

Church Tower 100m North of the Church of St John Shenstone Lichfield Staffordshire

Tree-ring Dating of Oak Timbers

Alison Arnold, Robert Howard, and Cathy Tyers

Discovery, Innovation and Science in the Historic Environment



Research Report Series no. 65/2022

Front Cover: Church Tower North of Church of St John. © Mr David G Grounds. Source Historic England Archive IOE01/01521/20

Research Report Series 65/2022

CHURCH TOWER 100M NORTH OF THE CHURCH OF ST JOHN SHENSTONE LICHFIELD STAFFORDSHIRE

Tree-ring Dating of Oak Timbers

Alison Arnold, Robert Howard, and Cathy Tyers

NGR: SK 10944 04382

© Historic England

ISSN 2059-4453 (Online)

The Research Report Series incorporates reports by Historic England's expert teams and other researchers. It replaces the former Centre for Archaeology Reports Series, the Archaeological Investigation Report Series, the Architectural Investigation Report Series, and the Research Department Report Series.

Many of the Research Reports are of an interim nature and serve to make available the results of specialist investigations in advance of full publication. They are not usually subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of information not available at the time of the investigation. Where no final project report is available, readers must consult the author before citing these reports in any publication.

For more information write to Res.reports@HistoricEngland.org.uk or mail: Historic England, Fort Cumberland, Fort Cumberland Road, Eastney, Portsmouth PO4 9LD

Opinions expressed in Research Reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of Historic England.

SUMMARY

Dendrochronological analysis was undertaken on samples taken during renovations resulting in the dating of 21 timbers. Two main floor beams/tiebeams are dated as felled in the range of AD 1707–32, with three joists having *terminus post quem* dates for felling of AD 1467, AD 1490, and AD 1535. A timber identified as a possible joist was retrieved from a skip and has a *terminus post quem* date for felling of AD 1471.

Three *ex situ* timbers accompanying the bellframe were felled in the winter of AD 1625/6, and three bellframe braces, as well as a number of other associated timbers were also likely to have been felled at the same time. Four of the bellframe posts and a cill are slightly later, dating to the AD 1630s.

CONTRIBUTORS

Alison Arnold, Robert Howard, and Cathy Tyers

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank John Tiernan, Historic England Heritage at Risk Architect for the Midlands Region, for facilitating access and all contractors on site for their assistance and patience during the sampling process. Shahina Farid (Historic England Scientific Dating Team) commissioned and facilitated this study.

ARCHIVE LOCATION The Historic England Archive The Engine House Fire Fly Avenue Swindon SN2 2EH

HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT RECORD Staffordshire Historic Environment Record Lichfield Staffordshire WS14 0JB

DATE OF INVESTIGATION 2022

CONTACT DETAILS Alison Arnold and Robert Howard Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory 20 Hillcrest Grove Sherwood Nottingham NG5 1FT <u>roberthoward@tree-ringdating.co.uk</u> <u>alisonarnold@tree-ringdating.co.uk</u>

Cathy Tyers Historic England Cannon Bridge House 25 Dowgate Hill London EC4R 2YA <u>cathy.tyers@historicengland.org.uk</u>

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Sampling	1
Analysis and Results	1
Interpretation	2
Roof/floor frame	2
Skip timbers	2
Bellframe	3
Discussion	3
References	5
Tables	7
Figures	11
Data of Measured Samples	21
Appendix: Tree-Ring Dating	27

INTRODUCTION

It is believed that a church has stood at this location (Fig 1), since the Saxon period, however, the derelict Grade II*-listed tower (List Entry Number: 1038830 https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-list/list-entry/1038830?section=official-list-entry), is the only survival of an early thirteenth century church. Records indicate that later in the thirteenth century extensions to the church, including to the nave, were undertaken. In the mid-fifteenth century (AD 1427–61) the church was renewed, at which point the tower was no longer in the centre of the church but at the west end of the nave. Work is also known to have been undertaken in AD 1723 on the chancel, south porch and elsewhere within the church. In 1852 the church was condemned, except for the tower which was still needed to house the bells, and work then began on the new, adjacent church, to replace it.

The tower has recently been awarded an Historic England Heritage at Risk Repairs Grant following an agreed programme of repair and repurpose for community use by the 'Friends of Shenstone Tower' group. The work involves the removal of roof/floor frame timbers (Figs 2 and 3) and some lower stage beams, including what appears to be the remains of the side of a timber bell frame (Fig 4). All elements are believed to be reused and none will be retained in the current renovation scheme.

SAMPLING

Dendrochronological investigation was requested by John Tiernan, Heritage at Risk Architect for the Midlands in order to provide independent dating evidence to inform the significance of the tower in its historic setting for its repair and repurpose for community use.

Thirty-two oak timbers (*Quercus* spp) from this tower have been sampled with each being given the code SHN-S and numbered 01–32. Two main floor beams, which may also have acted as tiebeams for the roof, were sampled by coring; sliced samples were taken from a joist still morticed into one of the beams and tenons lodged in two mortices. Seven sliced samples were taken from timbers retrieved from a skip and 20 sliced samples from a pile of timbers adjacent to the tower; some of these were obviously parts of a bellframe as they were still partially articulated whilst others were individual timbers. Further details relating to all samples can be found in Table 1. Photographs were taken of timbers sampled (Figs 2–4) with the exception of the two cut off tenons.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

All 32 samples were prepared by sanding and polishing and their growth-ring widths measured; the data of these measurements are given at the end of the report. All measurements were then compared with each other by the Litton/Zainodin grouping programme (see Appendix), resulting in 22 samples matching to form four groups.

Firstly, three samples grouped at a minimum t – value of 5.8 to form SHNSSQ01, a site sequence of 80 rings (Fig 5). Comparison of this site sequence against the reference chronologies resulted in a secure match at a first-ring date of AD 1396 and a last-measured ring date of AD 1475. The evidence for this dating is given in Table 2.

Nine samples matched each other at a minimum value of t = 13.3 and were combined at the relevant offset position to form SHNSSQ02, a site sequence of 131 rings (Fig 6). This site sequence was compared against a series of relevant reference chronologies for oak where it was found to match consistently and securely at a first-ring date of AD 1495 and a last-measured ring date of AD 1625. The evidence for this dating is given in Table 3.

Eight samples also matched each other at a minimum value of t = 4.0 and were again combined at the relevant offset position to form SHNSSQ03, a site sequence of 178 rings (Fig 7). This site sequence was found to match the reference chronologies when spanning the period AD 1530–1707. The evidence for this dating is given in Table 4.

Finally, two samples matched each other at t = 6.3 and were combined at the relevant offset position to form site sequence SHNSSQ04, of 67 rings (Fig 8). However, comparison of this site sequence with the reference chronologies did not produce a secure match and it remains undated.

Attempts were then made to date the remaining nine ungrouped samples by comparing them individually against the reference chronologies. This resulted in the successful dating of sample SHN-S03 at a first-ring date of AD 1468 and a last-measured ring date of AD 1520 (Table 5). The remaining samples could not be matched and remain undated.

INTERPRETATION

Tree-ring dating has resulted in the successful dating of 21 samples from the tower, from those associated with the roof/floor frame, the bellframe and a series of timbers retrieved from a skip which may relate to the floor frame or elsewhere within the tower (Fig 9). To aid interpretation these have been dealt with by area, below.

Roof/floor frame

All five of the samples taken from *in situ* timbers of this roof/floor frame have been successfully dated, only two of which have the heartwood/sapwood boundary. The two samples taken from the main beams have similar heartwood/sapwood boundary ring dates, suggestive of a single felling. The combined average heartwood/sapwood boundary ring of these two samples is AD 1692, allowing an estimated felling date to be calculated for the two timbers represented to within the range AD 1708–32. This felling date range allows for sample SHN-S01 having a last-measured ring date of AD 1707, with incomplete sapwood.

The other three floor samples, all taken from joists, do not have the heartwood/sapwood boundary ring and therefore estimated felling date ranges cannot be calculated for them, except to say that, with last-measured ring dates of AD 1452 (SHN-S04), AD 1475 (SHN-S05), and AD 1520 (SHN-S03), these would have been felled after AD 1467, AD 1490, and AD 1535, respectively.

Skip timbers

Sample SHN-S11, from one of the timbers retrieved from the skip, has also been dated. This sample has a last-measured ring date of AD 1456 but without the heartwood/sapwood boundary ring the timber represented has a *terminus post quem* date for felling of AD 1471.

Bellframe

Fifteen of the samples taken from the bellframe or loose timbers that appear associated with it, have been dated, seven of which retain complete sapwood.

Three samples, SHN-S24, SHN-S28, and SHN-S30 all have the last-measured ring date of AD 1625. When looked at under the microscope it is possible to see that the last ring has both spring and summer growth cells demonstrating that the timbers represented were felled in the winter of AD 1625/6. Six of the other dated samples group with these three samples at the high value of t = 13.3, which one would usually expect to signify the timbers represented were either cut from the same tree or from trees growing in relatively close proximity to one another. Hence all nine timbers represented are likely to have been derived from a tree, or trees, felled in the winter of AD 1625/6.

Three other samples with complete sapwood, SHN-S16, SHN-S20, and SHN-S22, have the slightly later last-ring date of AD 1633. Again, when looked at under the microscope both the spring and summer cells of this final ring are present, determining that that the three timbers (all posts) were felled in the winter of AD 1633/4. These three samples, and that taken from the fourth post (SHN-S13), group at a minimum value of t = 11.6, again suggesting that these four timbers were either cut from the same tree or from trees growing in relatively close proximity to each other. Hence, all four posts have the same felling date of winter AD 1633/34.

The seventh sample with complete sapwood, SHN-S18, has the last ring date of AD 1634 and, as the final ring present appears to be complete, can be said to have been felled in the winter of AD 1634/5.

The final dated sample, SHN-S31, has the heartwood/sapwood boundary ring date of AD 1608, allowing an estimated felling date to be calculated for the timber represented to within the range AD 1623–48, making it possible that the timber represented was felled with those dated to AD 1625/6 or to the AD 1630s.

DISCUSSION

The potentially earliest timbers identified during this research are the two joists and one of the timbers retrieved from the skip, which is also possibly a joist (Fig 3). These all have *terminus post quem* dates for felling in the second half of the fifteenth century. However, it is not possible to know how heavily these were trimmed during conversion from tree to timber element and hence, whether they may represent the inner portions of much longer-lived trees. It may be that they were felled at the same time as the third joist but as that sample also only has a *terminus post quem* for felling date (of AD 1535) this could also be any time from the midsixteenth century. However, it is unlikely that they were felled at the same time as the main beams they were jointed into, which have a felling date in the range AD1708–32, as this would mean the joists were derived from trees in excess of 300 years old. Whilst this is possible it is unlikely. The felling date range obtained for the main beams raises the possibility that they could belong to the works known to have been undertaken on the chancel and 'elsewhere' in the church in, or about, AD 1723.

The bellframe is now known to have utilised timbers felled in the winter of AD 1625/6 (braces and timbers of unknown purpose), the winter of AD 1633/4 (posts), and the winter of AD 1634/5 (cill). The level of cross-matching between these 15 dated samples suggests the possibility that the timbers may either have been derived from only three or four different trees or alternatively a larger number of trees growing in relatively close proximity to each. These results could suggest construction of the bellframe occurred in the AD 1630s and incorporated stockpiled timber for some of its elements.

The three dated site chronologies and the individually dated sample were compared to an extensive range of reference chronologies but in general the highest levels of similarity are found with reference chronologies from the surrounding regions suggesting that the woodland sources from which the timbers were derived is likely to be relatively local.

REFERENCES

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2005 *Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers from the Main Guard, Pontefract Castle*, Centre for Archaeol Rep, **48/2005**

Arnold, A J and Howard, R E, 2012 *The Old Coach House and Dovecote, Eastcote House Gardens, High Road, Eastcote, Hillingdon, London: Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers*, English Heritage Res Dept Rep Ser, **08/2012**

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2014a 7–12 Church Street, DronfIeld, Derbyshire; Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers, English Heritage Res Rep Ser, **55/2014**

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2014b *Church of St Mary, Neen Savage, Shropshire; Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers,* English Heritage Res Rep Ser, **75/2014**

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2014 unpubl Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers from a number of buildings in Bingham, Nottinghamshire, unpublished computer file *BNGXSQ01/02*, NTRDL

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 2002 *The Urban Development of Newark-on-Trent: A Dendrochronological Approach*, Centre for Archaeol Rep, **95/2002**

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Litton, C D, 2003 *Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers from the roof of the Keep, or Little Castle, Bolsover Castle, Derbyshire*, Centre for Archaeol Rep, **15/2003**

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, Litton, C D, and Dawson, G 2005 *The Tree-ring Dating of a Number of Bellframes in Leicestershire*, Centre for Archaeol Rep, **5/2005**

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Litton, C D, 2008 Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory, *Vernacular Architect*, **39**, 119–28

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Litton, C D, 2010 Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory, *Vernacular Architect*, **41**, 98

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Litton, C D, 2013 Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory, *Vernacular Architect*, **44**, 96

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Tyers, C, 2015a *The Great Tythe Barn, Bolton Abbey, North Yorkshire: Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers*, Historic England Res Rep Ser, **48/2015**

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Tyers, C, 2015b *Ledston Hall, Hall Lane, Ledston, Leeds, West Yorkshire; Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers*, Historic England Res Rep, Ser, **51/2015**

Arnold, A J, and Howard, R E, 2016 *Sneath's Mill, Lutton Gowts, Lincolnshire: Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers*, Historic England Res Rep Ser, **50/2016**

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, Dawson, G, and Dr Brooke C, 2016 Nottinghamshire Bellframes, *Vernacular Architect*, **47**, 84–6

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Tyers C forthcoming a *Hathershaw Hall, Hollins Road, Oldham, Lancashire: Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers*, Historic England Res Rep Ser

Arnold, A J, Howard, R E, and Tyers, C, forthcoming b *Kirby Hall, Northamptonshire: Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers*, forthcoming Historic England Res Rep Ser

65/2022

Groves, C, 1997 Dendrochronological analysis of Ightfield Hall Farm Barn, Ightfield, Whitchurch, Shropshire, 1997, Anc Mon Lab Rep, **91/1997**

Groves, C and Hillam, J, 1990 Tree-ring dates from Sheffield University: List 36, *Vernacular Architect*, **21**, 44

Hillam, J, 1983 Tree-ring dates from Sheffield University: List 10, *Vernacular Architect*, **14**, 61

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, Morrison A, Sewell, J, and Hook, R, 1994a List 58 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: *Vernacular Architect*, **25**, 41–3

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, Morrison A, Sewell, J, and Hook, R, 1994b List 58 no - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: *Vernacular Architect*, **25**, 41–3

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1995 Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: results, *Vernacular Architect*, **26**, 47–53

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1996 a Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: results, *Vernacular Architect*, **27**, 78

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1996 b Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: Sherwood Forest Oak; a dendrochronological Survey, *Vernacular Architect*, **27**, 87–90

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1999 *Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers from Bretby Hall, Bretby, Derbyshire*, Anc Mon Lab Rep, **43/99**

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 2005 *Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers from the Riding School, Bolsover Castle, Bolsover, Derbyshire*, Centre for Archaeol Rep, **40/2005**

Howard, R E, 2005 Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers from Aston Hall, Aston, Birmingham, unpublished computer file *ASTHSQ01*, NTRDL

Miles, D, Haddon-Reece, D, Moran, M, and Mercer, E, 1993 Tree-ring dates for buildings: List 54, *Vernacular Architect*, **24**, 54–60

Tyers, I, 1997 *Tree-ring Analysis of Timbers from Sinai Park, Staffordshire*, Anc Mon Lab Rep, **80/1997**

Tyers, I, 1999 Dendrochronological analysis of timbers from Black Ladies, near Brewood, Staffordshire, ARCUS Rep **484**

Tyers, I, 2001 Dendrochronological analysis of timbers from Headlands Hall, Liversedge, Yorkshire, ARCUS Rep **574c**

Tyers, I, 2008a Tree-ring analysis of timbers from 2 buildings on the Hanson House site, Syndale Road, Normanton, Dendro Co Rep **180**

Tyers, I, 2008b Bleathwood Manor Farm, near Tenbury Wells, Herefordshire, Dendrochronological Analysis of Oak Timbers, English Heritage Res Dept Rep Ser **77-2008**

Tyers, I, 2015 *Tree-ring analysis of Castle Dairy, Kendal, Cumbria*, Dendro Co Rep **754**

Table 1: Details of tree-ring series from The Tower, 100m north of the Church of St John, Shenstone, Lichfield, Staffordshire

Sample	Sample location	Total	Sapwood	First measured	Last heartwood	Last measured	
number	1	rings	rings*	ring date (AD)	ring date (AD)	ring date (AD)	
In-situ roof/floor beams							
SHN-S01	Main beam 1	123	14	1585	1693	1707	
SHN-S02	Main beam 2	107	02	1587	1691	1693	
SHN-S03	Joist	53		1468		1520	
SHN-S04	Joist – cut off tenon	57		1396		1452	
SHN-S05	Joist – cut off tenon	75		1401		1475	
Timbers retr	ieved from the skip			·		-	
SHN-S06	Unknown timber	42					
SHN-S07	Unknown timber	51					
SHN-S08	Unknown timber	44	h/s				
SHN-S09	Unknown timber	72	h/s				
SHN-S10	Unknown timber	61					
SHN-S11	Unknown timber	43		1414		1456	
SHN-S12	Unknown timber	37	h/s				
<i>Ex-situ</i> bellfi	ame and associated timbers						
SHN-S13	Post, truss 1	83	14	1541	1609	1623	
SHN-S14	Brace, truss 1	98	h/s	1507	1604	1604	
SHN-S15	Cill, truss 1	86	16				
SHN-S16	Post, truss 1	97	26C	1537	1607	1633	
SHN-S17	Brace, truss 1	100	h/s				
SHN-S18	Cill, truss 2	86	13C	1549	1621	1634	
SHN-S19	Brace, truss 2	82	16	1543	1608	1624	
SHN-S20	Post, truss 2	104	27C	1530	1606	1633	
SHN-S21	Brace, truss 2	105	04	1508	1608	1612	
SHN-S22	Post, truss 2	101	29C	1533	1604	1633	
SHN-S23	Floor (?) beam nailed to cill, truss 2	58					
SHN-S24	Loose timber	123	18C	1503	1607	1625	
SHN-S25	Loose timber	105	h/s	1495	1599	1599	
SHN-S26	Loose timber	96	22C				
SHN-S27	Loose timber	55	09				

SHN-S28	Loose timber	116	16C	1510	1609	1625
SHN-S29	Loose timber	91	04	1518	1604	1608
SHN-S30	Loose timber	105	17C	1521	1608	1625
SHN-S31	Loose timber	71	h/s	1538	1608	1608
SHN-S32	Loose timber	104	h/s	1499	1602	1602

*h/s = the heartwood/sapwood boundary is the last ring on the sample; C = complete sapwood retained on sample; last-measured ring is the felling date.

Table 2: Results of the cross-matching of site sequence SHNSSQ01 and example reference chronologies when the first ring date is AD 1396 and the last-measured ring date is AD 1475

Site reference	<i>t</i> – value	Span of	Reference
		chronology AD	
Nether Hall Barn, Dalton, Huddersfield, West Yorkshire	7.3	1376–1453	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2008
Headlands Hall, Liversedge, West Yorkshire	7.2	1388–1487	Tyers 2001
Black Ladies, Brewood, Staffordshire	6.7	1372–1671	Tyers 1999
Castle Dairy, Kendal, Cumbria	6.5	1336–1485	Tyers 2015
Tithe Barn, Bolton Abbey, West Yorkshire	6.3	1350-1518	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2015a
Hanson Hall barn, Normanton, West Yorkshire	6.2	1359–1455	Tyers 2008a
Ightfield Hall barn, Shropshire	6.2	1341–1566	Groves 1997
Houndhill barn, Barnsley, South Yorkshire	6.0	1369–1470	Groves and Hillam 1990
Red Gables Cottage, Criggleston, West Yorkshire	5.9	1384–1590	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2013
Governors House, 23–24 Stodman Street, Newark,	5.7	1319–1471	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2002
Nottinghamshire			

 ∞

Table 3: Results of the cross-matching of site sequence SHNSSQ02 and example reference chronologies when the first ring date is AD 1495 and the last-measured ring date is AD 1625

Site reference	t – value	Span of	Reference
		chronology AD	
Aston Hall, Aston, Birmingham, West Midlands	7.4	1457–1624	Howard 2005 unpubl
17/21 Boar Lane, Newark, Nottinghamshire	7.3	1507–1657	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2002
Raynor House, Bradfield, South Yorkshire	7.3	1468–1593	Howard <i>et al</i> 1994a
The Old Coach House, Eastcote Manor, Hillingdon, London	7.2	1569–1697	Arnold and Howard 2012
Ledston Hall, Ledston, Leeds, West Yorkshire	7.2	1424–1668	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2015b
Colston Bassett Church, Nottinghamshire	7.1	1465-1609	Howard <i>et al</i> 1995
Hathershaw Hall, Oldham, Lancashire	7.1	1497–1693	Arnold <i>et al</i> forthcoming a
Sutton Scarsdale Manor (house), Derbyshire	7.4	1521–1658	Howard <i>et al</i> 1996a
Bolsover Little Castle, Derbyshire	6.9	1532–1749	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2003
Rose Cottage, Lount, Leicestershire	6.9	1498–1612	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2010

Table 4: Results of the cross-matching of site sequence SHNSSQ03 and example reference chronologies when the first ring date is AD 1530 and the last-measured ring date is AD 1707

Site reference	t – value	Span of	Reference
		chronology AD	
Kirby Hall, Northamptonshire	8.8	1509-1795	Arnold <i>et al</i> forthcoming b
Sinai Park, Burton-on-Trent, Staffordshire	8.8	1227-1750	Tyers 1997
Bingham, Nottinghamshire	7.9	1445–1752	Arnold and Howard 2014 unpubl
Sneath's Mill, Lutton Gowts, Lincolnshire	7.9	1593–1728	Arnold and Howard 2016
Pontefract Castle, West Yorkshire	7.8	1507–1656	Arnold and Howard 2005
Church of St Nicholas, Bringhurst, Leicestershire	7.3	1502–1687	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2005
Southwell Minster, Nottinghamshire	7.5	1573–1716	Howard <i>et al</i> 1996b
Bolsover Castle (Riding House), Derbyshire	7.3	1494–1744	Howard <i>et al</i> 2005
Ledston Hall, Ledston, Leeds, West Yorkshire	7.2	1424–1668	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2015b
Bretby Hall, Derbyshire	7.2	1494–1719	Howard <i>et al</i> 1999

Table 5: Results of the cross-matching of sample SHN-S03 and example reference chronologies when the first ring date is AD 1468 and the last-measured ring date is AD 1520

Site reference	t – value	Span of	Reference
		chronology AD	
Wolverton Manor, Shropshire	7.5	1325-1580	Miles et al 1993
Church of St Mary, Neen Savage, Shropshire	6.7	1227-1469	Arnold and Howard 2014b
Church of St Catherine, Cossal, Nottinghamshire	6.6	1388–1492	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2016
Jordanthorpe barn, Sheffield, South Yorkshire	6.5	1425–1531	Hillam 1983
St John the Baptist, Muston, Leicestershire	6.4	1437–1611	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2005
Bleathwood Manor Farm, Tenbury Wells, Herefordshire	6.3	1461–1581	Tyers 2008b
Sinai Park, Burton-on-Trent, Staffordshire	6.1	1227-1750	Tyers 1997
Unthank Hall, Holmesfield, Derbyshire	6.1	1401–1540	Howard <i>et al</i> 1994b
Court House, Shelsley Walsh, Worcestershire	6.1	1328–1419	Arnold <i>et al</i> 2008
7–12 Church Street, Dronfield, Derbyshire	6.0	1313-1526	Arnold and Howard 2014a

FIGURES



Figure 1: Maps to show the location of the Church Tower in Shenstone, Saffordshire; marked in red. Scale: top right 1:105,000; bottom: 1:1,500. © Crown Copyright and database right 2022. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100024900.



Figure 2: Floor/roof beams, north beam with in-situ joist (standing upright) in foreground; samples SHN-S01–SHN-S03 (samples SHN-S04 and SHN-S05 cut off tenons not shown), photograph taken from the north-west (Photograph: Alison Arnold)



Figure 3: Photographs of samples taken from timbers retrieved from a skip, SHN-S06–12 (Photograph:Alison Arnold)



















© HISTORIC ENGLAND









Figure 4: Photographs of sampled bellframe and associated timbers, samples SHN-S13–32 (Photograph:Alison Arnold)



Figure 5: Bar diagram of samples in site sequence SHNSSQ01



Sapwood rings C = complete sapwood retained on sample, last-measured ring is the felling date.

Figure 6: Bar diagram of samples in site sequence SHNSSQ02





Heartwood rings h/s = the heartwood/sapwood boundary is the last ring on the sample

Sapwood rings C = complete sapwood retained on sample, last-measured ring is the felling date.

Figure 7: Bar diagram of samples in site sequence SHNSSQ03



Figure 8: Bar diagram of samples in undated site sequence SHNSSQ04



Figure 9: Bar diagram of all dated samples, sorted by area

DATA OF MEASURED SAMPLES

SHN-S01A 123

SHN-S07A 51 352 387 342 276 339 267 262 240 186 188 154 128 181 245 202 166 223 251 296 247

© HISTORIC ENGLAND

 $\begin{array}{c} 231\ 397\ 197\ 103\ 135\ 202\ 204\ 210\ 161\ 215\ 153\ 193\ 335\ 256\ 310\ 429\ 324\ 372\ 375\ 297\\ 345\ 284\ 306\ 257\ 367\ 337\ 405\ 354\ 395\ 433\ 417\ 519\ 466\ 514\ 411\ 298\ 325\ 324\ 313\ 324 \end{array}$

© HISTORIC ENGLAND

240 270 326 392 343 307 353 343 265 217 148 174 281 284 298 248 284 225 162 148 149 231 175 192 208 155 150 80 78 76 82 85 153 214 177 135 113 158 178 225 208 150 169 139 131 135 156 163 159 133 106 150 110 128 130 112 136 170 SHN-S15A 86

203 236 338 300 204 116 72 76 105 67 137 179 125 112 111 167 126 172 114 132 124 137 215 151 176 227 279 256 227 211 244 323 310 243 249 297 290 277 272 223 247 229 285 192 248 262 373 337 262 266 300 220 159 130 65 54 62 87 85 111 96 134 105 93 65 84 120 76 107 111 85 72 100 61 97 53 54 60 69 61 85 84 88 65 66 76

SHN-S15B 86

180 238 317 307 218 149 101 106 130 69 124 147 116 107 98 162 126 179 120 113 108 124 196 156 185 228 287 284 225 203 234 281 287 234 226 279 265 262 290 262 275 271 347 209 308 325 388 304 248 282 310 225 145 94 56 45 48 51 62 73 78 140 98 93 44 90 102 93 92 110 81 78 83 54 103 45 62 69 59 62 91 81 88 68 54 75

SHN-S16A 97

153 281 175 125 110 109 93 81 88 73 41 40 73 86 172 110 111 108 170 97 68 121 147 201 97 209 107 169 161 125 82 111 175 250 339 197 157 200 223 197 190 130 105 182 165 144 160 253 321 266 199 261 255 139 117 118 230 323 313 342 307 300 271 190 157 124 172 162 140 142 196 147 142 176 105 92 173 101 103 142 99 134 116 132 185 141 159 129 101 71 77 64 109 103 79 99 61 SHN-S16B 97

147 258 214 156 108 112 78 85 68 66 43 41 64 91 128 96 120 110 164 87 90 105 148 206 110 193 119 180 134 127 93 96 183 257 338 197 158 197 214 201 198 124 125 181 158 163 148 257 318 248 219 263 248 133 123 120 222 324 293 339 316 305 266 199 153 122 173 169 145 156 182 150 136 170 111 87 178 99 101 147 100 132 115 142 173 170 144 137 98 69 71 60 113 98 88 90 60 SHN-S17A 100

131 221 378 374 338 257 384 345 73 54 121 269 250 183 221 248 391 66 98 94 124 174 251 386 356 180 129 185 245 265 248 388 90 71 66 66 66 67 134 146 144 118 57 68 134 327 343 387 130 41 38 50 55 68 73 95 96 127 51 28 40 57 74 96 126 56 79 88 82 77 77 104 91 112 49 96 74 137 127 147 92 123 216 120 38 41 74 42 41 62 59 151 56 66 78 72 70 77 152 90 SHN-S17B 100

133 238 359 398 334 283 397 339 75 64 105 279 239 189 219 248 394 72 87 104 124 175 258 389 344 174 129 181 236 276 245 406 80 68 80 65 71 61 135 144 140 109 68 61 125 319 325 387 136 38 42 53 50 70 71 98 98 125 53 30 44 60 72 92 126 51 85 91 85 80 79 95 99 107 52 94 75 142 124 141 91 126 220 127 39 36 76 38 40 58 68 152 64 55 98 78 75 75 149 102

SHN-S18A 86

 $\begin{array}{c} 338\ 343\ 288\ 244\ 220\ 164\ 252\ 241\ 132\ 160\ 234\ 168\ 159\ 170\ 170\ 230\ 179\ 89\ 62\ 98\\ 96\ 157\ 141\ 106\ 102\ 74\ 108\ 110\ 110\ 87\ 83\ 125\ 104\ 103\ 126\ 282\ 251\ 219\ 199\ 192\\ 192\ 139\ 126\ 146\ 224\ 204\ 243\ 228\ 195\ 150\ 166\ 155\ 246\ 365\ 474\ 402\ 250\ 252\ 248\ 210\\ 170\ 164\ 136\ 121\ 113\ 229\ 408\ 242\ 215\ 240\ 140\ 181\ 179\ 166\ 182\ 167\ 123\ 129\ 168\ 151\\ 184\ 135\ 146\ 168\ 183\ 93\end{array}$

SHN-S18B 86

 $\begin{array}{c} 332\ 340\ 300\ 212\ 210\ 183\ 298\ 235\ 142\ 159\ 228\ 192\ 179\ 207\ 212\ 238\ 256\ 119\ 75\ 88\\ 74\ 126\ 135\ 108\ 92\ 83\ 99\ 110\ 122\ 81\ 102\ 120\ 115\ 101\ 116\ 277\ 261\ 215\ 211\ 205\\ 181\ 142\ 120\ 157\ 220\ 194\ 230\ 234\ 198\ 149\ 148\ 135\ 262\ 352\ 480\ 404\ 253\ 256\ 252\ 213\\ 177\ 154\ 128\ 127\ 100\ 238\ 406\ 249\ 219\ 250\ 144\ 167\ 156\ 161\ 178\ 157\ 135\ 112\ 161\ 142\\ 184\ 134\ 163\ 171\ 185\ 110\end{array}$

SHN-S19A 82

 $\begin{array}{c} 174\ 230\ 260\ 249\ 184\ 252\ 315\ 298\ 305\ 256\ 270\ 287\ 216\ 245\ 132\ 192\ 274\ 278\ 315\ 223\\ 247\ 196\ 125\ 142\ 132\ 168\ 163\ 205\ 217\ 184\ 169\ 103\ 103\ 102\ 86\ 120\ 194\ 235\ 183\ 110\\ 114\ 168\ 182\ 239\ 189\ 157\ 163\ 135\ 108\ 113\ 166\ 163\ 181\ 158\ 118\ 157\ 166\ 133\ 141\ 117\\ 161\ 156\ 138\ 170\ 188\ 160\ 203\ 191\ 153\ 128\ 93\ 86\ 75\ 100\ 99\ 131\ 143\ 175\ 156\ 145\\ 85\ 79\end{array}$

SHN-S19B 82

 $\begin{array}{c} 151\ 268\ 237\ 255\ 188\ 253\ 266\ 295\ 313\ 246\ 283\ 288\ 215\ 231\ 136\ 186\ 285\ 263\ 311\ 233\\ 225\ 215\ 127\ 135\ 139\ 179\ 174\ 196\ 223\ 179\ 172\ 105\ 103\ 96\ 85\ 136\ 187\ 238\ 189\ 121\\ 112\ 169\ 192\ 240\ 181\ 157\ 175\ 133\ 108\ 125\ 160\ 174\ 195\ 155\ 125\ 163\ 150\ 145\ 146\ 124\\ 176\ 176\ 163\ 168\ 150\ 165\ 206\ 174\ 181\ 104\ 112\ 73\ 84\ 109\ 100\ 136\ 143\ 181\ 148\ 167\\ \begin{array}{c} 87\ 80\\ 87\ 80\\ \end{array}$

SHN-S20A 104

363 479 403 154 104 124 59 76 88 75 73 89 114 80 81 79 78 27 52 33 59 80 75 46 27 92 74 73 59 152 146 76 188 131 169 114 108 72 89 119 260 299 172 207 240 220 164 168 110 99 202 143 146 134 208 259 197 168 296 294 126 106 106 236 292 279 302 256 289 188 131 79 89 136 148 109 152 192 159 132 156 125 116 142 172 108 122 150 151 96 143 186 151 164 113 75 76 62 64 134 102 106 93 92

SHN-S20B 104

 367
 509
 380
 186
 115
 106
 70
 73
 118
 80
 85
 99
 120
 78
 87
 71
 76
 31
 43
 32

 63
 75
 67
 52
 27
 97
 75
 68
 74
 155
 144
 83
 182
 129
 141
 87
 92
 63
 95
 116

269 288 174 217 233 233 157 172 116 104 198 157 135 129 221 279 195 151 302 279 119 114 95 223 284 268 303 243 297 193 112 77 70 123 150 112 154 188 170 140 162 128 116 174 148 115 123 127 159 105 130 168 171 152 122 83 72 59 69 133 106 113 95 119 SHN-S21A 105 $\begin{array}{c} 314\ 125\ 118\ 132\ 153\ 145\ 144\ 159\ 168\ 134\ 168\ 225\ 216\ 266\ 354\ 266\ 305\ 249\ 241\ 294\\ 233\ 236\ 211\ 241\ 251\ 232\ 184\ 262\ 298\ 297\ 343\ 312\ 356\ 348\ 265\ 282\ 241\ 267\ 308\ 164 \end{array}$ 236 262 275 300 238 285 232 194 184 115 161 240 240 260 227 238 196 146 136 164 $197\,151\,188\,199\,168\,178\,116\,102\,105\,\,94\,135\,186\,229\,178\,112\,167\,187\,205\,289\,207$ 172 215 161 107 114 174 176 195 153 125 149 160 140 146 135 172 165 141 188 169 173 192 179 161 148 SHN-S21B 105 $348\ 108\ 94\ 150\ 170\ 162\ 180\ 157\ 195\ 149\ 158\ 237\ 251\ 309\ 393\ 287\ 389\ 325\ 285\ 244$ 262 258 244 275 313 295 287 401 361 309 330 334 426 287 250 265 233 293 323 150 219 239 286 297 225 287 212 206 166 106 153 215 207 247 196 219 173 136 160 140 200 141 187 174 171 176 101 97 98 106 132 177 221 186 114 164 180 184 315 224 175 218 142 118 126 175 174 207 149 125 143 170 157 144 130 146 183 136 165 169 183 195 173 178 180 SHN-S22A 101 210 215 389 340 317 337 210 324 381 318 362 260 345 182 96 191 217 187 263 147 129 110 175 109 52 104 179 223 103 140 107 151 115 91 70 89 174 251 219 130 153 189 163 136 118 87 96 158 142 156 147 218 238 158 125 243 225 105 101 104

31 SHN-S22B 101

226 215 424 301 310 339 226 340 399 312 373 262 337 180 99 202 216 184 276 158 114 105 170 103 57 97 174 231 92 157 105 149 103 98 65 96 183 291 237 133 153 182 170 148 164 106 100 176 147 179 182 239 232 153 135 239 195 89 88 93 156 215 208 230 149 152 118 68 61 48 84 89 89 95 141 128 105 98 66 83 134 98 107 95 90 91 84 117 134 140 122 97 51 38 40 25 24 31 25 48 30

173 211 183 171 125 122 109 85 67 65 87 103 82 114 126 124 86 104 52 90 120 88 103 86 104 113 89 125 110 146 114 112 54 42 32 24 27 30 27 45

SHN-S23A 58

364 524 729 424 438 515 436 413 274 258 461 498 403 526 379 370 449 480 281 291 397 311 490 486 151 91 61 121 75 93 137 157 153 145 140 203 159 105 144 224 151 149 116 123 160 181 165 172 169 147 170 244 267 320 301 313 298 186 SHN-S23B 58

356 495 693 469 437 515 510 456 287 296 434 498 427 556 388 376 473 498 303 308 365 335 477 507 129 84 70 91 66 72 122 124 150 153 153 196 164 106 160 197 158 152 138 142 160 189 163 168 171 165 180 266 266 324 323 334 287 207 SHN-S24A 123

 $\begin{array}{c} 292\ 344\ 327\ 340\ 261\ 342\ 241\ 180\ 194\ 224\ 269\ 259\ 206\ 245\ 190\ 190\ 291\ 241\ 326\ 406\\ 285\ 358\ 333\ 371\ 411\ 360\ 288\ 215\ 315\ 242\ 250\ 235\ 239\ 292\ 236\ 233\ 230\ 277\ 269\ 145\\ 219\ 143\ 171\ 161\ 149\ 191\ 232\ 226\ 199\ 206\ 262\ 212\ 228\ 183\ 134\ 209\ 262\ 259\ 311\ 216\\ 220\ 222\ 129\ 132\ 157\ 212\ 182\ 218\ 179\ 171\ 158\ 84\ 80\ 61\ 90\ 125\ 186\ 204\ 141\ 107\\ 150\ 144\ 171\ 194\ 169\ 132\ 162\ 121\ 143\ 134\ 135\ 165\ 180\ 161\ 112\ 119\ 137\ 142\ 124\ 120\\ 150\ 175\ 141\ 134\ 142\ 114\ 185\ 165\ 147\ 136\ 84\ 97\ 79\ 89\ 102\ 98\ 107\ 133\ 118\ 116\\ 111\ 76\ 48\end{array}$

SHN-S24B 123

293 333 309 330 266 373 259 163 178 276 291 286 210 294 167 201 303 246 353 386 279 337 360 413 558 356 266 220 299 237 245 233 270 307 250 309 236 296 237 144 208 160 153 185 138 196 229 247 162 201 233 196 218 190 139 171 250 242 324 204 227 207 127 118 121 211 154 216 179 172 153 83 80 84 80 123 192 203 149 102 161 162 178 188 151 133 158 131 120 105 159 183 187 135 97 142 138 136 135 119 163 174 141 140 124 137 174 170 154 135 82 84 87 91 93 105 104 137 127 126 103 70 57

SHN-S25A 105

81 131 186 180 166 66 20 33 60 66 75 159 111 87 118 123 172 182 132 154 136 191 103 126 232 204 265 343 258 294 367 304 307 314 313 232 292 275 260 247 343 293 241 242 242 274 246 167 215 209 201 232 161 219 233 252 242 247 260 227 235 173 121 160 235 231 239 203 210 171 128 125 133 204 153 175 156 137 131 97 78 75 81 119 191 238 129 104 152 166 182 252 160 161 193 118 100 109 172 195 172 119 111 124 112

SHN-S25B 105

79 118 186 187 165 60 20 43 59 59 80 157 131 79 121 128 165 168 143 147

© HISTORIC ENGLAND

65/2022

103 100 83 111 109 95 116 123 89 107 81 SHN-S31B 71

340 323 409 390 270 219 232 293 276 265 255 291 246 268 251 203 218 266 153 140 159 170 170 190 242 175 219 212 138 124 152 139 177 196 165 174 155 120 91 129 98 98 134 125 104 108 153 154 137 128 94 126 92 102 124 162 182 172 115 113 124 119 74 121 104 95 110 111 94 89 98

SHN-S32A 104

 $196\ 217\ 116\ 258\ 335\ 359\ 336\ 346\ 289\ 470\ 391\ 239\ 240\ 298\ 263\ 254\ 219\ 251\ 182\ 233$

 190 217 110 238 333 339 330 340 289 470 391 239 240 298 203 234 219 231 182 233

 298 272 382 425 276 362 320 249 281 246 273 170 240 224 259 184 256 297 258 278

 270 245 290 166 195 198 191 233 157 199 241 274 211 208 271 208 180 170 127 127

 224 200 233 185 245 200 136 140 138 195 157 203 166 143 130 93 76 76 59 95

 $199\ 192\ 150\ 117\ 130\ 125\ 160\ 226\ 178\ 146\ 151\ 115\ 117\ 115\ 153\ 187\ 189\ 129\ 107\ 119$ 121 125 106 115

SHN-S32B 103

235 205 106 260 302 391 384 410 262 415 437 300 257 264 276 282 268 259 199 234 308 278 363 450 277 384 322 286 301 248 236 204 232 224 248 203 268 309 255 275 248 283 276 153 204 191 184 236 157 196 241 275 225 206 262 230 196 166 114 151 199 211 221 203 237 197 173 100 148 192 159 194 164 146 130 93 72 79 60 94 170 229 168 117 124 120 171 225 173 145 161 124 104 120 155 181 173 142 96 110 134 124 115

APPENDIX: TREE-RING DATING

The Principles of Tree-Ring Dating

Tree-ring dating, or dendrochronology as it is known, is discussed in some detail in the Nottingham Tree-ring Dating Laboratory's Monograph, An East Midlands Master Tree-Ring Chronology and its uses for dating Vernacular Buildings (Laxton and Litton 1988) and Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates (English Heritage 1998). Here we will give the bare outlines. Each year an oak tree grows an extra ring on the outside of its trunk and all its branches just inside its bark. The width of this annual ring depends largely on the weather during the growing season, about April to October, and possibly also on the weather during the previous year. Good growing seasons give rise to relatively wide rings, poor ones to very narrow rings and average ones to relatively average ring widths. Since the climate is so variable from year to year, almost random-like, the widths of these rings will also appear random-like in sequence, reflecting the seasons. This is illustrated in Figure A1 where, for example, the widest rings appear at irregular intervals. This is the key to dating by tree rings, or rather, by their widths. Records of the average ring widths for oaks, one for each year for the last 1000 years or more, are available for different areas. These are called master chronologies. Because of the random-like nature of these sequences of widths, there is usually only one position at which a sequence of ring widths from a sample of oak timber with at least 70 rings will match a master. This will date the timber and, in particular, the last ring.

If the bark is still on the sample, as in Figure A1, then the date of the last ring will be the date of felling of the oak from which it was cut. There is much evidence that in medieval times oaks cut down for building purposes were used almost immediately, usually within the year or so (Rackham 1976). Hence if bark is present on several main timbers in a building, none of which appear reused or are later insertions, and if they all have the same date for their last ring, then we can be quite confident that this is the date of construction or soon after. If there is no bark on the sample, then we have to make an estimate of the felling date; how this is done is explained below.

The Practice of Tree-Ring Dating at the Nottingham Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory

1. Inspecting the Building and Sampling the Timbers.

Together with a building historian the timbers in a building are inspected to try to ensure that those sampled are not reused or later insertions. Sampling is almost always done by coring into the timber, which has the great advantage that we can sample *in situ* timbers and those judged best to give the date of construction, or phase of construction if there is more than one in the building. The timbers to be sampled are also inspected to see how many rings they have. We normally look for timbers with at least 70 rings, and preferably more. With fewer rings than this, 50 for example, sequences of widths become difficult to match to a unique position within a master sequence of ring widths and so are difficult to date (Litton and Zainodin 1991). The cross-section of the rafter shown in Figure A2 has about 120 rings; about 20 of which are sapwood rings – the lighter rings on the outside. Similarly the core has just over 100 rings with a few sapwood rings. To ensure that we are getting the date of the building as a whole, or the whole of a phase of construction if there is more than one, about 8–10 samples per phase are usually taken. Sometimes we take many more, especially if the construction is complicated. One reason for taking so many samples is that, in general, some will fail to give a date. There may be many reasons why a particular sequence of ring widths from a sample of timber fails to give a date even though others from the same building do. For example, a particular tree may have grown in an odd ecological niche, so odd indeed that the widths of its rings were determined by factors other than the local climate! In such circumstances it will be impossible to date a timber from this tree using the master sequence whose widths, we can assume, were predominantly determined by the local climate at the time.

Sampling is done by coring into the timber with a hollow corer attached to an electric drill and usually from its outer rings inwards towards where the centre of the tree, the pith, is judged to be. An illustration of a core is shown in Figure A2; it is about 150mm long and 10mm diameter. Great care has to be taken to ensure that as few as possible of the outer rings are lost in coring. This can be difficult as these outer rings are often very soft (see below on sapwood). Each sample is given a code which identifies uniquely which timber it comes from, which building it is from and where the building is located. For example, CRO-A06 is the sixth core taken from the first building (A) sampled by the Laboratory in Cropwell Bishop. Where it came from in that building will be shown in the sampling records and drawings. No structural damage is done to any timbers by coring, nor does it weaken them.

During the initial inspection of the building and its timbers the dendrochronologist may come to the conclusion that, as far as can be judged, none of the timbers have sufficient rings in them for dating purposes and may advise against sampling to save further unwarranted expense.

All sampling by the Laboratory is undertaken according to current Health and Safety Standards. The Laboratory's dendrochronologists are insured.





Figure A2: Cross-section of a rafter, showing sapwood rings in the left-hand corner, the arrow points to the heartwood/sapwood boundary (H/S); and a core with sapwood; again the arrow is pointing to the H/S. The core is about the size of a pencil



Figure A3: Measuring ring widths under a microscope. The microscope is fixed while the sample is on a moving platform. The total sequence of widths is measured twice to ensure that an error has not been made. This type of apparatus is needed to process a large number of samples on a regular basis



Figure A4: Three cores from timbers in a building. They come from trees growing at the same time. Notice that, although the sequences of widths look similar, they are not identical. This is typical

2. Measuring Ring Widths.

Each core is sanded down with a belt sander using medium-grit paper and then finished by hand with flourgrade-grit paper. The rings are then clearly visible and differentiated from each other with a result very much like that shown in Figure A2. The core is then mounted on a movable table below a microscope and the ringwidths measured individually from the innermost ring to the outermost. The widths are automatically recorded in a computer file as they are measured (see Fig A3).

3. Cross-Matching and Dating the Samples.

Because of the factors besides the local climate which may determine the annual widths of a tree's rings, no two sequences of ring widths from different oaks growing at the same time are exactly alike (Fig A4). Indeed, the sequences may not be exactly alike even when the trees are growing near to each other. Consequently, in the Laboratory we do not attempt to match two sequences of ring widths by eye, or graphically, or by any other subjective method. Instead, it is done objectively (ie statistically) on a computer by a process called cross-matching. The output from the computer tells us the extent of correlation between two sample sequences of widths or, if we are dating, between a sample sequence of widths and the master, at each relative position of one to the other (offsets). The extent of the correlation at an offset is determined by the *t*-value (defined in almost any introductory book on statistics). That offset with the maximum *t*-value among the *t*-values at all the offsets will be the best candidate for dating one sequence relative to the other. If one of these is a master chronology, then this will date the other. Experiments carried out in the past with sequences from oaks of known date suggest that a *t*-value of at least 4.5, and preferably at least 5.0, is usually adequate for the dating to be accepted with reasonable confidence (Laxton and Litton 1988; Laxton *et al* 1988; Howard *et al* 1984–1995).

This is illustrated in Figure A5 with timbers from one of the roofs of Lincoln Cathedral. Here four sequences of ring widths, LIN-CO4, 05, 08, and 45, have been cross-matched with each other. The ring widths themselves have been omitted in the bar diagram, as is usual, but the offsets at which they best cross-match each other are shown; eg the sequence of ring widths of CO8 matches the sequence of ring widths of C45 best when it is at a position starting 20 rings after the first ring of C45, and similarly for the others. The actual *t*-values between the four at these offsets of best correlations are in the matrix. Thus at the offset of +20 rings, the *t*-value between C45 and C08 is 5.6 and is the maximum found between these two among all the positions of one sequence relative to the other.

It is standard practice in our Laboratory first to cross-match as many as possible of the ring-width sequences of the samples in a building and then to form an average from them. This average is called a site sequence of the building being dated and is illustrated in Figure A5. The fifth bar at the bottom is a site sequence for a roof at Lincoln Cathedral and is constructed from the matching sequences of the four timbers. The site sequence width for each year is the average of the widths in each of the sample sequences which has a width for that year. Thus in Fig A5 if the widths shown are 0.8mm for C45, 0.2mm for C08, 0.7mm for C05, and 0.3mm for C04, then the corresponding width of the site sequence is the average of these, 0.55mm. The actual sequence of widths of this site sequence is stored on the computer. The reason for creating site sequences is that it is usually easier to date an average sequence of ring widths with a master sequence than it is to date the individual component sample sequences separately.

The straightforward method of cross-matching several sample sequences with each other one at a time is called the 'maximal *t*-value' method. The actual method of

cross-matching a group of sequences of ring-widths used in the Laboratory involves grouping and averaging the ring-width sequences and is called the 'Litton-Zainodin Grouping Procedure'. It is a modification of the straightforward method and was successfully developed and tested in the Laboratory and has been published (Litton and Zainodin 1991; Laxton *et al* 1988).

4. Estimating the Felling Date.

As mentioned above, if the bark is present on a sample, then the date of its last ring is the date of the felling of its tree (or the last full year before felling, if it was felled in the first three months of the following calendar year, before any new growth had started, but this is not too important a consideration in most cases). The actual bark may not be present on a timber in a building, though the dendrochronologist who is sampling can often see from its surface that only the bark is missing. In these cases the date of the last ring is still the date of felling.

Quite often some, though not all, of the original outer rings are missing on a timber. The outer rings on an oak, called sapwood rings, are usually lighter than the inner rings, the heartwood, and so are relatively easy to identify. For example, sapwood can be seen in the corner of the rafter and at the outer end of the core in Figure A2, both indicated by arrows. More importantly for dendrochronology, the sapwood is relatively soft and so liable to insect attack and wear and tear. The builder, therefore, may remove some of the sapwood for precisely these reasons. Nevertheless, if at least some of the sapwood rings are left on a sample, we will know that not too many rings have been lost since felling so that the date of the last ring on the sample is only a few years before the date of the original last ring on the tree, and so to the date of felling.

Various estimates have been made and used for the average number of sapwood rings in mature oak trees (English Heritage 1998). A fairly conservative range is between 15 and 50 and that this holds for 95% of mature oaks. This means, of course, that in a small number of cases there could be fewer than 15 and more than 50 sapwood rings. For example, the core CRO-A06 has only 9 sapwood rings and some have obviously been lost over time – either they were removed originally by the carpenter and/or they rotted away in the building and/or they were lost in the coring. It is not known exactly how many sapwood rings are missing, but using the above range the Laboratory would estimate between a minimum of 6 (=15-9) and a maximum of 41 (=50-9). If the last ring of CRO-A06 has been dated to 1500, say, then the estimated felling-date range for the tree from which it came originally would be between 1506 and 1541. The Laboratory uses this estimate for sapwood in areas of England where it has no prior information. It also uses it when dealing with samples with very many rings, about 120 to the last heartwood ring. But in other areas of England where the Laboratory has accumulated a number of samples with complete sapwood, that is, no sapwood lost since felling, other estimates in place of the conservative range of 15 to 50 are used. In the East Midlands (Laxton et al 2001) and the east to the south down to Kent (Pearson 1995) where it has sampled extensively in the past, the Laboratory uses the shorter estimate of 15 to 35 sapwood rings in 95% of mature oaks growing in these parts. Since the sample CRO-A06 comes from a house in Cropwell Bishop in the East Midlands, a better estimate of sapwood rings lost since felling is between a minimum of 6 (=15-9) and 26 (=35-9) and the felling would be estimated to have taken place between 1506 and 1526, a shorter period than before. Oak boards quite often come from the

Baltic region and in these cases the 95% confidence limits for sapwood are 9 to 36 (Howard *et al* 1992, 56).

Even more precise estimates of the felling date and range can often be obtained using knowledge of a particular case and information gathered at the time of sampling. For example, at the time of sampling the dendrochronologist may have noted that the timber from which the core of Figure A2 was taken still had complete sapwood but that some of the soft sapwood rings were lost in coring. By measuring into the timber the depth of sapwood lost, say 20mm, a reasonable estimate can be made of the number of sapwood rings lost, say 12 to 15 rings in this case. By adding on 12 to 15 years to the date of the last ring on the sample a good tight estimate for the range of the felling date can be obtained, which is often better than the 15 to 35 years later we would have estimated without this observation. In the example, the felling is now estimated to have taken place between AD 1512 and 1515, which is much more precise than without this extra information.

Even if all the sapwood rings are missing on a sample, but none of the heartwood rings are, then an estimate of the felling-date range is possible by adding on the full compliment of, say, 15 to 35 years to the date of the last heartwood ring (called the heartwood/ sapwood boundary or transition ring and denoted H/S). Fortunately it is often easy for a trained dendrochronologist to identify this boundary on a timber. If a timber does not have its heartwood/sapwood boundary, then only a *post quem* date for felling is possible.

5. Estimating the Date of Construction.

There is a considerable body of evidence collected by dendrochronologists over the years that oak timbers used in buildings were not seasoned in medieval or early modern times (English Heritage 1998; Miles 1997, 50–5). Hence, provided that all the samples in a building have estimated felling-date ranges broadly in agreement with each other, so that they appear to have been felled as a group, then this should give an accurate estimate of the period when the structure was built, or soon after (Laxton *et al* 2001, fig 8; 34–5, where 'associated groups of fellings' are discussed in detail). However, if there is any evidence of storage before use, or if there is evidence the oak came from abroad (eg Baltic boards), then some allowance has to be made for this.

6. Master Chronological Sequences.

Ultimately, to date a sequence of ring widths, or a site sequence, we need a master sequence of dated ring widths with which to cross-match it, a Master Chronology. To construct such a sequence we have to start with a sequence of widths whose dates are known and this means beginning with a sequence from an oak tree whose date of felling is known. In Figure A6 such a sequence is SHE-T, which came from a tree in Sherwood Forest which was blown down in a recent gale. After this other sequences which cross-match with it are added and gradually the sequence is 'pushed back in time' as far as the age of samples will allow. This process is illustrated in Figure A6. We have a master chronological sequence of widths for Nottinghamshire and East Midlands oak for each year from AD 882 to 1981. It is described in great detail in Laxton and Litton (1988), but the components it contains are shown here in the form of a bar diagram. As can be seen, it is well replicated in that for each year in this period there are several sample sequences having widths for that year. The master is the average of these. This master can now be used to date oak from this area and from the surrounding areas where the climate is very similar to that in the East Midlands. The Laboratory has also constructed a master for Kent (Laxton and Litton 1989). The method the

Laboratory uses to construct a master sequence, such as the East Midlands and Kent, is completely objective and uses the Litton-Zainodin grouping procedure (Laxton *et al* 1988). Other laboratories and individuals have constructed masters for other areas and have made them available. As well as these masters, local (dated) site chronologies can be used to date other buildings from nearby. The Laboratory has hundreds of these site sequences from many parts of England and Wales covering many short periods.

7. Ring-Width Indices.

Tree-ring dating can be done by cross-matching the ring widths themselves, as described above. However, it is advantageous to modify the widths first. Because different trees grow at different rates and because a young oak grows in a different way from an older oak, irrespective of the climate, the widths are first standardized before any matching between them is attempted. These standard widths are known as ring-width indices and were first used in dendrochronology by Baillie and Pilcher (1973). The exact form they take is explained in this paper and in the appendix of Laxton and Litton (1988) and is illustrated in the graphs in Figure A7. Here ring-widths are plotted vertically, one for each year of growth. In the upper sequence of (a), the generally large early growth after 1810 is very apparent as is the smaller later growth from about 1900 onwards when the tree is maturing. A similar phenomenon can be observed in the lower sequence of (a) starting in 1835. In both the widths are also changing rapidly from year to year. The peaks are the wide rings and the troughs are the narrow rings corresponding to good and poor growing seasons, respectively. The two corresponding sequence of Baillie-Pilcher indices are plotted in (b) where the differences in the immature and mature growths have been removed and only the rapidly changing peaks and troughs remain, that are associated with the common climatic signal. This makes cross-matching easier.



Figure A5: Cross-matching of four sequences from a Lincoln Cathedral roof and the formation of a site sequence from them

The bar diagram represents these sequences without the rings themselves. The length of the bar is proportional to the number of rings in the sequence. Here the four sequences are set at relative positions (offsets) to each other at which they have maximum correlation as measured by the *t*-values. The *t*-value/offset matrix contains the maximum *t*-values below the diagonal and the offsets above it. Thus, the maximum *t*-value between C08 and C45 occurs at the offset of +20 rings and the *t*-value is then 5.6. The site sequence is composed of the average of the corresponding widths, as illustrated with one width.









Figure A7 (a): The raw ring-widths of two samples, THO-A01 and THO-B05, whose felling dates are known

Here the ring widths are plotted vertically, one for each year, so that peaks represent wide rings and troughs narrow ones. Notice the growth-trends in each; on average the earlier rings of the young tree are wider than the later ones of the older tree in both sequences

Figure A7 (b): The Baillie-Pilcher indices of the above widths

The growth trends have been removed completely

References

Baillie, M G L, and Pilcher, J R, 1973 A simple cross-dating program for tree-ring research, *Tree-Ring Bull*, **33**, 7–14

English Heritage, 1998 Dendrochronology: Guidelines on Producing and Interpreting Dendrochronological Dates, London

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1984–95 Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory results, *Vernacular Architect*, **15–26**

Howard, R E, Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Simpson, W G, 1992 List 44 no 17 - Nottingham University Tree-Ring Dating Laboratory: tree-ring dates for buildings in the East Midlands, *Vernacular Architect*, **23**, 51–6.

Laxon, R R, Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1988 An objective method for forming a master ring-width sequence, *PA C T*, **22**, 25–35

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1988 *An East Midlands Master Chronology and its use for dating vernacular buildings*, University of Nottingham, Department of Archaeology Publication, Monograph Series **III**

Laxton, R R, and Litton, C D, 1989 Construction of a Kent master dendrochronological sequence for oak, AD 1158 to 1540, *Medieval Archaeol*, **33**, 90–8

Laxton, R R, Litton, C D, and Howard, R E, 2001 Timber: *Dendrochronology of Roof Timbers at Lincoln Cathedral*, Engl Heritage Res Trans, **7**

Litton, C D, and Zainodin, H J, 1991 Statistical models of dendrochronology, J Archaeol Sci, 18, 29–40

Miles, D W H, 1997 The interpretation, presentation and use of tree-ring dates, *Vernacular Architect*, **28**, 40–56

Pearson, S, 1995 The Medieval Houses of Kent, an Historical Analysis, London

Rackham, O, 1976 Trees and Woodland in the British Landscape, London



Historic England Research and the Historic Environment

We are the public body that looks after England's historic environment. We champion historic places, helping people understand, value and care for them.

A good understanding of the historic environment is fundamental to ensuring people appreciate and enjoy their heritage and provides the essential first step towards its effective protection.

Historic England works to improve care, understanding and public enjoyment of the historic environment. We undertake and sponsor authoritative research. We develop new approaches to interpreting and protecting heritage and provide high quality expert advice and training.

We make the results of our work available through the Historic England Research Report Series, and through journal publications and monographs. Our online magazine Historic England Research which appears twice a year, aims to keep our partners within and outside English Heritage up-to-date with our projects and activities.

A full list of Research Reports, with abstracts and information on how to obtain copies, may be found on www.HistoricEngland.org.uk/researchreports

Some of these reports are interim reports, making the results of specialist investigations available in advance of full publication. They are not usually subject to external refereeing, and their conclusions may sometimes have to be modified in the light of information not available at the time of the investigation.

Where no final project report is available, you should consult the author before citing these reports in any publication. Opinions expressed in these reports are those of the author(s) and are not necessarily those of Historic England.

The Research Reports' database replaces the former:

Ancient Monuments Laboratory (AML) Reports Series The Centre for Archaeology (CfA) Reports Series The Archaeological Investigation Report Series and The Architectural Investigation Reports Series.