratory first to cross-match as many as possible of the ring-width sequences of the samples in a building and then to form an average from them. This average is called a site sequence of the building being dated and is illustrated in Figure A5. The fifth bar at the bottom is a site sequence for a roof at Lincoln Cathedral and is constructed from the matching sequences of the four timbers. The site sequence width for each year is the average of the widths in each of the sample sequences which has a width for that year. Thus in Fig A5 if the widths shown are 0.8mm for C45, 0.2mm for C08, 0.7mm for C05, and 0.3mm for

C04, then the corresponding width of the site sequence is the average of these, 0.55mm. The actual sequence of widths of this site sequence is stored on the computer. The reason for creating site sequences is that it is usually easier to date an average sequence of ring widths with a master sequence than it is to date the individual component sample sequences separately.

The straightforward method of cross-matching several sample sequences with each other one at a time is called the 'maximal *t*-value' method. The actual method of cross-matching a group of sequences of ring-widths used in the Laboratory involves grouping and averaging the ring-width sequences and is called the 'Litton-Zainodin Grouping Procedure'. It is a modification of the straightforward method and was successfully developed and tested in the Laboratory and has been published (Litton and Zainodin 1991; Laxton *et al* 1988).

4. Estimating the Felling Date.

As mentioned above, if the bark is present on a sample, then the date of its last ring is the date of the felling of its tree (or the last full year before felling, if it was felled in the first three months of the following calendar year, before any new growth had started, but this is not too important a consideration in most cases). The actual bark may not be present on a timber in a building, though the dendrochronologist who is sampling can often see from its surface that only the bark is missing. In these cases the date of the last ring is still the date of felling.

Quite often some, though not all, of the original outer rings are missing on a timber. The outer rings on an oak, called sapwood rings, are usually lighter than the inner rings, the heartwood, and so are relatively easy to identify. For example, sapwood can be seen in the corner of the rafter and at the outer end of the core in Figure A2, both indicated by arrows. More importantly for dendrochronology, the sapwood is relatively soft and so liable to insect attack and wear and tear. The builder, therefore, may remove some of the sapwood for precisely these reasons. Nevertheless, if at least some of the sapwood rings are left on a sample, we will know that not too many rings have been lost since felling so that the date of the last ring on the sample is only a few years before the date of the original last ring on the tree, and so to the date of felling.

Various estimates have been made and used for the average number of sapwood rings in mature oak trees (English Heritage 1998). A fairly conservative range is between 15 and 50 and that this holds for 95% of mature oaks. This means, of course, that in a small number of cases there could be fewer than 15 and more than 50 sapwood rings. For example, the core CRO-A06 has only 9 sapwood rings and some have obviously been lost over time – either they were removed originally by the carpenter and/or they rotted away in the building and/or they were lost in the coring. It is not known exactly how many sapwood rings are missing, but using the above range the Laboratory would estimate between a minimum of 6 (=15-9) and a maximum of 41 (=50-9). If the last ring of CRO-A06 has been dated to 1500, say,

then the estimated felling-date range for the tree from which it came originally would be between 1506 and 1541. The Laboratory uses this estimate for sapwood in areas of England where it has no prior information. It also uses it when dealing with samples with very many rings, about 120 to the last heartwood ring. But in other areas of England where the Laboratory has accumulated a number of samples with complete sapwood, that is, no sapwood lost since felling, other estimates in place of the conservative range of 15 to 50 are used. In the East Midlands (Laxton et al 2001) and the east to the south down to Kent (Pearson 1995) where it has sampled extensively in the past, the Laboratory uses the shorter estimate of 15 to 35 sapwood rings in 95% of mature oaks growing in these parts. Since the sample CRO-A06 comes from a house in Cropwell Bishop in the East Midlands, a better estimate of sapwood rings lost since felling is between a minimum of 6 (= 15-9) and 26 (=35-9) and the felling would be estimated to have taken place between 1506 and 1526, a shorter period than before. Oak boards guite often come from the Baltic region and in these cases the 95% confidence limits for sapwood are 9 to 36 (Howard *et al* 1992, 56).

Even more precise estimates of the felling date and range can often be obtained using knowledge of a particular case and information gathered at the time of sampling. For example, at the time of sampling the dendrochronologist may have noted that the timber from which the core of Figure A2 was taken still had complete sapwood but that some of the soft sapwood rings were lost in coring. By measuring into the timber the depth of sapwood lost, say 20mm, a reasonable estimate can be made of the number of sapwood rings lost, say 12 to 15 rings in this case. By adding on 12 to 15 years to the date of the last ring on the sample a good tight estimate for the range of the felling date can be obtained, which is often better than the 15 to 35 years later we would have estimated without this observation. In the example, the felling is now estimated to have taken place between AD 1512 and 1515, which is much more precise than without this extra information.

Even if all the sapwood rings are missing on a sample, but none of the heartwood rings are, then an estimate of the felling-date range is possible by adding on the full compliment of, say, 15 to 35 years to the date of the last heartwood ring (called the heartwood/ sapwood boundary or transition ring and denoted H/S). Fortunately it is often easy for a trained dendrochronologist to identify this boundary on a timber. If a timber does not have its heartwood/sapwood boundary, then only a *post quem* date for felling is possible.

5. Estimating the Date of Construction.

There is a considerable body of evidence collected by dendrochronologists over the years that oak timbers used in buildings were not seasoned in medieval or early modern times (English Heritage 1998; Miles 1997, 50–5). Hence, provided that all the samples in a building have estimated felling-date ranges broadly in agreement with each other, so that they appear to have been felled as a group, then this should give an accurate estimate of the period when the structure was built, or soon after

(Laxton *et al* 2001, fig 8; 34–5, where 'associated groups of fellings' are discussed in detail). However, if there is any evidence of storage before use, or if there is evidence the oak came from abroad (eg Baltic boards), then some allowance has to be made for this.

6. Master Chronological Sequences.

Ultimately, to date a sequence of ring widths, or a site sequence, we need a master sequence of dated ring widths with which to cross-match it, a Master Chronology. To construct such a sequence we have to start with a sequence of widths whose dates are known and this means beginning with a sequence from an oak tree whose date of felling is known. In Figure A6 such a sequence is SHE-T, which came from a tree in Sherwood Forest which was blown down in a recent gale. After this other sequences which cross-match with it are added and gradually the sequence is 'pushed back in time' as far as the age of samples will allow. This process is illustrated in Figure A6. We have a master chronological sequence of widths for Nottinghamshire and East Midlands oak for each year from AD 882 to 1981. It is described in great detail in Laxton and Litton (1988), but the components it contains are shown here in the form of a bar diagram. As can be seen, it is well replicated in that for each year in this period there are several sample sequences having widths for that year. The master is the average of these. This master can now be used to date oak from this area and from the surrounding areas where the climate is very similar to that in the East Midlands. The Laboratory has also constructed a master for Kent (Laxton and Litton 1989). The method the Laboratory uses to construct a master sequence, such as the East Midlands and Kent, is completely objective and uses the Litton-Zainodin grouping procedure (Laxton et al 1988). Other laboratories and individuals have constructed masters for other areas and have made them available. As well as these masters, local (dated) site chronologies can be used to date other buildings from nearby. The Laboratory has hundreds of these site sequences from many parts of England and Wales covering many short periods.

7. Ring-Width Indices.

Tree-ring dating can be done by cross-matching the ring widths themselves, as described above. However, it is advantageous to modify the widths first. Because different trees grow at different rates and because a young oak grows in a different way from an older oak, irrespective of the climate, the widths are first standardized before any matching between them is attempted. These standard widths are known as ring-width indices and were first used in dendrochronology by Baillie and Pilcher (1973). The exact form they take is explained in this paper and in the appendix of Laxton and Litton (1988) and is illustrated in the graphs in Figure A7. Here ringwidths are plotted vertically, one for each year of growth. In the upper sequence of (a), the generally large early growth after 1810 is very apparent as is the smaller later growth from about 1900 onwards when the tree is maturing. A similar phenomenon can be observed in the lower sequence of (a) starting in 1835. In both the widths are also changing rapidly from year to year. The peaks are the wide rings and the troughs are the narrow rings corresponding to good and poor growing seasons, respectively. The two corresponding sequence of Baillie-Pilcher indices are plotted in (b) where the differences in the immature and mature growths have been

removed and only the rapidly changing peaks and troughs remain, that are associated with the common climatic signal. This makes cross-matching easier.



Figure A5: Cross-matching of four sequences from a Lincoln Cathedral roof and the formation of a site sequence from them

The bar diagram represents these sequences without the rings themselves. The length of the bar is proportional to the number of rings in the sequence. Here the four sequences are set at relative positions (offsets) to each other at which they have maximum correlation as measured by the *t*-values. The *t*-value/offset matrix contains the maximum *t*-values below the diagonal and the offsets above it. Thus, the maximum *t*-value between C08 and C45 occurs at the offset of +20 rings and the *t*-value is then 5.6. The site sequence is composed of the average of the corresponding widths, as illustrated with one width.









Figure A7 (a): The raw ring-widths of two samples, THO-A01 and THO-B05, whose felling dates are known

Here the ring widths are plotted vertically, one for each year, so that peaks represent wide rings and troughs narrow ones. Notice the growth-trends in each; on average the earlier rings of the young tree are wider than the later ones of the older tree in both sequences

Figure A7 (b): The Baillie-Pilcher indices of the above widths

The growth trends have been removed completely

References

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1973 A simple cross-dating program for tree-ring research, *Tree-Ring Bull*, **33**, 7–14

English Heritage, 1998 *Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates,* London

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1984–95 Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results, *Vernacular Architect*, **15–26**

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1992 List 44 no 17 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: tree-ring dates for buildings in the East Midlands, *Vernacular Architect*, **23**, 51–6.

Laxon, R R, Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1988 An objective method for forming a master ring-width sequence, *PA C T*, **22**, 25–35

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988 *An East Midlands Master Chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings*, University of Nottingham, Department of Archaeology Publication, Monograph Series **III**

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1989 Construction of a Kent master dendrochronological sequence for oak, AD 1158 to 1540, *Medieval Archaeol*, **33**, 90–8

Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Howard, R E, 2001 Timber: *Dendrochronology of Roof Timbers at Lincoln Cathedral*, Engl Heritage Res Trans, **7**

Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1991 Statistical models of dendrochronology, J Archaeol Sci, **18**, 29–40

Miles, D W H, 1997 The interpretation, presentation and use of tree-ring dates, *Vernacular Architect*, **28**, 40–56

Pearson, S, 1995 The Medieval Houses of Kent, an Historical Analysis, London

Rackham, O, 1976 Trees and Woodland in the British Landscape, London



Historic England Research and the Historic Environment

We are the public body that looks after England's historic environment. We champion historic places, helping people understand, value and care for them.

A good understanding of the historic environment is fundamental to ensuring people appreciate and enjoy their heritage and provides the essential first step towards its effective protection.

Historic England works to improve care, understanding and public enjoyment of the historic environment. We undertake and sponsor authoritative research. We develop new approaches to interpreting and protecting heritage and provide high quality expert advice and training.

We make the results of our work available through the Historic England Research Report Series, and through journal publications and monographs. Our online magazine Historic England Research which appears twice a year, aims to keep our partners within and outside English Heritage up-to-date with our projects and activities.

A full list of Research Reports, with abstracts and information on how to obtain copies, may be found on www.HistoricEngland.org.uk/researchreports

Some of these reports are interim reports, making the results of specialist investigations available in advance of full publication. They are not usually subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of information not available at the time of the investigation.

Where no final project report is available, you should consult the author before citing these reports in any publication. Opinions expressed in these reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of Historic England.

The Research Reports' database replaces the former:

Ancient Monuments Laboratory (AML) Reports Series The Centre for Archaeology (CfA) Reports Series The Archaeological Investigation Report Series and The Architectural Investigation Reports Series.